

## Lithium bis(2-methyllactato)borate monohydrate

Joshua L. Allen,<sup>a</sup> Elie Paillard,<sup>a</sup> Paul D. Boyle<sup>b</sup> and Wesley A. Henderson<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Ionic Liquids and Electrolytes for Energy Technologies (ILEET) Laboratory, Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, North Carolina State University, 911 Partners Way, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA, and <sup>b</sup>X-ray Structural Facility, Dept. of Chemistry, North Carolina State University, 2620 Yarbrough Drive, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Correspondence e-mail: whender@ncsu.edu


Received 13 April 2012; accepted 19 April 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 110\text{ K}$ ; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.001\text{ \AA}$ ;  $R$  factor = 0.036;  $wR$  factor = 0.098; data-to-parameter ratio = 27.0.

The title compound [systematic name: poly[[aqualithium]- $\mu$ -3,3,8,8-tetramethyl-1,4,6,9-tetraoxa-5 $\lambda^4$ -borataspido[4.4]-nonane-2,7-dione]],  $[\text{Li}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{BO}_6)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_n$  (LiBMLB), forms a 12-membered macrocycle, which lies across a crystallographic inversion center. The lithium cations are pseudo-tetrahedrally coordinated by three methyl-lactate ligands and a water molecule. The asymmetric units couple across crystallographic inversion centers, forming the 12-membered macrocycles. These macrocycles, in turn, cross-link through the  $\text{Li}^+$  cations, forming an infinite polymeric structure in two dimensions parallel to (101).

### Related literature

For the synthesis and purification of HBMLB [BMLB is bis(2-methyllactato)borate], see: Lamande *et al.* (1987). For the synthesis and properties of LiBMLB and BMLB<sup>-</sup>-based ionic liquids, see: Xu *et al.* (2003). For crystallographic data of similar lithium salts, see: Zavalij *et al.* (2004); Allen *et al.* (2011).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$[\text{Li}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{BO}_6)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$	$V = 2290.65 (13)\text{ \AA}^3$
$M_r = 239.94$	$Z = 8$
Orthorhombic, $Pbca$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 12.7034 (4)\text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.12\text{ mm}^{-1}$
$b = 11.3939 (4)\text{ \AA}$	$T = 110\text{ K}$
$c = 15.8258 (5)\text{ \AA}$	$0.34 \times 0.23 \times 0.18\text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Bruker–Nonius Kappa X8 APEXII diffractometer	97648 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2007)	5663 independent reflections
$(SADABS$ ; Bruker, 2007)	4436 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$T_{\min} = 0.961$ , $T_{\max} = 0.979$	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.037$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$	210 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.098$	All H-atom parameters refined
$S = 1.05$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.51\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
5663 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.26\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

**Table 1**  
Selected bond lengths (Å).

$\text{Li1} - \text{O1}$	1.9725 (13)	$\text{Li1} - \text{O3}^{\text{i}}$	2.0059 (13)
$\text{Li1} - \text{O1W}$	1.9487 (13)	$\text{Li1} - \text{O6}^{\text{ii}}$	1.9155 (13)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1$ ,  $-y + 2$ ,  $-z + 1$ .

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *XL* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *cif2tables.py* (Boyle, 2008).

This work was fully supported by the US DOE BATT Program (contract DE-AC02-05-CH11231). The authors wish to thank the Department of Chemistry of North Carolina State University and the State of North Carolina for funding the purchase of the APEXII diffractometer. JLA would like to thank the SMART Scholarship Program and the American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE) for the award of a SMART Graduate Research Fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: VN2036).

### References

- Allen, J. L., Han, S.-D., Boyle, P. D. & Henderson, W. A. (2011). *J. Power Sources*, **196**, 9737–9742.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **27**, 435.
- Boyle, P.D. (2008). <http://www.xray.ncsu.edu/PyCIFUtils/>
- Bruker (2007). *SADABS*, *APEX2* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Lamande, L., Boyer, D. & Munoz, A. (1987). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **329**, 1–29.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Xu, W., Wang, L.-M., Nieman, R. A. & Angell, C. A. (2003). *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **107**, 11749–11749.
- Zavalij, P. Y., Yang, S. & Whittingham, M. S. (2004). *Acta Cryst. B* **60**, 716–724.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2012). E68, m749 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812017540]

## Lithium bis(2-methyllactato)borate monohydrate

**Joshua L. Allen, Elie Paillard, Paul D. Boyle and Wesley A. Henderson**

### S1. Comment

Various lithium salts for lithium-ion batteries have been proposed in recent years either as alternatives to the commonly used lithium hexafluorophosphate ( $\text{LiPF}_6$ ) or as electrolyte additives. Of these salts, lithium bis(oxalato)borate [LiBOB] remains one of the most promising (Zavalij *et al.*). The title compound, lithium bis(2-methyllactato)borate [LiBMLB] is based on this structure, differing only by replacing the oxygen of a carbonyl group of each ligand with two methyl groups. Although this salt has previously been synthesized (Lamande *et al.*, Xu *et al.*), the crystal structure and ion coordination have not yet been reported. The structure of the monohydrate solvate of this salt is reported in the present manuscript.


The  $\text{Li}^+$  cation coordination in the title compound is different from what has been previously reported for similar cyclic structures (Allen *et al.*, Zavalij *et al.*). For salts such as LiBOB, the  $\text{Li}^+$  cations are exclusively coordinated by the anion carbonyl oxygen atoms. In the present structure, however, the anion ring pseudo-ether oxygen also participates in the  $\text{Li}^+$  cation coordination (Fig. 1). Thus, each  $\text{Li}^+$  cation is coordinated by two carbonyl oxygen atoms from two BMLB<sup>-</sup> anions, one ring oxygen from a third BMLB<sup>-</sup> anion and an oxygen from a single water molecule. The asymmetric unit couples across crystallographic inversion centers to form 12-membered macrocycles (Fig. 2). These macrocycles are cross-linked through the  $\text{Li}^+$  cation coordination, forming the infinite polymeric crystal structure in two dimensions parallel to (101) (Fig. 3).

### S2. Experimental


Lithium bis(2-methyllactato)borate was synthesized by dissolving 2-methyllactic acid, boric acid and lithium carbonate (mole ratio 4:2:1) in water. The aqueous solution was allowed to slowly evaporate, forming colorless crystals suitable for X-ray analysis.

### S3. Refinement


The hydrogen atom positional and isotropic displacement parameters were included in the refinement.

**Figure 1**

Asymmetric unit of LiBMLB-H<sub>2</sub>O showing naming and numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are at 50% probability (Li-purple, O-red, B-tan, C-grey).

**Figure 2**

A 12-membered macrocycle formed from two LiBMLB-H<sub>2</sub>O units. Thermal ellipsoids are at 50% probability (Li-purple, O-red, B-tan, C-grey).

**Figure 3**

A portion of the unit cell of  $[LiBMLB \cdot H_2O]_n$ . Thermal ellipsoids are at 50% probability (Li-purple, O-red, B-tan, C-grey).

### **poly[[aqualithium(I)]- $\mu$ -3,3,8,8-tetramethyl-1,4,6,9-tetraoxa-5 $\lambda^4$ - borataspido[4.4]nonane-2,7-dione]**

#### *Crystal data*

$[Li(C_8H_{12}BO_6)(H_2O)]$

$M_r = 239.94$

Orthorhombic,  $Pbca$

Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab

$a = 12.7034$  (4) Å

$b = 11.3939$  (4) Å

$c = 15.8258$  (5) Å

$V = 2290.65$  (13) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 8$

$F(000) = 1008$

$D_x = 1.392$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 9969 reflections

$\theta = 2.7\text{--}35.0^\circ$

$\mu = 0.12$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 110$  K

Prism, colourless

0.34 × 0.23 × 0.18 mm

*Data collection*

Bruker-Nonius Kappa X8 APEXII  
diffractometer  
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube  
Graphite monochromator  
 $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans  
Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2007)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.961$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.979$

97648 measured reflections  
5663 independent reflections  
4436 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.037$   
 $\theta_{\max} = 37.4^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.6^\circ$   
 $h = -21 \rightarrow 21$   
 $k = -19 \rightarrow 19$   
 $l = -24 \rightarrow 26$

*Refinement*

Refinement on  $F^2$   
Least-squares matrix: full  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.098$   
 $S = 1.05$   
5663 reflections  
210 parameters  
0 restraints  
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
map  
Hydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites  
All H-atom parameters refined  
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0519P)^2 + 0.3146P]$   
where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.51 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Li1	0.50924 (9)	0.90999 (11)	0.30769 (8)	0.0141 (2)
O1	0.36589 (3)	0.97594 (4)	0.31383 (3)	0.01110 (9)
O2	0.21632 (3)	1.07434 (4)	0.36227 (3)	0.01158 (9)
O3	0.09643 (4)	1.00827 (4)	0.27079 (3)	0.01399 (9)
O4	0.38947 (4)	1.16989 (4)	0.37533 (3)	0.01216 (9)
O5	0.34797 (4)	1.01520 (4)	0.46522 (3)	0.01186 (9)
O6	0.42797 (4)	1.07518 (5)	0.58279 (3)	0.01560 (10)
B1	0.33286 (5)	1.05967 (6)	0.37751 (4)	0.01016 (11)
C1	0.28304 (4)	0.95743 (5)	0.25349 (4)	0.00955 (10)
C2	0.18775 (5)	1.01423 (5)	0.29565 (4)	0.01021 (10)
C3	0.30791 (5)	1.02291 (6)	0.17184 (4)	0.01333 (11)
H3A	0.3167 (9)	1.1064 (10)	0.1823 (7)	0.024 (3)*
H3B	0.2509 (10)	1.0133 (10)	0.1323 (7)	0.023 (3)*
H3C	0.3744 (9)	0.9899 (9)	0.1465 (7)	0.020 (2)*
C4	0.26552 (5)	0.82752 (5)	0.23795 (4)	0.01314 (11)
H4A	0.3291 (9)	0.7933 (10)	0.2120 (7)	0.025 (3)*

H4B	0.2057 (9)	0.8176 (9)	0.1987 (7)	0.020 (2)*
H4C	0.2505 (8)	0.7861 (9)	0.2889 (7)	0.019 (2)*
C5	0.42313 (5)	1.20239 (5)	0.45830 (4)	0.01192 (11)
C6	0.40175 (5)	1.09179 (5)	0.50964 (4)	0.01110 (11)
C7	0.35561 (7)	1.30226 (7)	0.49223 (5)	0.02424 (16)
H7A	0.3687 (10)	1.3751 (11)	0.4564 (8)	0.033 (3)*
H7B	0.3770 (11)	1.3184 (12)	0.5514 (9)	0.041 (3)*
H7C	0.2815 (11)	1.2823 (12)	0.4919 (8)	0.036 (3)*
C8	0.53960 (6)	1.23386 (7)	0.45795 (5)	0.01929 (13)
H8A	0.5830 (10)	1.1701 (11)	0.4330 (8)	0.032 (3)*
H8B	0.5636 (9)	1.2482 (10)	0.5166 (8)	0.030 (3)*
H8C	0.5506 (9)	1.3071 (10)	0.4247 (7)	0.026 (3)*
O1W	0.51220 (4)	0.74779 (4)	0.26871 (3)	0.01548 (10)
H1WA	0.5426 (12)	0.7243 (12)	0.2236 (10)	0.046 (4)*
H1WB	0.4774 (11)	0.6878 (12)	0.2863 (9)	0.041 (3)*

Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Li1	0.0132 (5)	0.0162 (5)	0.0128 (5)	0.0010 (4)	-0.0010 (4)	0.0001 (4)
O1	0.00863 (17)	0.0148 (2)	0.00990 (19)	0.00106 (14)	-0.00213 (14)	-0.00282 (15)
O2	0.00987 (18)	0.01454 (19)	0.0103 (2)	0.00099 (14)	-0.00098 (14)	-0.00277 (15)
O3	0.00931 (18)	0.0180 (2)	0.0147 (2)	0.00129 (15)	-0.00238 (16)	-0.00252 (17)
O4	0.0154 (2)	0.01258 (19)	0.00853 (18)	-0.00325 (15)	-0.00187 (15)	0.00066 (15)
O5	0.01270 (19)	0.01361 (19)	0.00925 (19)	-0.00208 (15)	-0.00121 (15)	0.00105 (15)
O6	0.0148 (2)	0.0233 (2)	0.0087 (2)	0.00015 (17)	-0.00136 (16)	0.00053 (17)
B1	0.0099 (2)	0.0121 (3)	0.0085 (3)	-0.0002 (2)	-0.0005 (2)	-0.0001 (2)
C1	0.0085 (2)	0.0109 (2)	0.0092 (2)	-0.00022 (17)	-0.00104 (18)	-0.00056 (19)
C2	0.0104 (2)	0.0109 (2)	0.0094 (2)	0.00061 (18)	-0.00024 (18)	0.00053 (18)
C3	0.0150 (3)	0.0149 (3)	0.0101 (2)	-0.0014 (2)	0.0006 (2)	0.0015 (2)
C4	0.0122 (2)	0.0107 (2)	0.0166 (3)	-0.00059 (18)	-0.0002 (2)	-0.0010 (2)
C5	0.0137 (2)	0.0123 (2)	0.0098 (2)	-0.00051 (19)	-0.00179 (19)	-0.00122 (19)
C6	0.0093 (2)	0.0145 (2)	0.0095 (2)	0.00077 (18)	0.00041 (18)	-0.0008 (2)
C7	0.0333 (4)	0.0180 (3)	0.0214 (3)	0.0094 (3)	0.0012 (3)	-0.0049 (3)
C8	0.0167 (3)	0.0213 (3)	0.0198 (3)	-0.0076 (2)	-0.0040 (2)	0.0027 (3)
O1W	0.0147 (2)	0.0146 (2)	0.0172 (2)	-0.00084 (16)	0.00323 (17)	-0.00317 (17)

Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $\text{^\circ}$ )

Li1—O1	1.9725 (13)	C1—C2	1.5263 (8)
Li1—O1W	1.9487 (13)	C3—H3A	0.972 (11)
Li1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.0059 (13)	C3—H3B	0.963 (12)
Li1—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	1.9155 (13)	C3—H3C	1.007 (11)
O1—C1	1.4366 (7)	C4—H4A	0.987 (12)
O1—B1	1.4498 (8)	C4—H4B	0.988 (11)
O2—C2	1.3086 (7)	C4—H4C	0.953 (11)
O2—B1	1.5094 (8)	C5—C8	1.5223 (9)
O3—C2	1.2268 (7)	C5—C7	1.5228 (10)

O3—Li1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.0059 (13)	C5—C6	1.5238 (9)
O4—C5	1.4297 (8)	C7—H7A	1.019 (13)
O4—B1	1.4476 (8)	C7—H7B	0.993 (14)
O5—C6	1.3125 (8)	C7—H7C	0.968 (13)
O5—B1	1.4901 (8)	C8—H8A	0.994 (13)
O6—C6	1.2193 (8)	C8—H8B	0.990 (12)
O6—Li1 <sup>ii</sup>	1.9155 (13)	C8—H8C	0.997 (12)
C1—C4	1.5169 (8)	O1W—H1WA	0.854 (16)
C1—C3	1.5251 (9)	O1W—H1WB	0.861 (14)
O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Li1—O1W	111.23 (6)	H3A—C3—H3C	109.7 (9)
O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Li1—O1	107.84 (6)	H3B—C3—H3C	109.3 (10)
O1W—Li1—O1	113.25 (6)	C1—C4—H4A	109.4 (7)
O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Li1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	106.33 (6)	C1—C4—H4B	109.1 (6)
O1W—Li1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	108.83 (6)	H4A—C4—H4B	108.8 (9)
O1—Li1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	109.12 (6)	C1—C4—H4C	112.0 (6)
C1—O1—B1	110.28 (5)	H4A—C4—H4C	108.7 (9)
C1—O1—Li1	125.99 (5)	H4B—C4—H4C	108.7 (9)
B1—O1—Li1	123.51 (5)	O4—C5—C8	110.39 (5)
C2—O2—B1	110.05 (5)	O4—C5—C7	110.42 (6)
C2—O3—Li1 <sup>iii</sup>	138.72 (6)	C8—C5—C7	111.88 (6)
C5—O4—B1	110.58 (5)	O4—C5—C6	102.84 (5)
C6—O5—B1	109.87 (5)	C8—C5—C6	111.71 (5)
C6—O6—Li1 <sup>ii</sup>	163.55 (6)	C7—C5—C6	109.24 (6)
O4—B1—O1	114.25 (5)	O6—C6—O5	123.19 (6)
O4—B1—O5	104.66 (5)	O6—C6—C5	125.87 (6)
O1—B1—O5	112.74 (5)	O5—C6—C5	110.92 (5)
O4—B1—O2	112.80 (5)	C5—C7—H7A	108.7 (7)
O1—B1—O2	104.22 (5)	C5—C7—H7B	108.4 (8)
O5—B1—O2	108.23 (5)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.3 (11)
O1—C1—C4	111.01 (5)	C5—C7—H7C	111.7 (8)
O1—C1—C3	109.85 (5)	H7A—C7—H7C	110.3 (11)
C4—C1—C3	111.74 (5)	H7B—C7—H7C	108.4 (11)
O1—C1—C2	103.20 (5)	C5—C8—H8A	111.6 (7)
C4—C1—C2	111.60 (5)	C5—C8—H8B	109.5 (7)
C3—C1—C2	109.10 (5)	H8A—C8—H8B	108.8 (10)
O3—C2—O2	123.33 (6)	C5—C8—H8C	109.6 (7)
O3—C2—C1	125.90 (6)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.0 (10)
O2—C2—C1	110.74 (5)	H8B—C8—H8C	108.3 (9)
C1—C3—H3A	111.0 (7)	Li1—O1W—H1WA	124.8 (9)
C1—C3—H3B	109.8 (7)	Li1—O1W—H1WB	129.9 (9)
H3A—C3—H3B	107.9 (9)	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	104.7 (13)
C1—C3—H3C	109.2 (6)	 	
O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Li1—O1—C1	-163.76 (5)	B1—O1—C1—C2	-12.51 (6)
O1W—Li1—O1—C1	-40.24 (9)	Li1—O1—C1—C2	172.64 (6)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Li1—O1—C1	81.15 (8)	Li1 <sup>iii</sup> —O3—C2—O2	-164.56 (7)
O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Li1—O1—B1	22.04 (9)	Li1 <sup>iii</sup> —O3—C2—C1	17.31 (12)

O1W—Li1—O1—B1	145.56 (6)	B1—O2—C2—O3	177.79 (6)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Li1—O1—B1	-93.05 (7)	B1—O2—C2—C1	-3.83 (7)
C5—O4—B1—O1	-132.62 (5)	O1—C1—C2—O3	-171.56 (6)
C5—O4—B1—O5	-8.84 (6)	C4—C1—C2—O3	-52.29 (8)
C5—O4—B1—O2	108.60 (6)	C3—C1—C2—O3	71.68 (8)
C1—O1—B1—O4	-112.92 (6)	O1—C1—C2—O2	10.11 (6)
Li1—O1—B1—O4	62.07 (8)	C4—C1—C2—O2	129.38 (5)
C1—O1—B1—O5	127.75 (5)	C3—C1—C2—O2	-106.65 (6)
Li1—O1—B1—O5	-57.25 (8)	B1—O4—C5—C8	130.06 (6)
C1—O1—B1—O2	10.61 (6)	B1—O4—C5—C7	-105.70 (6)
Li1—O1—B1—O2	-174.39 (5)	B1—O4—C5—C6	10.76 (6)
C6—O5—B1—O4	2.75 (6)	Li1 <sup>ii</sup> —O6—C6—O5	172.88 (18)
C6—O5—B1—O1	127.49 (5)	Li1 <sup>ii</sup> —O6—C6—C5	-8.8 (2)
C6—O5—B1—O2	-117.77 (5)	B1—O5—C6—O6	-177.52 (6)
C2—O2—B1—O4	120.53 (5)	B1—O5—C6—C5	3.98 (7)
C2—O2—B1—O1	-3.95 (6)	O4—C5—C6—O6	172.41 (6)
C2—O2—B1—O5	-124.16 (5)	C8—C5—C6—O6	54.03 (8)
B1—O1—C1—C4	-132.19 (5)	C7—C5—C6—O6	-70.29 (8)
Li1—O1—C1—C4	52.96 (8)	O4—C5—C6—O5	-9.14 (6)
B1—O1—C1—C3	103.72 (6)	C8—C5—C6—O5	-127.51 (6)
Li1—O1—C1—C3	-71.13 (7)	C7—C5—C6—O5	108.16 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x+1/2, y, -z+1/2$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$ ; (iii)  $x-1/2, y, -z+1/2$ .