## data reports



OPEN a ACCESS

ISSN 2056-9890

### Crystal structure of N-(propan-2-ylcarbamothioyl)benzamide

### Ierry P. Jasinski,<sup>a</sup> Mehmet Akkurt,<sup>b</sup> Shaaban K. Mohamed,<sup>c,d</sup> Mohamed A. Gad<sup>e</sup> and Mustafa R. Albayati<sup>f\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Keene State College, 229 Main Street, Keene, NH 03435-2001, USA, <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey, <sup>c</sup>Chemistry and Environmental Division, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, England, <sup>d</sup>Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Minia University, 61519 El-Minia, Egypt, <sup>e</sup>Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, 82524 Sohag, Egypt, and <sup>f</sup>Kirkuk University, College of Science, Department of Chemistry, Kirkuk, Iraq. \*Correspondence e-mail: shaabankamel@yahoo.com

Received 9 December 2014; accepted 11 December 2014

Edited by E. R. T. Tiekink, University of Malaya, Malaysia

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_{11}H_{14}N_2OS$ , the six atoms of the central C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS residue are coplanar (r.m.s. deviation = 0.002 Å), which facilitates the formation of an intramolecular N-H···O hydrogen bond, which closes an S(6) loop. The terminal phenyl ring is inclined with respect to the central plane [dihedral angle =  $42.10(6)^{\circ}$ ]. The most prominent feature of the crystal packing is the formation of  $\{\cdots$  HNCS $\}_2$  synthons resulting in centrosymmetric dimers.

Keywords: crystal structure; thiourea; conformation; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 1038725

### **1. Related literature**

For use of thioureas as building blocks in the synthesis of various organic compounds, see: Burgeson et al. (2012); Vega-Pérez et al. (2012); Yao et al. (2012); Shantharam et al. (2013); Yang et al. (2013). For use of thiourea-containing compounds in medicinal applications, see: Rodriguez-Fernandez et al. (2005); Rauf et al. (2012).



### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Crystal data

$C_{11}H_{14}N_2OS$	V = 1165.57 (7) Å <sup>3</sup>
$M_r = 222.30$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 11.2147 (4)  Å	$\mu = 2.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 5.3988 (2) Å	T = 293  K
c = 19.6834 (7) Å	$0.28\times0.22\times0.18$
$\beta = 102.031 \ (4)^{\circ}$	

### 2.2. Data collection

Agilent Xcalibur, Eos, Gemini
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis PRO; Agilent, 2014)
$T_{\min} = 0.828, T_{\max} = 1.000$

2.3. Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$  $wR(F^2) = 0.146$ S = 1.082189 reflections 146 parameters 2 restraints

18 mm

3844 measured reflections 2189 independent reflections 1944 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.025$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.37 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1 - H1N \cdots S1^{i}$ $N2 - H2N \cdots O1$	0.81 (2)	2.66 (2)	3.4439 (19)	165 (2)
	0.87 (2)	2.00 (3)	2.662 (2)	132 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z.

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Agilent, 2014); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO; data reduction: CrysAlis PRO; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS2014 (Gruene et al., 2014); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL2014 (Gruene et al., 2014); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2009).

### Acknowledgements

JPJ acknowledges the NSF-MRI program (grant No. CHE-1039027) for funds to purchase the X-ray diffractometer. SKM would like to thank Keene State College for providing the X-ray data and Manchester Metropolitan University for supporting this study.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TK5351).

#### References

Agilent (2014). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, England. Burgeson, J. R., Moore, A. L., Boutilier, J. K., Cerruti, N. R., Gharaibeh, D. N., Lovejoy, C. E., Amberg, S. M., Hruby, D. E., Tyavanagimatt, S. R., Allen, R. D. & Dai, D. (2012). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 22, 4263-4272. Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.



- Gruene, T., Hahn, H. W., Luebben, A. V., Meilleur, F. & Sheldrick, G. M. (2014). J. Appl. Cryst. 47, 462–466.
- Rauf, M., Ebihara, M., Badshah, A. & Imtiaz-ud-Din (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, o119.
- Rodríguez-Fernández, E., Manzano, J. L., Benito, J. J., Hermosa, R., Monte, E. & Criado, J. J. (2005). J. Inorg. Biochem. 99, 1558-1572.
- Shantharam, C. S., Suyoga Vardhan, D. M., Suhas, R., Sridhara, M. B. & Gowda, D. C. (2013). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 60, 325-332.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Vega-Pérez, J. M., Periñán, I., Argandoña, M., Vega-Holm, M., Palo-Nieto, C., Burgos-Morón, E., López-Lázaro, M., Vargas, C., Nieto, J. J. & Iglesias-Guerra, F. (2012). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 58, 591-612.
- Yang, W., Hu, Y., Yang, Y. S., Zhang, F., Zhang, Y. B., Wang, X. L., Tang, J. F.,
- Zhong, W. Q. & Zhu, H. L. (2013). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **21**, 1050–1063. Yao, J., Chen, J., He, Z., Sun, W. & Xu, W. (2012). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **20**, 2923-2929.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, 056–057 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989014027133]

### Crystal structure of *N*-(propan-2-ylcarbamothioyl)benzamide

# Jerry P. Jasinski, Mehmet Akkurt, Shaaban K. Mohamed, Mohamed A. Gad and Mustafa R. Albayati

### S1. Structural commentary

Compounds containing thiourea linkage are very useful building blocks for the synthesis of a wide range of multiheterocyclic and macromolecular compounds. Thioureas have proved to be useful substances in drug research in recent years (Burgeson *et al.*, 2012; Vega-Pérez *et al.*, 2012; Yao *et al.*, 2012; Shantharam *et al.*, 2013; Yang *et al.*, 2013). Symmetrical and unsymmetrical thioureas have shown anti-fungal activity against the plant pathogens like *Penicillium expansum* and *Fusarium oxysporu*m (Rodriguez-Fernandez *et al.*, 2005). Also, 1,3-dialkyl or diaryl thioureas exhibited significant anti-fungal activity against *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Drechslera oryzae* (Rauf *et al.*, 2012). In light of this, and following to our on-going study in synthesis of bio-active molecules, we report here the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound.

In the structure of the title compound, Fig. 1, intramolecular N—H…O and intermolecular N—H…S interactions are noted (Table 1).

### S2. Synthesis and crystallization

Freshly prepared benzoyl chloride 5 ml (0.043 mol) was added drop wise to a solution of 3.2 g (0.042 mol) of ammonium thiocyanate in 20 ml dry acetone with stirring. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The obtained solid precipitate ammonium chloride was filtered off. The formed benzoyl isothiocyanate in the filtrate was added to a solution of 3.1 ml (0.0425 mol) of 2-amino-isopropane in 20 ml dry acetone. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 5 h, then poured into a beaker containing some ice cubes. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed several times with cold ethanol/water and purified by recrystallization from ethanol/dichloromethane mixture (1:1). Yield (63%); colourless solid, m.p 418 K.

### **S3. Refinement**

Carbon-bound H-atoms were placed in calculated positions (C—H = 0.93 to 0.98 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2-1.5U_{eq}(C)$ . The hydrogen atoms attached to N1 and N2 were found from difference Fourier maps and were refined with the distance contratin N—H = 0.86±0.02 Å with unrestrained  $U_{iso}$ .



Figure 1

Perspective view of the title molecule with atom labeling scheme and 50% probability ellipsoids.



Figure 2

Packing viewed down the b axis showing stacks of pairs of molecules connected by N—H…S interactions.

N-(Propan-2-ylcarbamothioyl)benzamide

Crystal data	
$C_{11}H_{14}N_2OS$	c = 19.6834 (7) Å
$M_r = 222.30$	$\beta = 102.031 \ (4)^{\circ}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	V = 1165.57 (7) Å <sup>3</sup>
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Z = 4
a = 11.2147 (4) Å	F(000) = 472
b = 5.3988 (2)  Å	$D_{\rm x} = 1.267 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$

Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å Cell parameters from 1804 reflections  $\theta = 4.0-71.4^{\circ}$  $\mu = 2.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Data collection

Duiu concenton	
Agilent Xcalibur, Eos, Gemini diffractometer	3844 measured reflections 2189 independent reflections
Radiation source: Enhance (Cu) X-ray Source	1944 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$
Detector resolution: 16.0416 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 71.3^\circ,  \theta_{\rm min} = 4.0^\circ$
$\omega$ scans	$h = -12 \rightarrow 13$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -6 \rightarrow 5$
(CrysAlis PRO; Agilent, 2014)	$l = -18 \rightarrow 24$
$T_{\min} = 0.828, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: mixed
Least-squares matrix: full	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.146$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0871P)^2 + 0.3862P]$

T = 293 K

Prism, colourless

 $0.28 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ 

where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.37 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

 $wR(F^2) = 0.146$  S = 1.082189 reflections 146 parameters 2 restraints

### Special details

**Geometry**. Bond distances, angles *etc*. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

**Refinement**. Refinement on  $F^2$  for ALL reflections except those flagged by the user for potential systematic errors. Weighted *R*-factors *wR* and all goodnesses of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The observed criterion of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *-R*-factor-obs *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	X	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
<b>S</b> 1	0.60730 (5)	0.80543 (14)	0.08368 (3)	0.0464 (2)	
01	0.86531 (13)	1.0046 (3)	-0.05679 (8)	0.0392 (5)	
N1	0.69070 (16)	1.0345 (3)	-0.01498 (9)	0.0321 (5)	
N2	0.82704 (16)	0.7717 (3)	0.05595 (9)	0.0337 (5)	
C1	0.63795 (19)	1.4665 (4)	-0.10695 (11)	0.0334 (6)	
C2	0.5930 (2)	1.6272 (4)	-0.16095 (12)	0.0392 (6)	
C3	0.6171 (2)	1.5865 (4)	-0.22650 (11)	0.0414 (7)	
C4	0.6865 (2)	1.3853 (5)	-0.23779 (11)	0.0402 (7)	
C5	0.73328 (19)	1.2248 (4)	-0.18386 (11)	0.0342 (6)	
C6	0.70827 (17)	1.2641 (4)	-0.11803 (10)	0.0293 (5)	
C7	0.76319 (18)	1.0900 (4)	-0.06135 (10)	0.0310 (6)	
C8	0.71668 (19)	0.8697 (4)	0.04084 (10)	0.0322 (6)	
С9	0.8684 (2)	0.5923 (4)	0.11200 (11)	0.0389 (7)	
C10	0.9666 (2)	0.4305 (4)	0.09293 (13)	0.0452 (7)	

# supporting information

C11	0.9154 (3)	0.7308 (6)	0.17988 (13)	0.0592 (9)	
H1	0.62120	1.49370	-0.06320	0.0400*	
H1N	0.6216 (16)	1.085 (4)	-0.0236 (11)	0.022 (5)*	
H2	0.54640	1.76300	-0.15340	0.0470*	
H2N	0.880 (2)	0.814 (5)	0.0317 (14)	0.048 (8)*	
H3	0.58660	1.69470	-0.26270	0.0500*	
H4	0.70190	1.35740	-0.28180	0.0480*	
H5	0.78120	1.09110	-0.19140	0.0410*	
H9	0.79950	0.48790	0.11730	0.0470*	
H10A	0.93400	0.34290	0.05070	0.0680*	
H10B	0.99470	0.31390	0.12960	0.0680*	
H10C	1.03360	0.53220	0.08640	0.0680*	
H11A	0.98180	0.83660	0.17480	0.0890*	
H11B	0.94320	0.61370	0.21650	0.0890*	
H11C	0.85090	0.82920	0.19110	0.0890*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
<b>S</b> 1	0.0297 (3)	0.0743 (5)	0.0364 (3)	0.0061 (2)	0.0096 (2)	0.0129 (3)
01	0.0309 (8)	0.0465 (9)	0.0415 (8)	0.0072 (6)	0.0106 (6)	0.0113 (7)
N1	0.0278 (8)	0.0390 (9)	0.0293 (8)	0.0046 (7)	0.0056 (6)	0.0054 (7)
N2	0.0306 (9)	0.0383 (10)	0.0314 (9)	0.0010 (7)	0.0046 (7)	0.0076 (7)
C1	0.0350 (10)	0.0309 (10)	0.0331 (10)	-0.0033 (8)	0.0041 (8)	-0.0023 (8)
C2	0.0400 (11)	0.0299 (10)	0.0448 (12)	-0.0012 (9)	0.0019 (9)	0.0025 (9)
C3	0.0418 (12)	0.0405 (12)	0.0377 (11)	-0.0059 (9)	-0.0014 (9)	0.0124 (9)
C4	0.0369 (11)	0.0544 (14)	0.0291 (10)	-0.0081 (10)	0.0064 (8)	0.0062 (9)
C5	0.0284 (10)	0.0408 (11)	0.0341 (10)	-0.0027 (8)	0.0080 (8)	0.0032 (8)
C6	0.0260 (9)	0.0304 (9)	0.0300 (9)	-0.0055 (7)	0.0022 (7)	0.0023 (8)
C7	0.0321 (10)	0.0314 (10)	0.0286 (9)	-0.0038 (8)	0.0043 (7)	-0.0007 (8)
C8	0.0336 (10)	0.0363 (10)	0.0255 (9)	-0.0020 (8)	0.0033 (7)	-0.0004 (8)
C9	0.0367 (11)	0.0412 (12)	0.0379 (11)	0.0022 (9)	0.0059 (9)	0.0114 (9)
C10	0.0487 (13)	0.0347 (11)	0.0506 (13)	0.0075 (10)	0.0065 (10)	0.0043 (10)
C11	0.0607 (16)	0.079 (2)	0.0334 (12)	0.0280 (14)	-0.0003 (11)	0.0010 (12)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C8	1.664 (2)	C9—C11	1.526 (3)	
O1—C7	1.220 (3)	C9—C10	1.513 (3)	
N1—C7	1.376 (3)	C1—H1	0.9300	
N1	1.397 (3)	C2—H2	0.9300	
N2—C8	1.322 (3)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
N2-C9	1.469 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300	
C1—C2	1.383 (3)	С5—Н5	0.9300	
C1—C6	1.391 (3)	С9—Н9	0.9800	
N1—H1N	0.806 (19)	C10—H10A	0.9600	
N2—H2N	0.87 (2)	C10—H10B	0.9600	
C2—C3	1.390 (3)	C10—H10C	0.9600	

# supporting information

$C_{2}$ $C_{4}$	1.281(2)	С11 Н11А	0.0600
$C_3 = C_4$	1.381(3) 1.386(3)		0.9600
$C_{4} = C_{5}$	1.300(3)		0.9600
$C_{5}$	1.398(3) 1.400(3)	en-mie	0.9000
0-07	1.490 (3)		
C7—N1—C8	127.26 (18)	C6—C1—H1	120.00
C8—N2—C9	124.57 (18)	C1—C2—H2	120.00
C2-C1-C6	120.0 (2)	C3—C2—H2	120.00
C7—N1—H1N	117.5 (15)	С2—С3—Н3	120.00
C8—N1—H1N	114.5 (15)	С4—С3—Н3	120.00
C1—C2—C3	120.3 (2)	C3—C4—H4	120.00
C8—N2—H2N	119.2 (17)	C5—C4—H4	120.00
C9—N2—H2N	116.2 (17)	C4—C5—H5	120.00
C2—C3—C4	119.9 (2)	С6—С5—Н5	120.00
C3—C4—C5	120.3 (2)	N2—C9—H9	109.00
C4—C5—C6	119.9 (2)	С10—С9—Н9	109.00
C1—C6—C7	122.51 (18)	С11—С9—Н9	109.00
C5—C6—C7	117.82 (18)	C9—C10—H10A	109.00
C1—C6—C5	119.63 (19)	C9—C10—H10B	110.00
01—C7—N1	122.96 (19)	C9—C10—H10C	109.00
O1—C7—C6	121.90 (18)	H10A—C10—H10B	110.00
N1—C7—C6	115.14 (17)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.00
S1—C8—N1	118.47 (16)	H10B-C10-H10C	109.00
S1—C8—N2	123.87 (16)	C9—C11—H11A	110.00
N1—C8—N2	117.65 (19)	C9—C11—H11B	109.00
N2—C9—C11	109.39 (19)	C9—C11—H11C	109.00
C10-C9-C11	111.3 (2)	H11A—C11—H11B	109.00
N2—C9—C10	109.06 (18)	H11A—C11—H11C	109.00
C2—C1—H1	120.00	H11B—C11—H11C	110.00
C7N1C8N2	71(3)	$C^{2}$	177 6 (2)
C7  N1 C8  S1	-172.18(17)	$C_2 = C_1 = C_0 = C_1$	-0.2(3)
$C_{N1} = C_{0} = S_{1}$	-33(3)	$C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - C_4 - C_5$	-0.6(3)
$C_{8} = N_{1} = C_{7} = C_{6}$	176.95 (19)	$C_{2}^{2} = C_{3}^{2} = C_{4}^{2} = C_{5}^{2}$	12(3)
C9 = N2 = C8 = S1	0.4(3)	$C_{4}$ $C_{5}$ $C_{6}$ $C_{7}$	-1785(2)
$C_{0}N_{2}C_{8}N_{1}$	-17883(18)	C4 - C5 - C6 - C1	-0.9(3)
$C_{8} = N_{2} = C_{9} = C_{10}$	170.05(10) 151 8 (2)	$C_{1} - C_{0} - C_{1} - C_{1}$	-140.9(2)
$C_{8}$ N2 $C_{9}$ $C_{11}$	-862(3)	$C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{7} = O_{1}$	367(3)
$C_{6} - C_{1} - C_{7} - C_{1}$	0.4(3)	$C_{5} = C_{6} = C_{7} = 01$	-14355(10)
$C_{2} - C_{1} - C_{6} - C_{5}$	0.7(3)	$C_{1} - C_{6} - C_{7} - N_{1}$	38 0 (3)
02 - 01 - 00 - 03	0.1 (3)	$C_1 = C_0 = C_1 = M_1$	50.9 (5)

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
N1—H1 $N$ ···S1 <sup>i</sup>	0.81 (2)	2.66 (2)	3.4439 (19)	165 (2)
N2—H2 $N$ ···O1	0.87 (2)	2.00 (3)	2.662 (2)	132 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z.