organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-Azido-1-(4-methylphenyl)ethanone

Muhammad Arshad,^{a,b} Sammer Yousuf,^a* Hafiza Madiha Butt,^a Sumayya Saeed^b and Fatima Z. Basha^a‡

^aH.E.J. Research Institute of Chemistry, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi 75270, Pakistan, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Karachi 75270, Pakistan Correspondence e-mail: dr.sammer.yousuf@gmail.com

Received 13 April 2012; accepted 25 April 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 273 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.034; wR factor = 0.090; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.3.

In the molecule of the title compound, $C_0H_0N_3O$, the angle formed by the least-squares line through the azide group with the normal to the plane of the benzene plane ring is 46.62 (16)°. The crystal structure features $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, which link the molecules into zigzag chains running parallel to [010].

Related literature

For a related structure, see: Yousuf et al. (2012). For the biological activity of triazoles, see: Genin et al. (2000); Parmee et al. (2000); Koble et al. (1995); Moltzen et al. (1994).



Experimental

Crystal data

$C_9H_9N_3O$
$M_r = 175.19$
Monoclinic, P21/d
a = 7.696 (3) Å
b = 9.025 (3) Å
c = 14.248 (4) Å
$\beta = 118.726 \ (15)^{\circ}$

 $V = 867.8 (5) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 273 K $0.30\,\times\,0.21\,\times\,0.17$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-4915 measured reflections detector diffractometer 1595 independent reflections Absorption correction: multi-scan 1464 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (SADABS; Bruker, 2000) $R_{\rm int} = 0.019$ $T_{\rm min} = 0.973, T_{\rm max} = 0.985$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	120 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.090$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.07	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1595 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C8-H8A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.97	2.40	3.2404 (19)	145
Symmetry code: (i) -	$-x + 1$, $v + \frac{1}{2}$, -	$7 + \frac{3}{2}$		

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 2009).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: RZ2744).

References

- Bruker (2000). SADABS, SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Genin, M. J., Allwine, D. A., Anderson, D. J., Barbachyn, M. R., Emmert, D. E., Garmon, S. A., Graber, D. R., Grega, K. C., Hester, J. B., Hutchinson, D. K., Morris, J., Reischer, R. J., Ford, C. W., Zurenco, G. E., Hamel, J. C., Schaadt, R. D., Stapert, D. & Yagi, B. H. (2000). J. Med. Chem. 43, 953-970.
- Koble, C. S., Davis, R. G., McLean, E. W., Soroko, F. E. & Cooper, B. R. (1995). J. Med. Chem. 38, 4131-4134.
- Moltzen, E. K., Pedersen, H., Boegesoe, K. P., Meier, E., Frederiksen, K., Sanchez, C. & Lemboel, H. L. (1994). J. Med. Chem. 37, 4085-4099.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Parmee, L., Ok, E. R., Candelore, H. O., Cascieri, M. R., Colwell, M. A., Deng, L. F., Feeney, L., Forrest, W. P. M. J., Hom, G. J., MacIntyre, D. E., Tota, L., Wyvratt, M. J., Fisher, M. H. & Weber, A. E. (2000). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 10, 2111-2114.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Yousuf, S., Arshad, M., Butt, H. M., Saeed, S. & Basha, F. Z. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68. o1268.

[‡] Additional correspondence author, e-mail: bashafz@gmail.com.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, 01608 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812018491]

2-Azido-1-(4-methylphenyl)ethanone

Muhammad Arshad, Sammer Yousuf, Hafiza Madiha Butt, Sumayya Saeed and Fatima Z. Basha

S1. Comment

Triazoles are considered an important class of compounds due to their therapeutic potential (Genin *et al.*, 2000; Parmee *et al.*, 2000; Koble *et al.*, 1995; Moltzen *et al.*, 1994). The title compound was obtained as an intermediate during our attempt to synthesize biologically active triazoles.

The structure of the title compound (Fig. 1) is similar to that of our recently published compound 2-azido-1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethanone (Yousuf *et al.*, 2012) with the difference that the fluorophenyl ring is replaced by a toluene ring. The bond lengths and angles are similar to those found in the previously reported compound. The azide group is not linear (N3–N2–N1 = 170.84 (11)°) and the least-square line through it forms with the normal to the plane of benzene ring an angle of 46.62 (16)°. The crystal structure is stabilized by C—H···O intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Table 1) forming zig-zag chains parallel to the *b* axis (Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

1-*p*-Tolylethanone (8.32 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was dissolved in acetonitrile (20 ml) in a round bottom flask. To the stirred mixture, *p*-toluene sulphonic acid (12.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and *N*-bromosuccinimide (11.6 mmol, 1.4 equiv.) were added, and the mixtyre refluxed for 1 to 1.5 h until TLC analysis showed no starting material present. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, sodium azide (24.9 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) was added and the mixture further stirred for 2 to 3 hrs followed by the addition of ice cooled water to quench the reaction. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethylacetate (25 ml × 2) and the combined organic layer were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuum to get the crude product. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1/9-3/7 v/v) to afford the crystalline title compound in 70% yield. The crystals were found to be suitable for single-crystal X-ray studies. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

S3. Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically with C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å, and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms. A rotating group model was applied to the methyl group.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title cmpound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

Crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the *a* axis. Hydrogen atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) are omitted for clearity.

2-Azido-1-(4-methylphenyl)ethanone

Crystal data

C₉H₉N₃O $M_r = 175.19$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 7.696 (3) Å b = 9.025 (3) Å c = 14.248 (4) Å $\beta = 118.726$ (15)° V = 867.8 (5) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Hydrogen site location: inferred from Least-squares matrix: full neighbouring sites $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ H-atom parameters constrained $wR(F^2) = 0.090$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0506P)^2 + 0.1892P]$ S = 1.07where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 1595 reflections $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ 120 parameters $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 0 restraints Extinction correction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods 2008), Fc^{*}=kFc[1+0.001xFc² $\lambda^{3}/sin(2\theta)$]^{-1/4} Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier Extinction coefficient: 0.032 (4) map

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

F(000) = 368

 $\theta = 2.8 - 25.5^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Block, colourless

 $0.30 \times 0.21 \times 0.17$ mm

4915 measured reflections 1595 independent reflections 1464 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.8^{\circ}$

T = 273 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.019$

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 15$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.341 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 3299 reflections

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	r	11	7	II. */II	
	л	J.	2	U _{iso} / U _{eq}	
01	0.37626 (11)	0.32286 (8)	0.67821 (6)	0.0259 (2)	
N1	0.30617 (13)	0.53889 (11)	0.79105 (8)	0.0250 (3)	
N2	0.46826 (13)	0.49335 (10)	0.86119 (7)	0.0220 (2)	

N3	0.60533 (15)	0.45093 (11)	0.93395 (8)	0.0285 (3)
C1	0.27074 (15)	0.33313 (12)	0.45933 (9)	0.0225 (3)
H1B	0.3054	0.2413	0.4929	0.027*
C2	0.22351 (15)	0.34548 (12)	0.35311 (9)	0.0234 (3)
H2A	0.2257	0.2615	0.3159	0.028*
C3	0.17253 (15)	0.48218 (12)	0.30073 (9)	0.0217 (3)
C4	0.17304 (15)	0.60668 (12)	0.35936 (9)	0.0238 (3)
H4B	0.1425	0.6991	0.3264	0.029*
C5	0.21797 (15)	0.59490 (12)	0.46497 (9)	0.0226 (3)
H5A	0.2157	0.6789	0.5022	0.027*
C6	0.26701 (14)	0.45736 (11)	0.51680 (9)	0.0198 (3)
C7	0.31704 (14)	0.43963 (11)	0.63045 (9)	0.0201 (3)
C8	0.29227 (16)	0.57461 (12)	0.68730 (9)	0.0224 (3)
H8A	0.3935	0.6468	0.6981	0.027*
H8B	0.1643	0.6195	0.6418	0.027*
C9	0.11424 (17)	0.49495 (13)	0.18444 (9)	0.0275 (3)
H9A	0.1646	0.5861	0.1723	0.041*
H9B	-0.0276	0.4939	0.1426	0.041*
H9C	0.1684	0.4130	0.1640	0.041*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0310 (4)	0.0219 (4)	0.0239 (4)	0.0038 (3)	0.0125 (4)	0.0038 (3)
N1	0.0204 (5)	0.0313 (5)	0.0230 (5)	0.0014 (4)	0.0103 (4)	-0.0017 (4)
N2	0.0254 (5)	0.0220 (5)	0.0229 (5)	-0.0032 (4)	0.0151 (5)	-0.0038 (4)
N3	0.0296 (5)	0.0333 (6)	0.0225 (5)	0.0008 (4)	0.0125 (5)	0.0017 (4)
C1	0.0214 (5)	0.0199 (5)	0.0245 (6)	0.0039 (4)	0.0097 (4)	0.0022 (4)
C2	0.0226 (5)	0.0233 (6)	0.0239 (6)	0.0029 (4)	0.0109 (4)	-0.0020 (4)
C3	0.0155 (5)	0.0282 (6)	0.0222 (6)	-0.0008(4)	0.0096 (4)	0.0014 (4)
C4	0.0234 (5)	0.0198 (5)	0.0261 (6)	-0.0005 (4)	0.0104 (5)	0.0045 (4)
C5	0.0227 (5)	0.0187 (5)	0.0246 (6)	-0.0018 (4)	0.0100 (5)	-0.0016 (4)
C6	0.0152 (5)	0.0204 (5)	0.0222 (6)	-0.0010 (4)	0.0076 (4)	-0.0001 (4)
C7	0.0152 (5)	0.0212 (5)	0.0219 (6)	-0.0014 (4)	0.0074 (4)	0.0001 (4)
C8	0.0215 (5)	0.0224 (5)	0.0209 (6)	-0.0002 (4)	0.0083 (4)	-0.0014 (4)
C9	0.0270 (6)	0.0333 (6)	0.0254 (6)	0.0025 (5)	0.0151 (5)	0.0041 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C7	1.2180 (13)	C4—C5	1.3765 (17)	
N1—N2	1.2350 (14)	C4—H4B	0.9300	
N1—C8	1.4659 (15)	C5—C6	1.4003 (16)	
N2—N3	1.1327 (14)	C5—H5A	0.9300	
C1—C2	1.3806 (16)	C6—C7	1.4821 (16)	
C1—C6	1.3968 (16)	C7—C8	1.5247 (15)	
C1—H1B	0.9300	C8—H8A	0.9700	
C2—C3	1.3970 (16)	C8—H8B	0.9700	
C2—H2A	0.9300	С9—Н9А	0.9600	

supporting information

C3—C4	1.3991 (16)	С9—Н9В	0.9600
С3—С9	1.4984 (17)	С9—Н9С	0.9600
N2—N1—C8	116.42 (9)	C1—C6—C7	119.08 (10)
N3—N2—N1	170.84 (11)	C5—C6—C7	122.31 (10)
C2C1C6	120.60 (10)	O1—C7—C6	122.33 (10)
C2C1H1B	119.7	O1—C7—C8	120.22 (10)
C6C1H1B	119.7	C6—C7—C8	117.45 (9)
C1—C2—C3	121.02 (10)	N1—C8—C7	113.13 (9)
C1—C2—H2A	119.5	N1—C8—H8A	109.0
С3—С2—Н2А	119.5	C7—C8—H8A	109.0
C2—C3—C4	118.09 (10)	N1—C8—H8B	109.0
C2—C3—C9	121.11 (10)	C7—C8—H8B	109.0
C4—C3—C9	120.79 (10)	H8A—C8—H8B	107.8
C5—C4—C3	121.19 (10)	С3—С9—Н9А	109.5
C5—C4—H4B	119.4	С3—С9—Н9В	109.5
C3—C4—H4B	119.4	Н9А—С9—Н9В	109.5
C4—C5—C6	120.49 (10)	С3—С9—Н9С	109.5
C4—C5—H5A	119.8	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5
С6—С5—Н5А	119.8	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
C1—C6—C5	118.60 (11)		
C6 C1 C2 C3	0.53 (16)	C4 C5 C6 C7	170 70 (0)
$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{3}$	0.33(10) 0.77(16)	$C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{0} = C_{1}$	5 82 (15)
C1 - C2 - C3 - C4	-177 81 (9)	$C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{1} = 0_{1}$	-17348(10)
$C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - C_4 - C_5$	-1.46(16)	$C_{1} - C_{6} - C_{7} - C_{8}$	-174.32(9)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = C_5$	177 13 (10)	$C_{1}^{-} = C_{0}^{-} = C_{1}^{-} = C_{0}^{-}$	6 38 (14)
C_{3}^{-} C_{4}^{-} C_{5}^{-} C_{6}^{-}	0.84(16)	$N_2 - N_1 - C_8 - C_7$	65 13 (12)
$C_{2} - C_{1} - C_{6} - C_{5}$	-1.16(15)	01 - C7 - C8 - N1	-11.81(14)
$C_2 = C_1 = C_0 = C_2$	179 51 (9)	C6 - C7 - C8 - N1	168 33 (8)
C_{4} C_{5} C_{6} C_{1}	0.48(16)		100.55 (0)
CT-CJ-C0-C1	0.40 (10)		
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,	9)		

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C8—H8A····O1 ⁱ	0.97	2.40	3.2404 (19)	145

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2.