

catena-Poly[[diaquabis(2-ethyl-1H-imidazole- κN^3)cadmium]- μ -sulfato- $\kappa^2 O:O'$]

Chua-Hua Yu

Orderd Matter Science Research Center, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jxyuchunhua@163.com


Received 17 March 2012; accepted 18 April 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005$ Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.035; wR factor = 0.082; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.2.

In the title one-dimensional coordination polymer, $[Cd(SO_4)(C_5H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$, the Cd^{II} atom (site symmetry 2) is coordinated by two sulfate O atoms, two water molecules and two 2-ethylimidazole ligands in a distorted *cis*- CdN_2O_4 octahedral geometry. The water molecules have a *cis* disposition. The bridging sulfate ions (site symmetry 2) link the Cd^{II} ions into a polymeric chain extending along [001]. The chains are linked by $N-H \cdots O$ and $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. The terminal $-CH_3$ group of the ligand is disordered over two orientations in a 0.61 (5):0.39 (5) ratio.

Related literature

For background to ferroelectric materials, see: Zhang *et al.* (2010). For a related structure, see: Zhu & Yu (2011).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[Cd(SO_4)(C_5H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$

$M_r = 436.78$

Orthorhombic, $Pbcn$

$a = 14.465$ (10) Å

$b = 15.83$ (1) Å

$c = 6.990$ (5) Å

$V = 1600.6$ (19) Å³

$Z = 4$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\mu = 1.53$ mm⁻¹

$T = 293$ K

$0.34 \times 0.28 \times 0.24$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)

$T_{min} = 0.604$, $T_{max} = 0.693$

15289 measured reflections

1838 independent reflections

1617 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{int} = 0.035$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$

$wR(F^2) = 0.082$

$S = 1.06$

1838 reflections

107 parameters

3 restraints

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.91$ e Å⁻³

$\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.51$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cd1—N2	2.255 (3)	Cd1—O1W	2.339 (3)
Cd1—O2	2.437 (3)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H \cdots A$
N1—H1D $\cdots$ O3 ⁱ	0.86	2.11	2.936 (4)	160
O1W—H1WA $\cdots$ O3 ⁱⁱ	0.81 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.732 (4)	172 (5)
O1W—H1WB $\cdots$ O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.80 (2)	1.97 (2)	2.762 (4)	169 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z + 1$; (ii) $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The author thanks an anonymous advisor from the Ordered Matter Science Research Centre, Southeast University, for great help in the revision of this paper.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB6691).

References

- Rigaku (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Zhang, W., Ye, H.-Y., Cai, H.-L., Ge, J.-Z., Xiong, R.-G. & Huang, S.-P. D. (2010). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 7300–7302.
- Zhu, R.-Q. & Yu, C.-H. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* **E67**, o2746.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, m655 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536812017059]

catena-Poly[[diaquabis(2-ethyl-1*H*-imidazole- κ N³)cadmium]- μ -sulfato- κ^2 O:O']**Chua-Hua Yu****S1. Comment**

According to finding potential ferroelectric phase change materials *via* dielectric constant measurements of compounds on the basis of temperature (Zhang *et al.*, 2010). Unluckily, no dielectric anomaly was observed ranging from 120 K to near 290 K. In this report the crystal structure of the title compound is herein reported. A structure chart showing the structure of the title compound (Scheme 1). A viewgraph of with the symmetry related fragments and atom-numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 1. The Cd(II) atom adopts two nitrogen atoms of two 2-ethylimidazole ligands [Cd1—N2 = 2.255 (3) Å], two O atoms from two water [Cd1—O1W = 2.339 (3) Å] and two oxygen atoms of two different sulfate radical ligands [Cd1—O2 = 2.437 (3) Å]. Besides, atom C1 swings between the two positions 1:1 ration. In addition, the unite exists O—H $\cdots$ O and N—H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds are also present (Table 1).

S2. Experimental

2.4 g (25 mmol) of 2-ethylimidazole was dissolved in 20 ml water, dropping 1.23 g (12.5 mmol) of H₂SO₄ into it, and 6.4 g (8.3 mmol) 3CdSO₄·8H₂O was added to the solution. After stirring the mixture for several minutes, 6.18 g (25 mmol) of Ba(NO₃)₂ was joined into it forming precipitation. The turbid liquid was filtered to give a light yellow solution. Yellow blocks were obtained, but not the expecting things, by the slow evaporation of the above solution after sever days at the ambient temperature.

S3. Refinement

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms but for H1A and H1B with C—H = 0.93 Å, with $U_{iso}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{iso}(\text{C})$.




Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

Symmetry codes: (i) 1-x, y, 1/2-z; (ii) 1-x, y, 3/2-z.




Figure 2

A view of the packing of the title compound, stacking along the *c* axis. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

catena-Poly[[*diaquabis*(2-ethyl-1*H*-imidazole- κN^3)cadmium]- μ -sulfato- $\kappa^2 O:O'$]

Crystal data

[Cd(SO₄)(C₅H₈N₂)₂(H₂O)₂]

M_r = 436.78

Orthorhombic, *Pbcn*

Hall symbol: -P 2n 2ab

a = 14.465 (10) Å

b = 15.83 (1) Å

c = 6.990 (5) Å

V = 1600.6 (19) Å³

Z = 4

F(000) = 880

D_x = 1.813 Mg m⁻³

Mo *K*α radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å

Cell parameters from 1838 reflections

θ = 3.9–27.5°

μ = 1.53 mm⁻¹

T = 293 K

Block, yellow

0.34 × 0.28 × 0.24 mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
 ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.604$, $T_{\max} = 0.693$
15289 measured reflections

1838 independent reflections
1617 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.035$
 $\theta_{\max} = 27.5^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 3.9^\circ$
 $h = -18 \rightarrow 18$
 $k = -20 \rightarrow 20$
 $l = -9 \rightarrow 9$
3 standard reflections every 180 reflections
intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.082$
 $S = 1.06$
1838 reflections
107 parameters
3 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
and constrained refinement
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0278P)^2 + 5.5521P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.91 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.51 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2$)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
C1	0.5943 (16)	0.5775 (16)	0.464 (3)	0.0460 (9)	0.39 (5)
H1A	0.5329	0.6000	0.4539	0.069*	0.39 (5)
H1B	0.5961	0.5354	0.5625	0.069*	0.39 (5)
H1C	0.6117	0.5524	0.3440	0.069*	0.39 (5)
C1'	0.6100 (10)	0.5679 (10)	0.4805 (19)	0.0460 (9)	0.61 (5)
H1'A	0.6529	0.5216	0.4781	0.069*	0.61 (5)
H1'B	0.5778	0.5707	0.3607	0.069*	0.61 (5)
H1'C	0.5664	0.5596	0.5823	0.069*	0.61 (5)
C2	0.6611 (3)	0.6480 (3)	0.5124 (6)	0.0460 (9)	
H2A	0.7211	0.6343	0.4589	0.055*	
H2B	0.6399	0.6995	0.4513	0.055*	
C3	0.6724 (2)	0.6645 (2)	0.7199 (4)	0.0249 (7)	
C4	0.7286 (3)	0.6571 (2)	1.0116 (5)	0.0341 (8)	
H4	0.7662	0.6443	1.1155	0.041*	
C5	0.6496 (3)	0.7023 (2)	1.0139 (5)	0.0317 (8)	

H5	0.6230	0.7263	1.1220	0.038*
N1	0.7422 (2)	0.63387 (19)	0.8256 (4)	0.0312 (6)
H1D	0.7878	0.6045	0.7833	0.037*
N2	0.61447 (19)	0.70720 (17)	0.8301 (4)	0.0250 (6)
O1W	0.60396 (17)	0.90785 (16)	0.8035 (4)	0.0272 (5)
O2	0.53001 (17)	0.82007 (15)	0.4109 (3)	0.0282 (5)
O3	0.42204 (16)	0.92697 (15)	0.3118 (3)	0.0263 (5)
S1	0.5000	0.87254 (6)	0.2500	0.0176 (2)
Cd1	0.5000	0.797187 (19)	0.7500	0.02068 (12)
H1WA	0.599 (3)	0.917 (3)	0.917 (3)	0.049 (14)*
H1WB	0.594 (4)	0.9529 (17)	0.756 (6)	0.044 (14)*

Atomic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2$)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.039 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.0379 (18)	−0.0057 (17)	−0.0050 (15)	−0.0052 (16)
C1'	0.039 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.0379 (18)	−0.0057 (17)	−0.0050 (15)	−0.0052 (16)
C2	0.039 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.0379 (18)	−0.0057 (17)	−0.0050 (15)	−0.0052 (16)
C3	0.0262 (16)	0.0247 (15)	0.0240 (16)	0.0032 (12)	0.0015 (12)	0.0034 (12)
C4	0.0300 (19)	0.044 (2)	0.0285 (18)	0.0064 (15)	−0.0084 (14)	0.0021 (16)
C5	0.0338 (18)	0.0401 (19)	0.0214 (16)	0.0082 (15)	−0.0046 (14)	−0.0020 (14)
N1	0.0232 (14)	0.0384 (16)	0.0321 (15)	0.0094 (12)	0.0006 (12)	0.0029 (13)
N2	0.0249 (13)	0.0298 (14)	0.0202 (13)	0.0046 (11)	−0.0018 (11)	0.0004 (11)
O1W	0.0305 (12)	0.0280 (12)	0.0230 (12)	−0.0035 (8)	0.0025 (10)	0.0009 (10)
O2	0.0322 (12)	0.0342 (12)	0.0181 (11)	0.0069 (10)	0.0012 (9)	0.0066 (9)
O3	0.0244 (11)	0.0297 (12)	0.0248 (11)	0.0057 (9)	0.0018 (9)	0.0005 (9)
S1	0.0186 (5)	0.0204 (5)	0.0139 (4)	0.000	0.0004 (4)	0.000
Cd1	0.01904 (17)	0.02334 (18)	0.01966 (18)	0.000	−0.00215 (12)	0.000

Geometric parameters ($\text{\AA}$, $^\circ$)

C1—C2	1.52 (2)	C5—N2	1.384 (4)
C1—H1A	0.9600	C5—H5	0.9300
C1—H1B	0.9600	N1—H1D	0.8600
C1—H1C	0.9600	O1W—H1WA	0.807 (19)
C1'—C2	1.485 (14)	O1W—H1WB	0.799 (19)
C1'—H1'A	0.9600	O2—S1	1.464 (2)
C1'—H1'B	0.9600	O3—S1	1.484 (2)
C1'—H1'C	0.9600	S1—O2 ⁱ	1.464 (2)
C2—C3	1.483 (5)	S1—O3 ⁱ	1.484 (2)
C2—H2A	0.9700	Cd1—N2	2.255 (3)
C2—H2B	0.9700	Cd1—O2	2.437 (3)
C3—N2	1.324 (4)	Cd1—O1W	2.339 (3)
C3—N1	1.341 (4)	Cd1—N2 ⁱⁱ	2.255 (3)
C4—C5	1.348 (5)	Cd1—O1W ⁱⁱ	2.339 (3)
C4—N1	1.365 (5)	Cd1—O2 ⁱⁱ	2.437 (3)
C4—H4	0.9300		

C2—C1—H1A	109.5	C3—N1—C4	108.6 (3)
C2—C1—H1B	109.5	C3—N1—H1D	125.7
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	C4—N1—H1D	125.7
C2—C1—H1C	109.5	C3—N2—C5	106.2 (3)
H1A—C1—H1C	109.5	C3—N2—Cd1	130.0 (2)
H1B—C1—H1C	109.5	C5—N2—Cd1	122.4 (2)
C2—C1'—H1'A	109.5	Cd1—O1W—H1WA	104 (3)
C2—C1'—H1'B	109.5	Cd1—O1W—H1WB	119 (4)
H1'A—C1'—H1'B	109.5	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	103 (4)
C2—C1'—H1'C	109.5	S1—O2—Cd1	141.16 (14)
H1'A—C1'—H1'C	109.5	O2 ⁱ —S1—O2	110.9 (2)
H1'B—C1'—H1'C	109.5	O2 ⁱ —S1—O3	109.14 (14)
C3—C2—C1'	110.6 (6)	O2—S1—O3	109.34 (13)
C3—C2—C1	114.8 (9)	O2 ⁱ —S1—O3 ⁱ	109.34 (13)
C1'—C2—C1	11.3 (9)	O2—S1—O3 ⁱ	109.14 (14)
C3—C2—H2A	108.6	O3—S1—O3 ⁱ	109.0 (2)
C1'—C2—H2A	101.3	N2 ⁱⁱ —Cd1—N2	101.65 (15)
C1—C2—H2A	108.6	N2 ⁱⁱ —Cd1—O1W	170.07 (10)
C3—C2—H2B	108.6	N2—Cd1—O1W	87.78 (11)
C1'—C2—H2B	119.6	N2 ⁱⁱ —Cd1—O1W ⁱⁱ	87.78 (11)
C1—C2—H2B	108.6	N2—Cd1—O1W ⁱⁱ	170.07 (10)
H2A—C2—H2B	107.5	O1W—Cd1—O1W ⁱⁱ	82.99 (14)
N2—C3—N1	109.9 (3)	N2 ⁱⁱ —Cd1—O2 ⁱⁱ	101.81 (9)
N2—C3—C2	126.1 (3)	N2—Cd1—O2 ⁱⁱ	89.03 (10)
N1—C3—C2	123.9 (3)	O1W—Cd1—O2 ⁱⁱ	81.24 (8)
C5—C4—N1	106.1 (3)	O1W ⁱⁱ —Cd1—O2 ⁱⁱ	85.96 (9)
C5—C4—H4	127.0	N2 ⁱⁱ —Cd1—O2	89.03 (10)
N1—C4—H4	127.0	N2—Cd1—O2	101.81 (9)
C4—C5—N2	109.3 (3)	O1W—Cd1—O2	85.96 (9)
C4—C5—H5	125.4	O1W ⁱⁱ —Cd1—O2	81.24 (8)
N2—C5—H5	125.4	O2 ⁱⁱ —Cd1—O2	162.90 (12)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, y, -z+1/2$; (ii) $-x+1, y, -z+3/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}$, $^\circ$)

$D\cdots H\cdots A$	$D\cdots H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\cdots H\cdots A$
N1—H1D $\cdots$ O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86	2.11	2.936 (4)	160
O1W—H1WA $\cdots$ O3 ⁱⁱ	0.81 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.732 (4)	172 (5)
O1W—H1WB $\cdots$ O3 ^{iv}	0.80 (2)	1.97 (2)	2.762 (4)	169 (5)

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1, y, -z+3/2$; (iii) $x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1$; (iv) $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$.