

Received 17 October 2019 Accepted 21 November 2019

Edited by L. Van Meervelt, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

Keywords: crystal structure; hybrid molecule; Hirshfeld surface analysis; enrichment contact; hydrogen bond.

CCDC reference: 1967239

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and contact enrichment ratios of 1-(2,7-dimethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-(1,3-dithiolan-2-ylidene)ethanone monohydrate

Yvon Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou,^a* Mahama Ouattara,^b Pénétjiligué Adama Soro,^a R. C. A. Yao-Kakou^a and Abodou Jules Tenon^a

^aLaboratoire de Cristallographie et Physique Moléculaire, UFR des Sciences des Structures de la Matière et de Technologie, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, 01 BP V34 Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, and ^bDépartement de Chimie Thérapeutique et Chimie Organique Pharmaceutique, UFR Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, 01 BP V34 Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. *Correspondence e-mail: mayaya.bibila@univ-fhb.edu.ci

In the title hydrated hybrid compound $C_{14}H_{14}N_2OS_2\cdot H_2O$, the planar imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine ring system is linked to the 1,3-dithiolane moiety by an enone bridge. The atoms of the C–C bond in the 1,3-dithiolane ring are disordered over two positions with occupancies of 0.579 (14) and 0.421 (14) and both disordered rings adopt a half-chair conformation. The oxygen atom of the enone bridge is involved in a weak intramolecular C–H···O hydrogen bond, which generates an S(6) graph-set motif. In the crystal, the hybrid molecules are associated in $R_2^2(14)$ dimeric units by weak C–H···O interactions. O–H···O hydrogen bonds link the water molecules, forming infinite self-assembled chains along the *b*-axis direction to which the dimers are connected *via* O–H···N hydrogen bonding. Analysis of intermolecular contacts using Hirshfeld surface analysis and contact enrichment ratio descriptors indicate that hydrogen bonds induced by water molecules are the main driving force in the crystal packing formation.

1. Chemical context

The imidazo [1,2-a] pyridine ring system was described for the first time in 1925 (Chichibabin, 1925). Compounds with the imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine scaffold exhibit a plethora of biological activities, including acting as receptor ligands, anti-infectious agents, enzyme inhibitors etc. as well as being potential nitrogen heterobicycle therapeutic agents, as described by recent studies (Goel et al., 2016; Deep et al., 2017; Kuthyala et al., 2018). On the other hand, compounds containing the 1,3-dithiolan-2-ylidene moiety have been found to exhibit valuable pharmacological activities, including use as potent broad-spectrum fungicides (Tanaka et al., 1976, Wang et al., 1994), antitumor agents (Huang et al., 2009), potent cephalosporinase inhibitors (Ohya et al., 1982) and anti-HIV agents (Nguyen-Ba et al., 1999; Besra et al., 2005). In light of the above, we have incorporated into our research into the design of new potentially bioactive compounds the currently attractive molecular hybridization strategy, which consists of the combination of at least two pharmacophoric moieties of different bioactive substances to produce a new hybrid compound that is medically more effective than its individual components (Viegas-Junior et al. 2007; Meunier, 2008). Yang et al. (2012) have shown that this approach is an effective way to develop novel and potent drugs for different targets.



Herein we report the synthesis, crystal and molecular structure of the title compound, an hybrid compound containing both imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine and 1,3-dithiolane scaffolds. Moreover, since this compound crystallizes as a hydrate, the presence of water molecules in the crystal structure is likely to alter its thermodynamic activity, which would impact its pharmacodynamic properties such as bioavailability and product performance (Khankari & Grant, 1995). From a crystallographic point of view, the intrusion of water molecules into a solid state modifies the network of intermolecular interactions between host molecules by incorporating additional bonds between the organic host molecules and water molecules on the one hand, and between water molecules on the other. To gain a better insight into the cohesive forces between host molecules and intrusive water molecules, and to highlight favored contacts likely to be the crystal driving force, an analysis of intermolecular interactions was carried out using contact enrichment ratios (Jelsch et al., 2014), a descriptor obtained from Hirshfeld surface analysis (Spackman & McKinnon, 2002), which allows an in-depth analysis of the atom-atom contacts in molecular crystals, providing key information on their distribution and is a powerful tool for understanding the most important forces in intermolecular interactions (Jelsch & Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou, 2017).

2. Structural commentary

Fig. 1 shows the asymmetric unit of the title compound, which crystallizes as monohydrate in the orthorhombic space group



Figure 1

Molecular structure of the title compound with atomic labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius. The minor component of the disordered moiety is drawn with open bonds. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C6-H6\cdots O1 O2W-H2W\cdots N1 C5-H5\cdots O1i O2W-H1W\cdots O2Wii C12A-H12B\cdots O2Wiii$	0.93 0.97 (1) 0.93 0.97 (1) 0.97	2.24 1.99 (2) 2.71 1.92 (2) 2.66	2.812 (3) 2.949 (3) 3.560 (3) 2.837 (2) 3.577 (11)	119 170 (6) 153 157 (4) 157

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, z; (ii) -y + 1, $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{4}$; (iii) -x + 1, y, $z - \frac{1}{2}$.

 $I4_1cd$. The hybrid molecule consists of imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine and 1,3-dithiolane scaffolds linked by an -CO-CH=enone bridge. The imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine ring system is essentially planar with a maximum deviation of 0.008 (1) Å for atom N1. Its geometrical parameters are similar to those found for 1-(2methylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridin-3-yl)-3,3-bis(methylsulfanyl)prop-2-enone (Bibila Mayaya Bissevou et al., 2009), as illustrated by the overlay of the structures shown in Fig. 2. In the 1,3-dithiolane moiety, the C11 and C12 atoms of the C-C bond of the ring exhibit occupational disorder over two positions, with relative occupancies of 0.579 (14) and 0.421 (14) for the major and minor components, respectively. This disorder in the 1,3-dithiolane skeleton is not uncommon and has been observed previously (Yang et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008). Conformational analysis of the five-membered rings based on puckering parameters reveals a half-chair form for both disorder components $[Q(2) = 0.419 (7)/0.443 (9) \text{ Å}, \varphi(2)]$ = $303.2 (9)/128.9 (11)^{\circ}$ for the major and minor components, respectively]. The oxygen atom of the linker moiety is involved in a weak intramolecular C6-H6...O1 hydrogen bond (Table 1), which generates an S(6) graph-set motif.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the host molecules form inversion dimers *via* pairwise weak C-H···O interactions $[H5···O1^i = 2.71 \text{ Å};$ symmetry code as in Table 1, Fig. 3] with an $R_2^2(14)$ ring motif. Salient intermolecular interactions in the crystal packing are induced by the water molecule. Each water molecule is linked to two neighbouring water molecules by O2W- $H1W··O2W^{ii}$ hydrogen bonds, generating an infinite self-



Figure 2

An overlay diagram of the title structure (red) with 1-(2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)-3,3-bis(methylsulfanyl)prop-2-enone structure (blue). H atoms and disordered moiety are excluded for clarity.



Figure 3

A partial packing diagram for the title compound showing the $R_2^2(14)$ graph-set motif generated by weak C-H···O hydrogen bonds plotted as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in the hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity.

assembled chain of water molecules in a helical fashion along the *b* axis around which the host molecules are linked *via* $O2W-H2W\cdots N1$ hydrogen bonds and weak C12- $H12D\cdots O2W^{ii}$ interactions (Fig. 4). The host molecules are stacked on top of each other in alternating orientations along the *c*-axis direction (Fig. 5) and each is further involved in a cooperative contact with its adjacent homologue through a $C-H\cdots S$ interaction (H5 \cdots S1ⁱ = 3.00 Å).

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

The Hirshfeld surface analysis (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) and two-dimensional fingerprint plots (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) were generated using *CrystalExplorer* (Turner *et al.*, 2017). The Hirshfeld surface (HS) mapped over d_{norm} in the range -0.5072 to 1.2974 a.u. and shape-index (range -1.0 to 1.0 a.u.) are displayed in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively. The red spot on the HS indicates the O2*W*-H2*W*···N1 hydrogen bond while the pale-red spot near H12*B* illustrates the weak C-H···O2*W* interaction. The white spots represent H···O, H···S and H···H contacts. On the shape-index surface, convex blue



Figure 4

A view along b axis showing hydrogen-bonded self-assembled chain of water molecules with the hydrogen bonds between the water and host molecules shown as dashed lines. For clarity, the atoms in the host molecules not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted.





A view along the c axis of the crystal packing, showing the stacking of the host molecules, with hydrogen bonds between water molecules, and between water molecules and host molecules (dashed lines). For clarity, weak hydrogen contacts and some H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted.

regions indicate hydrogen-donor groups, while concave red regions indicate hydrogen-acceptor groups and $S \cdots N$ and $S \cdots C$ contacts and $O \cdots C$ interactions. The fingerprint plots show the contribution of different types of intermolecular interactions (Fig. 8). The largest contribution (46.9%) is from the weak van der Waals $H \cdots H$ contacts, followed by $S \cdots H/$ $H \cdots S$ (14.3%), $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ (12.4%) and $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ (6.3%) interactions. The fingerprint plot for the $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ contacts (5.9% contribution) shows a sharp spike pointing toward the origin of the plot, which highlights the strong hydrogen-bonding between the host molecule and water





Table 2

Intermolecular contacts and enrichment ratios (%) on the Hirshfeld surface by atom type.

The top part of the table gives the surface contribution S_X of each chemical type X to the Hirshfeld surface. The next part shows the percentage contributions C_{XY} of the actual contact types to the surface and the lower part of the table shows the E_{XY} enrichment contact ratios. E_{XY} ratios larger than unity are enriched contacts and those lower than unity are impoverished.

Atom type	Но	С	Ν	0	S	Hc	Ow
Surface	7.70	23.26	3.69	2.77	14.46	43.96	4.17
Contact							
Но							
С		9.40					
Ν	3.20						
0	0.00	3.00					
S	0.70	9.00	2.00				
Hc	8.40	15.00	2.40	3.00	17.60	18.70	
OW	3.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.10	0.00
Enrichment							
OW	5.19						
Ν	5.03						
S	0.27	1.33	1.58				
С	0.00	1.85	0.00	2.08			
Hc	1.19	0.76	0.72	0.98	1.35	1.00	1.14

molecule. The C···C contacts, with a V-shaped distribution of points, contribute 5.7%.

In order to detect favoured contacts and highlight the crystal driving force, enrichment ratios were computed with *MoProViewer* (Guillot *et al.*, 2014). The enrichment ratio E_{XY} of a chemical element pair (X, Y) is defined as the ratio between the proportion of actual crystal contacts between the different chemical species (X, Y) and the theoretical proportion of random equiprobable contacts (Jelsch *et al.*, 2014). The asymmetric unit of the title compound is composed of two entities and in order to analyse all contacts present in the crystal, the host molecule and a neighboring water molecule not in contact each other were selected in order to obtain the integral Hirshfeld surfaces of each entity for the computation of the enrichment ratios. In addition, the hydrophobic Hc



Figure 7 The three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface mapped over shape-index.

atoms bound to carbon were distinguished from the more polar Ho water hydrogen atoms and oxygen atoms were also differentiated (O = ketone oxygen atom and OW = water oxygen atom). The results obtained are summarized in Table 2. The hydrophobic Hc atoms, which constitute the largest part of the Hirshfeld surface, exhibit Hc···Hc self-contacts with an enrichment ratio equal to 1.0. The hydrophobic C···Hc interactions are unprivileged with $E_{CHc} = 0.76$ and correspond to weak C-H···C interactions. These interactions are underrepresented because competition with the S···Hc, OW···Hc and weak O···Hc hydrogen bonds, the first two of which appear favoured with enrichment values of 1.35 and 1.14, respectively, and the last slightly under-represented with an enrichment ratio of 0.98. The C···C contacts are privileged and display an enrichment value of 1.85, which highlight molecules stacking one on top of the other as shown in Fig. 5. This type of stacking interaction is generally favoured in heterocyclic compounds because of the favourable electrostatic complementary orientations of molecules in the crystal packing. This result is in agreement to the findings reported by Jelsch *et al.* (2014). These stacking interactions induce $N \cdot \cdot \cdot S$, $O \cdots C$ and $S \cdots C$ contacts displaying enrichment ratios of 1.58, 2.08 and 1.33, respectively. The N···Ho and OW···Ho polar contacts with the highest enrichment ratios of 5.03 and 5.19, respectively, are the most favoured contacts. These contacts correspond to the strong O2W-H2W···N1 and O2W- $H1W \cdots O2W$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1) observed in the



Figure 8

(a) The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot for title compound and (b)-(g) those delineated into $H \cdots H$, $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$, $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$, $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$, $C \cdots C/C \cdots C$ contacts, respectively.

research communications

crystal structure. Although crystallization is the result of concerted actions of all of the different interactions present within the crystal, the high enrichment value of the $N \cdots Ho$ and $OW \cdots Ho$ polar contacts reveal that these intermolecular interactions are the main driving force in the crystal packing formation of the title compound.

5. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (WebCSD; Thomas *et al.*, 2010) gave 66 hits for structures having an imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridin-3-yl moiety and 157 entries for structures containing an 1,3-dithiolan-2-ylidene scaffold. No structure containing both fragments simultaneously has been determined to date. However, there is one imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridin-3-yl derivative monohydrate that closely resembles the title compound *viz*. 1-(2-methylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridin-3yl)-3,3-bis(methylsulfanyl)prop-2- ε none monohydrate (CSD refcode FOVROY; Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou *et al.*, 2009).

6. Synthesis and crystallization

1-(2,7-Dimethylimidazol[1,2-*a*]pyridin-3-yl)ethanone (6.2 mmol) was dissolved in distilled dimethyl sulfoxide (15 ml), and the carbon disulfide (1.1 molar equivalents, 6.82 mmol) was added. After cooling the mixture to 273 K, sodium hydride (2.5 molar equivalents, 15.5 mmol) was added. After stirring for 30 min. at 273 K, the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 h. The solution was then cooled at 273 K and 1,2-dichloro ethane (2.5 molar equivalents, 15.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was then stirred for 24 h and then poured into 50 ml of ice-cold water. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from a mixture of water–dioxane (2:1) to obtain brown single crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (yield 76%; m.p. 453 K).

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Water H atoms were located in difference-Fourier maps and OW—H bond lengths were restrained to the target value of the neutron diffraction distance. All other H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.93-0.97 Å) and were refined using a riding model with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(C-methyl)$. In the 1,3-dithiolane ring, the carbon atoms of the C—C bond are disordered over two positions with refined occupancy factors of 0.579 (14) and 0.421 (14). C—C bond lengths in both disordered components were restrained to the target value of 1.513 Å (Allen *et al.*, 1987).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Spectropôle Service of the Faculty of Sciences and Techniques of Saint Jérôme (France) for the use of their diffractometer.

Table 3	
Experimen	tal details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{14}H_{14}N_2OS_2$ ·H2O
M _r	308.41
Crystal system, space group	Tetragonal, I4 ₁ cd
Temperature (K)	293
a, c (Å)	28.3247 (7), 7.2820 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	5842.3 (3)
Ζ	16
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.37
Crystal size (mm)	$0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Nonius KappaCCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.927, 0.963
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	22803, 3672, 2765
R _{int}	0.044
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.036, 0.102, 1.04
No. of reflections	3672
No. of parameters	211
No. of restraints	43
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.22, -0.29
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 1012 quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons <i>et al.</i> 2013)
Absolute structure parameter	-0.01 (4)
*	

Computer programs: COLLECT (Nonius, 1997), DENZO/SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows and WinGX (Farrugia, 1997), PLATON (Spek, 2009), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O. & Watson, D. G. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II, S1-S19.
- Besra, R. C., Rudrawar, S. & Chakraborti, A. K. (2005). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 46, 6213–6217.
- Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou, Y., Sissouma, D., Goulizan Bi, S. D., Ouattara, M. & Yao-Kakou, R. C. A. (2009). Acta Cryst. E65, 01698–01699.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Chichibabin, A. E. (1925). Chem. Ber. 58, 1704–1706.
- Deep, A., Bhatia, R. K., Kaur, R., Kumar, S., Jain, U. K., Singh, H., Batra, S., Kaushik, D. & Deb, P. K. (2017). *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 17, 238–250.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Goel, R., Luxami, V. & Paul, K. (2016). Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 16, 3590–3616.
- Guillot, B., Enrique, E., Huder, L. & Jelsch, C. (2014). Acta Cryst. A**70**, C279.
- Huang, F., Zhao, M., Zhang, X., Wang, C., Qian, K., Kuo, R. Y., Morris-Natschke, S., Lee, K. H. & Peng, S. (2009). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 17, 6085–6095.
- Jelsch, C. & Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou, Y. (2017). IUCrJ, 4, 158-174.
- Jelsch, C., Ejsmont, K. & Huder, L. (2014). IUCrJ, 1, 119-128.
- Khankari, R. K. & Grant, D. J. W. (1995). *Thermochim. Acta*, **248**, 61–79.
- Kuthyala, S., Nagaraja, G. K., Sheik, S., Hanumanthappa, M. & Kumar, S. M. (2018). J. Mol. Struct. pp. 381–390.

- Liu, J.-F., Liu, X.-L. & Liu, Y.-H. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 01340.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Meunier, B. (2008). Acc. Chem. Res. 41, 69-77.
- Nguyen-Ba, N., Brown, W. L., Chan, L., Lee, N., Brasili, L., Lafleur, D. & Zacharie, B. (1999). Chem. Commun. pp. 1245–1246.
- Nonius (1997). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Ohya, S., Miyadera, T. & Yamazaki, M. (1982). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 21, 613–617.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249–259.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19–32.

- Spackman, M. A. & McKinnon, J. J. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 378– 392.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Tanaka, H., Araki, F., Harada, T. & Kurono, H. (1976). Jpn Patent No. 51151326A.
- Thomas, I. R., Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Macrae, C. F., Pidcock, E. & Wood, P. A. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 362–366.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17. University of Western Australia.* http://hirshfeldsurface.net.
- Viegas-Junior, C., Danuello, A., Bolzani, V. da S., Barreiro, E. J. & Fraga, C. A. M. (2007). Curr. Med. Chem. 14, 1829–1852.
- Wang, Y., Li, Z. H. & Gao, N. (1994). Yaoxue Xuebao, 29, 78-80.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Yang, L.-J., Li, Z.-G., Liu, X.-L. & Liu, Y.-H. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, 04501.
- Yang, X.-D., Wan, W. C., Deng, X.-Y., Li, Y., Yang, L.-J., Li, L. & Zhang, H.-B. (2012). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 22, 2726–2729.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 1934-1939 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019015755]

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and contact enrichment ratios of 1-(2,7-dimethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-(1,3-dithiolan-2-ylidene)ethanone monohydrate

Yvon Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou, Mahama Ouattara, Pénétjiligué Adama Soro, R. C. A. Yao-Kakou and Abodou Jules Tenon

Computing details

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1997); cell refinement: *DENZO/SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO/SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997), *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) and *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

1-(2,7-Dimethylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)-2-(1,3-dithiolan-2-ylidene)ethanone monohydrate

Crystal data

 $C_{14}H_{14}N_2OS_2 \cdot H2O$ $M_r = 308.41$ Tetragonal, $I4_1cd$ a = 28.3247 (7) Å c = 7.2820 (2) Å V = 5842.3 (3) Å³ Z = 16F(000) = 2592

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer phi and ω scan Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995) $T_{\min} = 0.927, T_{\max} = 0.963$ 22803 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ $wR(F^2) = 0.102$ S = 1.043672 reflections 211 parameters $D_{\rm x} = 1.403 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 22217 reflections $\theta = 1.4-30.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.37 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KParallelepiped, brown $0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

3672 independent reflections 2765 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.044$ $\theta_{max} = 30.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.0^{\circ}$ $h = -37 \rightarrow 30$ $k = -39 \rightarrow 37$ $l = -9 \rightarrow 7$

43 restraints Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0537P)^2 + 1.6604P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$
$$\begin{split} &\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ &\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ &\text{Extinction correction: SHELXL2014} \\ &\text{(Sheldrick, 2015),} \\ &\text{Fc}^* = \text{kFc}[1 + 0.001 \text{xFc}^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} \end{split}$$

Extinction coefficient: 0.0024 (6) Absolute structure: Flack *x* determined using 1012 quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons *et al.* 2013) Absolute structure parameter: -0.01 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
S1	0.38738 (2)	0.12434 (2)	0.85051 (16)	0.0539 (2)	
S2	0.39148 (2)	0.22768 (2)	0.85799 (17)	0.0626 (2)	
01	0.47583 (6)	0.09202 (6)	0.8604 (5)	0.0651 (6)	
N2	0.57546 (6)	0.09070 (6)	0.8622 (5)	0.0402 (4)	
N1	0.62625 (6)	0.15130 (7)	0.8534 (4)	0.0419 (4)	
C1	0.58159 (8)	0.16831 (8)	0.8543 (6)	0.0413 (5)	
C2	0.62206 (7)	0.10414 (8)	0.8578 (5)	0.0392 (5)	
C3	0.65720 (8)	0.06930 (8)	0.8584 (5)	0.0445 (5)	
H3	0.6888	0.0781	0.8543	0.053*	
C4	0.64537 (8)	0.02258 (8)	0.8648 (6)	0.0474 (6)	
C5	0.59688 (9)	0.01044 (9)	0.8715 (6)	0.0559 (8)	
Н5	0.5883	-0.0212	0.8775	0.067*	
C6	0.56270 (9)	0.04398 (9)	0.8694 (7)	0.0539 (7)	
H6	0.5310	0.0354	0.8727	0.065*	
C7	0.54822 (8)	0.13206 (7)	0.8609 (5)	0.0414 (5)	
C8	0.49691 (9)	0.13052 (7)	0.8601 (7)	0.0451 (5)	
C9	0.47020 (8)	0.17414 (8)	0.8611 (6)	0.0480 (6)	
Н9	0.4863	0.2028	0.8650	0.058*	
C10	0.42253 (8)	0.17425 (8)	0.8563 (6)	0.0432 (5)	
C11A	0.3325 (3)	0.1573 (3)	0.8856 (14)	0.0577 (19)	0.579 (14)
H11A	0.3064	0.1402	0.8309	0.069*	0.579 (14)
H11B	0.3264	0.1604	1.0161	0.069*	0.579 (14)
C12A	0.3362 (3)	0.2057 (3)	0.7998 (15)	0.0585 (18)	0.579 (14)
H12A	0.3115	0.2262	0.8466	0.070*	0.579 (14)
H12B	0.3330	0.2035	0.6674	0.070*	0.579 (14)
C11B	0.3315 (4)	0.1526 (4)	0.792 (2)	0.054 (2)	0.421 (14)
H11C	0.3288	0.1570	0.6607	0.065*	0.421 (14)
H11D	0.3051	0.1337	0.8344	0.065*	0.421 (14)
C12B	0.3324 (4)	0.1995 (4)	0.8896 (19)	0.058 (3)	0.421 (14)
H12C	0.3262	0.1950	1.0194	0.069*	0.421 (14)
H12D	0.3080	0.2199	0.8400	0.069*	0.421 (14)
C13	0.57437 (9)	0.22043 (8)	0.8531 (7)	0.0545 (6)	
H13A	0.6044	0.2360	0.8444	0.082*	
H13B	0.5589	0.2299	0.9644	0.082*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

supporting information

H13C	0.5552	0.2290	0.7496	0.082*
C14	0.68205 (9)	-0.01559 (9)	0.8647 (6)	0.0587 (7)
H14A	0.7128	-0.0017	0.8536	0.088*
H14B	0.6766	-0.0364	0.7629	0.088*
H14C	0.6802	-0.0331	0.9773	0.088*
O2W	0.70594 (8)	0.21823 (9)	0.8393 (5)	0.0750 (7)
H1W	0.7256 (13)	0.2168 (16)	0.948 (4)	0.097 (15)*
H2W	0.6805 (13)	0.1956 (14)	0.859 (9)	0.131 (19)*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	<i>U</i> ²²	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S 1	0.0382 (3)	0.0429 (3)	0.0806 (5)	0.0006 (2)	0.0015 (4)	0.0037 (5)
S2	0.0479 (4)	0.0417 (3)	0.0982 (6)	0.0122 (3)	-0.0040 (5)	0.0009 (5)
01	0.0367 (9)	0.0391 (9)	0.1197 (18)	-0.0002 (7)	-0.0006 (14)	-0.0017 (14)
N2	0.0334 (9)	0.0334 (9)	0.0539 (11)	0.0013 (7)	-0.0004 (12)	-0.0002 (12)
N1	0.0379 (10)	0.0359 (10)	0.0518 (11)	-0.0018 (7)	-0.0011 (13)	0.0002 (13)
C1	0.0394 (12)	0.0370 (11)	0.0474 (13)	-0.0004 (9)	-0.0002 (16)	0.0010 (15)
C2	0.0327 (11)	0.0389 (11)	0.0460 (13)	-0.0011 (8)	-0.0006 (14)	-0.0010 (15)
C3	0.0341 (11)	0.0439 (12)	0.0555 (14)	0.0026 (9)	0.0009 (14)	-0.0031 (15)
C4	0.0396 (12)	0.0434 (12)	0.0591 (16)	0.0080 (9)	-0.0002 (16)	-0.0025 (15)
C5	0.0454 (13)	0.0365 (13)	0.086 (2)	0.0029 (9)	0.0000 (19)	-0.002 (2)
C6	0.0356 (12)	0.0372 (12)	0.089 (2)	-0.0026 (9)	0.0004 (17)	0.0012 (17)
C7	0.0361 (11)	0.0348 (10)	0.0532 (14)	0.0037 (8)	-0.0006 (14)	0.0014 (15)
C8	0.0355 (10)	0.0414 (10)	0.0583 (14)	0.0024 (10)	-0.0007 (13)	0.000 (2)
C9	0.0378 (11)	0.0371 (11)	0.0692 (16)	0.0029 (9)	-0.0037 (17)	0.0002 (15)
C10	0.0401 (11)	0.0391 (11)	0.0504 (13)	0.0050 (10)	0.0012 (18)	0.0013 (15)
C11A	0.035 (2)	0.062 (3)	0.075 (5)	-0.001 (2)	0.003 (4)	-0.006 (4)
C12A	0.042 (3)	0.067 (4)	0.066 (4)	0.012 (3)	-0.005 (4)	-0.003 (3)
C11B	0.035 (4)	0.062 (4)	0.066 (5)	0.010 (3)	0.006 (5)	-0.003 (5)
C12B	0.043 (4)	0.060 (5)	0.070 (6)	0.012 (3)	0.009 (4)	-0.006 (5)
C13	0.0470 (13)	0.0363 (12)	0.0802 (19)	0.0001 (10)	-0.0017 (18)	0.0023 (18)
C14	0.0458 (13)	0.0488 (14)	0.082 (2)	0.0125 (10)	0.000 (2)	-0.005 (2)
O2W	0.0606 (13)	0.0720 (14)	0.092 (2)	-0.0131 (11)	-0.0092 (16)	0.0270 (17)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C10	1.729 (3)	C1—C7	1.396 (3)	
S1—C11B	1.824 (11)	C1—C13	1.491 (3)	
S1—C11A	1.831 (8)	C2—C3	1.402 (3)	
S2—C12A	1.739 (8)	C3—C4	1.366 (3)	
S2-C10	1.750 (2)	C4—C5	1.417 (3)	
S2—C12B	1.868 (12)	C4—C14	1.500 (3)	
O1—C8	1.243 (3)	C5—C6	1.356 (3)	
N2-C6	1.373 (3)	C7—C8	1.454 (3)	
N2-C2	1.374 (3)	C8—C9	1.449 (3)	
N2C7	1.403 (3)	C9—C10	1.351 (3)	
N1—C2	1.342 (3)	C11A—C12A	1.509 (9)	

supporting information

N1—C1	1.354 (3)	C11B—C12B	1.506 (10)
C10—S1—C11B	98.4 (3)	C5—C4—C14	119.8 (2)
C10—S1—C11A	93.9 (3)	C6—C5—C4	121.4 (2)
C12A—S2—C10	98.1 (3)	C5—C6—N2	119.2 (2)
C10—S2—C12B	94.7 (4)	C1—C7—N2	104.01 (19)
C6—N2—C2	121.39 (19)	C1—C7—C8	134.3 (2)
C6—N2—C7	131.3 (2)	N2—C7—C8	121.7 (2)
C2—N2—C7	107.28 (19)	O1—C8—C9	119.8 (2)
C2—N1—C1	105.76 (18)	O1—C8—C7	120.4 (2)
N1—C1—C7	111.77 (19)	C9—C8—C7	119.8 (2)
N1—C1—C13	118.7 (2)	С10—С9—С8	121.6 (2)
C7—C1—C13	129.5 (2)	C9—C10—S1	125.03 (19)
N1—C2—N2	111.18 (19)	C9—C10—S2	120.27 (19)
N1—C2—C3	129.7 (2)	S1—C10—S2	114.69 (14)
N2—C2—C3	119.2 (2)	C12A—C11A—S1	110.3 (6)
C4—C3—C2	120.5 (2)	C11A—C12A—S2	106.6 (6)
C3—C4—C5	118.3 (2)	C12B—C11B—S1	105.2 (9)
C3—C4—C14	121.9 (2)	C11B—C12B—S2	109.6 (7)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
С6—Н6…О1	0.93	2.24	2.812 (3)	119
O2 <i>W</i> —H2 <i>W</i> ···N1	0.97 (1)	1.99 (2)	2.949 (3)	170 (6)
C5—H5···O1 ⁱ	0.93	2.71	3.560 (3)	153
O2W—H1 W ···O2 W ⁱⁱ	0.97 (1)	1.92 (2)	2.837 (2)	157 (4)
C12A— $H12B$ ···· $O2W$ ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.66	3.577 (11)	157

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*, *z*; (ii) -*y*+1, *x*-1/2, *z*+1/4; (iii) -*x*+1, *y*, *z*-1/2.