

# Bis[(1-vinyl-1*H*-imidazol-2-yl- $\kappa N^3$ )-methanamine- $\kappa N$ ]copper(II) bis(hexafluoridophosphate)

Alexander Schiller,<sup>a\*</sup> Rosario Scopelliti<sup>b</sup> and Wolfgang Imhof<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Friedrich Schiller University, Humboldtstrasse 8, 07743 Jena, Germany, and <sup>b</sup>Institut des Sciences et Ingénierie Chimiques, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), BCH-LCS, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Correspondence e-mail: alexander.schiller@uni-jena.de


Received 11 October 2011; accepted 22 November 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 140$  K; mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.006$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.047;  $wR$  factor = 0.123; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.9.

In the title compound,  $[Cu(C_6H_9N_3)_2](PF_6)_2$ , the Cu atom is located on a crystallographic center of inversion. The coordination environment of the Cu atom is square-planar with two amino and two imidazole N atoms bonded to the metal in a *trans* configuration.

## Related literature

For the title ligand as a building block for tripodal tetraamine ligands, see: Blackman (2005). For catalytic activity of copper(II) complexes with similar mulidendate *N*-donor ligands, see: Schiller *et al.* (2005, 2006).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

$[Cu(C_6H_9N_3)_2](PF_6)_2$   
 $M_r = 599.80$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
 $a = 11.543$  (2) Å  
 $b = 12.282$  (2) Å  
 $c = 8.2793$  (14) Å  
 $\beta = 96.476$  (15)°

$V = 1166.3$  (4) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 2$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 1.18$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 140$  K  
 $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.16$  mm

### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction KM-4/Sapphire CCD diffractometer  
Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)  
 $T_{min} = 0.657$ ,  $T_{max} = 1.000$

6439 measured reflections  
1955 independent reflections  
1396 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{int} = 0.088$

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.123$   
 $S = 0.97$   
1955 reflections

151 parameters  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.91$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.46$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997).

AS thanks the Carl-Zeiss foundation for a Junior Professor Fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FI2116).

## References

- Blackman, A. G. (2005). *Polyhedron*, **24**, 1–39.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). *Acta Cryst. A* **51**, 33–38.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Oxford Diffraction (2006). *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.
- Schiller, A., Scopelliti, R., Benmelouka, M. & Severin, K. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* **44**, 6482–6492.
- Schiller, A., Scopelliti, R. & Severin, K. (2006). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 3858–3867.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2011). E67, m1845 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536811050100]

## Bis[(1-vinyl-1*H*-imidazol-2-yl- $\kappa$ *N*<sup>3</sup>)methanamine- $\kappa$ *N*]copper(II) bis(hexafluoridophosphate)

Alexander Schiller, Rosario Scopelliti and Wolfgang Imhof

### S1. Comment

The described ligand has been used as a building block for the synthesis of the chelate ligand tris[(1-vinylimidazole-2-yl)methyl]amine (*L*). The complexes  $[\text{Zn}(L)\text{Cl}]\text{PF}_6$  and  $[\text{Cu}(L)\text{Cl}]\text{PF}_6$  were obtained upon reaction with *L* and immobilized by co-polymerization with ethylene glycol dimethacrylate. The supported complexes were found to be efficient heterogenous catalysts for the hydrolysis of bis(*p*-nitrophenyl)phosphate (Schiller *et al.*, 2006).



The structure of the title compound feature Cu on an inversion centre (Wyckoff position 2a). Two ligands coordinate to it in a trans fashion (Fig. 1).

### S2. Experimental

**Synthesis of the metal complex.** Anhydrous copper(II) chloride (25.0 mg, 0.186 mmol) was added to a solution of (1-vinyl-1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)-methylamine (45.8 mg, 0.372 mmol) in ethanol (4 ml).  $\text{NH}_4\text{PF}_6$  (60.6 mg, 0.372 mmol) was added and pink crystals were formed after 2 h. The product was isolated, washed with ethanol, and dried in a vacuum (yield: 83.7 mg, 75%). IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) = 3368/3314/3205 (w, NH), 1652 (vs, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 822 (vs, PF<sub>6</sub>).

### S3. Refinement

Hydrogen atoms have been placed in calculated positions with C–H distances of 0.99 Å for the methylene group and 0.95 Å for all other hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon and 0.92 Å for the amino function. Refinement was performed using a riding model with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ .

**Figure 1**

Molecular structure of the title compound. Second ligand is created by (i): -x, -y, -z. Ellipsoids are depicted on the 50% probability level.

### Bis[(1-vinyl-1*H*-imidazol-2-yl- $\kappa$ N<sup>3</sup>)methanamine- $\kappa$ N]copper(II) bis(hexafluoridophosphate)

#### Crystal data



$M_r = 599.80$

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc

$a = 11.543 (2)$  Å

$b = 12.282 (2)$  Å

$c = 8.2793 (14)$  Å

$\beta = 96.476 (15)^\circ$

$V = 1166.3 (4)$  Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 598$

$D_x = 1.708 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 3520 reflections

$\theta = 2.4\text{--}26.6^\circ$

$\mu = 1.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 140$  K

Prismatic, pink

$0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.16$  mm

*Data collection*

Oxford Diffraction KM-4/Sapphire CCD diffractometer  
 Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube  
 Graphite monochromator  
 $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.657$ ,  $T_{\max} = 1.000$

6439 measured reflections  
 1955 independent reflections  
 1396 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.088$   
 $\theta_{\max} = 25.0^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 3.0^\circ$   
 $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$   
 $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$   
 $l = -9 \rightarrow 9$

*Refinement*

Refinement on  $F^2$   
 Least-squares matrix: full  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.123$   
 $S = 0.97$   
 1955 reflections  
 151 parameters  
 0 restraints  
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map  
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0677P)^2]$   
 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.91 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.46 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0228 (2)
N1	0.1254 (3)	0.1059 (2)	-0.0384 (4)	0.0259 (8)
N2	0.3038 (3)	0.1375 (2)	-0.1192 (4)	0.0274 (8)
N3	0.1108 (3)	-0.1091 (2)	-0.0966 (4)	0.0266 (8)
H3A	0.1138	-0.1725	-0.0370	0.032*
H3B	0.0811	-0.1258	-0.2015	0.032*
C1	0.2219 (3)	0.0595 (3)	-0.0873 (5)	0.0222 (9)
C2	0.2538 (4)	0.2409 (3)	-0.0848 (6)	0.0315 (11)
H2	0.2886	0.3104	-0.0940	0.038*
C3	0.1460 (4)	0.2200 (3)	-0.0360 (5)	0.0296 (10)
H3	0.0933	0.2736	-0.0054	0.036*
C4	0.4149 (4)	0.1189 (3)	-0.1822 (5)	0.0316 (10)
H4	0.4311	0.0471	-0.2160	0.038*
C5	0.4952 (4)	0.1947 (4)	-0.1960 (7)	0.0474 (14)
H5A	0.4821	0.2675	-0.1634	0.057*
H5B	0.5658	0.1763	-0.2383	0.057*

C6	0.2332 (3)	-0.0645 (3)	-0.0967 (5)	0.0227 (9)
H6A	0.2661	-0.0860	-0.1974	0.027*
H6B	0.2847	-0.0924	-0.0021	0.027*
P1	0.19988 (10)	0.57233 (7)	0.90769 (13)	0.0244 (3)
F1	0.0883 (2)	0.51137 (19)	0.8082 (3)	0.0463 (8)
F2	0.2875 (2)	0.50369 (17)	0.8029 (3)	0.0392 (7)
F3	0.1923 (3)	0.66853 (17)	0.7698 (3)	0.0472 (8)
F4	0.3113 (2)	0.6338 (2)	1.0077 (3)	0.0531 (8)
F5	0.1112 (2)	0.64221 (17)	1.0131 (3)	0.0396 (7)
F6	0.2065 (2)	0.47717 (17)	1.0447 (3)	0.0365 (7)

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.0264 (4)	0.0165 (3)	0.0261 (5)	0.0009 (3)	0.0056 (3)	0.0002 (3)
N1	0.029 (2)	0.0210 (15)	0.028 (2)	0.0045 (14)	0.0070 (16)	-0.0020 (14)
N2	0.0282 (19)	0.0216 (16)	0.034 (2)	-0.0003 (14)	0.0092 (17)	-0.0042 (14)
N3	0.031 (2)	0.0185 (15)	0.031 (2)	-0.0002 (14)	0.0089 (17)	0.0000 (14)
C1	0.025 (2)	0.0223 (19)	0.020 (2)	-0.0004 (16)	0.0026 (19)	0.0021 (16)
C2	0.037 (3)	0.0180 (19)	0.041 (3)	-0.0049 (17)	0.010 (2)	-0.0024 (18)
C3	0.033 (2)	0.0182 (18)	0.039 (3)	0.0005 (17)	0.010 (2)	-0.0044 (17)
C4	0.029 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.035 (3)	0.0010 (19)	0.009 (2)	-0.0055 (19)
C5	0.031 (3)	0.043 (3)	0.070 (4)	-0.001 (2)	0.016 (3)	-0.008 (3)
C6	0.024 (2)	0.0181 (19)	0.027 (2)	0.0029 (15)	0.0081 (19)	0.0022 (16)
P1	0.0330 (6)	0.0177 (5)	0.0230 (6)	-0.0002 (4)	0.0053 (5)	-0.0003 (4)
F1	0.0429 (17)	0.0558 (17)	0.0388 (18)	-0.0141 (13)	-0.0018 (14)	-0.0081 (13)
F2	0.0548 (18)	0.0329 (13)	0.0336 (16)	0.0120 (12)	0.0212 (14)	-0.0019 (11)
F3	0.084 (2)	0.0240 (12)	0.0376 (17)	0.0114 (13)	0.0255 (15)	0.0086 (11)
F4	0.0495 (17)	0.0613 (17)	0.050 (2)	-0.0274 (14)	0.0112 (15)	-0.0192 (14)
F5	0.0579 (17)	0.0311 (12)	0.0329 (16)	0.0117 (12)	0.0193 (13)	-0.0003 (11)
F6	0.0568 (18)	0.0257 (12)	0.0277 (15)	0.0051 (11)	0.0080 (14)	0.0052 (10)

*Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $\text{^\circ}$ )*

Cu1—N1	1.998 (3)	C2—H2	0.9500
Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	1.998 (3)	C3—H3	0.9500
Cu1—N3	2.074 (3)	C4—C5	1.328 (6)
Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	2.074 (3)	C4—H4	0.9500
N1—C1	1.352 (5)	C5—H5A	0.9500
N1—C3	1.421 (4)	C5—H5B	0.9500
N2—C1	1.392 (5)	C6—H6A	0.9900
N2—C2	1.436 (5)	C6—H6B	0.9900
N2—C4	1.456 (5)	P1—F6	1.625 (2)
N3—C6	1.516 (5)	P1—F1	1.631 (3)
N3—H3A	0.9200	P1—F4	1.634 (3)
N3—H3B	0.9200	P1—F2	1.638 (3)
C1—C6	1.531 (5)	P1—F3	1.638 (3)
C2—C3	1.375 (6)	P1—F5	1.658 (3)

N1—Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	180.00 (13)	C5—C4—N2	124.9 (4)
N1—Cu1—N3	82.53 (13)	C5—C4—H4	117.6
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3	97.47 (13)	N2—C4—H4	117.6
N1—Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	97.47 (13)	C4—C5—H5A	120.0
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	82.53 (13)	C4—C5—H5B	120.0
N3—Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	H5A—C5—H5B	120.0
C1—N1—C3	106.1 (3)	N3—C6—C1	106.0 (3)
C1—N1—Cu1	114.2 (2)	N3—C6—H6A	110.5
C3—N1—Cu1	139.7 (3)	C1—C6—H6A	110.5
C1—N2—C2	105.9 (3)	N3—C6—H6B	110.5
C1—N2—C4	127.2 (3)	C1—C6—H6B	110.5
C2—N2—C4	126.8 (3)	H6A—C6—H6B	108.7
C6—N3—Cu1	112.4 (2)	F6—P1—F1	89.70 (14)
C6—N3—H3A	109.1	F6—P1—F4	90.34 (15)
Cu1—N3—H3A	109.1	F1—P1—F4	179.76 (17)
C6—N3—H3B	109.1	F6—P1—F2	90.94 (13)
Cu1—N3—H3B	109.1	F1—P1—F2	89.76 (15)
H3A—N3—H3B	107.8	F4—P1—F2	90.48 (15)
N1—C1—N2	111.5 (3)	F6—P1—F3	179.61 (16)
N1—C1—C6	120.8 (3)	F1—P1—F3	90.11 (15)
N2—C1—C6	127.7 (3)	F4—P1—F3	89.84 (15)
C3—C2—N2	106.8 (3)	F2—P1—F3	89.41 (13)
C3—C2—H2	126.6	F6—P1—F5	89.31 (13)
N2—C2—H2	126.6	F1—P1—F5	90.23 (15)
C2—C3—N1	109.6 (4)	F4—P1—F5	89.53 (14)
C2—C3—H3	125.2	F2—P1—F5	179.75 (14)
N1—C3—H3	125.2	F3—P1—F5	90.34 (13)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C1	-64.0 (4)	C4—N2—C1—N1	-176.5 (4)
N3—Cu1—N1—C1	-6.8 (3)	C2—N2—C1—C6	-177.1 (4)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C1	173.2 (3)	C4—N2—C1—C6	5.6 (6)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C3	113.2 (6)	C1—N2—C2—C3	-0.4 (4)
N3—Cu1—N1—C3	170.4 (4)	C4—N2—C2—C3	176.9 (4)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C3	-9.6 (4)	N2—C2—C3—N1	-0.1 (5)
N1—Cu1—N3—C6	16.6 (3)	C1—N1—C3—C2	0.6 (5)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3—C6	-163.4 (3)	Cu1—N1—C3—C2	-176.8 (3)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N3—C6	-41 (3)	C1—N2—C4—C5	-173.1 (4)
C3—N1—C1—N2	-0.9 (4)	C2—N2—C4—C5	10.1 (7)
Cu1—N1—C1—N2	177.2 (2)	Cu1—N3—C6—C1	-21.4 (4)
C3—N1—C1—C6	177.2 (3)	N1—C1—C6—N3	17.6 (5)
Cu1—N1—C1—C6	-4.7 (5)	N2—C1—C6—N3	-164.7 (4)
C2—N2—C1—N1	0.8 (4)		

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x, -y, -z$ .