



CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC

OPEN access

Crystal structure of rac-3,9-bis(2,6-difluorophenyl)-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro-[5.5]undecane

Liang Chen,^a Zhengyi Li,^b Linlin Jin,^b Xiaogiang Sun^{b*} and Zhiming Wang^b

^aAdvanced Catalysis and Green Manufacturing Collaborative Innovation Center, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China, and ^bKey Laboratory of Fine Chemical Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou, 213164, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. *Correspondence e-mail: cl861103@163.com

Received 17 January 2015; accepted 20 January 2015

Edited by H. Stoeckli-Evans, University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland

The title compound, C₁₉H₁₆F₄O₄, was prepared by the condensation reaction of 2,6-difluorobenzaldehyde and pentaerythritol. The whole molecule is generated by twofold rotational symmetry. The two six-membered O-heterocycles adopt chair conformations through a shared spiro-carbon atom that is located on the crystallographic twofold rotation axis. In this conformation, the two aromatic rings are located at the equatorial positions of the O-heterocycles. The conformation of this doubly substituted tetraoxaspiro system is chiral. In the crystal, molecules are linked by $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, forming layers parallel to (100). These layers are linked by C-H···F hydrogen bonds into a threedimensional structure.

Keywords: crystal structure; oxo-spirocyclic; helical hydrogen-bonded chains; axial chirality.

CCDC reference: 859906

1. Related literature

For the use of tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecanes, see: Cismas et al. (2005); Sondhi et al. (2009); Sauriat-Dorizon et al. (2003). For chiral conformations of tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecanes, see: Mihiş et al. (2008). For opposite enantiomers of tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecanes, see: Sun et al. (2010).



V = 1797.0 (6) Å³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

4856 measured reflections 1671 independent reflections

1444 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^-$

T = 296 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.031$

Z = 4

2. Experimental

2.1. Crystal data

 $C_{19}H_{16}F_4O_4$ $M_r = 384.32$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 28.960 (5) Å b = 5.5627 (11) Å c = 11.205 (2) Å $\beta = 95.442 \ (4)^{\circ}$

2.2. Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2009)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.975, T_{\rm max} = 0.981$

2.3. Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	124 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.148$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.01	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1671 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} \hline C8-H8A\cdots O2^{i} \\ C10-H10B\cdots O1^{ii} \\ \hline C2-H2-E1^{iii} \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.97 0.97	2.57 2.56	3.334 (2) 3.410 (2) 2.251 (2)	136 146 142
$\frac{C2 - H2 \cdots F1^{m}}{\text{Symmetry codes:}}$	(i) $x, -y + $	2.56 -2, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii)	3.351(3) -x + 1, y + 1	$\frac{143}{, -z + \frac{3}{2};}$ (iii)

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Advanced Catalysis and Green Manufacturing Collaborative Innovation Center of Changzhou University, the NSFC (21002009), the Major Program for Natural Science Research of Jiangsu Colleges and Universities (12 K J A150002, 14 K J A150002), Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Catalytic Materials and Technology (BM2012110), the PAPD of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions and the Qing-Lan Project of Jiangsu Province for financial support.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU5070).

References

- Bruker (2009). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cismaş, C., Terec, A., Mager, S. & Grosu, I. (2005). Curr. Org. Chem. 9, 1287– 1314.
- Mihiş, A., Condamine, E., Bogdan, E., Terec, A., Kurtán, T. & Grosu, I. (2008). *Molecules*, 13, 2848–2858.
- Sauriat-Dorizon, H., Maris, T., Wuest, J. D. & Enright, G. D. (2003). J. Org. Chem. 68, 240–246.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Sondhi, S.-M., Dinodia Monica Jain, S. & Kumar, A. (2009). Indian J. Chem. Sect. B. 48, 1128–1136.
- Sun, X.-Q., Yu, S.-L., Li, Z.-Y. & Yang, Y. (2010). J. Mol. Struct. 973, 152–156.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, o127-o128 [doi:10.1107/S2056989015001206]

Crystal structure of *rac*-3,9-bis(2,6-difluorophenyl)-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro-[5.5]undecane

Liang Chen, Zhengyi Li, Linlin Jin, Xiaoqiang Sun and Zhiming Wang

S1. Comment

Tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecanes derived from pentaerythritol are a class of the oxo-spirocyclic compounds. 3,9-disubstituted spirocyclic compounds have interesting stereochemical properties like axial chirality, and play important roles in the fields like, pesticide chemistry (Cismaş *et al.*, 2005), medicinal chemistry (Sondhi *et al.*, 2009), and materials chemistry (Sauriat-Dorizon *et al.*, 2003). Due to their stereochemical properties (Mihiş *et al.*, 2008), these compounds in the solid state always adopt chiral conformations, and are built from opposite enantiomers (Sun *et al.*, 2010), as shown below.

In the title compound, (I), the molecule is consisted of the identical two components though a shared spiro-C9 atom with a crystallographic two-fold symmetry axis (Fig. 1). The two six-membered heterocycles both adopt chair conformation. And the two aromatic residues both are located in the equatorial positions (atom C7) of the tetra-oxaspiro skeleton, through the staggered structure with the six-membered heterocycles, with the torsion angle O1—C7—C6—C1 of -112.5 (2) °.

The phenyl rings, as groups are much larger than hydrogen atoms, are located at the equatorial positions (atom C7) of six-membered O-heterocycles, while the H7 atoms are placed at the axial positions. In the oxo-spirocyclic skeleton, the distance between atoms O1 and O1A is longer than the distance between atoms O2 and O2A, with difference in value of ca. 1.115 Å. The molecule looks like a two-bladed propeller with the dihedral angle between the mean planes of (C1–C6) and (C1A–C6A) equal to 82.9 (5) °. Two opposite enantiomers, with equal numbers, are present in the crystal (Fig. 2) with a centrosymmetric space group (C2/c).

The packing of molecules of (I), is determined by the presence of numerous weak intermolecular interactions (Table 1). The C10—H10B…O1 interactions link the same molecules with the identical configurations R or S, respectively, into parallel chains along the b axis, furthermore, the C8—H8A…O2 interactions link the R and S chains alternatively along the c axis into a two-dimensional layered reticulate structure (Fig. 3) in bc plane. The donors and acceptors of the C—H…O interactions are barely constituted by the C and O atoms from the oxo-spirocyclic skeleton.

In crystalline states, those achiral layered structures are stacked into a three-dimensional structure by weak C—H···F intermolecular interactions between the same enantiomers (Fig. 4 and Table 1). It is worth mentioning that the C—H···F interactions constitute left/right-handed homochiral helical chains along the b axis (Fig. 4), with left-handed helical chains of the molecules of R configuration (Fig. 4a), and right-handed helical chains of S conformation molecules (Fig. 4c). And, we can clearly see that each helix in the homochiral chains consists of two molecules, with a pitch of 5.5627 (11) A (Fig. 4a). The two kinds of helical chains are arranged parallel to each other in the vertical direction of bc plan, with the same-handed chains along the a axis, and the different-handed chains along the c axis alternatively (Fig. 4b).

S2. Experimental

A catalytic quantity (0.04, 0.2 mmol) of p-toluenesulfonic acid was added to a solution of 2,6-difluorobenzaldehyde (1.14 g, 8 mmol) and pentaerythritol (0.52 mg, 3.8 mmol) in toluene (40 ml), and this mixture was then heated under reflux for 4 h in a flask equipped with a condenser and a water trap. The solution was washed with the sodium carbonate solution (10 %). Then, the organic layer was separated and the solvent was evaporated under the vacuum conditions and the residue was dried. The resulting solid product, (yield: 80%; m.p.: 509.5 - 510.2 K), was recrystallized from ethanol. The colourless crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction, were also grown from ethanol. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-D6): δ 3.68–3.81(m, 4H), 3.94–3.98 (d, 2H), 4.66–4.69 (d, 2H), 5.89 (s, 2H), 7.11–7.17 (t, 4H), 7.46–7.53 (m, 2H).

S3. Refinement

All the H atoms were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms: C—H = 0.93 - 0.98 Å with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

The crystal structure of enantiomers of the title compound, showing the two opposite enantiomers.



Figure 3

Part of the layered crystal structure of the title compound, showing the weak C—H…O interactions between the molecules. The same enantiomers are linked along the b axis, and the different enantiomers are linked alternatively along the c axis.



Figure 4

A view of a part of the crystal structure of the title compound: (*a*) Left-handed helical chains of molecules with *R* configuration connected *via* the weak C—H···F interactions (represented with the orange dashed lines) along the *b* axis; (*b*) the weak C—H···F interactions of the left-handed helical chains (indicated as the orange dashed line in the orange oval rings), the weak C—H···F interactions of the right-handed helical chains (represented as the green dashed line in the green oval rings); and the weak C—H···O interactions (represented as the red dashed lines); (*c*) Right-handed helical chains of the molecules with S configuration connected *via* the weak C—H···F interactions (represented with the green dashed lines) along the *b* axis.

3,9-Bis(2,6-difluorophenyl)-2,4,8,10-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane

Crystal data	
$C_{19}H_{16}F_4O_4$	F(000) = 792
$M_r = 384.32$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.421 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters from 2905 reflections
a = 28.960 (5) Å	$\theta = 2.8 - 29.7^{\circ}$
b = 5.5627 (11) Å	$\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 11.205 (2) Å	T = 296 K
$\beta = 95.442 \ (4)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
V = 1797.0 (6) Å ³	$0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	
Data collection	
Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	Absorption correction: multi-scan
diffractometer	(SADABS; Bruker, 2009)
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$T_{\min} = 0.975, \ T_{\max} = 0.981$
Graphite monochromator	4856 measured reflections
phi and ω scans	1671 independent reflections
	1444 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\rm int} = 0.031$	
$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 1$.4°
$h = -34 \rightarrow 34$	

Refinement

Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.148$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0997P)^2 + 0.5847P]$
<i>S</i> = 1.01	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1671 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
124 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL</i> , Fc [*] =kFc[1+0.001xFc ² λ^3 /sin(2 θ)] ^{-1/4}
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	Extinction coefficient: 0.057 (5)
man	

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

 $k = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 11$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C9	0.5000	1.0314 (3)	0.7500	0.0444 (5)	
O2	0.56492 (4)	1.05258 (19)	0.62616 (10)	0.0529 (4)	
01	0.56527 (4)	0.75364 (18)	0.77199 (9)	0.0478 (4)	
F2	0.57010 (3)	0.5988 (2)	0.51818 (9)	0.0650 (4)	
C8	0.53125 (5)	0.8761 (3)	0.83549 (13)	0.0469 (4)	
H8A	0.5468	0.9763	0.8978	0.056*	
H8B	0.5126	0.7589	0.8734	0.056*	
C7	0.59217 (6)	0.9188 (3)	0.71277 (14)	0.0516 (4)	
H7	0.6082	1.0281	0.7716	0.062*	
C10	0.46902 (7)	1.1913 (3)	0.81939 (15)	0.0569 (5)	
H10A	0.4880	1.2783	0.8810	0.068*	
H10B	0.4535	1.3082	0.7652	0.068*	
C6	0.62720 (6)	0.7791 (3)	0.65080 (16)	0.0587 (5)	
C5	0.61516 (6)	0.6237 (3)	0.55644 (18)	0.0614 (5)	
C4	0.64657 (9)	0.4916 (5)	0.4995 (3)	0.0962 (8)	
H4	0.6369	0.3890	0.4365	0.115*	
C1	0.67413 (8)	0.7922 (6)	0.6860 (3)	0.0917 (8)	
F1	0.68770 (5)	0.9389 (5)	0.78045 (18)	0.1351 (8)	
C2	0.70735 (8)	0.6647 (9)	0.6309 (4)	0.1288 (13)	
H2	0.7387	0.6806	0.6563	0.155*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

supporting information

C3	0.69284 (12)	0.5162 (8)	0.5389 (4)	0.1302 (13)
H3	0.7147	0.4287	0.5015	0.156*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C9	0.0647 (13)	0.0304 (9)	0.0386 (10)	0.000	0.0074 (9)	0.000
O2	0.0676 (8)	0.0444 (6)	0.0482 (7)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0131 (5)	0.0078 (5)
01	0.0523 (6)	0.0447 (6)	0.0457 (6)	-0.0014 (4)	0.0011 (4)	0.0065 (4)
F2	0.0611 (7)	0.0655 (7)	0.0669 (7)	-0.0013 (4)	-0.0020 (5)	-0.0138 (5)
C8	0.0595 (9)	0.0458 (8)	0.0350 (7)	-0.0063 (6)	0.0023 (6)	0.0012 (6)
C7	0.0543 (9)	0.0527 (9)	0.0464 (8)	-0.0136 (7)	-0.0020 (7)	-0.0004 (6)
C10	0.0834 (12)	0.0336 (8)	0.0560 (10)	0.0014 (7)	0.0177 (8)	-0.0043 (6)
C6	0.0482 (9)	0.0680 (11)	0.0594 (10)	-0.0062 (7)	0.0029 (7)	0.0097 (8)
C5	0.0591 (10)	0.0624 (11)	0.0640 (11)	0.0034 (8)	0.0114 (8)	0.0034 (8)
C4	0.0832 (15)	0.1023 (18)	0.1070 (19)	0.0196 (14)	0.0290 (14)	-0.0103 (16)
C1	0.0510(11)	0.124 (2)	0.0972 (17)	-0.0138 (12)	-0.0083 (10)	0.0036 (15)
F1	0.0684 (9)	0.195 (2)	0.1355 (14)	-0.0375 (11)	-0.0249 (9)	-0.0258 (13)
C2	0.0455 (12)	0.183 (4)	0.158 (3)	0.0131 (17)	0.0088 (15)	0.019 (3)
C3	0.0830 (19)	0.149 (3)	0.164 (3)	0.038 (2)	0.042 (2)	-0.001 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C9—C8 ⁱ	1.5226 (19)	C10—O2 ⁱ	1.4309 (19)
C9—C8	1.5227 (18)	C10—H10A	0.9700
C9—C10	1.5277 (19)	C10—H10B	0.9700
C9-C10 ⁱ	1.5277 (19)	C6—C1	1.381 (3)
O2—C7	1.405 (2)	C6—C5	1.384 (3)
O2C10 ⁱ	1.4309 (19)	C5—C4	1.373 (3)
O1—C7	1.4106 (18)	C4—C3	1.377 (5)
O1—C8	1.4400 (18)	C4—H4	0.9300
F2—C5	1.342 (2)	C1—F1	1.364 (3)
C8—H8A	0.9700	C1—C2	1.386 (5)
C8—H8B	0.9700	C2—C3	1.356 (6)
С7—С6	1.500 (2)	С2—Н2	0.9300
С7—Н7	0.9800	С3—Н3	0.9300
C8 ⁱ C9C8	110.87 (16)	C9	109.4
C8 ⁱ —C9—C10	107.93 (9)	O2 ⁱ —C10—H10B	109.4
C8—C9—C10	110.67 (9)	C9—C10—H10B	109.4
$C8^{i}$ — $C9$ — $C10^{i}$	110.67 (9)	H10A—C10—H10B	108.0
C8-C9-C10 ⁱ	107.93 (9)	C1—C6—C5	114.9 (2)
C10-C9-C10 ⁱ	108.76 (16)	C1—C6—C7	122.08 (19)
C7—O2—C10 ⁱ	110.78 (12)	C5—C6—C7	123.01 (15)
C7—O1—C8	111.00 (11)	F2—C5—C4	117.6 (2)
O1—C8—C9	110.56 (10)	F2—C5—C6	118.38 (15)
O1—C8—H8A	109.5	C4—C5—C6	124.0 (2)
С9—С8—Н8А	109.5	C5—C4—C3	117.7 (3)

O1—C8—H8B	109.5	C5—C4—H4	121.1
С9—С8—Н8В	109.5	C3—C4—H4	121.1
H8A—C8—H8B	108.1	F1—C1—C6	117.2 (2)
O2—C7—O1	111.78 (12)	F1—C1—C2	119.4 (2)
O2—C7—C6	108.36 (13)	C6—C1—C2	123.5 (3)
O1—C7—C6	107.94 (13)	C3—C2—C1	118.2 (2)
O2—C7—H7	109.6	С3—С2—Н2	120.9
O1—C7—H7	109.6	C1—C2—H2	120.9
С6—С7—Н7	109.6	C2—C3—C4	121.8 (3)
O2 ⁱ —C10—C9	111.29 (12)	С2—С3—Н3	119.1
O2 ⁱ —C10—H10A	109.4	С4—С3—Н3	119.1
C7—O1—C8—C9	57.50 (15)	C1C6	179.13 (19)
C8 ⁱ —C9—C8—O1	69.20 (9)	C7—C6—C5—F2	0.6 (3)
C10—C9—C8—O1	-171.06 (11)	C1—C6—C5—C4	-0.5 (3)
C10 ⁱ —C9—C8—O1	-52.16 (15)	C7—C6—C5—C4	-179.1 (2)
C10 ⁱ —O2—C7—O1	61.60 (16)	F2—C5—C4—C3	-179.8 (3)
C10 ⁱ —O2—C7—C6	-179.58 (12)	C6—C5—C4—C3	-0.1 (4)
C8—O1—C7—O2	-61.92 (15)	C5-C6-C1-F1	-178.6 (2)
C8—O1—C7—C6	179.00 (11)	C7—C6—C1—F1	0.0 (3)
$C8^{i}$ — $C9$ — $C10$ — $O2^{i}$	52.40 (16)	C5-C6-C1-C2	1.2 (4)
C8-C9-C10-O2 ⁱ	-69.09 (16)	C7—C6—C1—C2	179.8 (3)
$C10^{i}$ — $C9$ — $C10$ — $O2^{i}$	172.51 (17)	F1—C1—C2—C3	178.6 (4)
O2—C7—C6—C1	126.2 (2)	C6—C1—C2—C3	-1.2 (5)
O1—C7—C6—C1	-112.5 (2)	C1—C2—C3—C4	0.5 (6)
O2—C7—C6—C5	-55.3 (2)	C5—C4—C3—C2	0.2 (6)
O1—C7—C6—C5	65.9 (2)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+3/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H…A
C8—H8A····O2 ⁱⁱ	0.97	2.57	3.334 (2)	136
C10—H10 <i>B</i> ···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.56	3.410 (2)	146
C2—H2…F1 ^{iv}	0.93	2.56	3.351 (3)	143

Symmetry codes: (ii) *x*, -*y*+2, *z*+1/2; (iii) -*x*+1, *y*+1, -*z*+3/2; (iv) -*x*+3/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+3/2.