

trans-Diamminedichloridobis(1*H*-imidazole- κ N³)nickel(II)

Piskala Subburaman Kannan,^a Ayyakannu Sundaram Ganeshraja,^b Kanniah Rajkumar,^b Krishnamoorthy Anbalagan^b and Arunachalatheva SubbiahPandi^{c,a*}

^aDepartment of Physics, S.M.K. Fomra Institute of Technology, Thaiyur, Chennai 603 103, India, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Pondicherry University, Pondicherry 605 014, India, and ^cDepartment of Physics, Presidency College (Autonomous), Chennai 600 005, India

Correspondence e-mail: a_sp59@yahoo.in


Received 11 June 2013; accepted 16 June 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.006$ Å; R factor = 0.045; wR factor = 0.129; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.8.

The whole molecule of the title compound, $[\text{NiCl}_2(\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{N}_2)_2(\text{NH}_3)_2]$, is generated by inversion symmetry. The Ni^{II} ion, which is located on an inversion center, has a distorted octahedral coordination environment and is surrounded by two ammine N atoms and two Cl atoms in the equatorial plane, with two N atoms of two imidazole groups occupying the axial positions. The imidazole ring makes a dihedral angle of 81.78 (18)° with the Ni/N/Cl equatorial plane. In the crystal, molecules are linked *via* N—H...Cl hydrogen bonds and C—H... π interactions, forming a three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For applications of imidazole and its derivatives, see: Huang *et al.* (2008, 2011). For the biological activity of imidazole derivatives, see: Gaonkar *et al.* (2009).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{NiCl}_2(\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{N}_2)_2(\text{NH}_3)_2]$
 $M_r = 299.82$
 Orthorhombic, *Pbca*

$a = 9.1349$ (9) Å
 $b = 7.9451$ (5) Å
 $c = 15.6121$ (13) Å

$V = 1133.09$ (16) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\mu = 2.16$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 293$ K
 $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$ mm

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis PRO*; Oxford Diffraction, 2009)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.369$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.421$
 4464 measured reflections
 1338 independent reflections
 1137 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.129$
 $S = 1.11$
 1338 reflections
 71 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.76$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.00$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg1 is the centroid of the N3/C4/N5/C6/C7 ring.

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N5—H5...Cl2 ⁱ	0.86	2.53	3.268 (3)	144
N8—H8A...Cl2 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.32	3.180 (3)	162
N8—H8B...Cl2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.37	3.210 (3)	157
C4—H4...Cg1 ^{iv}	0.93	2.95	3.772 (5)	148

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$; (iii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (iv) $-x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{3}{2}, z$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); cell refinement: *CrysAlis CCD*; data reduction: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

ASP and PSK are grateful to the Department of Chemistry, Pondicherry University, for the single-crystal XRD instrumentation facility. KA thanks the CSIR, New Delhi (Lr: No. 01 (2570)/12/EMR-II/3.4.2012) for financial support through a major research project.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2612).

References

- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 849–854.
 Gaonkar, S. L., Rai, K. M. L. & Shetty, N. S. (2009). *Med. Chem. Res.* **18**, 221–230.
 Huang, X.-F., Fu, D.-W. & Xiong, R.-G. (2008). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **8**, 1795–1797.
 Huang, Z.-J., Tang, J.-N., Luo, Z.-R., Wang, D.-Y. & Wei, H. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* **E67**, m408.
 Oxford Diffraction (2009). *CrysAlis CCD*, *CrysAlis RED* and *CrysAlis PRO*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
 Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, m410 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536813016747]

***trans*-Diamminedichloridobis(1*H*-imidazole- κ N³)nickel(II)**

Piskala Subburaman Kannan, Ayyakannu Sundaram Ganeshraja, Kanniah Rajkumar, Krishnamoorthy Anbalagan and Arunachalatheva SubbiahPandi

S1. Comment

Knowledge of the detailed coordination behaviour of imidazoles and their limitation in the possible use in complexes with specific catalytic activity is of great current importance. Because of their multiple coordination modes imidazole, namely 1,3-diazacyclopenta-2,4-diene, and its derivatives have found a wide range of applications in coordination chemistry and for the construction of novel metal–organic frameworks (Huang *et al.*, 2008; Huang *et al.*, 2011).

The chemistry of imidazole occupies an extremely important position within the family of five-membered heterocyclic compounds. Synthesis of imidazole derivatives has attracted great interest in recent years due to their broad spectrum of biological activities (Gaonkar *et al.*, 2009). Herein we report on the crystal structure of the title compound.

The molecular structure of the title compound as illustrated in Fig. 1. The nickel(II) ion is located on an inversion center and has a distorted NiN₄Cl₂ octahedral coordination environment. It is surrounded by four N atoms, two of which are in the equatorial plane with the Cl atoms, and the remaining two N atoms occupy the axial positions. The imidazole ring (N3/N5/C4/C6/C7) is planar with a maximum deviation of -0.005 (1) Å for atom C4. It makes a dihedral angle of 81.78 (18) ° with the equatorial plane of atoms Ni/Cl2/N3/Cl2a/N3a [symmetry code: (a) -x, -y, -z+1].

In the crystal, molecules are linked via N-H...Cl hydrogen bonds and C-H... π interactions forming a three-dimensional network (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

A total of 10 mL of a 0.01 M aqueous solution of NiCl₂ was slowly mixed with 20 mL of a 0.02 M ammonia solution. After 1 h, 20 mL of a 0.02 M aqueous solution of imidazole was added drop wise. The mixture was slowly evaporated at room temperature, and deep-green block-like crystals of the title complex were obtained within 5 days. The crystals were filtered, washed with water, and dried in a desiccator over P₄O₁₀.

S3. Refinement

All the H atoms were fixed geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent N or C atoms: N-H = 0.86 and 0.89 Å for NH and NH₃ H atoms, respectively, C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å; U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C-methyl) and = 1.2U_{eq}(N,C) for other H atoms.




Figure 1

View of the molecular structure of the title compound, with atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.




Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the *c* axis. Dashed lines show the N—H...Cl hydrogen bonds [see Table 1 for details]

trans-Diamminedichloridobis(1*H*-imidazole-*κ*N³)nickel(II)*Crystal data*[NiCl₂(C₃H₄N₂)₂(NH₃)₂] $M_r = 299.82$ Orthorhombic, *Pbca*

Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab

 $a = 9.1349$ (9) Å $b = 7.9451$ (5) Å $c = 15.6121$ (13) Å $V = 1133.09$ (16) Å³ $Z = 4$ $F(000) = 616$ $D_x = 1.758$ Mg m⁻³Mo *Kα* radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 1338 reflections

 $\theta = 5.2$ – 29.1° $\mu = 2.16$ mm⁻¹ $T = 293$ K

Block, green

 $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$ mm*Data collection*Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

Detector resolution: 15.9821 pixels mm⁻¹ ω and ϕ scan

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford Diffraction, 2009)

 $T_{\min} = 0.369$, $T_{\max} = 0.421$

4464 measured reflections

1338 independent reflections

1137 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$ $\theta_{\max} = 29.1^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 5.2^\circ$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -21 \rightarrow 15$ *Refinement*Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$ $wR(F^2) = 0.129$ $S = 1.11$

1338 reflections

71 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0565P)^2 + 3.9561P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.76$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.00$ e Å⁻³*Special details*

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C4	0.1725 (5)	0.0044 (5)	0.3343 (3)	0.0331 (9)
H4	0.2329	-0.0824	0.3530	0.040*
C6	0.0749 (5)	0.1968 (6)	0.2518 (3)	0.0369 (9)
H6	0.0539	0.2659	0.2053	0.044*

C7	0.0103 (4)	0.1991 (5)	0.3298 (2)	0.0288 (8)
H7	-0.0643	0.2720	0.3462	0.035*
Cl2	-0.25211 (9)	-0.00290 (10)	0.44598 (5)	0.0227 (2)
N3	0.0715 (3)	0.0774 (4)	0.38102 (17)	0.0209 (6)
N5	0.1766 (4)	0.0725 (5)	0.2555 (2)	0.0372 (8)
H5	0.2338	0.0425	0.2145	0.045*
N8	-0.0253 (3)	0.2503 (3)	0.53954 (16)	0.0128 (5)
H8A	-0.0989	0.2973	0.5109	0.015*
H8B	0.0568	0.3070	0.5292	0.015*
H8C	-0.0446	0.2530	0.5954	0.015*
Ni1	0.0000	0.0000	0.5000	0.0150 (2)

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C4	0.031 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.0275 (19)	0.0049 (16)	0.0083 (16)	0.0018 (15)
C6	0.040 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.0203 (16)	-0.0078 (19)	0.0006 (16)	0.0116 (18)
C7	0.0277 (19)	0.035 (2)	0.0233 (17)	0.0025 (15)	0.0011 (14)	0.0094 (15)
Cl2	0.0183 (4)	0.0255 (4)	0.0242 (4)	-0.0017 (3)	-0.0041 (3)	0.0038 (3)
N3	0.0209 (14)	0.0267 (14)	0.0152 (12)	-0.0010 (11)	0.0022 (11)	0.0021 (11)
N5	0.0391 (19)	0.052 (2)	0.0206 (14)	-0.0066 (17)	0.0140 (14)	-0.0034 (15)
N8	0.0144 (11)	0.0118 (10)	0.0123 (10)	0.0009 (9)	-0.0010 (9)	-0.0004 (9)
Ni1	0.0151 (3)	0.0177 (3)	0.0123 (3)	0.00026 (19)	-0.00016 (19)	0.00066 (19)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C4—N3	1.312 (5)	N3—Ni1	2.063 (3)
C4—N5	1.345 (5)	N5—H5	0.8600
C4—H4	0.9300	N8—Ni1	2.095 (2)
C6—C7	1.353 (6)	N8—H8A	0.8900
C6—N5	1.357 (6)	N8—H8B	0.8900
C6—H6	0.9300	N8—H8C	0.8900
C7—N3	1.374 (5)	Ni1—N3 ⁱ	2.063 (3)
C7—H7	0.9300	Ni1—N8 ⁱ	2.095 (2)
Cl2—Ni1	2.4527 (9)	Ni1—Cl2 ⁱ	2.4527 (9)
N3—C4—N5	110.5 (4)	Ni1—N8—H8C	109.5
N3—C4—H4	124.7	H8A—N8—H8C	109.5
N5—C4—H4	124.7	H8B—N8—H8C	109.5
C7—C6—N5	105.7 (3)	N3 ⁱ —Ni1—N3	180.0
C7—C6—H6	127.1	N3 ⁱ —Ni1—N8	89.00 (11)
N5—C6—H6	127.1	N3—Ni1—N8	91.00 (11)
C6—C7—N3	109.7 (4)	N3 ⁱ —Ni1—N8 ⁱ	91.00 (11)
C6—C7—H7	125.2	N3—Ni1—N8 ⁱ	89.00 (11)
N3—C7—H7	125.2	N8—Ni1—N8 ⁱ	180.0
C4—N3—C7	105.9 (3)	N3 ⁱ —Ni1—Cl2 ⁱ	89.45 (8)
C4—N3—Ni1	126.3 (3)	N3—Ni1—Cl2 ⁱ	90.55 (8)
C7—N3—Ni1	127.2 (2)	N8—Ni1—Cl2 ⁱ	89.62 (7)

C4—N5—C6	108.2 (3)	N8 ⁱ —Ni1—Cl2 ⁱ	90.38 (7)
C4—N5—H5	125.9	N3 ⁱ —Ni1—Cl2	90.55 (8)
C6—N5—H5	125.9	N3—Ni1—Cl2	89.45 (8)
Ni1—N8—H8A	109.5	N8—Ni1—Cl2	90.38 (7)
Ni1—N8—H8B	109.5	N8 ⁱ —Ni1—Cl2	89.62 (7)
H8A—N8—H8B	109.5	Cl2 ⁱ —Ni1—Cl2	180.0
N5—C6—C7—N3	-0.1 (5)	C4—N3—Ni1—N8	143.9 (3)
N5—C4—N3—C7	-0.9 (5)	C7—N3—Ni1—N8	-46.1 (3)
N5—C4—N3—Ni1	170.8 (3)	C4—N3—Ni1—N8 ⁱ	-36.1 (3)
C6—C7—N3—C4	0.6 (5)	C7—N3—Ni1—N8 ⁱ	133.9 (3)
C6—C7—N3—Ni1	-171.1 (3)	C4—N3—Ni1—Cl2 ⁱ	54.3 (3)
N3—C4—N5—C6	0.9 (5)	C7—N3—Ni1—Cl2 ⁱ	-135.7 (3)
C7—C6—N5—C4	-0.5 (5)	C4—N3—Ni1—Cl2	-125.7 (3)
C4—N3—Ni1—N3 ⁱ	126 (8)	C7—N3—Ni1—Cl2	44.3 (3)
C7—N3—Ni1—N3 ⁱ	-64 (8)		

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, -y, -z+1$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}, ^\circ$)

Cg1 is the centroid of the N3/C4/N5/C6/C7 ring.

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N5—H5 \cdots Cl2 ⁱⁱ	0.86	2.53	3.268 (3)	144
N8—H8A \cdots Cl2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.32	3.180 (3)	162
N8—H8B \cdots Cl2 ^{iv}	0.89	2.37	3.210 (3)	157
C4—H4 \cdots Cg1 ^v	0.93	2.95	3.772 (5)	148

Symmetry codes: (ii) $x+1/2, y, -z+1/2$; (iii) $-x-1/2, y+1/2, z$; (iv) $x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1$; (v) $-x-1/2, y-3/2, z$.