

Received 24 April 2019 Accepted 21 May 2019

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Keywords: crystal structure; pyridazine; Hirsh-feld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 1917654

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of ethyl 2-[5-(3-chlorobenzyl)-6-oxo-3-phenyl-1,6-dihydropyridazin-1-yl]acetate

Fouad El Kalai,^a* Cemile Baydere,^b* Said Daoui,^a Rafik Saddik,^c Necmi Dege,^b Khalid Karrouchi^d and Noureddine Benchat^a

^aLaboratory of Applied Chemistry and Environment (LCAE), Faculty of Sciences, Mohamed I University, 60000 Oujda, Morocco, ^bDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University, 55139-Samsun, Turkey, ^cLaboratory Organic synthesis, Extraction and Valorization, Faculty of Sciences, Ain Chok, University Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco, and ^dLaboratory of Plant Chemistry, Organic and Bioorganic Synthesis, URAC23, Faculty of Science, BP 1014, GEOPAC Research Center, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco. *Correspondence e-mail: fouadelkalai80@gmail.com, cemle28baydere@hotmail.com

The title pyridazinone derivative, $C_{21}H_{19}ClN_2O_3$, is not planar. The unsubstituted phenyl ring and the pyridazine ring are inclined to each other, making a dihedral angle of 17.41 (13)° whereas the Cl-substituted phenyl ring is nearly orthogonal to the pyridazine ring [88.19 (13)°]. In the crystal, $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds generate dimers with $R_2^2(10)$ and $R_2^2(24)$ ring motifs which are linked by $C-H\cdots O$ interactions, forming chains extending parallel to the *c*-axis direction. The intermolecular interactions were investigated using Hirshfeld surface analysis and two-dimensional fingerprint plots, revealing that the most significant contributions to the crystal packing are from $H\cdots H$ (44.5%), $C\cdots H/$ $H\cdots C$ (18.5%), $H\cdots O/H\cdots O$ (15.6%), $Cl\cdots H/H\cdots Cl$ (10.6%) and $C\cdots C$ (2.8%) contacts.

1. Chemical context

Pyridazines are an important family of six-membered aromatic heterocycles (Akhtar et al., 2016). The related compound pyridazinone is an important pharmacophore with a wide range of biological applications (Asif, 2015), and its chemistry has been studied for several decades. Pyridazinones are used as scaffolds for potential drug candidates (Dubey & Bhosle, 2015; Thakur et al., 2010) because of their significant potential as antimicrobial (Sönmez et al., 2006), antidepressant (Boukharsa et al., 2016), anti-inflammatory (Barberot et al., 2018), antihypertensive (Siddiqui et al., 2011), analgesic (Gökçe et al., 2009), anti-HIV (Livermore et al., 1993), anticonvulsant (Partap et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2014), cardiotonic (Wang et al., 2008), antihistaminic (Tao et al., 2012), glucan synthase inhibitors (Zhou et al., 2011), phosphodiesterase (PDE) inhibitors (Ochiai et al., 2012) and herbicidal (Asif, 2013) agents.





Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

In this context and in a continuation of our work in this field (Chkirate *et al.*, 2019*a,b*; Karrouchi *et al.*, 2015, 2016*a,b*), we report herein the synthesis and the molecular and crystal structures of the title pyridazinone derivative, together with its Hirshfeld surface analysis.

2. Structural commentary

The molecule of the title compound is not planar (Fig. 1). The unsubstituted phenyl ring (C12–C17) and the pyridazine ring (C8–C11/N1/N2) are twisted relative to each other, making a dihedral angle of 17.41 (13)°; the chloro-substituted phenyl ring (C1–C6) is inclined to the pyridazine ring by 88.19 (13)°. Atoms C8 and N2 of the pyridazine ring show the largest deviations from planarity (root-mean-square deviation = 0.0236 Å) in positive and negative directions [C8 = 0.0357 (15) Å; N2 = -0.0319 (14) Å]. The O1=C8 bond length of the pyridazinone carbonyl function is 1.230 (3) Å, and the N1–N2 bond length in the pyridazine ring is 1.362 (2) Å, both in accordance with values for related pyridazinones.



Figure 2

A view along the *a* axis of the crystal structure of the title compound. Black dashed lines symbolize intermolecular $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds; $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions are shown as green dashes lines.

Table 1		
Hydrogen-	bond geomet	try (Å, °).

Cg2 is the centroid of the C1-C6 phenyl ring

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C14-H14\cdots O2^{i}$	0.93	2.53	3.416 (3)	160
$C7-H7B\cdots O1^{ii}$	0.97	2.54	3.485 (3)	164
$C15-H15\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.93	2.66	3.474 (3)	147
$C20-H20B\cdots Cg2^{iv}$	0.97	2.81	3.759 (3)	165

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iii) x - 1, y - 1, z; (iv) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

3. Supramolecular features

The crystal packing exhibits $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds between aryl or methylene groups and carbonyl O atoms (Table 1), as well as $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions and van der Waals contacts. Intermolecular $C7-H7B\cdots O1$ and $C14-H14\cdots O2$ hydrogen bonds produce $R_2^2(10)$ and $R_2^2(24)$ motif rings (Fig. 2), supplemented by $C15-H15\cdots O1$ contacts, forming chains extending parallel to the *c* axis (Fig. 2). A weak $C20-H20B\cdots Cg2$ (-x + 1, -y, -z + 1; Cg2 is the centroid of the C1-C6 phenyl ring) contact is also present in this chain (Table 1; Fig. 2). Weak aromatic $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between adjacent pyridazine rings $[Cg1\cdots Cg1(-x+1, -y+1, -z+1) = 3.8833 (13)$ Å, where Cg1 is the centroid of the C8-C11/N1/N2 ring] along the *a* axis lead to the formation of a three-dimensional network.

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, version 5.40, update November 2018; Groom et al., 2016) revealed two structures containing a similar pyridazinone moiety as in the title structure but with different substituents, viz. 4-benzyl-6-ptolylpyridazin-3(2H)-one (YOTVIN; Oubair et al., 2009) and ethyl 3-methyl-6-oxo-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,6-dihydro-1-pyridazineacetate (QANVOR; Xu et al., 2005). In the crystal structure of YOTVIN, the molecules are connected two-by-two through N-H···O hydrogen bonds with an $R_2^2(8)$ graph-set motif, forming dimers arranged around an inversion center. Weak C-H···O hydrogen bonds and weak offset π - π stacking stabilize the packing. In the crystal structure of QANVOR, the phenyl and pyridazinone rings are approximately co-planar, making a dihedral angle of 4.84 (13)°. Centrosymmetrically related molecules form dimers through non-classical intermolecular C-H···O hydrogen bonds.

5. Hirshfeld surface analysis

A Hirshfeld surface analysis (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) and the associated two-dimensional fingerprint plots (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) were performed with *Crystal*-*Explorer17* (Turner *et al.*, 2017), using a standard (high) surface resolution with the three-dimensional $d_{\rm norm}$ surfaces plotted over a fixed colour scale of -0.1647 (red) to 1.1730 (blue) a.u. The three-dimensional $d_{\rm norm}$ surface of the title

molecule is illustrated in Fig. 3*a*. The pale-red spots symbolize short contacts and negative d_{norm} values on the surface and correspond to the C-H···O interactions (Table 1).

The shape-index map of the title molecule was generated in the range -1 to 1 Å (Fig. 3b). The convex blue regions symbolize hydrogen-donor groups and the concave red regions hydrogen-acceptor groups. π - π interactions are generally indicated by adjacent red and blue triangles in the shape-index map, as is the case for the title molecule.

The curvedness map of the title complex was generated in the range -4.0 to 0.4 Å (Fig. 3c). The curvedness plot of the title complex shows large regions of green with a relatively flat (*i.e.* planar) surface area, indicating the presence of π - π stacking interactions, while the blue regions demonstrate areas of curvature.

The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot is illustrated in Fig. 4a, delineated into $H \cdots H$, $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$, $H \cdots Cl/Cl \cdots H$, $C \cdots C$ contacts associated with their relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface in Fig. 4b-f, respectively. The most important intermolecular interaction is $H \cdots H$, contributing 44.5% to the overall crystal packing, with the centre of the peak $d_e = d_i = 1.18$ Å (Fig. 4b). H···C/ C···H contacts, with a 18.5% contribution to the Hirshfeld surface, indicate the presence of the weak $C-H\cdots\pi$ interaction (Table 1). Two pairs of characteristic wings in the fingerprint plot with pairs of tips at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.8$ Å are present (Fig. 4c). $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ contacts arising from intermolecular $C - H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonding make a 15.6% contribution to the Hirshfeld surface and are represented by a pair of sharp spikes in the region $d_e + d_i \sim 2.35$ Å The C···C contacts are a measure of π -\p stacking interactions and contribute 2.8% of the Hirshfeld surface. They appear as an arrow-shaped distribution at $d_e + d_i$ \sim 3.3 Å. Another contact to the Hirshfeld surface is from $H \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl/Cl \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ interactions (10.6%).

6. Synthesis and crystallization

To a solution (0.99 g, 3 mmol) of 4-(3-dichlorobenzyl)-6phenylpyridazin-3(2*H*)-one in 30 ml of tetrahydrofuran (THF), potassium carbonate (0.5 g, 3.5 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 1 h. After cooling, ethyl bromoacetate (0.66 g, 4 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 8 h. The precipitated material was removed by



Figure 3

(a) d_{norm} mapped on the Hirshfeld surface for visualizing the intermolecular interactions, (b) shape-index map of the title compound and (c) curvedness map of the title compound.



Figure 4 (*a*) The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot, and delineated into (*b*) $H \cdots H$, (*c*) $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, (*d*) $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$, (*e*) $H \cdots Cl/Cl \cdots H$ and (*f*) $C \cdots C$ interactions.

filtration and the solvent evaporated under vacuum. The residue was purified through silica gel column chromatography using hexane/ethyl acetate (4:6 v/v). Slow evaporation at room temperature led to formation of single crystals with a yield of 70%.

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. Hydrogen atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding, with C-H = 0.97 Å for methyl [$U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$], C-H = 0.96 Å for methylene [$U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$], C-H = 0.93 Å for aromatic [$U_{iso}(H) =$ $1.2U_{eq}(C)$] and C-H = 0.98 Å for methine [$U_{iso}(H) =$ $1.2U_{eq}(C)$] H atoms. Table 2Experimental details.

Crystal data Chemical formula C21H19ClN2O3 382.83 М., Crystal system, space group Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Temperature (K) 296 *a*, *b*, *c* (Å) $\begin{array}{l} \alpha,\,\beta,\,\gamma\,(^{\circ}) \\ V\,(\mathrm{\AA}^{3}) \end{array}$ 949.6 (2) Ζ Radiation type Μο Κα $\mu \,({\rm mm}^{-1})$ 0.23 Crystal size (mm) Data collection Diffractometer Absorption correction 2002) 0.876, 0.960 T_{\min}, T_{\max} No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections $R_{\rm int}$

382.83 Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ 296 8.8410 (11), 10.3043 (12), 11.3610 (12) 94.801 (9), 103.596 (9), 106.905 (9) 949.6 (2) 2 Mo K α 0.23 0.88 × 0.53 × 0.19 Stoe IPDS 2 Integration (*X-RED32*; Stoe & Cie, 2002) 0.876, 0.960 9612, 3716, 2058 0.031 0.617 0.047, 0.127, 0.91

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \text{ (e Å}^{-3}) 0.26, -0.34$ Computer programs: X-AREA and X-RED (Stoe & Cie, 2002), SHELXT2017 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018 (Sheldrick, 2015b), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008), WinGX (Farrugia, 2012), PLATON (Spek, 2009) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

3716

245

Acknowledgements

 $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$

No. of reflections

No. of parameters

H-atom treatment

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$

Refinement

The authors acknowledge the Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey, for the use of the Stoe IPDS 2 diffractometer (purchased under grant F.279 of the University Research Fund).

References

- Akhtar, W., Shaquiquzzaman, M., Akhter, M., Verma, G., Khan, M. F. & Alam, M. M. (2016). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **123**, 256–281.
- Asif, M. (2013). Mini-Rev. Org. Chem. 10, 113-122.
- Asif, M. (2015). Mini Rev. Med. Chem. 14, 1093-1103.
- Barberot, C., Moniot, A., Allart-Simon, I., Malleret, L., Yegorova, T., Laronze-Cochard, M., Bentaher, A., Médebielle, M., Bouillon, J. P., Hénon, E., Sapi, J., Velard, F. & Gérard, S. (2018). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 146, 139–146.
- Boukharsa, Y., Meddah, B., Tiendrebeogo, R. Y., Ibrahimi, A., Taoufik, J., Cherrah, Y., Benomar, A., Faouzi, M. E. A. & Ansar, M. (2016). *Med. Chem. Res.* **25**, 494–500.
- Chkirate, K., Kansiz, S., Karrouchi, K., Mague, J. T., Dege, N. & Essassi, E. M. (2019*a*). Acta Cryst. E**75**, 154–158.
- Chkirate, K., Kansiz, S., Karrouchi, K., Mague, J. T., Dege, N. & Essassi, E. M. (2019b). Acta Cryst. E75, 33–37.

- Dubey, S. & Bhosle, P. A. (2015). Med. Chem. Res. 24, 3579-3598.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Gökçe, M., Utku, S. & Küpeli, E. (2009). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 44, 3760–3764.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Karrouchi, K., Ansar, M., Radi, S., Saadi, M. & El Ammari, L. (2015). *Acta Cryst.* E**71**, 0890–0891.
- Karrouchi, K., Radi, S., Ansar, M. H., Taoufik, J., Ghabbour, H. A. & Mabkhot, Y. N. (2016a). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 231, 883– 886.
- Karrouchi, K., Radi, S., Ansar, M. H., Taoufik, J., Ghabbour, H. A. & Mabkhot, Y. N. (2016b). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 231, 839– 841.
- Livermore, D., Bethell, R. C., Cammack, N., Hancock, A. P., Hann, M. M., Green, D., Lamont, R. B., Noble, S. A., Orr, D. C. & Payne, J. J. (1993). J. Med. Chem. 36, 3784–3794.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Ochiai, K., Takita, S., Eiraku, T., Kojima, A., Iwase, K., Kishi, T., Fukuchi, K., Yasue, T., Adams, D. R., Allcock, R. W., Jiang, Z. & Kohno, Y. (2012). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 20, 1644–1658.
- Oubair, A., Daran, J.-C., Fihi, R., Majidi, L. & Azrour, M. (2009). Acta Cryst. E65, 01350-01351.
- Partap, S., Akhtar, M. J., Yar, M. S., Hassan, M. Z. & Siddiqui, A. A. (2018). Bioorg. Chem. 77, 74–83.
- Sharma, B., Verma, A., Sharma, U. K. & Prajapati, S. (2014). Med. Chem. Res. 23, 146–157.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Siddiqui, A. A., Mishra, R., Shaharyar, M., Husain, A., Rashid, M. & Pal, P. (2011). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **21**, 1023–1026.
- Sönmez, M., Berber, I. & Akbaş, E. (2006). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 41, 101–105.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Stoe & Cie (2002). X-AREA and X-RED32. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Tao, M., Aimone, L. D., Gruner, J. A., Mathiasen, J. R., Huang, Z., Lyons, J., Raddatz, R. & Hudkins, R. L. (2012). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 22, 1073–1077.
- Thakur, A. S., Verma, P. & Chandy, A. (2010). Asian. J. Res. Chem, 3, 265–271.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17. University of Western Australia.* http://hirshfeldsurface.net.
- Wang, T., Dong, Y., Wang, L.-C., Xiang, B.-R., Chen, Z. & Qu, L.-B. (2008). Arzneimittelforschung, 58, 569–573.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Xu, H., Song, H.-B., Yao, C.-S., Zhu, Y.-Q., Hu, F.-Z., Zou, X.-M. & Yang, H.-Z. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, 01561–01563.
- Zhou, G., Ting, P. C., Aslanian, R., Cao, J., Kim, D. W., Kuang, R., Lee, J. F., Schwerdt, J., Wu, H., Jason Herr, R., Zych, A. J., Yang, J., Lam, S., Wainhaus, S., Black, T. A., McNicholas, P. M., Xu, Y. & Walker, S. S. (2011). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **21**, 2890–2893.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 892-895 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019007424]

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of ethyl 2-[5-(3-chlorobenzyl)-6oxo-3-phenyl-1,6-dihydropyridazin-1-yl]acetate

Fouad El Kalai, Cemile Baydere, Said Daoui, Rafik Saddik, Necmi Dege, Khalid Karrouchi and Noureddine Benchat

Computing details

Data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); cell refinement: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); data reduction: *X-RED* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT2017 (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012), *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015b), *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Ethyl 2-[5-(3-chlorobenzyl)-6-oxo-3-phenyl-1,6-dihydropyridazin-1-yl]acetate

Crystal data $C_{21}H_{19}CIN_2O_3$ $M_r = 382.83$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 8.8410 (11) Å b = 10.3043 (12) Å c = 11.3610 (12) Å $a = 94.801 (9)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 103.596 (9)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 106.905 (9)^{\circ}$ $V = 949.6 (2) \text{ Å}^3$

Data collection

Stoe IPDS 2 diffractometer Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm⁻¹ rotation method scans Absorption correction: integration (X-RED32; Stoe & Cie, 2002) $T_{min} = 0.876$, $T_{max} = 0.960$ 9612 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$ $wR(F^2) = 0.127$ S = 0.913716 reflections Z = 2 F(000) = 400 $D_x = 1.339 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 11025 reflections $\theta = 3.0-31.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K Prism, yellow $0.88 \times 0.53 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$

3716 independent reflections 2058 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.031$ $\theta_{max} = 26.0^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 3.0^\circ$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

245 parameters0 restraintsHydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sitesH-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0666P)^2]$	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.26$ e Å ⁻³
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cl1	0.29831 (14)	0.53925 (11)	-0.10674 (8)	0.1264 (4)
03	0.72303 (19)	0.86361 (16)	0.93869 (13)	0.0654 (5)
O2	0.4976 (2)	0.87323 (18)	0.80559 (15)	0.0704 (5)
01	0.6649 (2)	0.89797 (17)	0.57180 (15)	0.0725 (5)
N1	0.4130 (2)	0.57611 (18)	0.60996 (15)	0.0503 (5)
N2	0.5368 (2)	0.69655 (18)	0.62523 (15)	0.0542 (5)
C12	0.1658 (3)	0.4089 (2)	0.49033 (17)	0.0463 (5)
C11	0.3033 (3)	0.5381 (2)	0.50375 (17)	0.0462 (5)
C19	0.6111 (3)	0.8315 (2)	0.83046 (19)	0.0536 (6)
C10	0.3151 (3)	0.6166 (2)	0.40745 (18)	0.0507 (5)
H10	0.238158	0.583782	0.331377	0.061*
С9	0.4352 (3)	0.7377 (2)	0.42376 (19)	0.0528 (6)
C13	0.0216 (3)	0.3784 (2)	0.39727 (19)	0.0556 (6)
H13	0.012681	0.437926	0.340794	0.067*
C8	0.5539 (3)	0.7869 (2)	0.5427 (2)	0.0557 (6)
C5	0.3334 (3)	0.7688 (2)	0.2046 (2)	0.0602 (6)
C17	0.1752 (3)	0.3177 (2)	0.5723 (2)	0.0617 (6)
H17	0.270130	0.335520	0.636054	0.074*
C18	0.6531 (3)	0.7357 (2)	0.7459 (2)	0.0618 (6)
H18A	0.762208	0.780076	0.737838	0.074*
H18B	0.654649	0.653680	0.781667	0.074*
C14	-0.1095 (3)	0.2608 (3)	0.3867 (2)	0.0659 (7)
H14	-0.206077	0.242734	0.324506	0.079*
C6	0.3669 (3)	0.6883 (3)	0.1175 (2)	0.0693 (7)
H6	0.465811	0.669538	0.134709	0.083*
C15	-0.0967 (4)	0.1717 (3)	0.4678 (3)	0.0735 (7)
H15	-0.183752	0.091911	0.460255	0.088*
C7	0.4550 (3)	0.8283 (2)	0.3280 (2)	0.0659 (7)
H7A	0.565102	0.846300	0.318471	0.079*
H7B	0.444144	0.915570	0.356666	0.079*
C20	0.6983 (3)	0.9534 (3)	1.0330 (2)	0.0697 (7)
H20A	0.592464	0.912468	1.048073	0.084*
H20B	0.702185	1.041629	1.007747	0.084*
C16	0.0439 (4)	0.1999 (3)	0.5596 (3)	0.0749 (8)
H16	0.051884	0.138934	0.614912	0.090*
C1	0.2528 (4)	0.6351 (3)	0.0037 (2)	0.0752 (8)

supporting information

C4	0.1844 (4)	0.7928 (3)	0.1767 (2)	0.0752 (8)	
H4	0.160060	0.847345	0.234301	0.090*	
C2	0.1061 (4)	0.6593 (3)	-0.0218 (3)	0.0839 (9)	
H2	0.030067	0.622809	-0.097796	0.101*	
C3	0.0711 (4)	0.7371 (3)	0.0646 (3)	0.0902 (9)	
H3	-0.029726	0.752677	0.047719	0.108*	
C21	0.8330 (5)	0.9709 (4)	1.1455 (3)	0.1279 (16)	
H21A	0.936252	1.019422	1.131745	0.192*	
H21B	0.834403	0.882260	1.164831	0.192*	
H21C	0.815587	1.022374	1.212596	0.192*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	<i>U</i> ²²	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
Cl1	0.1545 (9)	0.1266 (8)	0.0925 (6)	0.0370 (7)	0.0468 (6)	-0.0221 (5)
03	0.0619 (10)	0.0768 (11)	0.0468 (8)	0.0251 (9)	-0.0023 (8)	-0.0084 (7)
O2	0.0597 (11)	0.0818 (12)	0.0620 (10)	0.0286 (9)	0.0007 (8)	-0.0056 (8)
01	0.0687 (11)	0.0536 (10)	0.0731 (11)	-0.0058 (9)	0.0156 (9)	-0.0070 (8)
N1	0.0517 (11)	0.0514 (11)	0.0437 (10)	0.0153 (9)	0.0090 (8)	0.0008 (8)
N2	0.0504 (11)	0.0545 (11)	0.0460 (10)	0.0091 (10)	0.0048 (8)	-0.0036 (8)
C12	0.0519 (13)	0.0456 (12)	0.0411 (11)	0.0144 (10)	0.0158 (10)	0.0004 (9)
C11	0.0502 (13)	0.0478 (12)	0.0386 (11)	0.0162 (11)	0.0100 (10)	0.0005 (9)
C19	0.0475 (13)	0.0558 (13)	0.0452 (12)	0.0093 (11)	0.0012 (10)	0.0008 (9)
C10	0.0563 (14)	0.0501 (13)	0.0401 (11)	0.0141 (11)	0.0086 (10)	0.0009 (9)
C9	0.0594 (14)	0.0458 (12)	0.0505 (12)	0.0126 (11)	0.0175 (11)	0.0015 (9)
C13	0.0589 (14)	0.0537 (13)	0.0475 (12)	0.0142 (12)	0.0098 (11)	-0.0004 (10)
C8	0.0573 (15)	0.0511 (13)	0.0525 (13)	0.0119 (12)	0.0145 (11)	-0.0035 (10)
C5	0.0752 (17)	0.0482 (13)	0.0541 (13)	0.0100 (12)	0.0218 (12)	0.0158 (10)
C17	0.0624 (16)	0.0636 (15)	0.0562 (13)	0.0174 (13)	0.0130 (12)	0.0126 (11)
C18	0.0541 (14)	0.0672 (15)	0.0505 (12)	0.0165 (12)	-0.0014 (11)	-0.0082 (11)
C14	0.0514 (15)	0.0637 (16)	0.0668 (15)	0.0067 (13)	0.0084 (12)	-0.0105 (13)
C6	0.0742 (18)	0.0634 (15)	0.0690 (16)	0.0162 (13)	0.0246 (14)	0.0100 (12)
C15	0.0699 (18)	0.0566 (16)	0.0838 (18)	0.0018 (13)	0.0295 (15)	-0.0022 (14)
C7	0.0793 (18)	0.0524 (14)	0.0592 (14)	0.0114 (12)	0.0179 (13)	0.0110 (11)
C20	0.0812 (19)	0.0734 (17)	0.0524 (13)	0.0277 (14)	0.0147 (13)	-0.0022 (12)
C16	0.091 (2)	0.0592 (16)	0.0750 (17)	0.0149 (16)	0.0318 (16)	0.0214 (13)
C1	0.095 (2)	0.0646 (16)	0.0622 (16)	0.0137 (16)	0.0299 (15)	0.0051 (12)
C4	0.089 (2)	0.0779 (18)	0.0639 (16)	0.0308 (16)	0.0244 (15)	0.0151 (13)
C2	0.092 (2)	0.085 (2)	0.0601 (16)	0.0142 (17)	0.0099 (16)	0.0108 (14)
C3	0.088 (2)	0.101 (2)	0.083 (2)	0.0356 (18)	0.0183 (17)	0.0193 (17)
C21	0.156 (3)	0.171 (4)	0.0489 (16)	0.091 (3)	-0.0186 (19)	-0.0337 (18)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cl1—C1	1.724 (3)	C17—H17	0.9300	
O3—C19	1.331 (2)	C18—H18A	0.9700	
O3—C20	1.454 (3)	C18—H18B	0.9700	
O2—C19	1.187 (3)	C14—C15	1.362 (4)	

O1—C8	1.230 (3)	C14—H14	0.9300
N1—C11	1.304 (2)	C6—C1	1.391 (4)
N1—N2	1.362 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9300
N2—C8	1.378 (3)	C15—C16	1.359 (4)
N2—C18	1.450 (3)	С15—Н15	0.9300
C12—C17	1.383 (3)	C7—H7A	0.9700
C12—C13	1.385 (3)	С7—Н7В	0.9700
C12—C11	1.487 (3)	C20—C21	1.484 (4)
C11—C10	1.420 (3)	C20—H20A	0.9700
C19—C18	1.502 (3)	C20—H20B	0.9700
С10—С9	1.347 (3)	С16—Н16	0.9300
С10—Н10	0.9300	C1—C2	1.360 (4)
C9—C8	1.447 (3)	C4—C3	1.378 (4)
C9—C7	1.500 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
C13—C14	1.386 (3)	C2—C3	1.363 (4)
С13—Н13	0.9300	C2—H2	0.9300
C5—C6	1.378 (3)	С3—Н3	0.9300
C5—C4	1.380 (4)	C21—H21A	0.9600
C5—C7	1.503 (3)	C21—H21B	0.9600
C17—C16	1.384 (4)	C21—H21C	0.9600
			0.0000
C19—O3—C20	116.13 (18)	C13—C14—H14	120.1
C11—N1—N2	116.83 (18)	C5—C6—C1	120.0 (3)
N1—N2—C8	126.86 (17)	С5—С6—Н6	120.0
N1—N2—C18	114.58 (19)	С1—С6—Н6	120.0
C8—N2—C18	118.35 (19)	C16—C15—C14	119.7 (2)
C17—C12—C13	117.8 (2)	С16—С15—Н15	120.1
C17—C12—C11	121.31 (19)	C14—C15—H15	120.1
C13—C12—C11	120.81 (19)	C9—C7—C5	114.18 (19)
N1—C11—C10	121.6 (2)	С9—С7—Н7А	108.7
N1—C11—C12	116.04 (18)	С5—С7—Н7А	108.7
C10—C11—C12	122.40 (17)	С9—С7—Н7В	108.7
O2—C19—O3	125.0 (2)	С5—С7—Н7В	108.7
O2—C19—C18	126.11 (19)	H7A—C7—H7B	107.6
O3—C19—C18	108.9 (2)	O3—C20—C21	106.6 (2)
C9—C10—C11	121.50 (19)	O3—C20—H20A	110.4
С9—С10—Н10	119.3	C21—C20—H20A	110.4
C11—C10—H10	119.3	O3—C20—H20B	110.4
C10—C9—C8	118.4 (2)	C21—C20—H20B	110.4
С10—С9—С7	125.0 (2)	H20A—C20—H20B	108.6
C8—C9—C7	116.5 (2)	C15—C16—C17	121.1 (3)
C12—C13—C14	121.3 (2)	C15—C16—H16	119.4
C12—C13—H13	119.4	C17—C16—H16	119.4
C14—C13—H13	119.4	C2—C1—C6	120.6 (3)
O1—C8—N2	120.3 (2)	C2—C1—Cl1	119.6 (2)
O1—C8—C9	125.2 (2)	C6—C1—Cl1	119.8 (3)
N2—C8—C9	114.5 (2)	C3—C4—C5	120.9 (3)
C6—C5—C4	118.5 (2)	С3—С4—Н4	119.6

C6—C5—C7	121.1 (3)	С5—С4—Н4	119.6
C4—C5—C7	120.4 (2)	C1—C2—C3	119.7 (3)
C12—C17—C16	120.2 (2)	C1—C2—H2	120.1
C12—C17—H17	119.9	С3—С2—Н2	120.1
С16—С17—Н17	119.9	C2—C3—C4	120.3 (3)
N2-C18-C19	112.24 (19)	С2—С3—Н3	119.9
N2-C18-H18A	109.2	С4—С3—Н3	119.9
C19—C18—H18A	109.2	C20—C21—H21A	109.5
N2-C18-H18B	109.2	C20—C21—H21B	109.5
C19—C18—H18B	109.2	H21A—C21—H21B	109.5
H18A—C18—H18B	107.9	C20—C21—H21C	109.5
C15—C14—C13	119.8 (2)	H21A—C21—H21C	109.5
C15—C14—H14	120.1	H21B—C21—H21C	109.5

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg2 is the centroid of the C1–C6 phenyl ring

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H··· A
C14—H14…O2 ⁱ	0.93	2.53	3.416 (3)	160
C7—H7 <i>B</i> …O1 ⁱⁱ	0.97	2.54	3.485 (3)	164
C15—H15····O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.66	3.474 (3)	147
C20—H20 B ···· $Cg2^{iv}$	0.97	2.81	3.759 (3)	165

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+2, -*z*+1; (iii) *x*-1, *y*-1, *z*; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1.