



ISSN 2056-9890

Received 5 May 2016 Accepted 11 May 2016

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

Keywords: crystal structure; xanthene; conformation; Hirshfeld surface.

CCDC reference: 1479203

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

12-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2,3,4,12-tetrahydro-1*H*-5-oxatetraphen-1-one: crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis

Mukesh M. Jotani,^a* P. Iniyavan,^b V. Vijayakumar,^b S. Sarveswari,^b Yee Seng Tan^c and Edward R. T. Tiekink^c*

^aDepartment of Physics, Bhavan's Sheth R. A. College of Science, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380 001, India, ^bCentre for Organic and Medicinal Chemistry, VIT University, Vellore, Tamil Nadu 632 014, India, and ^cResearch Centre for Crystalline Materials, Faculty of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia. *Correspondence e-mail: mmjotani@rediffmail.com, edwardt@sunway.edu.my

In the title compound, $C_{26}H_{24}O_5$, the pyran ring has a flattened-boat conformation, with the 1.4-related ether O and methine C atoms lying 0.1205 (18) and 0.271 (2) Å, respectively, above the least-squares plane involving the doubly bonded C atoms (r.m.s deviation = 0.0208 Å). An envelope conformation is found for the cyclohexene ring, with the flap atom being the middle methylene C atom, lying 0.616 (2) Å out of the plane defined by the remaining atoms (r.m.s. deviation = 0.0173 Å). The fused four-ring system is approximately planar, with the dihedral angle between the least-squares planes through the cyclohexene and naphthyl rings being 10.78 (7)°. The trisubstituted benzene ring occupies a position almost perpendicular to the pyran ring [dihedral angle = $83.97 (4)^{\circ}$]. The most prominent feature of the packing is the formation of zigzag supramolecular chains mediated by aryl-C-H···O(meth-O(methoxy) interactions; chains are connected into a three-dimensional architecture by methylene- and methyl-C-H··· π interactions. The prevalence of $C-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions is confirmed by an analysis of the Hirshfeld surface. A comparison with related structures suggests that the molecular conformation of the title compound is relatively robust with respect to varying substitution patterns at the methine C atom of the pyran ring.

1. Chemical context

Xanthenes and benzoxanthenes are important bioactive compounds that possess a wide range of biological and therapeutic properties, such as analgesic (Hafez *et al.*, 2008), antiviral and antibacterial and anti-inflammatory activities (Poupelin *et al.*, 1978; Hideo & Teruomi, 1981; Asano *et al.*, 1996; Matsumoto *et al.*, 2005; Pinto *et al.*, 2005; Woo *et al.*, 2007; Pouli & Marakos, 2009). Some of these compounds have been used in photodynamic therapy (Ion, 1997). Further, due to their having desirable spectroscopic properties, some derivatives have been used as dyes in laser technologies (Menchen *et al.*, 2003) and as pH-sensitive fluorescent materials for the visualization of biomolecules (Ahmad *et al.*, 2002).

Various methods for the synthesis of tetrahydrobenzo[a]xanthen-11-ones have been reported (Knight & Stephens, 1989). These usually involve a three-component condensation of dimedone with an aromatic aldehyde and 2-naphthol. However, each of these procedures has some drawbacks, such as harsh reaction conditions, tedious work-up and low yields. Hence, the microwave-assisted ionic liquid-mediated synthesis of xanthenes from cyclohexane-1,3-dione, 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde and 2-naphthol was attempted. The use of an

research communications

ionic liquid, *i.e.* [1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium]PF₆, and microwave irradiation afforded the title compound in high yield within 12 min (Iniyavan *et al.*, 2015). The title compound is a potent anti-oxidant (Iniyavan *et al.*, 2015) and herein its crystal and molecular structures are described, along with an analysis of its Hirshfeld surface in order to gain greater insight into the crystal packing, especially the role of weaker interactions.



2. Structural commentary

The central pyran ring in the title compound, (I), is flanked by both a cyclohexene ring and a naphthyl-fused ring system (Fig. 1). A trisubstituted benzene ring is connected to the aforementioned four-ring residue at the methine C7 atom. The pyran ring has a flattened boat conformation, with the 1,4related O1 and C7 atoms lying 0.1205 (18) and 0.271 (2) Å to the same side of the plane (r.m.s. deviation of the fitted atoms = 0.0208 Å) defined by the C1=C6 [1.3431 (19) Å] and



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 70% probability level.

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Cg1, Cg2 and Cg3 are the centroids of the C8/C9/C14–C17, C18–C23 and C9–C14 rings, respectively.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C16-H16\cdots O20^{i}$	0.95	2.36	3.2604 (18)	159
$C2-H2B\cdots Cg1^{ii}$	0.99	2.92	3.8088 (16)	150
$C4-H4B\cdots Cg2^{iii}$	0.99	2.75	3.5605 (16)	140
$C22' - H22B \cdots Cg2^{iv}$	0.98	2.56	3.3918 (16)	143
$C22' - H22C \cdots Cg3^{iv}$	0.98	2.78	3.4332 (16)	125

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, z; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, -z; (iii) -x, -y + 1, -z; (iv) $x - \frac{3}{2}$, y, $-z - \frac{1}{2}$.

C8=C17 [1.3681 (19) Å] double bonds. To a first approximation, the cyclohexene ring has an envelope conformation, with the C3 (flap) atom lying 0.616 (2) Å above the plane defined by the remaining atoms (r.m.s. deviation = 0.0173 Å). The atoms comprising the four-ring system are approximately coplanar, as seen in the dihedral angle between the best plane through the cyclohexene ring and naphthyl residue of 10.78 (7)°. The benzene ring occupies a position almost perpendicular to the previous residue, forming a dihedral angle of 83.97 (4)° with the best plane through the pyran ring. In the benzene ring, two methoxy groups are coplanar with the ring to which they are connected [the C20'-O20-C20-C19 and C22'-O22-C22-C23 torsion angles are 4.98 (19) and 0.51 (19)°, respectively], while the central substituent is approximately perpendicular to the ring lying over the naph-





The molecular packing in (I): (a) a view of the supramolecular chain along the a axis sustained by $C-H\cdots O$ interactions shown as orange dashed lines and (b) the unit-cell contents shown in projection down the a axis with the $C-H\cdots \pi(aryl)$ interactions shown as purple dashed lines.

thyl residue, *i.e.* C21'-O21-C21-C22 is 76.08 (16)°. Presumably, this conformation is adopted to reduce steric hindrance.

3. Supramolecular features

In the molecular packing of (I), supramolecular chains along the *a* axis are formed through the agency of relatively strong aryl-C16-H16···O(methoxy) interactions (Table 1). Being generated by glide symmetry, the topology of the chain is zigzag (Fig. 2*a*). The chains are connected into a threedimensional architecture by a network of C-H··· π (aryl) interactions (Table 1). The donor atoms are derived from methylene and methyl groups, with the acceptor rings being each of the aromatic rings and with the outer benzene ring participating in two such contacts (Fig. 2*b*).

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

With the aid of the program *Crystal Explorer* (Wolff *et al.*, 2012), Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over d_{norm} , d_e , curvedness and electrostatic potential were generated. The electrostatic potential was calculated with *TONTO* (Spackman *et al.*, 2008; Jayatilaka *et al.*, 2005), integrated in *Crystal Explorer*, using the crystal structure as the starting geometry. The electrostatic potentials were mapped on the Hirshfeld surface using the

STO-3G basis/Hartree–Fock level of theory over the range ± 0.08 au. The contact distances d_i and d_e from the Hirshfeld surface to the nearest atom inside and outside, respectively, enables the analysis of the intermolecular interactions through the mapping of d_{norm} . The combination of d_e and d_i in the form of a two-dimensional fingerprint plot (McKinnon *et al.*, 2004) provides a convenient summary of the intermolecular contacts in the crystal.

The bright-red spots at the aryl H16 and methoxy O20 atoms, visible on the Hirshfeld surface mapped over d_{norm} and labelled as '1' in Fig. 3, represent the donor and acceptor atoms for the intermolecular $C-H\cdots O$ interaction, respectively. On the surface mapped over electrostatic potential (Fig. 4), these interactions appear as the respective blue and red regions. The views of surfaces mapped over d_{norm} , d_e , electrostatic potential and shape-index (Figs. 3–6) highlight the significant role of $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions in the packing. In particular, the involvement of the methoxy C22'-H group in two $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions with the symmetry-related aryl rings (Table 1) are evident from the two faint-red spots near these atoms on the d_{norm} mapped surface, indicated with '2' in Fig. 3.

The corresponding regions on the Hirshfeld surface mapped over electrostatic potential (Fig. 4) appear as blue and light-red, respectively. The remaining $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions, involving the methylene H2B and H4B atoms as donors, and the C8/C9/C14-C17 and C18-C23 rings as π -acceptors, are



Figure 3

Two views of Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over d_{norm} for (I). Labels '1', '2' and '3' indicate specific intermolecular interactions (see text).



Figure 4

Two views of Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over electrostatic potential for (I). The red and blue regions represent negative and positive electrostatic potentials, respectively.

 Table 2

 Additional short interatomic contacts (Å) for the title compound.

Interaction	Distance	Symmetry operation
C23· · · H4 <i>B</i>	2.86	-x, 1-y, -z
C23···H22B	2.72	$\frac{1}{2} + x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$
$C11 \cdot \cdot \cdot H2A$	2.86	$\frac{1}{1} + x, y, z$
$C11 \cdot \cdot \cdot H22A$	2.83	1 + x, y, z
O2· · · H3 <i>B</i>	2.61	-x, 1-y, -z
$C12 \cdot \cdot \cdot H22A$	2.82	1 + x, y, z
C18···H22B	2.77	$\frac{1}{2} + x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$
C22···H22B	2.86	$\frac{1}{2} + x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$
$C21' \cdots H4A$	2.82	$\frac{1}{2} - x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$

also evident from Fig. 4, through the appearance of respective blue and light-red regions near these atoms. The network of these $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions are also recognized through the pale-orange spots present on the Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over d_e , highlighted within blue circles in Fig. 5, and as brightred spots over the front side of shape-indexed surfaces identified with arrows in Fig. 6. The reciprocal of these $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions, *i.e.* $\pi\cdots H-C$, are also seen as blue spots on the shape-indexed surface in Fig. 6. The faint-red spots near the phenyl C23 atom on the surface mapped over d_{norm} , labelled as '**3**' in Fig. 3, indicate the presence of short interatomic $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$ contacts in the crystal, Table 2.

The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot (Fig. 7*a*) and those delineated (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) into $H \cdots H$, $O \cdots H/$ $H \cdots O$ and $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ contacts are illustrated in Figs. 7(*bd*), respectively; their relative contributions are summarized in Table 3. The interatomic $H \cdots H$ contacts at distances greater than their van der Waals separation appear as scattered points in the greater part of the fingerprint plot (Fig. 7*b*), and makes



Figure 5

Views of Hirshfeld surface mapped over d_e for (I). The pale-orange spots within blue circles indicate the involvement of aryl ring atoms in C-H··· π interactions.



Figure 6

Views of Hirshfeld surface mapped with the shape-index property for (I). The bright-red spots identified with arrows indicate the $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions, while the blue spots indicate complementary $\pi\cdots H-C$ interactions.

Ta	Ы		2
	D	IC.	

Percentage contribution of the different intermolecular interactions to the Hirshfeld surface of (I).

Contact	Contribution	
$H \cdots H$	49.9	
$O \cdots H/H \cdots O$	21.2	
$C \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot C$	28.1	
$C \cdots O / O \cdots C$	0.6	
00	0.2	
$C \cdots C$	0.0	

the most significant contribution to the overall Hirshfeld surface, *i.e.* 49.3%. In the fingerprint plot delineated into $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ contacts, a pair of short spikes at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.4$ Å, and the cluster of blue points aligned in pairs with $(d_e + d_i)_{min} \sim 2.7$ Å, identified with labels '1' and '2', respectively, in Fig. 7(c), corresponds to a 21.2% contribution to the Hirshfeld surface. These features reflect the presence of aryl-C16– H16···O(methoxy) interactions, as well as the short interatomic $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ contacts between carboxyl O2 and methylene H3B atoms (Table 2).

The fingerprint plot delineated into $\mathbb{C}\cdots H/H\cdots \mathbb{C}$ contacts, with a 28.1% contribution to the Hirshfeld surface, shows the points in the plot arranged in the form of two pairs of arrowlike shapes with their tips at $d_e + d_i = 2.70$ and 2.85 Å, labelled as '1' and '2' in Fig. 7(*d*), respectively. These features reflect the presence of $\mathbb{C}-H\cdots\pi$ interactions and short interatomic $\mathbb{C}\cdots H/H\cdots\mathbb{C}$ contacts (Table 3) in the crystal. The absence of $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions is consistent with their being no contribution from $\mathbb{C}\cdots\mathbb{C}$ contacts to the Hirshfeld surface (Table 3).



Figure 7

Two-dimensional fingerprint plots calculated for (I): (*a*) overall plot, and those delineated into (*b*) $H \cdots H$, (*c*) $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and (*d*) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ contacts.

 Table 4

 Enrichment ratios (ER) for the title compound.

Interaction	ER
$H \cdots H$	0.90
$O \cdots H/H \cdots O$	1.28
$C \cdots H/H \cdots C$	1.31
$\mathbf{C} \cdots \mathbf{C}$	0.0
$C \cdots O / O \cdots C$	0.19
00	0.16

The final analysis of the molecular packing involves a relatively new descriptor, *i.e.* the enrichment ratio (ER) (Jelsch *et al.*, 2014); data are collated in Table 4. The involvement of surface H atoms in $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions and the presence of a number of interatomic $C\cdots H$ contacts (Table 3) yields an ER value for $H\cdots H$ contacts less than unity, *i.e.* 0.90. The presence of these interactions explains the enhanced ER value of 1.31 for $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$ contacts, consistent with their high propensity to form in the molecular packing of (I). The O atoms comprise only 11.1% of the surface but provide a 21.2% contribution from $O\cdots H/H\cdots O$ contacts to the Hirshfeld surface. Reflecting this, the ER value is 1.28, which is in the expected 1.2–1.6 range. Other contacts, namely $C\cdots C$, $O\cdots O$ and $C\cdots O/O\cdots C$, show no propensity to form as reflected in their low ER values (Table 4).

5. Database survey

There are two structures in the crystallographic literature (Groom *et al.*, 2016) featuring the methine-substituted 2,3,4,12-tetrahydro-5-oxatetraphen-1-one residue, as in (I). In



Figure 8

Overlap diagram of the title compound, (I) (red image), with literature precedents (II) (green) and (III) (blue). The molecules have been overlapped so that the C1, C6 and C8 atoms are coincident.

Table 5 Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{26}H_{24}O_5$
$M_{ m r}$	416.45
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbca
Temperature (K)	100
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	9.2164 (5), 20.3760 (9), 21.8731 (9)
$V(Å^3)$	4107.6 (3)
Z	8
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.09
Crystal size (mm)	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Agilent Technologies SuperNova Dual diffractometer with an Atlas detector
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Agilent, 2014)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.855, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	23597, 4664, 3991
R _{int}	0.034
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.650
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.040, 0.100, 1.04
No. of reflections	4664
No. of parameters	283
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.34, -0.22

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Agilent, 2014), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012), QMol (Gans & Shalloway, 2001), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

the most closely related structure, (II) (Sethukumar et al., 2012), with a 2-chlorobenzene ring at the methine C7 atom, an essentially similar conformation is found, as emphasized in the overlay diagram shown in Fig. 8. Here, the dihedral angle between the best plane through the cyclohexene ring and naphthyl residue is 7.50 (6)°, *i.e.* marginally less folded than in (I) where the angle was $10.78 (7)^{\circ}$. The angle between the least-squares planes through the pyran and benzene rings is 89.71 (6)°. Despite having a bulky 2-hydroxy-6-oxocyclohex-1envl residue at the methine C7 atom, rather than an aryl ring, the conformation in (III) (Akkurt et al., 2013) bears a close resemblance to those of (I) and (II). Thus, in (III), the cyclohexene/naphthyl dihedral angle is 16.26 (5)°, indicating a non-folded four-ring residue, and the pyran/cyclohexenyl dihedral angle is 85.57 (6)°. Clearly, the non-folded conformation of the 2,3,4,12-tetrahydro-5-oxatetraphen-1-one core and its orthogonal relationship to the methine C7-bound substituent in (I)-(III) is to a first robust.

6. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared and characterized spectroscopically as per the literature (Iniyavan *et al.*, 2015). Crystals for the X-ray study were obtained after 2 d of slow evaporation of a chloroform solution of (I) held at room temperature.

7. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 5. Carbon-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.95–1.00 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H)$ set at 1.2–1.5 $U_{eq}(C)$.

Acknowledgements

VIT University is thanked for providing facilities.

References

- Agilent (2014). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA.
- Ahmad, M., King, T. A., Ko, D.-K., Cha, B. H. & Lee, J. J. (2002). J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 35, 1473–1476.
- Akkurt, M., Mohamed, S. K., Kennedy, A. R., Abdelhamid, A. A., Miller, G. J. & Albayati, M. R. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, o1558– 01559.
- Asano, J., Chiba, K., Tada, M. & Yoshii, T. (1996). *Phytochemistry*, **41**, 815–820.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Gans, J. & Shalloway, D. (2001). J. Mol. Graphics Modell. 19, 557–559.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst.* B**72**, 171–179.
- Hafez, H. N., Hegab, M. I., Ahmed-Farag, I. S. & El-Gazzar, A. B. A. (2008). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **18**, 4538–4543.
- Hideo, T. & Teruomi, J. (1981). Jpn Patent 56005480.
- Iniyavan, P., Sarveswari, S. & Vijayakumar, V. (2015). Res. Chem. Intermed. **41**, 7413–7426.

- Ion, R. M. (1997). Prog. Catal. 2, 55-76.
- Jayatilaka, D., Grimwood, D. J., Lee, A., Lemay, A., Russel, A. J., Taylo, C., Wolff, S. K., Chenai, C. & Whitton, A. (2005). TONTO – A System for Computational Chemistry. Available at: http:// hirshfeldsurface.net/
- Jelsch, C., Ejsmont, K. & Huder, L. (2014). IUCrJ, 1, 119-128.
- Knight, C. G. & Stephens, T. (1989). Biochem. J. 258, 683-687.
- Matsumoto, K., Akao, Y., Ohguchi, K., Ito, T., Tanaka, T., Iinuma, M. & Nozawa, Y. (2005). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **13**, 6064–6069.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- McKinnon, J. J., Spackman, M. A. & Mitchell, A. S. (2004). Acta Cryst. B60, 627–668.
- Menchen, S. M., Benson, S. C., Lam, J. Y. L., Zhen, W., Sun, D., Rosenblum, B. B., Khan, S. H. & Taing, M. (2003). US Patent 6583168.
- Pinto, M. M., Sousa, M. E. & Nascimento, M. S. (2005). Curr. Med. Chem. 12, 2517–2538.
- Pouli, N. & Marakos, P. (2009). Anticancer Agents Med. Chem. 9, 77–98.
- Poupelin, J. P., Saintruf, G., Foussardblanpin, O., Narcisse, G., Uchidaernouf, G. & Lacroix, R. (1978). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 13, 67–71.
- Sethukumar, A., Vidhya, V., Kumar, C. U. & Prakasam, B. A. (2012). J. Mol. Struct. 1008, 8–16.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A., McKinnon, J. J. & Jayatilaka, D. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **10**, 377–388.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., McKinnon, J. J., Turner, M. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2012). *Crystal Explorer*. The University of Western Australia.
- Woo, S., Jung, J., Lee, C., Kwon, Y. & Na, Y. (2007). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 17, 1163–1166.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 809-814 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989016007775]

12-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2,3,4,12-tetrahydro-1*H*-5-oxatetraphen-1-one: crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis

Mukesh M. Jotani, P. Iniyavan, V. Vijayakumar, S. Sarveswari, Yee Seng Tan and Edward R. T. Tiekink

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012), QMol (Gans & Shalloway, 2001) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

12-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2,3,4,12-tetrahydro-1H-5-oxatetraphen-1-one

Crystal data

 $C_{26}H_{24}O_5$ $M_r = 416.45$ Orthorhombic, *Pbca* a = 9.2164 (5) Å b = 20.3760 (9) Å c = 21.8731 (9) Å V = 4107.6 (3) Å³ Z = 8F(000) = 1760

Data collection

Agilent Technologies SuperNova Dual diffractometer with an Atlas detector Radiation source: SuperNova (Mo) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.4041 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scan Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Agilent, 2014)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$ $wR(F^2) = 0.100$ S = 1.044664 reflections $D_x = 1.347 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 7968 reflections $\theta = 3.5-29.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KPrism, colourless $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.855, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 23597 measured reflections
4664 independent reflections
3991 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.034$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.9^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -26 \rightarrow 24$ $l = -28 \rightarrow 25$

283 parameters0 restraintsHydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sitesH-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0327P)^{2} + 3.0207P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$

$\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
01	0.13499 (11)	0.29394 (5)	0.08369 (4)	0.0202 (2)
O2	0.21599 (12)	0.47795 (5)	-0.04262 (5)	0.0249 (2)
O20	0.43308 (11)	0.62718 (5)	0.14235 (4)	0.0215 (2)
O21	0.24076 (11)	0.61119 (5)	0.23202 (4)	0.0204 (2)
O22	0.09183 (11)	0.49986 (5)	0.24461 (4)	0.0202 (2)
C1	0.10078 (15)	0.33649 (7)	0.03771 (6)	0.0181 (3)
C2	-0.03608 (16)	0.31688 (7)	0.00588 (7)	0.0223 (3)
H2A	-0.1058	0.2993	0.0362	0.027*
H2B	-0.0146	0.2817	-0.0240	0.027*
C3	-0.10412 (16)	0.37501 (7)	-0.02719 (7)	0.0236 (3)
H3A	-0.1826	0.3593	-0.0544	0.028*
H3B	-0.1473	0.4054	0.0031	0.028*
C4	0.00918 (17)	0.41132 (8)	-0.06483 (6)	0.0249 (3)
H4A	0.0396	0.3831	-0.0994	0.030*
H4B	-0.0354	0.4514	-0.0822	0.030*
C5	0.14184 (16)	0.43053 (7)	-0.02839 (6)	0.0188 (3)
C6	0.18328 (15)	0.38862 (6)	0.02343 (6)	0.0172 (3)
C7	0.31954 (15)	0.40554 (6)	0.05870 (6)	0.0159 (3)
H7	0.3968	0.4186	0.0290	0.019*
C8	0.37084 (15)	0.34558 (6)	0.09372 (6)	0.0163 (3)
C9	0.51546 (15)	0.34033 (6)	0.11767 (6)	0.0179 (3)
C10	0.62592 (16)	0.38608 (7)	0.10377 (6)	0.0215 (3)
H10	0.6052	0.4217	0.0772	0.026*
C11	0.76293 (17)	0.37994 (8)	0.12805 (7)	0.0261 (3)
H11	0.8356	0.4111	0.1179	0.031*
C12	0.79633 (17)	0.32772 (8)	0.16789 (7)	0.0280 (3)
H12	0.8914	0.3236	0.1842	0.034*
C13	0.69162 (18)	0.28293 (7)	0.18297 (7)	0.0267 (3)
H13	0.7142	0.2483	0.2104	0.032*
C14	0.55010 (16)	0.28747 (7)	0.15820 (6)	0.0207 (3)
C15	0.44087 (16)	0.24150 (7)	0.17401 (7)	0.0225 (3)
H15	0.4618	0.2079	0.2028	0.027*
C16	0.30631 (16)	0.24481 (7)	0.14842 (6)	0.0196 (3)
H16	0.2349	0.2127	0.1578	0.024*
C17	0.27480 (15)	0.29668 (7)	0.10782 (6)	0.0175 (3)
C18	0.29511 (14)	0.46231 (6)	0.10361 (6)	0.0153 (3)

supporting information

C19	0.37542 (15)	0.51971 (6)	0.09866 (6)	0.0168 (3)
H19	0.4417	0.5253	0.0658	0.020*
C20	0.35832 (15)	0.56922 (6)	0.14226 (6)	0.0168 (3)
C20′	0.52664 (17)	0.63990 (7)	0.09194 (7)	0.0244 (3)
H20A	0.6011	0.6056	0.0897	0.037*
H20B	0.5732	0.6827	0.0974	0.037*
H20C	0.4700	0.6401	0.0540	0.037*
C21	0.26077 (15)	0.56129 (6)	0.19031 (6)	0.0166 (3)
C21′	0.30768 (18)	0.59803 (7)	0.29015 (6)	0.0255 (3)
H21A	0.2686	0.5571	0.3070	0.038*
H21B	0.2872	0.6342	0.3183	0.038*
H21C	0.4128	0.5938	0.2847	0.038*
C22	0.18044 (15)	0.50332 (6)	0.19494 (6)	0.0162 (3)
C22′	0.01074 (17)	0.44121 (7)	0.25262 (7)	0.0225 (3)
H22A	-0.0589	0.4366	0.2190	0.034*
H22B	-0.0416	0.4431	0.2916	0.034*
H22C	0.0768	0.4035	0.2528	0.034*
C23	0.19668 (15)	0.45412 (6)	0.15136 (6)	0.0165 (3)
H23	0.1408	0.4151	0.1542	0.020*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0199 (5)	0.0172 (5)	0.0234 (5)	-0.0026 (4)	-0.0031 (4)	0.0040 (4)
02	0.0296 (6)	0.0215 (5)	0.0237 (5)	-0.0001 (4)	0.0005 (4)	0.0052 (4)
O20	0.0258 (6)	0.0131 (4)	0.0255 (5)	-0.0026 (4)	0.0055 (4)	-0.0010 (4)
O21	0.0282 (6)	0.0143 (5)	0.0187 (5)	0.0031 (4)	0.0013 (4)	-0.0022 (4)
O22	0.0232 (5)	0.0180 (5)	0.0196 (5)	-0.0009 (4)	0.0058 (4)	-0.0012 (4)
C1	0.0210 (7)	0.0167 (6)	0.0167 (6)	0.0021 (5)	-0.0013 (5)	-0.0011 (5)
C2	0.0225 (7)	0.0202 (7)	0.0242 (7)	-0.0009 (6)	-0.0043 (6)	-0.0004 (5)
C3	0.0222 (7)	0.0250 (7)	0.0237 (7)	0.0020 (6)	-0.0055 (6)	0.0003 (6)
C4	0.0287 (8)	0.0276 (7)	0.0183 (7)	0.0026 (6)	-0.0047 (6)	0.0022 (6)
C5	0.0223 (7)	0.0190 (6)	0.0151 (6)	0.0042 (5)	0.0019 (5)	-0.0009 (5)
C6	0.0205 (7)	0.0168 (6)	0.0142 (6)	0.0023 (5)	0.0006 (5)	-0.0013 (5)
C7	0.0183 (7)	0.0141 (6)	0.0153 (6)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0004 (5)	0.0005 (5)
C8	0.0202 (7)	0.0147 (6)	0.0140 (6)	0.0015 (5)	0.0010 (5)	-0.0016 (5)
C9	0.0206 (7)	0.0157 (6)	0.0173 (6)	0.0015 (5)	0.0001 (5)	-0.0032 (5)
C10	0.0224 (7)	0.0203 (7)	0.0219 (7)	0.0005 (6)	0.0015 (6)	0.0008 (5)
C11	0.0244 (8)	0.0258 (7)	0.0282 (7)	-0.0028 (6)	-0.0001 (6)	-0.0013 (6)
C12	0.0233 (8)	0.0271 (8)	0.0335 (8)	0.0015 (6)	-0.0082 (7)	-0.0032 (6)
C13	0.0316 (8)	0.0188 (7)	0.0295 (8)	0.0028 (6)	-0.0068 (7)	-0.0012 (6)
C14	0.0249 (7)	0.0158 (6)	0.0214 (6)	0.0016 (5)	-0.0035 (6)	-0.0026 (5)
C15	0.0279 (8)	0.0167 (6)	0.0229 (7)	0.0027 (6)	-0.0017 (6)	0.0029 (5)
C16	0.0242 (7)	0.0147 (6)	0.0199 (6)	-0.0021 (5)	0.0008 (6)	0.0001 (5)
C17	0.0193 (7)	0.0161 (6)	0.0170 (6)	0.0013 (5)	-0.0012 (5)	-0.0019 (5)
C18	0.0174 (6)	0.0143 (6)	0.0142 (6)	0.0023 (5)	-0.0035 (5)	0.0007 (5)
C19	0.0183 (7)	0.0161 (6)	0.0159 (6)	0.0012 (5)	0.0007 (5)	0.0024 (5)
C20	0.0182 (7)	0.0120 (6)	0.0202 (6)	0.0001 (5)	-0.0018 (5)	0.0027 (5)

supporting information

C20'	0.0243 (8)	0.0181 (7)	0.0308 (8)	-0.0026 (6)	0.0075 (6)	0.0012 (6)
C21	0.0200 (7)	0.0135 (6)	0.0163 (6)	0.0031 (5)	-0.0021 (5)	-0.0008(5)
C21'	0.0353 (9)	0.0205 (7)	0.0206 (7)	0.0008 (6)	-0.0035 (6)	-0.0049(6)
C22	0.0160 (6)	0.0175 (6)	0.0151 (6)	0.0026 (5)	-0.0006(5)	0.0028 (5)
C22'	0.0231 (7)	0.0207 (7)	0.0238 (7)	-0.0019(6)	0.0068 (6)	0.0018 (6)
C23	0.0172 (7)	0.0152 (6)	0.0172 (6)	-0.0003(5)	-0.0019 (5)	0.0020 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.3647 (16)	C10—H10	0.9500
O1—C17	1.3936 (17)	C11—C12	1.409 (2)
O2—C5	1.2237 (17)	C11—H11	0.9500
O20—C20	1.3671 (16)	C12—C13	1.369 (2)
O20—C20′	1.4235 (17)	C12—H12	0.9500
O21—C21	1.3784 (15)	C13—C14	1.415 (2)
O21—C21′	1.4384 (17)	C13—H13	0.9500
O22—C22	1.3610 (16)	C14—C15	1.418 (2)
O22—C22′	1.4204 (16)	C15—C16	1.362 (2)
C1—C6	1.3431 (19)	C15—H15	0.9500
C1—C2	1.495 (2)	C16—C17	1.4105 (19)
C2—C3	1.523 (2)	C16—H16	0.9500
C2—H2A	0.9900	C18—C19	1.3884 (18)
C2—H2B	0.9900	C18—C23	1.3934 (19)
C3—C4	1.522 (2)	C19—C20	1.3972 (18)
С3—НЗА	0.9900	C19—H19	0.9500
С3—Н3В	0.9900	C20—C21	1.3926 (19)
C4—C5	1.511 (2)	C20′—H20A	0.9800
C4—H4A	0.9900	C20′—H20B	0.9800
C4—H4B	0.9900	C20′—H20C	0.9800
C5—C6	1.4698 (18)	C21—C22	1.3978 (19)
С6—С7	1.5136 (19)	C21′—H21A	0.9800
C7—C8	1.5174 (18)	C21′—H21B	0.9800
C7—C18	1.5342 (17)	C21′—H21C	0.9800
С7—Н7	1.0000	C22—C23	1.3913 (18)
C8—C17	1.3681 (19)	C22'—H22A	0.9800
С8—С9	1.4361 (19)	C22′—H22B	0.9800
C9—C10	1.413 (2)	C22′—H22C	0.9800
C9—C14	1.4311 (19)	C23—H23	0.9500
C10-C11	1.376 (2)		
C1-01-C17	117.86 (11)	C12—C13—C14	120.92 (14)
C20—O20—C20′	117.48 (11)	C12—C13—H13	119.5
C21—O21—C21′	112.96 (10)	C14—C13—H13	119.5
C22—O22—C22′	117.24 (11)	C13—C14—C15	121.18 (13)
C6—C1—O1	122.89 (13)	C13—C14—C9	119.47 (13)
C6—C1—C2	125.50 (13)	C15—C14—C9	119.34 (13)
01—C1—C2	111.61 (12)	C16—C15—C14	120.89 (13)
C1—C2—C3	111.14 (12)	C16—C15—H15	119.6
-			

C1—C2—H2A	109.4	C14—C15—H15	119.6
C3—C2—H2A	109.4	C15—C16—C17	118.90 (13)
C1—C2—H2B	109.4	C15—C16—H16	120.6
С3—С2—Н2В	109.4	C17—C16—H16	120.6
H2A—C2—H2B	108.0	C8—C17—O1	122.82 (12)
C4—C3—C2	110.64 (13)	C8—C17—C16	123.67 (13)
C4—C3—H3A	109.5	O1—C17—C16	113.51 (12)
С2—С3—НЗА	109.5	C19—C18—C23	120.43 (12)
C4—C3—H3B	109.5	C19—C18—C7	120.46 (12)
С2—С3—Н3В	109.5	C23—C18—C7	119.03 (12)
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	108.1	C18-C19-C20	119.66 (12)
C5—C4—C3	113.31 (12)	C18—C19—H19	120.2
C5—C4—H4A	108.9	C20-C19-H19	120.2
C3—C4—H4A	108.9	O20—C20—C21	115.12 (12)
C5—C4—H4B	108.9	O20-C20-C19	124.60 (12)
C3—C4—H4B	108.9	C21—C20—C19	120.28 (12)
H4A—C4—H4B	107.7	O20—C20′—H20A	109.5
O2—C5—C6	120.65 (13)	O20—C20′—H20B	109.5
O2—C5—C4	121.49 (12)	H20A—C20′—H20B	109.5
C6—C5—C4	117.81 (12)	O20—C20′—H20C	109.5
C1—C6—C5	119.46 (13)	H20A—C20′—H20C	109.5
C1—C6—C7	122.09 (12)	H20B—C20′—H20C	109.5
C5—C6—C7	118.45 (12)	O21—C21—C20	120.02 (12)
C6—C7—C8	109.41 (11)	O21—C21—C22	120.31 (12)
C6—C7—C18	112.10 (11)	C20—C21—C22	119.65 (12)
C8—C7—C18	109.24 (10)	O21—C21′—H21A	109.5
C6—C7—H7	109.24 (10)	O21-C21'-H21B	109.5
C8—C7—H7	108.7	H21A—C21′—H21B	109.5
С18—С7—Н7	108.7	021—C21′—H21C	109.5
C17—C8—C9	117.65 (12)	H21A—C21′—H21C	109.5
C17—C8—C7	119.91 (12)	H21A—C21′—H21C H21B—C21′—H21C	109.5
C1/C3C7 C9C8C7	119.91 (12) 122.23 (12)	022—C22—C23	125.04 (12)
C10—C9—C14	122.23 (12) 117.97 (13)	022—C22—C23 022—C22—C21	114.79 (12)
C10—C9—C14 C10—C9—C8	. ,	C23—C22—C21	120.15 (12)
	122.74 (12)		
C14—C9—C8	119.28 (13)	O22—C22'—H22A	109.5
C11—C10—C9	121.20 (13)	O22—C22′—H22B	109.5
C11—C10—H10	119.4	H22A—C22'—H22B	109.5
C9—C10—H10	119.4	O22—C22′—H22C	109.5
C10-C11-C12	120.53 (14)	H22A—C22′—H22C	109.5
C10-C11-H11	119.7	H22B—C22′—H22C	109.5
C12—C11—H11	119.7	C22—C23—C18	119.82 (12)
C13—C12—C11	119.90 (14)	C22—C23—H23	120.1
C13—C12—H12	120.1	C18—C23—H23	120.1
C11—C12—H12	120.1		
C17—O1—C1—C6	-13.87 (19)	C8—C9—C14—C15	-0.18 (19)
C17—O1—C1—C2	165.29 (11)	C13—C14—C15—C16	-177.73 (13)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-22.4 (2)	C9—C14—C15—C16	3.8 (2)

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 01 - C1 - C2 - C3 \\ C1 - C2 - C3 - C4 \\ C2 - C3 - C4 - C5 \\ C3 - C4 - C5 - 02 \\ C3 - C4 - C5 - 02 \\ C3 - C4 - C5 - C6 \\ 01 - C1 - C6 - C5 \\ 01 - C1 - C6 - C5 \\ 01 - C1 - C6 - C7 \\ 02 - C5 - C6 - C1 \\ 02 - C5 - C6 - C1 \\ 02 - C5 - C6 - C1 \\ 02 - C5 - C6 - C7 \\ C1 - C6 - C7 - C8 \\ C5 - C6 - C7 - C8 \\ C5 - C6 - C7 - C18 \\ C5 - C7 - C18 \\ C5 - C6 - C7 - C18 \\ C5 - C7 - C18 \\ C5 - C6 - C7 \\ C1 - C18 \\ C5 - C10 \\ C1 - $	158.43 (12) 48.01 (16) -52.63 (16) -153.03 (13) 29.63 (18) 177.52 (12) -1.5 (2) -1.8 (2) 179.13 (13) -179.34 (13) -1.98 (19) 0.03 (19) 177.40 (12) 19.27 (17) -160.10 (11) -102.08 (15) 78.55 (14) -22.82 (16)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-2.9 (2) -175.23 (11) 10.04 (19) 5.5 (2) -169.20 (12) 9.55 (18) -171.14 (11) -2.0 (2) 178.70 (12) -120.36 (13) 118.19 (13) 62.98 (15) -58.47 (16) 0.7 (2) -175.94 (12) -175.65 (12) 4.98 (19) 179.03 (12)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	78.55 (14) $-22.82 (16)$ $100.24 (14)$ $162.70 (12)$ $-74.24 (15)$ $176.82 (13)$ $-8.6 (2)$ $-4.30 (18)$ $170.30 (12)$ $0.4 (2)$ $179.30 (13)$ $-0.4 (2)$ $1.2 (2)$ $-179.52 (14)$ $-1.1 (2)$	$\begin{array}{c} C20'-O20-C20-C19\\ C18-C19-C20-O20\\ C18-C19-C20-C21\\ C21'-O21-C21-C20\\ C21'-O21-C21-C22\\ O20-C20-C21-O21\\ C19-C20-C21-O21\\ O20-C20-C21-C22\\ C19-C20-C21-C22\\ C22'-O22-C22-C23\\ C22'-O22-C22-C23\\ C22'-O22-C22-C22\\ C20-C21-C22-O22\\ C20-C21-C22-O22\\ O21-C21-C22-C23\\ C20-C21-C22-C23\\ C20-C22-C23\\ C20-C22$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.98 \ (19) \\ 179.03 \ (12) \\ -0.3 \ (2) \\ -105.78 \ (14) \\ 76.08 \ (16) \\ 2.79 \ (18) \\ -177.81 \ (12) \\ -179.06 \ (12) \\ 0.3 \ (2) \\ 0.51 \ (19) \\ -178.19 \ (12) \\ -3.82 \ (18) \\ 178.04 \ (12) \\ 177.42 \ (12) \\ -0.7 \ (2) \\ -177.55 \ (12) \end{array}$

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg1, Cg2 and Cg3 are the centroids of the C8/C9/C14-C17, C18-C23 and C9-C14 rings, respectively.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C16—H16····O20 ⁱ	0.95	2.36	3.2604 (18)	159
C2—H2 B ···Cg1 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.92	3.8088 (16)	150
C4—H4 B ···C $g2^{iii}$	0.99	2.75	3.5605 (16)	140
C22'—H22 B ···Cg2 ^{iv}	0.98	2.56	3.3918 (16)	143
C22'—H22 C ··· $Cg3^{iv}$	0.98	2.78	3.4332 (16)	125

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, y-1/2, z; (ii) x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z; (iii) -x, -y+1, -z; (iv) x-3/2, y, -z-1/2.