# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 2-((E)-{[4-(Hydroxymethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenol

#### Shaaban K. Mohamed,<sup>a</sup> Antar A. Abdelhamid,<sup>a</sup> Mehmet Akkurt,<sup>b\*</sup> Phillip E. Fanwick<sup>c</sup> and A. M. Maharramov<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Chemistry and Environmental Division, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, England, <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Ercives University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey, <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, IN 47907, USA, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Correspondence e-mail: akkurt@erciyes.edu.tr

Received 25 April 2012; accepted 30 April 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 150 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.037; wR factor = 0.107; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.0.

The title compound, C14H13NO2, adopts the enol-imine tautomeric form, with an intramolecular O-H···N hydrogen bond which generates an S(6) ring motif. The dihedral angle between the aromatic rings is  $7.85 (7)^{\circ}$ . The crystal structure is stabilized by  $O-H \cdots O$ ,  $O-H \cdots N$  and  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds, forming a two-dimensional array that stacks along the a axis. In addition, a  $C-H\cdots\pi$  interaction contributes to the stabilization of the crystal packing.

#### **Related literature**

For background to Schiff base compounds, see: Elena et al. (2000); Mohamed et al. (2006); Rajavel et al. (2008); Uğraş et al. (2006); Wadher et al. (2009). For similar structures, see: Deveci et al. (2008); Karadayı et al. (2003); Koşar et al. (2010); Ünver et al. (2002). For the graph-set analysis of hydrogen bonding, see: Bernstein et al. (1995).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data

C14H13NO2  $M_r = 227.25$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 19.8172 (14) Åb = 4.7217(1) Å c = 12.3106(2) Å  $\beta = 104.005 \ (7)^{\circ}$ 

Data collection

Rigaku RAPID II diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku/MSC,

V = 1117.67 (9) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4Cu Ka radiation  $\mu = 0.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 K $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \; \text{mm}$ 

2001)  $T_{\min} = 0.838, T_{\max} = 0.944$ 10711 measured reflections

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.107$	independent and constrained
S = 1.14	refinement
1958 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
163 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.030$ 

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg1 is the centroid of the C2-C7 benzene ring.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1\cdots O1^{i}$	0.94 (3)	1.79 (2)	2.7235 (14)	172 (2)
$O2-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot N1$	0.93 (2)	1.74 (2)	2.5990 (15)	151.7 (19)
C7−H7···O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.57	3.4288 (16)	150
C8−H8···O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.59	3.4492 (16)	151
$C1 - H1B \cdot \cdot \cdot Cg1^{iv}$	0.99	2.56	3.5050 (15)	160

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii) x,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) x,  $-y + \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv) x, y - 1, z

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku/MSC, 2001); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and PLATON (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999) and PLATON.

The authors are grateful to the Higher Education Ministry of Egypt for financial support of this project. Manchester Metropolitan University and Purdue University are also acknowledged for the data collection and support of this study.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TK5090).

#### References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555-1573.
- Deveci, Ö., Işık, Ş., Erşahin, F. & Ağar, E. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 0539.
- Elena, E.-F., Torres, L., Antonia, M., Mendialoey, M. A. & Sevela, M. T. (2000). Polyhedron, 19, 441-451.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Karadayı, N., Gözüyeşil, S., Güzel, B., Kazak, Canan & Büyükgüngör, O. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, 0851-0853.
- Koşar, B., Albayrak, C., Odabaşoğlu, M. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2010). Turk. J. Chem. 34, 481-487.
- Mohamed, G. G., Omar, M. M. & Hindy, A. M. (2006). Turk. J. Chem. 30, 361-382
- Rajavel, P., Senthil, M. S. & Anitha, C. (2008). E-Journal Chem. 5, 620-626.
- Rigaku/MSC (2001). CrystalClear. Rigaku/MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Uğraş, H. I., Başaran, I., Kılıç, T. & Çakır, U. (2006). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 43, 1679-1684.
- Ünver, H., Kendi, E., Güven, K. & Durlu, T. (2002). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 57, 685-690.
- Wadher, S. J., Puranik, M. P., Karande, N. A. & Yeole, P. G. (2009). Int. J. Pharm. Tech. Res. 1. 22-33.



# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o1618 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812019368]

# 2-((E)-{[4-(Hydroxymethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenol

# Shaaban K. Mohamed, Antar A. Abdelhamid, Mehmet Akkurt, Phillip E. Fanwick and A. M. Maharramov

# S1. Comment

Schiff base compounds are important class of materials due to their flexibility, structural similarities with natural biological substances and also due to presence of imine (–N=CH–) which relates to the mechanism of transformation and racemisation reactions in biological system (Rajavel *et al.*, 2008). Schiff bases-bimolecular condensation products of amnio alcohols with aldehydes represent valuable intermediates in organic synthesis with various applications (Uğraş *et al.*, 2006). Schiff bases resulted from aromatic aldehydes *ortho*-substituted with a hydroxyl group initially aroused interest due to the several donor atoms in their structures which give them an advantage to form a water soluble transition metal complexes (Wadher *et al.*, 2009). This advantage raises potential applications in water treatment (Elena *et al.*, 2000). They could also act as valuable ligands whose biological activity has been shown to increase on complexation (Mohamed *et al.*, 2006).

As seen in Fig. 1, the title compound shows the enol-imine tautomeric form, which has an intramolecular O— H···N hydrogen bond forming an S(6) ring motif (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). The C14—O2 single bond [1.3525 (17) Å] and the C8=N1 double bond [1.2829 (17) Å] verify the enol-imine form. These distances and the values of the other geometric parameters are in the normal range and are comparable with those of other similar compounds reported previously (Koşar, *et al.*, 2010; Deveci *et al.*, 2008; Ünver *et al.*, 2002; Karadayı *et al.*, 2003). The N1—C8—C9—C14 torsion angle is 1.8 (2)°. Therefore, the N1/C8/C9/C14/O2/H2 S(6) ring is essentially coplanar with the C9–C14 benzene ring to which it is bonded.

In the crystal, molecules are linked by O—H···O and weak C—H···O hydrogen bonds, forming a two dimensional array that stacks along the *a* axis Fig. 2 and Table 1. The crystal packing is further stabilized by C—H··· $\pi$  interactions, Table 1.

# **S2. Experimental**

The title compound was synthesized as a secondary product from a three component reaction of cyclohexane-1,3-dione (1 mmol), (4-aminophenyl)methanol (1 mmol), and salicylaldehde (1 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed in ethanol at 351 K for four hours then left at room temperature for two days. The resulting solid product was filtered of, dried and recrystallized from ethanol. (43% yield, *M*.pt: 403 K). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown in a diluted ethanol solution at room temperature by the slow evaporation method.

# S3. Refinement

The H atoms of the hydroxyl groups were located from a difference Fourier map and refined freely [O1-H1 = 0.94 (3) Å]and O2-H2 = 0.93 (2) Å]. The hydrogen atoms at C were located geometrically and refined using a riding model with C -H = 0.95 Å for aromatic and 0.99 Å for methylene, and with  $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . Sixteen poorly fitted reflections (-3 2 10), (-11 0 10), (1 0 0), (-5 1 13), (-7 0 14), (-9 0 14), (-13 1 12), (-12 0 12), (-3 1 14), (-14 1 12), (-8 0 14), (-16 1 12), (-10 5 2), (-4 0 14), (17 1 6), and (12 0 10) were omitted from the refinement.



## Figure 1

A view of the title compound with the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level.



### Figure 2

The crystal packing and hydrogen bonding of (I), viewed along the b axis. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity.

## 2-((*E*)-{[4-(Hydroxymethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenol

Crystal data	
$C_{14}H_{13}NO_2$	c = 12.3106 (2) Å
$M_r = 227.25$	$\beta = 104.005 \ (7)^{\circ}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	$V = 1117.67 (9) Å^3$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Z = 4
a = 19.8172 (14)  Å	F(000) = 480
b = 4.7217 (1)  Å	$D_{\rm x} = 1.351 {\rm ~Mg~m^{-3}}$

Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å Cell parameters from 11657 reflections  $\theta = 2-66^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

#### Data collection

Rigaku RAPID II
diffractometer
Confocal optics monochromator
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrystalClear; Rigaku/MSC, 2001)
$T_{\min} = 0.838, \ T_{\max} = 0.944$
10711 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Kejinemeni	
Refinement on F <sup>2</sup> Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
$wR(F^2) = 0.107$	and constrained refinement
S = 1.14	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0585P)^2 + 0.170P]$
1958 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
163 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
0 restraints	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.23 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
direct methods	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	2008), FC <sup>*</sup> =KFC[1+0.001XFC <sup>2</sup> $\Lambda^3$ /SIN(2 $\Theta$ )] <sup>-1/4</sup>
map	Extinction coefficient: 0.0077 (8)

T = 150 K

Plate, yellow

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.030$ 

 $h = -23 \rightarrow 23$  $k = -5 \rightarrow 5$  $l = -11 \rightarrow 14$ 

 $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 66.6^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 4.6^\circ$ 

1958 independent reflections 1641 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Special details

**Geometry**. Bond distances, angles *etc*. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

**Refinement**. Refinement on  $F^2$  for ALL reflections except those flagged by the user for potential systematic errors. Weighted *R*-factors *wR* and all goodnesses of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The observed criterion of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *-R*-factor-obs *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.46733 (5)	0.1618 (2)	0.25819 (8)	0.0330 (3)	
02	0.19567 (6)	1.2380 (2)	0.56950 (8)	0.0408 (4)	
N1	0.24780 (5)	0.9636 (2)	0.42590 (9)	0.0258 (3)	
C1	0.44786 (7)	0.1429 (3)	0.36158 (11)	0.0285 (4)	
C2	0.39457 (6)	0.3581 (3)	0.37420 (11)	0.0252 (4)	
C3	0.34633 (7)	0.4685 (3)	0.28219 (11)	0.0278 (4)	
C4	0.29742 (7)	0.6676 (3)	0.29556 (11)	0.0279 (4)	
C5	0.29508 (6)	0.7592 (3)	0.40265 (11)	0.0245 (4)	
C6	0.34194 (7)	0.6431 (3)	0.49448 (11)	0.0279 (4)	
C7	0.39100 (7)	0.4468 (3)	0.48021 (11)	0.0287 (4)	
C8	0.20820 (6)	1.1083 (3)	0.34759 (11)	0.0257 (4)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

C9	0.15921 (6)	1.3145 (3)	0.37087 (11)	0.0259 (4)
C10	0.11604 (6)	1.4634 (3)	0.28242 (11)	0.0296 (4)
C11	0.06849 (7)	1.6600 (3)	0.30125 (13)	0.0340 (4)
C12	0.06377 (7)	1.7098 (3)	0.41032 (13)	0.0371 (5)
C13	0.10585 (7)	1.5687 (3)	0.49924 (12)	0.0375 (5)
C14	0.15422 (7)	1.3713 (3)	0.48079 (11)	0.0299 (4)
H1	0.4926 (14)	0.330 (5)	0.259 (2)	0.099 (8)*
H1A	0.48990	0.16730	0.42350	0.0340*
H1B	0.42910	-0.04890	0.36840	0.0340*
H2	0.2230 (10)	1.115 (5)	0.5393 (17)	0.071 (6)*
H3	0.34690	0.40630	0.20900	0.0330*
H4	0.26540	0.74190	0.23170	0.0330*
H6	0.34030	0.69910	0.56790	0.0340*
H7	0.42280	0.37140	0.54410	0.0340*
H8	0.21100	1.07970	0.27240	0.0310*
H10	0.11950	1.42860	0.20800	0.0360*
H11	0.03950	1.75950	0.24050	0.0410*
H12	0.03090	1.84360	0.42380	0.0440*
H13	0.10190	1.60590	0.57330	0.0450*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
01	0.0382 (6)	0.0280 (5)	0.0374 (6)	0.0013 (4)	0.0182 (4)	0.0000 (4)
O2	0.0522 (7)	0.0451 (7)	0.0276 (6)	0.0127 (5)	0.0147 (5)	0.0002 (5)
N1	0.0276 (6)	0.0231 (6)	0.0285 (6)	-0.0012 (4)	0.0104 (5)	-0.0012 (4)
C1	0.0317 (7)	0.0239 (7)	0.0317 (7)	-0.0005 (5)	0.0113 (6)	0.0021 (5)
C2	0.0270 (7)	0.0198 (6)	0.0305 (7)	-0.0041 (5)	0.0102 (6)	0.0012 (5)
C3	0.0331 (7)	0.0266 (7)	0.0255 (7)	-0.0008 (5)	0.0105 (6)	-0.0023 (5)
C4	0.0301 (7)	0.0283 (7)	0.0248 (7)	0.0021 (5)	0.0059 (6)	0.0010 (5)
C5	0.0272 (7)	0.0209 (6)	0.0275 (7)	-0.0034 (5)	0.0110 (6)	-0.0013 (5)
C6	0.0354 (7)	0.0264 (7)	0.0236 (7)	-0.0019 (5)	0.0102 (6)	-0.0013 (5)
C7	0.0319 (7)	0.0256 (7)	0.0277 (7)	-0.0006 (6)	0.0054 (6)	0.0025 (5)
C8	0.0289 (7)	0.0235 (7)	0.0263 (7)	-0.0042 (5)	0.0101 (6)	-0.0025 (5)
C9	0.0265 (7)	0.0212 (6)	0.0323 (7)	-0.0041 (5)	0.0116 (6)	-0.0025 (5)
C10	0.0303 (7)	0.0279 (7)	0.0315 (7)	-0.0024 (5)	0.0090 (6)	-0.0015 (6)
C11	0.0291 (7)	0.0275 (7)	0.0451 (9)	0.0001 (6)	0.0085 (6)	0.0008 (6)
C12	0.0326 (8)	0.0302 (8)	0.0528 (10)	0.0022 (6)	0.0189 (7)	-0.0049 (7)
C13	0.0445 (8)	0.0356 (8)	0.0383 (8)	0.0005 (7)	0.0213 (7)	-0.0063 (7)
C14	0.0329 (7)	0.0283 (7)	0.0311 (7)	-0.0018 (5)	0.0130 (6)	-0.0010 (6)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.4193 (17)	C10—C11	1.382 (2)	
O2—C14	1.3525 (17)	C11—C12	1.388 (2)	
01—H1	0.94 (3)	C12—C13	1.377 (2)	
O2—H2	0.93 (2)	C13—C14	1.395 (2)	
N1—C5	1.4216 (17)	C1—H1A	0.9900	

N1-C8	1 2829 (17)	C1—H1B	0 9900
C1-C2	1 5004 (19)	C3—H3	0.9500
$C_{2}^{-}C_{7}^{7}$	1 3886 (19)	C4—H4	0.9500
$C_2 - C_3$	1 3945 (19)	С6—Н6	0.9500
$C_2 = C_3$	1 388 (2)	C7H7	0.9500
$C_{3}$	1.308(2)	$C_{1} = H_{2}$	0.9500
C4 - C3	1.3969(19) 1.3002(10)		0.9500
$C_{5}$	1.3902(19) 1.285(2)	C11_H11	0.9500
	1.383(2)		0.9300
$C_8 = C_9$	1.4516 (19)	C12—H12	0.9500
C9—C14	1.4063 (19)	С13—Н13	0.9500
09-010	1.4003 (19)		
C1—O1—H1	107.7 (15)	O2—C14—C13	119.12 (12)
C14—O2—H2	105.4 (13)	01—C1—H1A	109.00
C5—N1—C8	121.56 (11)	01—C1—H1B	109.00
01	113.65 (11)	C2-C1-H1A	109.00
C1 - C2 - C7	119.92 (12)	$C_2 - C_1 - H_1B$	109.00
$C_{3}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{7}$	118.02 (12)	HIA-CI-HIB	109.00
$C_1 - C_2 - C_3$	122 03 (12)	C2_C3_H3	119.00
$C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{4}$	122.03(12) 121.19(12)	$C_2 = C_3 = H_3$	119.00
$C_{2}^{-}$ $C_{3}^{-}$ $C_{4}^{-}$ $C_{5}^{-}$	121.19(12) 120.29(12)	$C_3 - C_4 - H_4$	120.00
$V_{1} = C_{2} = C_{3}$	120.29(12) 124.00(12)	$C_5  C_4  H_4$	120.00
$C_{4}$ $C_{5}$ $C_{6}$	124.99(12) 118.46(12)	$C_{5}$ $C_{6}$ $H_{6}$	120.00
C4 - C5 - C0	116.40(12) 116.56(12)	$C_{3}$	120.00
N1 - C3 - C0	110.30(12) 120.80(12)	$C^{-}C^{-}H^{0}$	120.00
$C_{3}$	120.80(12) 121.20(12)	$C_2 = C_1 = H_1$	119.00
$C_2 - C_1 - C_6$	121.20 (12)	$C_{0}$ $H_{1}$	119.00
NI-C8-C9	121.70 (12)	NI—C8—H8	119.00
C10-C9-C14	118.72 (12)	C9—C8—H8	119.00
C8—C9—C10	119.68 (12)	С9—С10—Н10	119.00
C8—C9—C14	121.60 (12)	C11—C10—H10	119.00
C9—C10—C11	121.33 (13)	C10—C11—H11	120.00
C10—C11—C12	118.97 (13)	C12—C11—H11	121.00
C11—C12—C13	121.15 (13)	C11—C12—H12	119.00
C12—C13—C14	120.12 (13)	C13—C12—H12	119.00
C9—C14—C13	119.70 (13)	C12—C13—H13	120.00
O2—C14—C9	121.19 (12)	C14—C13—H13	120.00
C5 N1 C8 C0	170 17 (12)	C5 C6 C7 C2	-0.6(2)
$C_{3} = N_{1} = C_{5} = C_{4}$	-88(2)	$C_{3} = C_{0} = C_{1} = C_{2}$	$-178\ 71\ (12)$
$C_{8} = N_{1} = C_{5} = C_{4}$	171 40 (12)	N1 - C8 - C9 - C10	1/8.71(12)
$C_{8} = N_{1} = C_{2} = C_{0}$	-152 40 (12)	11 - 6 - 6 - 614	1.0(2) 170.61(12)
01 - 01 - 02 - 07	-133.49(12)	$C_{0} = C_{0} = C_{10} = C_{11}$	1/9.01(13)
01 - 01 - 02 - 03	20.42 (18)	$C_{14} = C_{24} = C_{14} = C_{14}$	-0.9(2)
$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{1} = C_{1}$	-1.2(2)	0 - 0 - 014 - 02	0.9 (2)
-0.02 - 0.04	-1/9.98 (14)	13 - 14 - 13	-1/9.25 (13)
C1 - C2 - C7 - C6	-1/9.38(13)	C10 - C9 - C14 - O2	-178.60 (12)
$C^{\prime}$ – $C^{2}$ – $C^{3}$ – $C^{4}$	1.9 (2)	C10—C9—C14—C13	1.3 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.8 (2)	C9—C10—C11—C12	0.0 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.0(2)	C10-C11-C12-C13	0.5 (2)

C3—C4—C5—N1	179.32 (13)	C11—C12—C13—C14	-0.1 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C7	1.7 (2)	C12—C13—C14—O2	179.11 (13)
N1—C5—C6—C7	-178.61 (12)	C12—C13—C14—C9	-0.8 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg1 is the centroid of the C2–C7 benzene ring.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O1—H1…O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.94 (3)	1.79 (2)	2.7235 (14)	172 (2)
O2—H2…N1	0.93 (2)	1.74 (2)	2.5990 (15)	151.7 (19)
C7—H7···O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.57	3.4288 (16)	150
C8—H8····O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.59	3.4492 (16)	151
C1—H1 $B$ ···Cg1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.99	2.56	3.5050 (15)	160

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (ii) x, -y+1/2, z+1/2; (iii) x, -y+5/2, z-1/2; (iv) x, y-1, z.