

Received 1 October 2018 Accepted 6 November 2018

Edited by A. Van der Lee, Université de Montpellier II, France

Keywords: crystal structure; polymorphism; salen ligand; manganese; hydrate.

CCDC reference: 1877342

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ ACCESS

Packing polymorphism in the structure of transaqua[N,N'-bis(salicylidene)ethane-1,2-diamine- $\kappa^4 O, N, N', O'$]chloridomanganese(III) monohydrate

Juan Alberto Reves Perea,^a Sylvain Bernès^b and Ma Guadalupe Quintero Téllez^{c*}

^aFacultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, 72570 Puebla, Pue., Mexico, ^bInstituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, 72570 Puebla, Pue., Mexico, and ^cFacultad de Ciencias Químicas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, 72570 Puebla, Pue., Mexico. *Correspondence e-mail: lupita_qt@hotmail.com

The crystal structure of the title complex (systematic name: trans-aquachlorido{2,2'[ethane-1,2-div]bis(nitrilomethylidyne)]diphenolato- $\kappa^4 O, N, N', O'$ }manganese(III) monohydrate), $[Mn(C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2)Cl(H_2O)] \cdot H_2O$ has been reported previously in the space group $P2_1/n$ [Pania et al. (2003). Polyhedron, 22, 1191–1198]. We obtained the same hydrated complex through an alternative synthesis, and crystallized a new polymorph, in the space group $P2_1$. The molecular conformation of the complex is virtually unmodified, but the absence of the glide plane in the new polymorph halves the unit-cell parameter c, affording a non-centrosymmetric crystal structure with Z = 2, while the previously reported crystal is centrosymmetric with Z = 4. Both phases represent a case of packing polymorphism, similar to other dimorphic crystal structures retrieved from the Cambridge Structural Database.

1. Chemical context

Schiff base organic compounds are widely employed ligands in modern coordination chemistry because they are easily accessible and display high versatility (Zarei et al., 2015). Within this field, the coordination chemistry of H_2 salen [N,N'bis(salicylidene)ethane-1,2-diamine] has been studied with virtually all transition metals. The chelating character of the dianionic ligand salen is known to stabilize not only M^{2+} cations, but also higher oxidation states, providing that ancillary anions such as Cl⁻ are present. In the case of manganese, this strategy may be used to stabilize Mn^{III} and Mn^{IV} oxidation states, generally in octahedral ligand fields. The resulting complexes are potentially of interest in various interdisciplinary fields such as structural chemistry, catalytic processes involving metalloproteins or enzymes (Sarkar et al., 2017), magnetochemistry (Blinov, 2017), and NLO materials. Regarding the sub-family of Mn^{III}-salen derivatives, they have been used mainly as models for biological systems involving this metal cation. For our part, we focus on salen-based materials, which can display non-linear optical response, for example with Co^{III} as the metal centre (Quintero-Téllez et al., 2016).

While extending our work to Mn^{III}, we prepared the title complex, for which a synthesis was previously reported (Panja et al., 2003). These authors synthesized the complex using a Mn^{III} compound as starting material, namely [Mn(salen)-OAc]·H₂O, which was reacted with MnCl₂·4H₂O in water. Crystallization at room temperature afforded brownish black

microcrystals, and the authors characterized the complex in space group $P2_1/n$, with Z = 4. We obtained the same compound through a more straightforward synthetic route, using a one-pot reaction between salicylaldehyde, diethylenetriamine, and MnCl₂, in MeOH. In contrast to the previous synthesis, crystallization was carried out at low temperature (283 K) in methanol, affording brown crystals. The structure determination shows that this phase crystallizes in space group $P2_1$, with Z = 2.



Although we have no strong experimental evidences regarding the mechanism triggering the polymorphism for this complex, we believe that the temperature and the solvent of crystallization could be the key parameters. We report here the structure of the $P2_1$ polymorph, along with its characterization in solution by means of UV–Vis spectroscopy.

2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the $P2_1$ phase contains one [Mn(*salen*)(OH₂)Cl] neutral complex and one lattice water molecule, both in general positions (Fig. 1). As expected, the Mn^{III} centre displays a slightly distorted octahedral geometry, with the four donor sites of ligand *salen* in the equatorial plane (N1/N2/O1/O2). The metal deviates by only 0.056 Å from the equatorial plane, and axial sites are occupied by a water molecule (O3W) and the chloride ion (Cl) at normal distances. Deviations from an ideal octahedral geometry result from the bite angles of the chelating *salen* ligand.

The relative position of the lattice water molecule and the complex molecule is very similar in both polymorphs: a fit



Figure 1

The structure of the title solvate, with displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms at the 50% probability level.

between the asymmetric units of each phase, carried out using all non-H atoms in the complex, shows that the unique significant differences are for the phenol rings C1–C6 and C11–C16, which are rotated about their σ bonds C7–C6 and C10–C11, by *ca* 6.4 and 13.9°, respectively. However, such a limited change in the conformation of the complex is unlikely to promote the polymorphism. On the other hand, each phase gives a clearly different simulated powder diffraction pattern (Fig. 2).

The crystal structure reported by Panja et al. is based on a primitive monoclinic unit cell with parameters a = 6.6470 (2), b = 7.3330 (2), c = 33.8260 (10) Å and $\beta = 95.1650$ (17)°. The cell volume V is 1642.07 (8) Å³, corresponding to a $P2_1/n$ structure with four formulas per unit cell. An obvious relation is observed with the parameters of our phase (Table 3): the cell symmetry is retained, with very similar a, b and β parameters, while the *c* parameter is almost exactly halved. The resulting cell volume is then $V = 838.67 (10) \text{ Å}^3$. Therefore, the unit-cell content is also halved to Z = 2, and a marginal difference of 2% for the calculated densities is observed between the two polymorphs. It is worth mentioning that after the data collection was completed, we checked the correctness of the short c parameter for the $P2_1$ polymorph, by re-building the reciprocal space: no extra diffraction spots with indices $(h \ k \ l/2)$ for a potential supercell are observed in the 0kl and h0l layers. This can be quantitatively assessed by integrating the collected frames after doubling the *c* parameter: the statistics for intensities over the whole (hkl) pattern are then $\langle I/\sigma(I) \rangle = 4.70$ if *l* is even (10251 reflections) and $\langle I/\sigma(I) \rangle = 0.16$ if *l* is odd (10053 reflections). The previously reported $P2_1/n$ polymorph gives much more balanced statistics, $\langle I/\sigma(I) \rangle =$ 84.74 for l = 2n and $\langle I/\sigma(I) \rangle = 85.67$ for l = 2n + 1 [given that,



Figure 2

Simulated powder diffraction patterns for the $P2_1/n$ form of the title compound (Panja *et al.*, 2003; blue spectrum) and the $P2_1$ form (this work; red spectrum). A fit between the molecules constituting the asymmetric units in both phases is also displayed, using the same colour scheme (Macrae *et al.*, 2008).



Figure 3

A comparison between the cell content for the $P2_1/n$ and $P2_1$ forms (top and bottom, respectively). Dashed lines relate molecules with identical orientation in both crystals, and symmetry elements are displayed (top: screw axes, glide planes and inversion centres; bottom: screw axes).

apparently, original structure factors are not available anymore for this crystal, intensities F_0^2 and standard deviations $\sigma(F_0^2)$ were generated using the dedicated tool in *PLATON* (Spek, 2009)]. These statistics support the correctness of the unit cells for both polymorphs.

A comparison of unit cells shows that molecules related by the screw axis parallel to [010] remain in the same relative orientation (Fig. 3), including the water molecules. Each pair of molecules is inverted in the $P2_1/n$ polymorph, while the lack



Figure 4

UV-vis spectrum of the title polymorph dissolved in DMSO. The experimental spectrum (red line) is fitted with Gaussian functions for which maxima are indicated. The sum of these Gaussian functions affords the theoretical spectrum (dotted blue line). The visible range of the spectrum is displayed in the inset, using a scale allowing the d-d transitions to be assessed, fitted with two Gaussian functions, giving a maximum at $\lambda = 598$ nm.

Table 1		
Hydrogen-bond geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O3W-H31W\cdots O4W$ $O3W-H32W\cdots Cl^{i}$ $O4W-H41W\cdots O2^{ii}$ $O4W-H42W\cdots Cl^{iii}$	0.81 (5) 0.80 (6) 0.83 (6) 0.81 (5)	2.02 (5) 2.45 (6) 2.07 (6) 2.41 (5)	2.827 (4) 3.249 (3) 2.896 (4) 3.228 (3)	174 (5) 173 (5) 170 (5) 178 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii) x - 1, y, z; (iii) x - 1, y - 1, z.

of a glide plane in the new phase restrains the cell contents to this pair of molecules, which is extended in the crystal through lattice translations. The key point is then that the new phase crystallizes in a non-centrosymmetric space group, $P2_1$, while doubling the *c* parameter gives a centrosymmetric space group, $P2_1/n$. The presence or absence of an inversion centre affords two phases related by packing polymorphism (Brog *et al.*, 2013)

The electronic spectrum of the title compound in DMSO shows one band at 264 nm assigned to the ligand $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition, and a broad band at 598 nm, which corresponds to d-d transitions (Fig. 4). The d-d band is satisfactorily fitted with two Gaussian functions (Fig. 4, inset; OriginLab Corp., 2017), and can be assigned to the ${}^5E_g \rightarrow {}^5T_{2g}$ transition, consistent with the distorted octahedral ligand field observed for the metal centre in the solid state. If no conformational flexibility is possible for this complex, the polymorphism is then due to different packing structures, rather than geometric modifications.

3. Supramolecular features

The presence of both a coordinated and a lattice water molecules favours the formation of O-H···O hydrogen bonds in the crystal (Table 1). The coordinated molecule O3W serves as donor, forming bonds with the lattice water O4W and the chloride atom of a neighbouring complex in position (x, y - 1,z). The lattice molecule O4W serves both as donor and acceptor, forming bonds with the chloride and phenolate atom O2 of two symmetry-related complexes. The resulting supramolecular structure is a 3D framework based essentially on discrete chains extended to large ring motifs. The comparison between the Hirshfeld surfaces for the asymmetric units in the two phases (Fig. 5; Turner et al., 2017) is consistent with the observed crystal symmetries and provides some clues about the factor causing the packing polymorphism. For the $P2_1/n$ phase, the inversion centre allows the formation of π - π contacts between symmetry-related C11-C16 benzene rings (symmetry code: 2 - x, -y, 1 - z). Such weak interactions are reflected in the red spots on the Hirshfeld surface, marked with arrows in Fig. 5. The main consequence of the absence of an inversion centre in the $P2_1$ crystal is the removal of these contacts (Fig. 5, bottom), in connection with the small rotation of 13.9° observed for this part of the Schiff base (see previous section and Fig. 2).

The crystal structure of the non-hydrated complex has been reported (Martínez *et al.*, 2002), in space group $P2_1$, but the

Table 2						
Examples of dimorphic crystal structures with packing polymorphism in the symmetry class 2/m.						
CSD references	Space groups	Volumes of unit cells $(Å^3)$	Literature reference			
CANDUR01, CANDUR02	$P2_1/c, P2_1$	1190, 610	Lutker & Matzger (2			

CSD references	Space groups	Volumes of unit cells (\AA^3)	Literature reference
CANDUR01, CANDUR02	$P2_1/c, P2_1$	1190, 610	Lutker & Matzger (2010)
DOLBIR07, DOLBIR08	$P2_1/n, P2_1$	304, 155	Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
GEPSEA01, GEPSEA	$P2_{1}/c, P2_{1}$	1108, 554	Konno & Matsushita (2006)
LIHGAM, LIHGAM01	$P2_1/c, P2_1$	1060, 502	Wang & Fu (2013)
MIZHOT01, MIZHOT02	$P2_1/c, P2_1$	1472, 738	Sevincek et al. (2011); Parveen et al. (2015)
NORVEX, NORVEX01	$P2_1/c, P2_1$	1938, 966	Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
SOGUAN20, SOGUAN03	$P2_1/c, P2_1$	1026, 513	Alléaume et al. (1976); Eccles et al. (2011)
YURVAI, YURVAI01	$P2_1/c, P2_1$	4798, 2285	van den Hende et al. (1995); Deacon et al. (2014)

packing structure is then modified, since the array of hydrogen bonds is different.

4. Database survey

Retrieving cases of packing polymorphism by mining the Cambridge Structural Database is not a straightforward task, since no dedicated tools have been designed for such a search (CSD, version 5.39, updated May 2018; Groom et al., 2016). It



Figure 5

Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over d_{norm} (-0.15 to 1.20 Å) for the $P2_1/n$ polymorph reported in 2003 (Panja et al., 2003; top) and the novel P21 polymorph (bottom). Arrows in the first case indicate regions where intermolecular distances are shorter than van der Waals contacts, because of $\pi - \pi$ interactions.

is thus difficult to estimate whether or not this phenomenon is common. Restraining the search to the symmetry class 2/m, we however found some cases very similar to that observed for the title compound, with packing dimorphism in space groups $P2_1/n$ and $P2_1$ (or any alternative settings for these groups), some of which are listed in Table 2. For each pair, the ratio between the unit-cell volumes for the $P2_1/n$ and $P2_1$ phases is very close to 2, because of the loss of the glide plane and the halving of the cell parameter c. Very simple molecules are found, such as glycine (DOLBIR; Arul Asir Abraham et al., 2015) and also more complex molecules (YURVAI; van den Hende et al., 1995). Using simulated powder diffraction patterns in order to ensure that a pair of crystal structures forms a genuine case of packing dimorphism, false positive occurrences may also be detected. For example, the reported crystal structures for 4-cyano-4'-ethyl-bipbenyl, referenced KUSVID and KUSVID01 (space groups $P2_1/c$ and $P2_1$, respectively; Haase et al., 1992) almost certainly represent the same crystal structure rather than two packing polymorphs resulting from a reversible distortive phase transition, as was reported.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

Equimolar amounts (1 mmol) of MnCl₂ (0.125 g), salicylaldehyde (108 µl) and diethylenetriamine (106 µl) in MeOH (5 ml) were placed in a beaker and the mixture was kept under magnetic stirring for 30 minutes at room temperature. As the Schiff base ligand was formed in situ, the condensation reaction between the aldehyde and the amine afforded water, which participates as a reagent. The mixture was left at room temperature for one day, filtered, and then cooled to 283 K, affording brown single crystals of the title compound after eight days (51 mg, yield based on Mn: 17%). M.p. 447 K. IR (KBr pellet, cm^{-1}): 3436 (O-H), 1610 (C=N), 638 (Mn-O), 460 (Mn-N). The UV-Vis spectrum (Fig. 4) was measured in a DMSO solution ($\simeq 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ mM) using a Cary 50 spectrophotometer (λ_{max}/ϵ , nm/10⁻³ M^{-1} cm⁻¹): 264/114.5, 598/ 1.16.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. H atoms for water molecules O3W and O4W were found in a difference map, and freely refined.

Other H atoms were refined as riding on their carrier atoms with C-H = 0.93–0.97 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Angel Mendoza, IC-UAP (Puebla, Mexico) for X-ray measurements.

Funding information

The present work was partially supported by the *Vicerrectroría de Investigación y Estudios de Posgrado* from BUAP, Projects QUTM-NAT17-I and QUTM-NAT18-I.

References

- Agilent (2013). CrysAlis PRO, Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA.
- Alléaume, M., Gulko, A., Herbstein, F. H., Kapon, M. & Marsh, R. E. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 669–682.
- Arul Asir Abraham, D., Sankar, U., Perumal, S. & Selvarajan, P. (2015). Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res. 8(1), 105–110.
- Blinov, L. N. (2017). Glass Phys. Chem. 43, 1-16.
- Brog, J.-P., Chanez, C.-L., Crochet, A. & Fromm, K. M. (2013). RSC Adv. 3, 16905–16931.
- Deacon, G. B., Hamidi, S., Junk, P. C., Kelly, R. P. & Wang, J. (2014). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 460–468.
- Eccles, K. S., Stokes, S. P., Daly, C. A., Barry, N. M., McSweeney, S. P., O'Neill, D. J., Kelly, D. M., Jennings, W. B., Ní Dhubhghaill, O. M., Moynihan, H. A., Maguire, A. R. & Lawrence, S. E. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 213–215.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Haase, W., Loub, J. & Paulus, H. (1992). Z. Kristallogr. 202, 7-16.
- Hende, J. R. van den, Hitchcock, P. B., Holmes, S. A., Lappert, M. F., Leung, W.-P., Mak, T. C. W. & Prashar, S. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1427–1433.
- Jiang, Q., Shtukenberg, A. G., Ward, M. D. & Hu, C. (2015). Cryst. Growth Des. 15, 2568–2573.
- Konno, Y. & Matsushita, N. (2006). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 79, 1237– 1239.
- Lutker, K. M. & Matzger, A. J. (2010). J. Pharm. Sci. 99, 794-803.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Martínez, D., Motevalli, M. & Watkinson, M. (2002). Acta Cryst. C58, m258–m260.
- OriginLab Corp. (2017). OriginPro 9.4, Northampton, MA, USA.
- Panja, A., Shaikh, N., Ali, M., Vojt\?íšek, P. & Banerjee, P. (2003). Polyhedron, 22, 1191–1198.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249– 259.
- Parveen, M., Ahmad, F., Malla, A. M., Azaz, S., Silva, M. R. & Silva, P. S. P. (2015). *RSC Adv.* 5, 52330–52346.
- Quintero-Téllez, M. G., Alcántara-Flores, J. L., Bernès, S., Arroyo Carrasco, M. L., Méndez Otero, M. M. & Reyes-Ortega, Y. (2016). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 70, 41–46.

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Mn(C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2)Cl(H_2O)]\cdot H_2O$
M _r	392.71
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1$
Temperature (K)	298
a, b, c (A)	6.7083 (4), 7.2414 (5), 17.2768 (13)
β (°)	92.153 (6)
$V(A^3)$	838.67 (10)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \ (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.97
Crystal size (mm)	$0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.15$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Agilent Xcalibur Atlas Gemini
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Agilent, 2013)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.969, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	10229, 4080, 3647
R _{int}	0.033
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.696
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.037, 0.072, 1.06
No. of reflections	4080
No. of parameters	229
No. of restraints	1
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained
$\Lambda_0 \qquad \Lambda_0 \qquad (e^{-3})$	0.40 - 0.23
$\Delta p_{max}, \Delta p_{min} (C \Delta)$	Flack r determined using 1381
Absolute structure	quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Absolute structure parameter	-0.017 (12)
Absolute structure parameter	-0.017 (12)

Table 3

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Agilent, 2013), SHELXT2018 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018 (Sheldrick, 2015b), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

- Sarkar, N., Das, M. & Chattopadhyay, S. (2017). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 457, 19–28.
- Sevinçek, R., Aygün, M., Alp, S. & Kazak, C. (2011). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 41, 1140–1144.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17*, University of Western Australia.

Wang, W.-X. & Fu, X.-Q. (2013). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 639, 471-474.

- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zarei, S. A., Piltan, M., Hassanzadeh, K., Akhtari, K. & Cinčić, D. (2015). J. Mol. Struct. 1083, 82–87.
- Zhang, X.-Y., Jiang, X.-X., Li, Y., Lin, Z. S., Zhang, G.-C. & Wu, Y.-C. (2015). CrystEngComm, **17**, 1050–1055.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 1778-1782 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989018015694]

Packing polymorphism in the structure of *trans*-aqua[N,N'-bis(salicyl-idene)ethane-1,2-diamine- $\kappa^4 O, N, N', O'$]chloridomanganese(III) monohydrate

Juan Alberto Reyes Perea, Sylvain Bernès and Ma Guadalupe Quintero Téllez

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2013); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2013); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2013); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT2018 (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

trans-Aquachlorido{2,2'[ethane-1,2-diylbis(nitrilomethylidyne)]diphenolato- $\kappa^4 O$, N, N', O'}manganese(III) monohydrate

```
Crystal data
```

```
[Mn(C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2)Cl(H_2O)] \cdot H_2O

M_r = 392.71

Monoclinic, P2_1

a = 6.7083 (4) Å

b = 7.2414 (5) Å

c = 17.2768 (13) Å

\beta = 92.153 (6)°

V = 838.67 (10) Å<sup>3</sup>

Z = 2

F(000) = 404
```

Data collection

Agilent Xcalibur Atlas Gemini diffractometer Radiation source: Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Agilent, 2013) $T_{\min} = 0.969, T_{\max} = 1.000$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $wR(F^2) = 0.072$ S = 1.064080 reflections 229 parameters 1 restraint $D_x = 1.555 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point: 447 K Mo *Ka* radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 4867 reflections $\theta = 3.7-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.97 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KPlate, brown $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

10229 measured reflections 4080 independent reflections 3647 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.033$ $\theta_{max} = 29.7^\circ, \theta_{min} = 3.0^\circ$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -23 \rightarrow 21$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0269P)^{2} + 0.0549P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.40 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.23 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack *x* determined using 1381 quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons *et al.*, 2013) Absolute structure parameter: -0.017 (12)

Fractional atomic coordinates	and isotropic or	· equivalent isotropic	displacement	parameters ((Ų)

	x	у	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Mn	0.09362 (6)	0.52766 (6)	0.76613 (3)	0.02725 (13)	
Cl	0.21462 (13)	0.86787 (12)	0.78160 (7)	0.0449 (3)	
01	0.2621 (3)	0.4339 (3)	0.84472 (14)	0.0314 (5)	
02	0.2725 (3)	0.4682 (3)	0.68703 (14)	0.0319 (6)	
O3W	-0.0502 (4)	0.2359 (4)	0.7460 (2)	0.0468 (8)	
H31W	-0.167 (8)	0.208 (7)	0.741 (3)	0.070*	
H32W	0.022 (8)	0.150 (8)	0.757 (3)	0.070*	
O4W	-0.4622 (4)	0.1624 (5)	0.7235 (2)	0.0489 (8)	
H41W	-0.541 (8)	0.251 (8)	0.719 (3)	0.073*	
H42W	-0.544 (7)	0.087 (7)	0.737 (3)	0.073*	
N1	-0.1165 (4)	0.5785 (4)	0.84081 (18)	0.0295 (7)	
N2	-0.1111 (4)	0.6231 (4)	0.69079 (18)	0.0314 (7)	
C1	0.2606 (5)	0.4706 (4)	0.9199 (2)	0.0276 (7)	
C2	0.4299 (5)	0.4261 (5)	0.9660 (2)	0.0338 (8)	
H21	0.539320	0.372846	0.943141	0.041*	
C3	0.4374 (6)	0.4598 (5)	1.0446 (2)	0.0415 (9)	
H31	0.552417	0.431406	1.073893	0.050*	
C4	0.2745 (6)	0.5358 (6)	1.0804 (2)	0.0460 (9)	
H41	0.280228	0.559014	1.133357	0.055*	
C5	0.1057 (6)	0.5760 (5)	1.0368 (2)	0.0404 (10)	
H51	-0.004513	0.623686	1.061044	0.048*	
C6	0.0944 (5)	0.5471 (5)	0.95632 (19)	0.0316 (8)	
C7	-0.0886(5)	0.5890 (4)	0.9141 (2)	0.0324 (8)	
H71	-0.195999	0.627022	0.942692	0.039*	
C8	-0.3150 (5)	0.6098 (5)	0.8028 (2)	0.0380 (9)	
H82	-0.396791	0.684398	0.835810	0.046*	
H81	-0.382002	0.492807	0.793394	0.046*	
C9	-0.2848 (5)	0.7073 (5)	0.7279 (2)	0.0410 (10)	
H91	-0.403077	0.695316	0.694177	0.049*	
H92	-0.260526	0.837639	0.737189	0.049*	
C10	-0.0941 (5)	0.6321 (5)	0.6175 (2)	0.0374 (9)	
H101	-0.202462	0.678747	0.588561	0.045*	
C11	0.0782 (5)	0.5765 (5)	0.5754 (2)	0.0362 (9)	
C12	0.0738 (6)	0.6076 (5)	0.4951 (2)	0.0480 (10)	
H121	-0.040128	0.658706	0.471451	0.058*	
C13	0.2312 (7)	0.5651 (6)	0.4508 (2)	0.0548 (12)	
H131	0.225258	0.587309	0.397749	0.066*	
C14	0.4005 (6)	0.4880 (6)	0.4864 (2)	0.0511 (11)	
H141	0.509161	0.459366	0.456736	0.061*	

supporting information

C15	0.4104 (5)	0.4534 (5)	0.5645 (2)	0.0381 (9)
H151	0.524048	0.398346	0.586650	0.046*
C16	0.2515 (5)	0.4998 (5)	0.61183 (19)	0.0315 (8)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Mn	0.0191 (2)	0.0312 (3)	0.0315 (3)	0.0036 (2)	0.00186 (16)	-0.0002 (2)
Cl	0.0318 (5)	0.0302 (4)	0.0722 (8)	-0.0033 (4)	-0.0032 (4)	0.0006 (4)
01	0.0250 (12)	0.0383 (13)	0.0308 (14)	0.0071 (10)	0.0006 (10)	-0.0004 (11)
O2	0.0249 (11)	0.0394 (14)	0.0316 (14)	0.0052 (10)	0.0028 (10)	0.0005 (10)
O3W	0.0326 (15)	0.0306 (15)	0.077 (2)	-0.0012 (12)	-0.0035 (15)	0.0004 (14)
O4W	0.0321 (15)	0.0432 (18)	0.072 (2)	0.0047 (13)	0.0084 (14)	0.0092 (15)
N1	0.0179 (13)	0.0290 (16)	0.0417 (19)	0.0024 (10)	0.0022 (12)	-0.0047 (12)
N2	0.0235 (14)	0.0311 (15)	0.0395 (19)	0.0014 (12)	-0.0023 (12)	0.0014 (13)
C1	0.0287 (16)	0.0230 (16)	0.0312 (19)	-0.0042 (13)	0.0027 (14)	0.0035 (13)
C2	0.0294 (18)	0.0333 (19)	0.039 (2)	0.0001 (14)	0.0017 (15)	0.0076 (16)
C3	0.046 (2)	0.040 (2)	0.039 (2)	-0.0069 (17)	-0.0072 (17)	0.0110 (17)
C4	0.067 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.0303 (19)	-0.002 (2)	0.0007 (17)	0.000 (2)
C5	0.050 (2)	0.033 (2)	0.039 (2)	-0.0002 (16)	0.0134 (18)	-0.0011 (15)
C6	0.0311 (16)	0.0283 (18)	0.0356 (19)	-0.0006 (16)	0.0053 (13)	0.0000 (16)
C7	0.0286 (18)	0.0255 (17)	0.044 (2)	-0.0008 (13)	0.0123 (16)	-0.0028 (15)
C8	0.0200 (17)	0.040 (2)	0.054 (3)	0.0034 (15)	0.0047 (16)	-0.0066 (18)
C9	0.0238 (18)	0.038 (2)	0.060 (3)	0.0101 (15)	-0.0049 (17)	-0.0045 (19)
C10	0.0328 (19)	0.0297 (19)	0.049 (3)	-0.0010 (15)	-0.0099 (16)	0.0050 (17)
C11	0.041 (2)	0.031 (2)	0.035 (2)	-0.0024 (15)	-0.0034 (16)	0.0028 (14)
C12	0.062 (3)	0.041 (2)	0.039 (2)	-0.004 (2)	-0.011 (2)	0.0094 (18)
C13	0.085 (3)	0.051 (3)	0.028 (2)	-0.013 (2)	0.003 (2)	0.0035 (18)
C14	0.063 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.042 (2)	-0.011 (2)	0.018 (2)	-0.007 (2)
C15	0.0373 (19)	0.040 (2)	0.037 (2)	-0.0049 (16)	0.0063 (16)	-0.0037 (16)
C16	0.0356 (17)	0.0282 (19)	0.0310 (19)	-0.0063 (16)	0.0034 (14)	0.0001 (16)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Mn—O1	1.862 (2)	C4—H41	0.9300
Mn—O2	1.901 (2)	С5—С6	1.405 (5)
Mn—N1	1.981 (3)	C5—H51	0.9300
Mn—N2	1.981 (3)	C6—C7	1.436 (5)
Mn—O3W	2.343 (3)	C7—H71	0.9300
Mn—Cl	2.6045 (10)	C8—C9	1.496 (5)
01—C1	1.326 (4)	C8—H82	0.9700
O2—C16	1.322 (4)	C8—H81	0.9700
O3W—H31W	0.81 (5)	С9—Н91	0.9700
O3W—H32W	0.80 (6)	С9—Н92	0.9700
O4W—H41W	0.83 (6)	C10—C11	1.446 (5)
O4W—H42W	0.81 (5)	C10—H101	0.9300
N1—C7	1.276 (5)	C11—C12	1.405 (5)
N1—C8	1.480 (4)	C11—C16	1.414 (5)

supporting information

N2—C10	1.277 (5)	C12—C13	1.362 (6)
N2—C9	1.482 (4)	C12—H121	0.9300
C1—C2	1.400 (5)	C13—C14	1.388 (6)
C1—C6	1.414 (4)	C13—H131	0.9300
C2—C3	1.379 (5)	C14—C15	1.372 (5)
C2—H21	0.9300	C14—H141	0.9300
C3—C4	1.389 (5)	C15—C16	1.408 (4)
C3—H31	0.9300	C15—H151	0.9300
C4-C5	1 368 (5)		0.9500
	1.500 (5)		
O1—Mn—O2	93.41 (10)	C6—C5—H51	119.1
O1—Mn—N1	91.15 (11)	C5—C6—C1	119.0 (3)
02—Mn—N1	173.66 (11)	C5—C6—C7	119.0 (3)
01—Mn—N2	173.37 (11)	C1—C6—C7	122.0(3)
Ω^2 —Mn—N2	92 71 (11)	N1	125.6(3)
N1 - Mn - N2	82 56 (12)	N1-C7-H71	117.2
$\Omega_1 - M_n - \Omega_3 W$	90.85 (11)	C6-C7-H71	117.2
$O_2 M_{\rm P} O_3 W$	90.03 (11) 87.51 (11)	$N_1 = C_2 = C_1$	117.2 107.0 (3)
$M_{1} = M_{1} = 0.3W$	87.51 (11)	N1 C8 H82	107.9 (3)
N2 Mr O2W	86.01(11)	$N1 - C_0 - 1102$	110.1
N_2 MII $O_3 W$	00.07(11)	C_{9} C_{8} C_{181}	110.1
Ol-Mn-Cl	95.20 (8)	NI = C8 = H81	110.1
02—Mn—Cl	94.89 (7)	C9—C8—H81	110.1
NI-Mn-Cl	89.08 (8)	H82—C8—H81	108.4
N2—Mn—Cl	86.75 (9)	N2—C9—C8	108.3 (3)
O3W—Mn—Cl	173.28 (8)	N2—C9—H91	110.0
C1—O1—Mn	127.9 (2)	С8—С9—Н91	110.0
C16—O2—Mn	128.4 (2)	N2—C9—H92	110.0
Mn—O3W—H31W	129 (4)	С8—С9—Н92	110.0
Mn—O3W—H32W	115 (4)	H91—C9—H92	108.4
H31W—O3W—H32W	114 (5)	N2-C10-C11	125.9 (3)
H41W—O4W—H42W	96 (4)	N2-C10-H101	117.0
C7—N1—C8	121.8 (3)	C11-C10-H101	117.0
C7—N1—Mn	125.2 (2)	C12—C11—C16	119.3 (3)
C8—N1—Mn	113.0 (2)	C12—C11—C10	117.7 (3)
C10—N2—C9	120.5 (3)	C16—C11—C10	123.0 (3)
C10—N2—Mn	125.7 (2)	C13—C12—C11	122.1 (4)
C9—N2—Mn	113.4 (2)	C13—C12—H121	119.0
Q1—C1—C2	118.3 (3)	C11—C12—H121	119.0
Q1—C1—C6	123.3 (3)	C12—C13—C14	118.7 (4)
C2-C1-C6	118.3 (3)	C12—C13—H131	120.6
$C_{3}-C_{2}-C_{1}$	1212(3)	C_{14} C_{13} H_{131}	120.6
C_{3} C_{2} H_{21}	119.4	C_{15} C_{14} C_{13}	120.0 121.2(4)
C1 - C2 - H21	119.1	C_{15} C_{14} H_{141}	119.4
$C_{2} = C_{3} = C_{4}$	120.6 (3)	C_{13} C_{14} H_{141}	119.4
$C_2 C_3 H_{31}$	120.0 (3)	C14 $C15$ $C16$	121.7
C_{4} C_{3} H_{31}	119.7	$C_{14} = C_{15} = C_{10}$	121.2 (4) 110 /
C_{-}	117.7	$C_{14} = C_{15} = 11151$ $C_{16} = C_{15} = U_{15} = 151$	117. 4 110 4
$C_{3} = C_{4} = C_{3}$	119.2 (3)	C10-C15-H151	119.4
C3-C4-H41	120.4	02-010-013	118.3 (3)

C3—C4—H41	120.4	O2—C16—C11	124.1 (3)
C4—C5—C6	121.7 (3)	C15—C16—C11	117.6 (3)
C4—C5—H51	119.1		
O2—Mn—O1—C1	160.2 (2)	Mn—N1—C8—C9	-34.8 (3)
N1—Mn—O1—C1	-24.2 (2)	C10—N2—C9—C8	155.3 (3)
O3W—Mn—O1—C1	-112.2 (2)	Mn—N2—C9—C8	-31.2 (4)
Cl—Mn—O1—C1	65.0 (2)	N1	41.5 (4)
Mn-01-C1-C2	-163.0 (2)	C9—N2—C10—C11	171.8 (3)
Mn-01-C1-C6	18.9 (4)	Mn—N2—C10—C11	-0.7 (5)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-179.7 (3)	N2-C10-C11-C12	-176.2 (3)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-1.5 (5)	N2-C10-C11-C16	1.4 (6)
C1—C2—C3—C4	1.3 (5)	C16-C11-C12-C13	0.4 (6)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.4 (6)	C10-C11-C12-C13	178.0 (4)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.8 (6)	C11—C12—C13—C14	0.3 (6)
C4—C5—C6—C1	1.5 (5)	C12—C13—C14—C15	0.5 (6)
C4—C5—C6—C7	178.8 (4)	C13—C14—C15—C16	-1.9 (6)
O1—C1—C6—C5	178.2 (3)	Mn—O2—C16—C15	176.1 (2)
C2-C1-C6-C5	0.2 (5)	Mn—O2—C16—C11	-4.3 (5)
O1—C1—C6—C7	0.9 (5)	C14—C15—C16—O2	-177.9 (3)
C2-C1-C6-C7	-177.1 (3)	C14—C15—C16—C11	2.5 (5)
C8—N1—C7—C6	175.0 (3)	C12—C11—C16—O2	178.7 (3)
Mn—N1—C7—C6	-6.9 (5)	C10-C11-C16-O2	1.2 (5)
C5-C6-C7-N1	176.2 (3)	C12-C11-C16-C15	-1.7 (5)
C1C6C7N1	-6.5 (5)	C10-C11-C16-C15	-179.2 (3)
C7—N1—C8—C9	143.5 (3)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O3 <i>W</i> —H31 <i>W</i> ···O4 <i>W</i>	0.81 (5)	2.02 (5)	2.827 (4)	174 (5)
O3W—H32W···Cl ⁱ	0.80 (6)	2.45 (6)	3.249 (3)	173 (5)
O4 <i>W</i> —H41 <i>W</i> ···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.83 (6)	2.07 (6)	2.896 (4)	170 (5)
O4W—H42W…Cl ⁱⁱⁱ	0.81 (5)	2.41 (5)	3.228 (3)	178 (5)
C8—H81···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.97	2.61	3.217 (4)	121

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*–1, *z*; (ii) *x*–1, *y*, *z*; (iii) *x*–1, *y*–1, *z*.