



Received 23 December 2019 Accepted 13 January 2020

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

**Keywords:** crystal structure; thiosulfate; strontium; hydrate; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 1977322

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure of strontium thiosulfate monohydrate

### Wilhelm Klein\*

Technische Universität München, Department of Chemistry, Lichtenbergstr. 4, 85747 Garching, Germany. \*Correspondence e-mail: wilhelm.klein@tum.de

 $SrS_2O_3 \cdot H_2O$  was obtained from an aqueous solution of  $Na_2S_2O_3$  and  $Sr(NO_3)_2$ and crystallizes in space group  $P\overline{I}$  with all atoms at general positions. The  $Sr^{2+}$ ion exhibits an [8 + 1] coordination defined by two terminal S and six O atoms of thiosulfate ions, one of the latter at a longer distance, and by one O atom of a water molecule. Two thiosulfate anions act as bidentate, four as monodentate ligands. The structure consists of mainly ionically interacting layers lying parallel to the crystallographic *ab* plane. The layers are connected by  $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot S$  and  $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  hydrogen bonds of moderate strength.

### 1. Chemical context

Although thiosulfuric acid and its salts are common topics in textbooks of inorganic chemistry, the preparation of the pure acid was achieved just recently by a sophisticated synthesis *via* reaction of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and anhydrous HF (Hopfinger *et al.*, 2018). Its salts are much better explored, as they are naturally and geologically widely spread (Caufield & Raiswell, 1999), and Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, as well as (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, is produced on a large industrial scale (Barberá *et al.*, 2012). To date, thiosulfates of alkaline earth metals are solely known as hydrates. For example, MgS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O has been investigated by Elerman *et al.* (1983) to determine its deformation electron density, and the first example of an S–H hydrogen bond that was confirmed by a single crystal-structure determination was found in BaS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (Manojlović-Muir, 1969).

Next to  $SrS_2O_3 \cdot 5H_2O$  (Held & Bohatý, 2004), the title compound represents the second known crystal structure of a hydrate of strontium thiosulfate. As one route of preparation, the pentahydrate has been crystallized from aqueous solutions of  $Na_2S_2O_3$  and  $Sr(NO_3)_2$ , whereby these solutions were reported to show a tendency to decompose, inhibiting the growth of larger single crystals (Held & Bohatý, 2004). A possible step within the decomposition process, and maybe a competing product in a later stage of crystallization, might be associated with the monohydrate, the crystal structure of which is presented here.

### 2. Structural commentary

 $SrS_2O_3 \cdot H_2O$  crystallizes in the space group  $P\overline{1}$  with one formula unit in the asymmetric unit and all atoms on general positions. Many structural features resemble the closely related pentahydrate of  $SrS_2O_3$ . The thiosulfate anion adopts a slightly distorted tetrahedral shape with a mean bond angle of 109.47° where the average O-S-O angles (110.32°) are

Table 1							
$S\!-\!S$ and	averaged S-O	bond	lengths	(Å) in	thiosulfates	of	divalent
cations.							

Cation/solvent molecules	S-S	mean S-O
$Ba^{2+}/1 H_2O^a$	1.979	1.477
$Sr^{2+}/5 H_2O^b$	1.995	1.472
$Sr^{2+}/1$ $H_2O^c$	2.004	1.474
$Ca^{2+}/6H_2O^b$	2.008	1.468
$Mg^{2+}/6 H_2O^d$	2.019	1.471
$Ni^{2+}/6 H_2 O^e$	2.015	1.459
$Cd^{2+}/2H_2O^f$	2.056	1.454
$Pb^{2+}/0$ $H_2O^g$	2.11	1.455

Notes: (a) Manojlović-Muir (1975); (b) Held & Bohatý (2004); (c) this work; (d) Elerman et al. (1983); (e) Elerman et al. (1978); (f) Baggio et al. (1997); (g) Christensen et al. (1991).

slightly larger than the S–S–O angles (108.62°). Similar to the S–S bond length found in the pentahydrate (1.995 Å), the S–S bond length of 2.0044 (7) Å in the monohydrate is between those of the Ca (2.008 Å) and the Ba (1.979 Å) salts. The S–O bond lengths are between 1.466 (2) Å and 1.478 (2) Å and are in the same range as those of other alkaline earth thiosulfate hydrates (Table 1).

The Sr<sup>2+</sup> cation is coordinated by five O and two S atoms, belonging to six neighbouring  $S_2O_3^{2-}$  anions, and one additional O atom of an H<sub>2</sub>O molecule. One of the anions acts as a bidentate S/O ligand, while the remaining five coordinate only *via* one S or O atom, respectively. These six O ligands are found in narrow Sr–O distances ranging from 2.531 (2) to 2.623 (2) Å, whereas the S atoms exhibit Sr–S distances of 3.1618 (6) and 3.2379 (6) Å. A more remote O atom at a distance of 3.305 (2) Å might also be ascribed to the first



	•	,		
$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{c} 04 - \text{H2} \cdots \text{S2}^{\text{i}} \\ 04 - \text{H1} \cdots \text{O4}^{\text{ii}} \\ 04 - \text{H1} \cdots \text{S2}^{\text{iii}} \end{array}$	0.76 (3) 0.78 (4) 0.78 (4)	2.62 (4) 2.42 (4) 2.84 (4)	3.344 (2) 2.962 (4) 3.458 (2)	163 (4) 128 (4) 138 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

coordination sphere, although exhibiting a larger distance than the neighbouring S atoms. This [8 + 1] coordination of the Sr<sup>2+</sup> atom (Fig. 1) again resembles the ninefold coordination of the cation in SrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, with the difference being that in the pentahydrate no S atoms are found in the first coordination sphere of Sr<sup>2+</sup>, but four water molecules instead. As a consequence of the presence of the larger S atoms close to Sr<sup>2+</sup>, in the title structure one O atom is shifted into an outer region of the coordination shell and thus is found at a considerably longer distance.

As a characteristic feature of the crystal structure, SrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O is made up from layers extending parallel to the crystallographic *ab* plane (Fig. 2). Within the layers, the condensed coordination polyhedra are packed alternately to form double sheets in such a way that the terminal S2 atoms and water molecules are directed towards the layer boundaries (Fig. 3). The layers are linked by hydrogen bonds of medium strength between the water molecules (O4···O4<sup>ii</sup>) and the water molecules and thiosulfate anions *via* S2 atoms (Table 2). This involves also a bifurcated hydrogen bond O4– H1···(O4<sup>ii</sup>/S2<sup>iii</sup>). The O4–H2···S2<sup>i</sup> bond as well as the *D*···A distances are in the same range as in SrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (Held & Bohatý, 2004). For the H1 atom, a disorder model similar to that proposed for BaS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (Manojlović-Muir, 1975) was



Figure 1

Coordination polyhedron of the Sr<sup>2+</sup> cation in SrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 70% probability level; H atoms are shown with arbitrary radius. [Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y + 1, z; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (iii) -x, -y + 1, -z; (iv) x, y + 1, z; (v) x - 1, y, z.]





Crystal structure of  $SrS_2O_3{\cdot}H_2O,$  in a view onto (001). Displacement ellipsoids are shown as in Fig. 1.

## research communications





A projection of the crystal structure of  $SrS_2O_3$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O, approximately along [100]. Displacement ellipsoids are shown as in Fig. 1.

considered, which would result in shorter and more linear  $O4-H1\cdots O4^{ii}$  and  $O4-H1\cdots S2^{iii}$  bonds. However, a reasonable refinement of these disordered H atoms was not possible.

A striking analogy to the packing of the pentahydrate structure (Fig. 4a) is apparent. With the presence of five water molecules instead of one, the main packing of ions in SrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O is only slightly changed as a result of the coordination of additional water molecules to the Sr<sup>2+</sup> cation and widened by two non-coordinating and hydrogen-bonded water molecules situated between the layers. The S-S bond is nearly orthogonal to the layer plane; however, the layer boundaries are also formed by S atoms and water molecules, both forming hydrogen bonds. The very close relationship between the two crystal structures suggests a topotactical degradation of the pentahydrate. Because both hydrates were crystallized at room temperature, a temperature dependence of the crystallization does not seem to be the only possible driving force. An ageing process triggered by concentration or thermodynamic stability must be taken into account as well. The degradation process, possibly running via another so far unknown trihydrate after removal of the free water molecules, was not investigated up to now, and in addition a thermal analysis of the title compound could not been carried out because of the presence of large amounts of indistinguishable crystalline by-products, viz. NaNO<sub>3</sub> and Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

The orthorhombic structure of  $BaS_2O_3 \cdot H_2O$  is likewise found to form layers, which are separated by water molecules (Fig. 4b; Nardelli & Fava, 1962; Manojlović-Muir, 1975). Similar to the Sr homologue, two terminal S atoms are part of the first coordination sphere of the  $Ba^{2+}$  cation which has, caused by the larger ion radius, a different environment, namely by five thiosulfate anions as bidentate ligands and one additional water molecule. Interestingly, while the number of atoms forming the first coordination sphere is higher in the Ba



Figure 4

Illustration of the structural relationship between the title compound,  $SrS_2O_3$ · $H_2O$ , and (*a*)  $SrS_2O_3$ · $5H_2O$  (view approximately along [010]) and (*b*)  $BaS_2O_3$ · $H_2O$  (view approximately along [011]).

compound, the number of directly coordinating anions is smaller.

#### 3. Database survey

Besides  $SrS_2O_3$ , crystal structure determinations for three further alkaline-earth thiosulfates have been reported, all of them as hydrates:  $BaS_2O_3 \cdot H_2O$  (Nardelli & Fava, 1962; Manojlović-Muir, 1975),  $CaS_2O_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  (Held & Bohatý, 2004), and MgS\_2O\_3 \cdot 6H\_2O (Nardelli *et al.*, 1962; Baggio *et al.*, 1969; Elerman *et al.*, 1982,1983). Together with the known Sr compounds,  $SrS_2O_3 \cdot 5H_2O$  (Held & Bohatý, 2004) and the new monohydrate, the trend of incorporating smaller amounts of water into stable crystal structures with increasing cation radius is obvious for alkaline-earth metal thiosulfates. With the exception of two of the five water molecules in  $SrS_2O_3 \cdot 5H_2O$ , all water molecules in these compounds coordinate to the divalent cations. This trend is confirmed by

# research communications

divalent transition-metal thiosulfates, as there are those of Ni as the hexahydrate (Elerman *et al.*, 1978; isostructural with the Mg salt) and of Cd as the dihydrate (Baggio *et al.*, 1997). The only crystal structure of a hydrate-free thiosulfate of a divalent cation is reported for Pb (Christensen *et al.*, 1991). Table 1 collates S-S and averaged S-O bond lengths in the corresponding structures of these thiosulfates.

### 4. Synthesis and crystallization

Crystals of  $SrS_2O_3$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O were grown from an aqueous solution of  $Na_2S_2O_3$ ·5H<sub>2</sub>O and  $Sr(NO_3)_2$ . The solution was stored at room temperature and the solvent was evaporated very slowly over several months. Single crystals were isolated from highly concentrated solutions where only a little of the mother liquor remained. Besides the title compound, crystals of  $NaNO_3$  and surplus  $Sr(NO_3)_2$  were also found, and all of these compounds were identified in the X-ray powder pattern of the reaction mixture after drying at room temperature. From all these experiments, no hints of the presence of the pentahydrate were found.

### 5. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Hydrogen atoms were refined with a restrained O–H distance of 0.85 (5) Å and  $U_{iso}(H) =$  $1.5U_{eq}(O)$ . A free refinement of H-atom positions resulted in a reliable shape for the water molecule and orientation with respect to possible hydrogen bonds, but included one short O–H distance of only 0.5 Å.

### **Funding information**

This work was supported by the Technische Universität München within the funding programme Open Access Publishing.

### References

- Baggio, S., Amzel, L. M. & Becka, L. N. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 2650– 2653.
- Baggio, S., Pardo, M. I., Baggio, R. & González, O. (1997). *Acta Cryst.* C53, 1521–1523.
- Barberá, J. J., Metzger, A. & Wolf, M. (2012). Ullmanns Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Vol. 34, pp. 695–704. Weinheim: Wiley-CH.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2012). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2015). APEX3 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Table 3	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	SrS <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O
$M_{\rm r}$	217.76
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	297
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	4.6858 (2), 5.9178 (3), 9.0167 (4)
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	84.889 (2), 87.284 (2), 80.785 (2)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	245.68 (2)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	11.72
Crystal size (mm)	$0.42 \times 0.30 \times 0.16$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Numerical (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.037, 0.264
No. of measured, independent and	8011, 1504, 1481
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub>	0.054
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.715
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.024, 0.061, 1.10
No. of reflections	1504
No. of parameters	71
No. of restraints	2
H-atom treatment	Only H-atom coordinates refined
$\Delta  ho_{ m max},  \Delta  ho_{ m min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.97, -0.86

Computer programs: *APEX3* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2015), *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008), *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015), *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 2012) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Caufield, D. E. & Raiswell, R. (1999). Am. J. Sci. 299, 697-723.

- Christensen, A. N., Hazell, R. G., Hewat, A. W. & O'Reilly, K. P. J. (1991). Acta Chem. Scand. 45, 469–473.
- Elerman, Y., Bats, J. W. & Fuess, H. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 515–518.
- Elerman, Y., Fuess, H. & Joswig, W. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1799– 1801.
- Elerman, Y., Uraz, A. A. & Armağan, N. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 3330–3332.
- Held, P. & Bohatý, L. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, i97-i100.
- Hopfinger, M., Zischka, F., Seifert, M. & Kornath, A. J. (2018). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 644, 574–579.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Manojlović-Muir, L. (1969). Nature, 224, 686-687.
- Manojlović-Muir, L. A. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 135-139.
- Nardelli, M. & Fava, G. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 477-484.
- Nardelli, M., Fava, G. & Giraldi, G. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 227-231.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 197-200 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020000353]

### Crystal structure of strontium thiosulfate monohydrate

### Wilhelm Klein

### **Computing details**

Data collection: APEX3 (Bruker, 2015); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2015); data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Z = 2

F(000) = 208

 $\theta = 2.3 - 30.6^{\circ}$ 

T = 297 K

 $\mu = 11.72 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Block, colourless

 $0.42 \times 0.30 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 2.944 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 7034 reflections

Strontium thiosulfate monohydrate

Crystal data

SrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O  $M_r = 217.76$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ a = 4.6858 (2) Åb = 5.9178 (3) Å c = 9.0167 (4) Å  $\alpha = 84.889~(2)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 87.284 \ (2)^{\circ}$  $\gamma = 80.785 \ (2)^{\circ}$ V = 245.68 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>

### Data collection

Refinement on  $F^2$ 

 $wR(F^2) = 0.061$ 

1504 reflections

direct methods

71 parameters

2 restraints

S = 1.10

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ 

Bruker APEXII CCD	8011 measured reflections
diffractometer	1504 independent reflections
Radiation source: rotating anode FR591	1481 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
MONTEL optic monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.054$
Detector resolution: 16 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30.6^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.3^\circ$
$\varphi$ - and $\omega$ -rotation scans	$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: numerical	$k = -8 \rightarrow 8$
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.037, \ T_{\max} = 0.264$	
Refinement	

#### Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map Only H-atom coordinates refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0324P)^2 + 0.2036P]$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.97 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.86 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

### Extinction correction: SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ Extinction coefficient: 0.085 (5)

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Sr	0.01493 (4)	0.73223 (3)	0.16811 (2)	0.01372 (10)	
S1	0.47043 (11)	0.20793 (8)	0.16097 (5)	0.01113 (12)	
S2	0.55500 (12)	0.41404 (9)	0.31260 (6)	0.01678 (13)	
01	0.2582 (3)	0.3477 (3)	0.06042 (17)	0.0163 (3)	
02	0.7385 (3)	0.1265 (3)	0.07573 (19)	0.0178 (3)	
O3	0.3580 (4)	0.0090 (3)	0.23659 (19)	0.0221 (3)	
04	-0.0933 (5)	0.7998 (4)	0.4397 (2)	0.0309 (4)	
H1	0.024 (9)	0.827 (8)	0.491 (5)	0.046*	
H2	-0.223 (8)	0.774 (7)	0.487 (4)	0.046*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Sr	0.01592 (13)	0.01292 (12)	0.01266 (13)	-0.00269 (7)	-0.00130 (7)	-0.00167 (7)
S1	0.0116 (2)	0.0105 (2)	0.0117 (2)	-0.00225 (16)	-0.00057 (16)	-0.00182 (16)
S2	0.0202 (3)	0.0170 (2)	0.0145 (2)	-0.00505 (19)	-0.00235 (19)	-0.00465 (18)
01	0.0159 (7)	0.0160 (7)	0.0168 (7)	0.0000 (6)	-0.0053 (6)	-0.0030 (6)
O2	0.0145 (7)	0.0194 (7)	0.0188 (7)	0.0006 (6)	0.0027 (6)	-0.0057 (6)
O3	0.0316 (9)	0.0187 (7)	0.0191 (8)	-0.0146 (7)	-0.0033 (7)	0.0023 (6)
O4	0.0339 (11)	0.0433 (11)	0.0187 (9)	-0.0151 (9)	0.0037 (8)	-0.0071 (8)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Sr-04	2.531 (2)	S1—O2	1.4768 (16)
Sr—O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.5698 (16)	S1—O1	1.4776 (15)
Sr—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.5708 (17)	S1—S2	2.0044 (7)
Sr—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.5934 (15)	S1—Sr <sup>vii</sup>	3.4816 (5)
Sr—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	2.6010 (17)	S2—Sr <sup>viii</sup>	3.2379 (6)
Sr—O1	2.6226 (15)	O1—Sr <sup>iii</sup>	2.5934 (15)
Sr—S2	3.1618 (6)	O2—Sr <sup>vii</sup>	2.5698 (16)
Sr—S2 <sup>v</sup>	3.2379 (6)	O2—Sr <sup>ii</sup>	2.5708 (16)
Sr—O3 <sup>i</sup>	3.305 (2)	O3—Sr <sup>ix</sup>	2.6010 (17)
Sr—S1	3.4768 (5)	O3—Sr <sup>vii</sup>	3.305 (2)
$Sr - S1^i$	3.4816 (5)	O4—H1	0.78 (4)
Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	4.1762 (4)	O4—H2	0.76 (3)

S1—O3	1.4663 (16)		
$O4$ — $Sr$ — $O2^i$	93.29 (7)	$O1^{iii}$ — $Sr$ — $S1^i$	80.94 (3)
O4—Sr—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	145.14 (6)	$O3^{iv}$ — $Sr$ — $S1^i$	86.26 (4)
$O2^{i}$ —Sr— $O2^{ii}$	71.34 (6)	O1—Sr—S1 <sup>i</sup>	150.22 (3)
O4—Sr—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	138.36 (6)	S2—Sr—S1 <sup>i</sup>	152.443 (14)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Sr—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	75.45 (5)	$S2^v$ — $Sr$ — $S1^i$	89.500 (14)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	69.30 (5)	$O3^{i}$ —Sr—S $1^{i}$	24.78 (3)
O4—Sr—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	73.47 (6)	$S1$ — $Sr$ — $S1^i$	170.625 (18)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Sr—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	77.99 (6)	O4—Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	122.71 (6)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	72.79 (5)	O2 <sup>i</sup> —Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	35.68 (4)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Sr—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	138.90 (5)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	35.66 (4)
O4—Sr—O1	126.88 (7)	O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	68.15 (3)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Sr—O1	139.15 (5)	$O3^{iv}$ —Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	71.93 (4)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr—O1	77.29 (5)	O1—Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	109.27 (4)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Sr—O1	69.30 (5)	S2—Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	129.735 (12)
O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Sr—O1	116.91 (6)	$S2^{v}$ — $Sr$ — $Sr$ <sup><math>vi</math></sup>	134.574 (12)
04—Sr—S2	80.16 (6)	O3 <sup>i</sup> —Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	80.32 (3)
$O2^{i}$ —Sr—S2	152.24 (4)	S1—Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	124.721 (11)
$O2^{ii}$ —Sr—S2	98.85 (4)	S1 <sup>i</sup> —Sr—Sr <sup>vi</sup>	58.002 (9)
$O1^{iii}$ —Sr—S2	126.47 (3)	03-\$1-02	108.96 (11)
$O3^{iv}$ —Sr—S2	74.28 (4)	03-\$1-01	112.09 (10)
01—Sr—S2	57.20 (3)	02-51-01	109.91 (9)
$04$ — $Sr$ — $S2^{v}$	69.28 (5)	03-\$1-\$2	109.49 (7)
$O2^{i}$ Sr $S2^{v}$	108.83 (4)	02 - 51 - 52	109.77 (7)
$\Omega^{2ii}$ Sr $S^{2v}$	144.82 (4)	01 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	106.59 (7)
$01^{iii}$ Sr $S2^{v}$	76.67 (4)	03-S1-Sr	115.97 (8)
$O3^{iv}$ Sr $S2^{v}$	142.38 (4)	02-S1-Sr	134.05 (7)
01—Sr—S2 <sup>v</sup>	82 74 (4)	01-S1-Sr	43 98 (6)
$s_2 = s_1 = s_2$	94 134 (15)	$s_2 = s_1 = s_r$	$64\ 00\ (2)$
$04$ —Sr— $03^{i}$	65 98 (6)	$03 - S1 - Sr^{vii}$	70 87 (8)
$02^{i}$ Sr $03^{i}$	46.05 (5)	$02-S1-Sr^{vii}$	41.53 (7)
$02^{ii}$ Sr $02^{i}$	114 88 (5)	$01 - S1 - Sr^{vii}$	141 36 (6)
$01^{iii}$ Sr $03^{i}$	78 38 (4)	$S^2 = S^1 = Sr^{vii}$	108 24 (2)
$O3^{iv}$ Sr $O3^{i}$	104 37 (6)	$s_2 = s_1 = s_1$ $s_1 = s_1$	170.625(18)
$01$ —Sr— $03^{i}$	138 65 (4)	$s_1 = s_2 = s_r$	81 26 (2)
$s_2 = s_r = 03^i$	144.49 (3)	$s_1 = s_2 = s_1$ $s_1 = s_2 = s_1$	109.00(2)
$S2^{v}$ Sr $O3^{i}$	64 83 (3)	sr s2 sr	94 134 (15)
04—Sr—S1	106 66 (6)	$S1 - O1 - Sr^{iii}$	134 66 (9)
$O2^{i}$ Sr S1	159 58 (4)	$s_1 = 0_1 = s_r$	112 99 (8)
$\Omega^{2ii}$ Sr S1	89 34 (4)	$r^{iii}$ $-01$ $Sr$	112.99(6)
$O1^{iii}$ Sr S1	91 84 (3)	$S1 = O2 = Sr^{vii}$	116.70(9)
$O_{3iv}$ Sr S1	103 11 (4)	$S1 = O2 = Sr^{ii}$	134.93(10)
01—Sr—S1	23 03 (3)	$Sr^{vii}$ $O2$ $Sr^{ii}$	108 66 (6)
\$2\$1\$1	34 737 (12)	S1 = 02 $S1$	135 58 (10)
S2 <sup>v</sup> —Sr—S1	82 985 (14)	\$1_03_\$r <sup>vii</sup>	84 35 (8)
$O3^{i}$ Sr S1	147 67 (3)	$Sr^{ix}$ $O3$ $Sr^{vii}$	104 37 (6)
04—Sr—S1 <sup>i</sup>	75 63 (6)	Sr_04_H1	122 (3)
UT-10-10	(0)	51-04-111	122 (3)

# supporting information

$O2^{i}$ —Sr—S1 <sup>i</sup>	22.40 (4)	Sr—O4—H2	128 (3)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr—S1 <sup>i</sup>	93.64 (4)	H1—O4—H2	109 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*+1, *z*; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*; (iii) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*; (iv) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (v) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (vi) -*x*, -*y*+2, -*z*; (vii) *x*+1, *y*-1, *z*; (viii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (ix) *x*, *y*-1, *z*.

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D^{\dots}A$	D—H···A
O4—H2···S2 <sup>x</sup>	0.76 (3)	2.62 (4)	3.344 (2)	163 (4)
O4—H1···O4 <sup>xi</sup>	0.78 (4)	2.42 (4)	2.962 (4)	128 (4)
O4—H1···S2 <sup>xii</sup>	0.78 (4)	2.84 (4)	3.458 (2)	138 (4)

Symmetry codes: (x) -x, -y+1, -z+1; (xi) -x, -y+2, -z+1; (xii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.