

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[tetramethylammonium [tri- μ_2 -formato- κ^6 O:O'-manganate(II)]]

Cai-Yun Han, Min-Min Liu and Qin-Qin Dang*

School of Chemistry and Material Science, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: dangqq820116@163.com

Received 24 July 2013; accepted 27 August 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (N–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.027; wR factor = 0.075; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.4.

In the title compound, $\{(C_4H_{12}N)[Mn(HCO_2)_3]\}_n$, the Mn^{II} atom lies on an inversion centre and is coordinated by O-atom donors from the three double-bridging formate ligands, one of which lies across a crystallographic mirror plane, giving a slightly distorted octahedral coordination sphere. A three-dimensional NaCl-type framework is generated in which the tetramethylammonium cations, which lie across mirror planes and occupy the cavities in the polymer structure, form weak $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds with the formate ligands.

Related literature

For related structures, see: Gao & Ng (2010); Wang *et al.* (2004, 2010). For background to the properties of structures with metal–formate frameworks templated by protonated amines, see: Liu *et al.* (2012); Zhang *et al.* (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} ({\rm C_4H_{12}N})[{\rm Mn}({\rm HCO_2})_3] \\ M_r = 264.14 \\ {\rm Orthorhombic, $Pnma$} \\ a = 8.926 \ (4) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \\ b = 12.767 \ (6) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \\ c = 9.196 \ (4) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \end{array}$

 $V = 1048.0 (8) Å^{3}$ Z = 4Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 1.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 K $0.22 \times 0.22 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.023$

5907 measured reflections

1189 independent reflections

1012 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2007) $T_{\min} = 0.768, T_{\max} = 0.833$

Refinement

I V S

1

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	77 parameters
$vR(F^2) = 0.075$	H-atom parameters constrained
C = 0.98	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.27 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
189 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.43 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Mn1-O1		2.2176 (16)	Mn1-C	D1 ⁱⁱ	2.2176	(16)
Mn1-O2		2.2010 (15)	Mn1-C	02 ⁱⁱ	2.2010	(15)
Mn1–O3 ⁱ		2.2089 (16)	Mn1-C	D3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.2089	(16)
Symmetry codes: $x + \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.	(i)	$-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z$	$+\frac{1}{2}$; (ii)	-x + 1, -	y + 1, -z + 1;	(iii)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C3 - H3B \cdots O3^{ii}$ $C4 - H4B \cdots O2^{iv}$ $C5 - H5B \cdots O1^{iii}$	0.96 0.96 0.96	2.40 2.41 2.41	3.355 (3) 3.367 (3) 3.349 (3)	176 171 167
Symmetry codes: $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1.$	(ii) $-x + 1, -$	y+1, -z+1;	(iii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y,$	$-z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iv)

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

The authors thank the Shanxi Province Science Foundation for Youths (2012021008–2), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21101102) and the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (20925101).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ZS2272).

References

- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2007). SAINT-Plus, SMART and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Gao, S. & Ng, S. W. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m1599.
- Liu, B., Shang, R. H. K. L., Wang, Z. M. & Gao, S. (2012). Inorg. Chem. 24, 13363–13372.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Wang, Z. M., Hu, K. L., Gao, S. & Kobayashi, H. (2010). Adv. Mater. 22, 1526– 1533.
- Wang, Z. M., Zhang, B., Otsuka, T., Inoue, K., Kobayashi, H. & Kurmoo, M. (2004). Dalton Trans. pp. 2209–2216.
- Zhang, B., Wang, Z. M., Kurmoo, M., Gao, S., Inoue, K. & Kobayashi, H. (2007). Adv. Funct. Mater. 17, 577–584.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, m541 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813024045]

Poly[tetramethylammonium [tri- μ_2 -formato- $\kappa^6 O: O'$ -manganate(II)]]

Cai-Yun Han, Min-Min Liu and Qin-Qin Dang

S1. Comment

Recently, metal formate frameworks templated by protonated amines attract considerable attention because their special structures and interesting properties (Liu *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2007). A series of protonated ammonium cations (methylamine, ethylamine, dimethylamine, cyclotrimethyleneamine, *N*,*N*-dimethylethylenediammonium) have been employed to synthesize metal formate frameworks (Gao *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2004). In the present contribution, we report a new manganese formate complex {(Me₄N) [Mn(HCO₂)]₃}_n, templated using tetramethylamine cations. The formate ligand and tetramethylamine cation result from an *in situ* decomposition reaction of the *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide solvent.

In the title complex (Fig. 1), the Mn^{II} cations lie on crystallographic inversion centres and are coordinated by six Oatom donors from bridging formate ligands, one of which lies across a crystallographic mirror plane and gives an *antianti* mode. A slightly distorted octahedral geometry is found about $Mn^{II}[Mn1-O1, Mn1-O2 \text{ and } Mn1-O3 \text{ are}$ 2.2176 (16), 2.2010 (15) and 2.2089 (16) Å, respectively (Table 1)] while the Mn-Mn separations across the bridging formates are 6.3835 (20)-6.4078 (20) Å. A three-dimensional NaCl-type framework is generated in which the protonated tetramethylamine cations, which also lie on crystallographic mirror planes, occupy the polymer cavities, acting as templates for charge-compensation and space-filling (Fig.2) and are weakly associated with the formate cations through C-H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2).

S2. Experimental

The title compound compound was synthesized hydrothermally under autogenous pressure. Typically, a mixture of manganese(II) acetate (0.049 g, 0.2 mmol), sodium hydroxide(0.008 g, 0.2 mmol), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (4 ml) and methanol (2.5 ml) was loaded into a 15 ml Teflon-lined stainless container and stirred in air for 20 minutes, then heated to 180 °C for 5 days. After cooling to room temperature and filtering, colorless block crystals were recovered in 90% yield.

S3. Refinement

Hydrogen atoms of the organic groups were placed at calculated positions with C—H = 0.96 Å (methyl) or C—H = 0.93 Å (formyl) and allowed to ride, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2$ or $1.5U_{eq}(C)$.



Figure 1

Atom numbering scheme for the cation and anion species in the title complex, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. For symmetry codes: (a) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (b) x + 1/2, y, -z + 1/2; (c) -x + 1/2, -y + 1, z + 1/2; (e) x, -y + 3/2, z.



Figure 2

A view of the three-dimensional NaCl-like structure of the title compound

Poly[tetramethylammonium [tri- μ_2 -formato- $\kappa^6 O:O'$ -manganate(II)]]

Crystal data

 $(C_4H_{12}N)[Mn(HCO_2)_3]$ $M_r = 264.14$ Orthorhombic, *Pnma* Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2n a = 8.926 (4) Å b = 12.767 (6) Å c = 9.196 (4) Å V = 1048.0 (8) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator F(000) = 548 $D_x = 1.674 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2391 reflections $\theta = 3.2-28.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KBlock, colorless $0.22 \times 0.22 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

 Φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2007) $T_{\min} = 0.768, T_{\max} = 0.833$

5907 measured reflections	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\rm min} = 2.7^{\circ}$
1189 independent reflections	$h = -11 \rightarrow 8$
1012 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$k = -16 \rightarrow 16$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$	$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.075$	neighbouring sites
<i>S</i> = 0.98	H-atom parameters constrained
1189 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.041P)^2 + 0.4246P]$
77 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.43 \text{ e} \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. Bond distances, angles etc. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Mn1	0.50000	0.50000	0.50000	0.0196 (1)	
01	0.48275 (14)	0.66330 (9)	0.41954 (13)	0.0353 (4)	
O2	0.29850 (13)	0.45825 (9)	0.37482 (12)	0.0328 (3)	
03	0.13485 (14)	0.45849 (10)	0.19299 (12)	0.0370 (4)	
C1	0.4883 (3)	0.75000	0.4754 (3)	0.0294 (7)	
C2	0.25485 (17)	0.48251 (13)	0.25112 (16)	0.0264 (5)	
N1	1.0114 (2)	0.75000	0.4921 (2)	0.0310 (7)	
C3	1.0293 (4)	0.75000	0.6524 (3)	0.0565 (12)	
C4	0.8494 (3)	0.75000	0.4525 (4)	0.0515 (10)	
C5	1.0830 (2)	0.65471 (15)	0.4312 (2)	0.0461 (6)	
H1	0.49830	0.75000	0.57610	0.0350*	
H2	0.31980	0.52340	0.19600	0.0320*	
H3A	1.13400	0.75000	0.67640	0.0680*	
H3B	0.98280	0.68860	0.69230	0.0680*	
H4A	0.83940	0.75000	0.34860	0.0620*	
H4B	0.80230	0.81140	0.49170	0.0620*	
H5A	1.18770	0.65480	0.45470	0.0690*	
H5B	1.07080	0.65410	0.32740	0.0690*	
H5C	1.03660	0.59360	0.47200	0.0690*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Mn1	0.0205 (2)	0.0185 (2)	0.0197 (2)	-0.0002 (1)	-0.0002 (1)	0.0001 (1)
O1	0.0513 (8)	0.0212 (6)	0.0335 (7)	0.0006 (5)	-0.0021 (5)	0.0020 (5)
O2	0.0316 (6)	0.0361 (6)	0.0306 (6)	-0.0047 (5)	-0.0094 (5)	0.0038 (5)
O3	0.0361 (7)	0.0417 (7)	0.0332 (6)	-0.0044 (6)	-0.0128 (5)	0.0073 (5)
C1	0.0396 (15)	0.0277 (13)	0.0210 (10)	0.0000	-0.0014 (9)	0.0000
C2	0.0277 (9)	0.0245 (7)	0.0271 (9)	-0.0021 (6)	0.0012 (7)	0.0010 (6)
N1	0.0359 (13)	0.0271 (12)	0.0301 (12)	0.0000	0.0039 (8)	0.0000
C3	0.103 (3)	0.0385 (16)	0.0279 (14)	0.0000	0.0075 (15)	0.0000
C4	0.0307 (14)	0.0389 (15)	0.085 (2)	0.0000	0.0086 (15)	0.0000
C5	0.0478 (11)	0.0430 (11)	0.0474 (11)	0.0158 (9)	-0.0043 (9)	-0.0143 (9)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Mn1—O1	2.2176 (16)	N1—C5 ^{iv}	1.484 (2)
Mn1—O2	2.2010 (15)	C1—H1	0.9300
Mn1—O3 ⁱ	2.2089 (16)	C2—H2	0.9300
Mn1—O1 ⁱⁱ	2.2176 (16)	С3—НЗА	0.9600
Mn1—O2 ⁱⁱ	2.2010 (15)	С3—Н3В	0.9600
Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.2089 (16)	C3—H3B ^{iv}	0.9600
O1—C1	1.2213 (17)	C4—H4A	0.9600
O2—C2	1.2417 (19)	C4—H4B	0.9600
O3—C2	1.236 (2)	$C4$ — $H4B^{iv}$	0.9600
N1—C4	1.491 (3)	С5—Н5А	0.9600
N1—C5	1.484 (2)	С5—Н5В	0.9600
N1—C3	1.483 (3)	C5—H5C	0.9600
O1—Mn1—O2	89.80 (4)	O1-C1-O1 ^{iv}	130.0 (2)
O1—Mn1—O3 ⁱ	90.27 (5)	O2—C2—O3	127.33 (15)
O1—Mn1—O1 ⁱⁱ	180.00	O1—C1—H1	115.00
O1—Mn1—O2 ⁱⁱ	90.20 (4)	O1 ^{iv} —C1—H1	115.00
O1—Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	89.73 (5)	O3—C2—H2	116.00
O2—Mn1—O3 ⁱ	91.89 (4)	O2—C2—H2	116.00
O1 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O2	90.20 (4)	N1—C3—H3A	109.00
O2—Mn1—O2 ⁱⁱ	180.00	N1—C3—H3B	109.00
O2—Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	88.11 (4)	N1—C3—H3B ^{iv}	109.00
O1 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O3 ⁱ	89.73 (5)	НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	109.00
O2 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O3 ⁱ	88.11 (4)	H3A—C3—H3B ^{iv}	109.00
O3 ⁱ —Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00	H3B—C3—H3B ^{iv}	110.00
O1 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O2 ⁱⁱ	89.80 (4)	N1—C4—H4A	109.00
O1 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.27 (5)	N1—C4—H4B	109.00
O2 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	91.89 (4)	N1-C4-H4B ^{iv}	109.00
Mn1—O1—C1	135.15 (14)	H4A—C4—H4B	109.00
Mn1—O2—C2	132.47 (11)	$H4A$ — $C4$ — $H4B^{iv}$	109.00
Mn1 ^v O3C2	139.49 (11)	$H4B$ — $C4$ — $H4B^{iv}$	110.00
C3—N1—C5	109.20 (13)	N1—C5—H5A	109.00

supporting information

C3—N1—C5 ^{iv}	109.20 (13)	N1—C5—H5B	109.00
C4—N1—C5	108.99 (13)	N1—C5—H5C	109.00
$C4$ — $N1$ — $C5^{iv}$	108.99 (13)	H5A—C5—H5B	110.00
$C5$ — $N1$ — $C5^{iv}$	110.13 (15)	H5A—C5—H5C	109.00
C3—N1—C4	110.3 (2)	H5B—C5—H5C	109.00
O2—Mn1—O1—C1	132.4 (2)	O1 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O2—C2	-139.34 (14)
O3 ⁱ —Mn1—O1—C1	40.5 (2)	O3 ⁱⁱⁱ —Mn1—O2—C2	-49.08 (14)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Mn1—O1—C1	-47.7 (2)	Mn1-01-C1-01 ^{iv}	176.99 (17)
O3 ⁱⁱⁱ —Mn1—O1—C1	-139.5 (2)	Mn1—O2—C2—O3	-176.16 (12)
O1—Mn1—O2—C2	40.66 (14)	Mn1 ^v O3C2O2	168.34 (12)
O3 ⁱ —Mn1—O2—C2	130.92 (14)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, -y+1, z+1/2; (ii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iii) x+1/2, y, -z+1/2; (iv) x, -y+3/2, z; (v) -x+1/2, -y+1, z-1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C3—H3 <i>B</i> ···O3 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.40	3.355 (3)	176
C4—H4 <i>B</i> ···O2 ^{vi}	0.96	2.41	3.367 (3)	171
C5—H5B···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.96	2.41	3.349 (3)	167

Symmetry codes: (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (iii) *x*+1/2, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (vi) -*x*+1, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1.