

Received 25 November 2015 Accepted 30 November 2015

Edited by M. Zeller, Youngstown State University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; methyltin(IV) triiode; 2,2'-bipyridine; coordination compound

CCDC reference: 1439787 Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure of the coordination compound of triiodidomethyltin(IV) with 2,2'-bipyridine, MeSnI₃·bipy

Hans Reuter* and Martin Reichelt

Institute of Chemistry of New Materials, University of Osnabrueck, Barbarstrasse 7, 49069 Osnabrueck, Germany. *Correspondence e-mail: hreuter@uos.de

The title compound, $(2.2'-bipyridine-\kappa^2 N,N')$ triiodidomethyltin(IV), [Sn(CH₃)- $I_3(C_{10}H_8N_2)]$, crystallizing in the non-centrosymmetric orthorhombic space group $Pca2_1$ as an inversion twin, represents one of the few structurally characterized coordination compounds of an organotin(IV) trihalide with 2,2'-biypridine. Its distorted octahedral geometry shows a meridional arrangement of the I atoms and the methyl group is in-plane with the five-membered chelate ring. Asymmetric bonding of the biypridine ligand to the tin(IV) atom is reflected by different Sn-N bond lengths [2.268 (4) Å versus 2.293 (4) Å] and caused by the static *trans* effect of the methyl group. Sn-I bond lengths show some differences with respect to their orientation to the methyl group or the bipyridine ligand, respectively. Angular distortions in the coordination sphere of the Sn^{IV} atom mainly arise from the large I atoms. Distortion of the 2,2'-bipyridine ligand as a result of its coordination to the Sn^{IV} atom are described by the twisting angle of 2.5 (2)° between the least-squares planes of the two pyridine rings, as well as by the angle of $6.2 (2)^{\circ}$ between the two lines through the pyridine-connecting C atoms and the para-orientated C atoms. Directional intermolecular interactions are restricted to weak I···H van der Waals contacts.

1. Chemical context

Tin(IV) halides and organotin(IV) halides, R_{4-n} SnHal_n with n = 1,2,3,4 and Hal = F, Cl, Br, I, show a graduated Lewis acid activity towards Lewis bases. As early as 1898, Werner and Pfeiffer stated that the acidity is decreased in the sequence: $SnHal_4 > RSnHal_3 > R_2SnHal_2 > R_3SnHal$ (Werner & Pfeiffer, 1898). Although monoorganotin(IV) halides show the highest Lewis acidity among the organotin(IV) halides, only a few complexes have been prepared and even fewer have been structurally characterized, in contrast to the situation in case of diorganotin(IV) dihalides. The few examples that have been structurally investigated are dominated by monodentate Lewis bases with O or N as coordination donors, whereas corresponding bidentate ligands are inadequately represented. Currently, there are only five coordination compounds of monoorganotin(IV) trihalides with bidentate N,N-chelating ligands listed in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database (Version 5.36, last update May 2015; Groom & Allen, 2014) but only three, BzlSnCl₃(phen) (Hall & Tiekink, 1996), 1, EtSnI₃(bipy) (Paseshnichenko et al., 1984), **2**, R'SnCl₃(bipy) with R' = 3-(4-methoxybenzyl)cyclopentadienyl (Gleeson et al., 2008), 3, exhibit an almost planar backbone of the ligand as is characteristic for 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) or 1,10-phenanthroline (phen). From a fundamental point of view, such complexes are of special interest, because of two possible steroisomers which differ in the position of the organic

research communications

substituent in relation to the plane of the ligand (in-plane or perpendicular) while the three halide atoms adopt a meridional or facial orientation. The majority of all complexes investigated exhibit a meridional arrangement of the halide atoms, only 3 features a facial one.



During a systematic study (Reuter et al., 2011) on the solidstate structures of diorganotin(IV) dihalides, R_2 SnHal₂, we were interested in methylphenyldiiodidotin(IV), MePhSnI₂, because of the unique crystal structure of the corresponding dichloride (Amini et al., 1987). Experiments to achieve this diiodide from the corresponding oxide by reaction with aqueous ammonium iodide, however, failed as the resulting liquid turned out to be a mixture of two or more different unknown organotin species which could not purified by distillation. We therefore tried to synthesize derivates of these compounds by adding 2,2'-bipyridine to the mixtures in the hope of obtaining single crystals for identification. Indeed, the synthesis succeeded and we found two different kinds of single crystals, orange needles of the title compound and red blocks of the 2,2'-bipyridine complex of dimethyldiiodidotin(IV), Me₂SnI₂·bipy, the structure of which was also confirmed by X-ray diffraction (Reuter & Reichelt, unpublished results).



Figure 1

Thermal ellipsoid model of the asymmetric unit in the crystal structure of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme used. With exception of the H atoms, which are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius, all other atoms are drawn with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.

Table 1		
Selected	geometric parameters (Å, °).	

e	1	·	
Sn1-C11	2.179 (5)	Sn1-I1	2.8041 (5)
Sn1-N1	2.268 (4)	Sn1-I3	2.8476 (4)
Sn1-N2	2.293 (4)	Sn1-I2	2.8580 (4)
C11-Sn1-I1	96.85 (14)	I1-Sn1-I2	94.416 (13)
C11-Sn1-I3	94.87 (15)	I3-Sn1-I2	167.039 (17)
I1-Sn1-I3	93.064 (13)		

2. Structural commentary

The tin(IV) atom of the title compound is distorted octahedrally coordinated with the methyl group in plane with the chelating ligand and the iodine atoms in a meridional arrangement (Fig. 1). Although the formation of the fivemembered chelate ring between the bidentate 2,2'-biypridine ligand and the tin(IV) atom provides the complex a certain rigidity, there remains enough conformational adaptability to react flexibly towards electronic as well as steric intramolecular or intermolecular demands. Since the pioneering work of Buslaev et al. (1989), the important role of electronic effects on bond lengths in complexes of monoorganontin(IV) halides in particular and monoorganotin(IV) compounds in general (Reuter & Ye, 2013; Reichelt & Reuter, 2013) is well established and introduced into the literature as the static trans-effect meaning that a bond trans to the organic group is shortened in comparison to a comparable bond in *cis* position. As a result, the 2,2'-bipyridine ligand of the title compound bonds asymmetrically to the tin(IV) atom: the Sn-N bond *trans* to the methyl group [d(Sn-N) = 2.268 (4) Å] is shorter than the other [d(Sn-N) = 2.293 (4) Å].

The lengths of the three Sn-I bonds are very similar, although there is a significant difference between the Sn-Ibond *trans* to the bipyridine ligand [d(Sn1-I1) =2.8041 (5) Å] and the two *cis* orientated Sn-I bonds [mean value: d(Sn-I) = 2.853 (7) Å]. Similar Sn-I bond lengths (2.808, 2.838–2.878 Å) are found in the ethyl compound **2**. All in all, these Sn-I bonds of sixfold-coordinated tin(IV) are about 0.2 Å longer than for the tin(IV) atom in the tetrahedral environment of SnI_4 , where a mean value of 2.661 Å has been observed (Reuter & Pawlak, 2001).

In comparison with the Sn-C bond length of the corresponding dimethyldiiodidotin(IV) compound [2.122 (3) Å; Reuter & Reichelt, unpublished], that of the title compound is rather long [2.179 (5) Å]. In the corresponding ethyl compound **2**, the bond is even longer (2.199 Å). Whether this reflects a general trend is difficult to decide, because no other precise structural data of complexes of monoorganotin(IV) triiodides are available.

Other distortions of the octahedral coordination around the central tin(IV) atom concern bond angles which deviate significantly from the bond angles in a regular octahedron. The distortions are caused mainly by the large iodine atoms, which demand the most space in the environment of the tin atom, with the result that the bond angles between the iodine atoms themselves, as well as the bond angles between the iodine atoms and the methyl group, are significantly larger



Figure 2

Displacement ellipsoid model of the title compound looking down the direction of the planes I1–Sn1–C11 and I2–Sn1–I3 and their dihedral angle (all in blue); orientation of the least-squares plane through all atoms of the 2,2'-bipyridine ligand in relation to these planes with the corresponding dihedral angles (all in red).

than 90° [96.9 (1), 94.9 (2), 93.06 (1)°; Table 1]. As a consequence, the axis through the iodine atoms *cis* to the bipy ligand is bent [167.04 (2)°] in direction of the chelate ligand. All these bond-angle distortions, however, take place within the planes these four atoms are involved in [I1–Sn1–C11/I2–Sn1–I3] so that these planes are almost perpendicular to each other [dihedral angle: 89.44 (7)°] (Fig. 2). In contrast, the bipyridine ligand adopts an inclined orientation [dihedral angles: 86.32 (3)° with I2–Sn1–I3; 4.24 (8)° with I1–Sn1–C11].

The bipyridine ligand itself shows the typical bond lengths and angles: d(C-N) = 1.346, $d(C-C)_{arom} = 1.385$, $C-N-C = 119.3^{\circ}$, all mean values. As usual, the shorter C–N bonds and angles at nitrogen are compensated by larger bond angles at



Figure 3

Displacement ellipsoid model of the title compound looking onto the biypridine ligand, showing its twisting described by the dihedral angle between the least-squares planes through the two pyridine moieties of this ligand.



Figure 4

Displacement ellipsoid model of the title compound looking onto the bipyridine ligand, showing its bending described by the angle between the two lines through the connecting and the *para*-oriented carbon atoms.

the carbon atoms so that planarity of both pyridine moieties is retained [deviations from least-squares plane (Å): N1 = -0.005 (3), C1 = -0.002 (3), C2 = 0.005 (4), C3 = -0.001 (4), C4 = -0.007 (3), C5 = 0.010 (3); N2 = -0.002 (3), C7 =0.004(3), C8 = -0.003(3), C9 = 0.001(3), C10 = 0.001(3), C6= -0.001 (3)]. The C-C single bond between the two pyridine groups has a length of 1.488 (6) Å. The interaction of the ligand with the tin(IV) atom, however, produces some distortions affecting the planarity of the ligand as a whole, as well as the orientation of the two pyridine rings in relation to each other. Twisting of the bipyridine ligand is best described by the dihedral angle of $2.5 (2)^{\circ}$ (Fig. 3) between the leastsquares planes of the pyridine rings, while its bending (Fig. 4) can be quantitatively described using the angle of $6.2 (2)^{\circ}$ between the lines through the linking carbon atoms (C5 and C6) and their *para*-orientated counterparts (C2 and C8).

3. Supramolecular features

In the solid state, there are only weak interactions between the complexes. No π - π interactions between the aromatic rings or Sn...I interactions between neighboring molecules are observed (Fig. 5). I...H van der Waals type contacts are the only type of directional interactions between molecules. The shortest interaction [3.047 Å] is found between an H atom (H10) of the bipyridine ligand of one molecule with an iodine atom (I1) of the neighboring molecule almost colinear with the c axis (Fig. 5). Because of space-group symmetry, the strands of molecules connected this way are arranged in Vshaped pairs [opening angle about 52°] with an offset of c/2between individual I···H connected strands. Along the b-axis direction, neighboring pairs of molecules are connected via somewhat longer I···H contacts [3.162 Å, I3···H2], while along the *a*-axis direction there are no contacts shorter than 3.2 Å.

research communications

Table 2Experimental details.

Crystal data Chemical formula $[Sn(CH_3)I_3(C_{10}H_8N_2)]$ М., 670.61 Crystal system, space group Orthorhombic, Pca21 Temperature (K) 100 23.5604 (4), 7.0367 (3), 9.5792 (4) *a*, *b*, *c* (Å) $V(Å^3)$ 1588.11 (10) Z 4 Radiation type Μο Κα $\mu \,({\rm mm}^{-1})$ 7.42 $0.23\,\times\,0.15\,\times\,0.11$ Crystal size (mm) Data collection Diffractometer Bruker APEXII CCD Absorption correction Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009) 0.285, 0.501 T_{\min}, T_{\max} No. of measured, independent and 48663, 4026, 3990 observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections $R_{\rm int}$ 0.039 $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text{max}} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$ 0.677 Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ 0.016, 0.037, 1.21 No. of reflections 4026 No. of parameters 156 No. of restraints H-atom treatment H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$ 0.61, -0.81Absolute structure Refined as an inversion twin. Absolute structure parameter 0.12(3)

Computer programs: APEX2 (Bruker, 2009), SAINT (Bruker, 2009), SHELXS97 and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006) and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008).

4. Synthesis and crystallization

In a typical experiment, a suspension of 4.1 g (18 mmol) MePhSnO and 5.6 g (50 mmol, excess) NH₄I in toluene was heated to reflux of the solvent for 24 h using a Soxhlet extractor filled with silica gel for water adsorption. After evaporation of the organic solvent, the remaining liquid was proved by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy to be composed of at least two different organotin(IV) species. Attempts to separate these compounds by distillation failed. Re-dissolution of the residue in toluene, and addition of 2,2'-bipyridine followed by slow evaporation of the organic solvent, however, resulted in the formation of two different crystal forms; orange needles of the title compound and red blocks of Me₂SnI₂·bipy. Unfortunately, further attempts to separate larger amounts of the different species for further characterization were unsuccessful.

5. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The title compound crystallizes in the non-centrosymmetric, orthorhombic space group $Pca2_1$. As the Flack parameter deviates significantly from zero, the structure was refined as an inversion twin with a twin-factor of 0.12 (3). All hydrogen atoms could be localized in difference Fourier syntheses but were refined in geometric positions





Perspective view of the crystal structure seen parallel to [001], looking down the strands resulting from short I···H van der Waals contacts between bipyridine and iodine of neighbouring complexes. All van der Waals contacts shorter 3.2 Å are drawn as dashed blue lines.

riding on the carbon atoms with C–H distances of 0.98 Å (–CH₃) and 0.95 Å (–CH_{arom}) and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Reflection 2 0 0 was omitted because it was affected by the beam stop.

Acknowledgements

We thank the state of Lower-Saxony and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for funding the diffractometer.

References

- Amini, M. M., Holt, E. M. & Zuckerman, J. J. (1987). J. Organomet. Chem. 327, 147–155.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2009). APEX2, SADABS and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Buslaev, Yu. A., Kravchenko, E. A., Burtzev, M. Yu. & Aslanov, L. A.(1989). Coord. Chem. Rev. 93, 185–204.
- Gleeson, B., Claffey, J., Ertler, D., Hogan, M., Müller-Bunz, H., Paradisi, F., Wallis, D. & Tacke, M. (2008). *Polyhedron*, 27, 3619– 3624.
- Groom, C. R. & Allen, F. H. (2014). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53, 662– 671.
- Hall, V. J. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 2141-2143.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Paseshnichenko, K. A., Aslanov, L. A., Yatsenko, A. V. & Medvedev, S. V. (1984). Koord. Khim. 10, 1279–1284.
- Reichelt, M. & Reuter, H. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, m4.
- Reuter, H. & Pawlak, R. (2001). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 216, 34-38.
- Reuter, H. & Ye, F. (2013). Main Group Met. Chem. 36, 225-227.
- Reuter, H., Ye, F., Reichelt, M., Vages, J., Osthaar, S. & Schwitke, S. (2011). Acta Cryst. A67, C729–C730.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Werner, A. & Pfeiffer, P. (1898). Z. Anorg. Chem. 17, 82-110.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 17-20 [doi:10.1107/S2056989015022975]

Crystal structure of the coordination compound of triiodidomethyltin(IV) with 2,2'-bipyridine, MeSnI₃·bipy

Hans Reuter and Martin Reichelt

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2009); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2006) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

(2,2'-Bipyridine- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)triiodidomethyltin(IV)

Crystal data	
$[Sn(CH_3)I_3(C_{10}H_8N_2)]$ $M_r = 670.61$ Orthorhombic, $Pca2_1$ a = 23.5604 (4) Å b = 7.0367 (3) Å c = 9.5792 (4) Å V = 1588.11 (10) Å ³ Z = 4 F(000) = 1200	$D_x = 2.805 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9469 reflections $\theta = 2.7-28.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 7.42 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K Block, orange $0.23 \times 0.15 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009) $T_{min} = 0.285, T_{max} = 0.501$ 48663 measured reflections	4026 independent reflections 3990 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.039$ $\theta_{max} = 28.8^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.7^{\circ}$ $h = -31 \rightarrow 31$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -12 \rightarrow 11$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.016$ $wR(F^2) = 0.037$ S = 1.21 4026 reflections 156 parameters 1 restraint Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0111P)^2 + 2.8899P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.61$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.81$ e Å ⁻³ Absolute structure: Refined as an inversion twin. Absolute structure parameter: 0.12 (3)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refined as a 2-component inversion twin.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Sn1	0.36213 (2)	0.17120 (4)	0.23984 (4)	0.01142 (6)	
C11	0.3258 (2)	-0.0290 (8)	0.3886 (6)	0.0208 (11)	
H11	0.3094	-0.1370	0.3381	0.025*	
H12	0.2960	0.0344	0.4428	0.025*	
H13	0.3555	-0.0744	0.4518	0.025*	
I1	0.38951 (2)	0.45560 (5)	0.43351 (4)	0.01814 (7)	
I2	0.47258 (2)	0.00336 (5)	0.24365 (4)	0.01947 (7)	
I3	0.25648 (2)	0.34316 (4)	0.16992 (3)	0.01643 (7)	
N1	0.39831 (16)	0.3374 (6)	0.0575 (4)	0.0115 (7)	
C1	0.4260 (2)	0.5041 (7)	0.0732 (5)	0.0145 (9)	
H1	0.4291	0.5579	0.1639	0.017*	
C2	0.4501 (2)	0.5987 (8)	-0.0381 (5)	0.0174 (10)	
H2	0.4696	0.7152	-0.0241	0.021*	
C3	0.4455 (2)	0.5221 (8)	-0.1699 (6)	0.0187 (10)	
H3	0.4615	0.5851	-0.2482	0.022*	
C4	0.4168 (2)	0.3504 (7)	-0.1865 (5)	0.0154 (9)	
H4	0.4126	0.2957	-0.2765	0.019*	
C5	0.39433 (18)	0.2602 (6)	-0.0701 (6)	0.0133 (8)	
C6	0.36488 (19)	0.0737 (6)	-0.0814 (5)	0.0126 (9)	
N2	0.34677 (16)	-0.0009 (6)	0.0402 (4)	0.0123 (8)	
C7	0.3195 (2)	-0.1687 (7)	0.0394 (6)	0.0167 (10)	
H7	0.3073	-0.2213	0.1256	0.020*	
C8	0.3088 (2)	-0.2670 (7)	-0.0824 (6)	0.0185 (10)	
H8	0.2890	-0.3846	-0.0803	0.022*	
C9	0.3276 (2)	-0.1905 (8)	-0.2087 (6)	0.0194 (10)	
H9	0.3210	-0.2559	-0.2939	0.023*	
C10	0.3558 (2)	-0.0188 (7)	-0.2079 (6)	0.0172 (10)	
H10	0.3688	0.0358	-0.2927	0.021*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
Sn1	0.01426 (13)	0.01016 (13)	0.00985 (14)	-0.00100 (10)	0.00007 (12)	-0.00058 (13)
C11	0.024 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.014 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.003 (2)
I1	0.02387 (15)	0.01725 (13)	0.01331 (14)	-0.00236 (13)	-0.00016 (12)	-0.00381 (14)
I2	0.01713 (13)	0.01535 (13)	0.02594 (16)	0.00278 (10)	-0.00681 (14)	-0.00460 (14)
I3	0.01422 (13)	0.01633 (14)	0.01874 (15)	0.00167 (11)	0.00060 (12)	-0.00138 (13)
N1	0.0111 (16)	0.0113 (18)	0.0123 (19)	-0.0006 (14)	-0.0006 (15)	0.0025 (15)

supporting information

C1	0.015 (2)	0.013 (2)	0.015 (2)	-0.0037 (17)	0.0007 (18)	-0.0007 (19)
C2	0.017 (2)	0.015 (2)	0.020 (3)	-0.0011 (17)	0.0026 (19)	0.002 (2)
C3	0.021 (2)	0.020 (3)	0.016 (2)	0.0001 (19)	0.0066 (19)	0.007 (2)
C4	0.021 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.009 (2)	0.0008 (19)	0.0006 (18)	-0.0012 (18)
C5	0.0101 (18)	0.013 (2)	0.016 (2)	-0.0005 (15)	-0.0008 (18)	0.003 (2)
C6	0.014 (2)	0.013 (2)	0.011 (2)	0.0007 (15)	-0.0024 (17)	-0.001 (2)
N2	0.0126 (18)	0.0106 (19)	0.014 (2)	0.0025 (14)	0.0006 (16)	0.0002 (16)
C7	0.017 (2)	0.015 (2)	0.018 (3)	-0.0001 (18)	-0.0003 (19)	0.002 (2)
C8	0.019 (2)	0.013 (2)	0.023 (3)	-0.0009 (18)	-0.006 (2)	-0.004 (2)
C9	0.024 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.019 (2)	0.0002 (19)	-0.006(2)	-0.0059 (19)
C10	0.020 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.015 (2)	0.0007 (19)	-0.0017 (18)	-0.0027 (19)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Sn1—C11	2.179 (5)	C3—C4	1.393 (7)
Sn1—N1	2.268 (4)	С3—Н3	0.9500
Sn1—N2	2.293 (4)	C4—C5	1.388 (7)
Sn1—I1	2.8041 (5)	C4—H4	0.9500
Sn1—I3	2.8476 (4)	C5—C6	1.488 (6)
Sn1—I2	2.8580 (4)	C6—N2	1.347 (7)
C11—H11	0.9800	C6—C10	1.392 (7)
C11—H12	0.9800	N2—C7	1.344 (6)
С11—Н13	0.9800	C7—C8	1.379 (8)
N1—C5	1.341 (7)	С7—Н7	0.9500
N1-C1	1.351 (6)	C8—C9	1.396 (8)
C1—C2	1.379 (7)	C8—H8	0.9500
C1—H1	0.9500	C9—C10	1.379 (7)
С2—С3	1.377 (8)	С9—Н9	0.9500
С2—Н2	0.9500	C10—H10	0.9500
C11	169 90 (18)	С1—С2—Н2	120.4
C11 = Sn1 = N2	98 16 (18)	$C_{2}^{}C_{3}^{}C_{4}^{}$	118 8 (5)
N1 = Sn1 = N2	71.89(15)	C2—C3—H3	120.6
C11— $Sn1$ — $I1$	96.85 (14)	C4 - C3 - H3	120.0
$N1_Sn1_I$	93 16 (11)	$C_{4} = C_{5} = 113$	119.4(5)
N_{1} S_{11} N_{1} N_{2} S_{11} I_{1}	164.86 (10)	$C_{5} - C_{4} - H_{4}$	120.3
$C11$ _Sn1_I3	94 87 (15)	$C_3 - C_4 - H_4$	120.3
N1 = Sn1 = I3	85 89 (10)	N1 - C5 - C4	120.5
N2 $Sn1$ I3	83.69 (10)	N1-C5-C6	117 2 (5)
I1 = Sn1 = I3	93.064 (13)	C4 - C5 - C6	121 5 (5)
C_{11} Sn1 IS	94 73 (15)	N^{2} —C6—C10	121.5(3)
N1 = Sn1 = I2	83 15 (10)	$N_2 - C_6 - C_5$	121.4(4) 1154(4)
N1 - Sn1 - I2 N2 - Sn1 - I2	86 34 (10)	$C_{10} - C_{6} - C_{5}$	123 2 (5)
$I1_{1}_{1}_{1}$	94.416(13)	C7 - N2 - C6	125.2(3) 1193(4)
I1 - SI1 - I2 I3 - Sn1 - I2	167 039 (17)	C7 = N2 = Sn1	123.0 (3)
$S_{n1} - G_{11} - H_{11}$	109.5	$C_{1} = \frac{1}{12} = -\frac{5}{11}$	125.0(5) 117.7(3)
Sn1—C11—H12	109.5	N2 - C7 - C8	117.7(3) 122.2(5)
$H_{11} - C_{11} - H_{12}$	109.5	$N_2 = C_7 = C_0$	122.2 (3)
1111 - 011 - 1112	107.3	112-0/-11/	110.7

supporting information

Sn1—C11—H13	109.5	С8—С7—Н7	118.9	
H11-C11-H13	109.5	C7—C8—C9	118.8 (4)	
H12—C11—H13	109.5	C7—C8—H8	120.6	
C5—N1—C1	119.2 (4)	С9—С8—Н8	120.6	
C5—N1—Sn1	117.8 (3)	C10—C9—C8	119.0 (5)	
C1—N1—Sn1	122.9 (3)	С10—С9—Н9	120.5	
N1—C1—C2	122.1 (5)	С8—С9—Н9	120.5	
N1—C1—H1	118.9	C9—C10—C6	119.3 (5)	
С2—С1—Н1	118.9	C9—C10—H10	120.4	
C3—C2—C1	119.2 (5)	C6—C10—H10	120.4	
С3—С2—Н2	120.4			