

CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS

ISSN 2056-9890

Received 7 May 2020 Accepted 8 May 2020

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

Keywords: crystal structure; oxidotellurate(IV); cadmium tellurite; isotypism; structure comparison.

CCDC reference: 2002758

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





The crystal structure of a new CdTe $_2O_5$ polymorph, isotypic with ϵ -CaTe $_2O_5$

Felix Eder* and Matthias Weil

Institute for Chemical Technologies and Analytics, Division of Structural Chemistry, TU Wien, Getreidemarkt 9/164-SC, A-1060 Vienna, Austria. *Correspondence e-mail: felix.eder@tuwien.ac.at

Single crystals of cadmium pentaoxidoditellurate(IV), CdTe₂O₅, were obtained as by-products in a hydrothermal reaction of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, TeO₂, H₆TeO₆ and NH₃ (molar ratios 2:1:1:6) at 483 K for seven days. The crystals represent a different polymorph (henceforth referred to as the β -form) than the α -CdTe₂O₅ crystals grown from the melt, and are isotypic with hydrothermally grown ε -CaTe₂O₅. The asymmetric unit of β -CdTe₂O₅ comprises one Cd, two Te and five O sites, all of which are located in general positions (Wyckoff position 4 *e*). The cadmium(II) atom is coordinated by seven oxygen atoms, forming ∞^{2} [CdO_{6/2}O_{1/1}] (100) layers. Both tellurium sites are surrounded by four oxygen atoms with one of them being at a significantly longer distance than the other three. The resulting bisphenoidal [TeO₄] units also form layers propagating parallel to (100) by sharing edges with each other. The stereochemically active $5s^{2}$ lone pair of the Te^{IV} atoms leads to the formation of large channels extending along [011] and smaller ones along [010]. A quantitative comparison between the crystal structures of β -CdTe₂O₅ and ε -CaTe₂O₅ is made.

1. Chemical context

Cadmium pentaoxidoditellurate(IV), better known under its common name cadmium ditellurite, CdTe₂O₅, has been the subject of numerous investigations during the past decades with different emphases. In this regard, the CdO-TeO₂ phase diagram was elucidated by Robertson et al. (1978), or the formation of glasses in the Cd-Te-O system by Karaduman et al. (2012). Other studies focused on electric and ferroelastic properties of CdTe₂O₅ (Redman et al., 1970), with its ferroelasticity remaining up to the melting point (Sadovskaya et al., 1983; Gorbenko et al., 1990). Single crystals of CdTe₂O₅ are usually grown from the melt utilizing the Czochralski or Bridgeman techniques as crystal growth methods (Nawash, 2015). Even though single crystals of CdTe₂O₅ have been grown for decades this way, a satisfactory structure model for this phase had never been published so far, and only lattice parameters of a sub-cell were given (Redman et al., 1970). Other phases in the Cd-Te-O system that are compiled in the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD; Zagorac et al., 2019) include two polymorphs of CdTe^{IV}O₃ (Krämer & Brandt, 1985; Poupon et al., 2017), two polymorphs of Cd₃Te^{VI}O₆ (Burckhardt et al., 1982; Weil & Veyer, 2018) and the mixed Te^{IV/VI}-compounds Cd₂Te₂O₇ and Cd₂Te₃O₉ (Weil, 2004).

The lack of a reasonable structure model for $CdTe_2O_5$ might be caused by the micaceous appearance of the grown crystals (Redman *et al.*, 1970), as well as by its ferroelastic properties, which often are correlated with the formation of twins or multiple domains. The new CdTe₂O₅ phase discovered during the present study originally intended to synthesize new mixedvalent cadmium oxidotellurates(IV,VI), however, belongs to a different polymorph, hereafter referred to as the β -form of CdTe₂O₅.

In this communication we report on the synthesis and crystal structure analysis of β -CdTe₂O₅ and compare it quantitatively with the isotypic structure of ε -CaTe₂O₅ (Weil & Stöger, 2008; Barrier *et al.*, 2009).

2. Structural commentary

All atoms in the asymmetric unit, *viz*. one Cd site, two Te sites and five O sites, are located on general Wyckoff positions 4 *e* (site symmetry 1). The cadmium atom is coordinated by seven oxygen atoms with distances in a range of 2.235 (3)– 2.688 (3) Å. The average Cd–O bond length is 2.389 Å, which is in accordance with the sum of ionic radii for Cd^{II} (CN 7; 1.17 Å) and O (CN 3; 1.22 Å) compiled by Shannon (1976). The bond-valence sum (BVS; Brown, 2002) of Cd is 2.07 valence units (v.u.) using the values of Brese & O'Keeffe (1991) for calculation. The [CdO₇] polyhedron is best described as a distorted pentagonal bipyramid (Fig. 1). The [CdO₇] polyhedra are connected to each other by sharing three edges with other [CdO₇] units, thereby forming ∞^{2} [CdO_{6/2}O_{1/1}] layers oriented parallel to (100) with the Cd^{II} atoms located at $x \simeq 0$;1.

The two tellurium(IV) atoms are both coordinated by four oxygen atoms with three of them being closer than 2 Å (Table 1) and the fourth one at a distance of 2.285 (3) Å (Te1) and 2.204 (3) Å (Te2), respectively. The oxygen atoms are located to one side of the Te^{IV} atoms due to the large amount



Figure 1

The [CdO₇] polyhedron in the crystal structure of β -CdTe₂O₅. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 90% probability level. Symmetry codes refer to Table 1.

Table 1

Comparison of Te $-O$ and $M-O$ ($M = Cd$, Ca) bond lengths (Å) in tl	he
isotypic β -CdTe ₂ O ₅ and ε -CaTe ₂ O ₅ structures.		

	β -CdTe ₂ O ₅	ε -CaTe ₂ O ₅ ^{<i>a</i>}
Te1-O2	1.843 (3)	1.832 (4)
Te1-O1	1.875 (3)	1.852 (4)
Te1-O3	1.991 (3)	1.980 (5)
Te1-O4	2.285 (3)	2.450 (5)
Te2-O4 ⁱ	1.864 (3)	1.854 (4)
Te2-O5	1.897 (3)	1.898 (4)
Te2-O3	1.990 (3)	2.009 (5)
Te2-O5 ⁱⁱ	2.204 (5)	2.178 (5)
$M1 - O1^{iii}$	2.235 (3)	2.305 (4)
$M1-O2^{iv}$	2.238 (3)	2.326 (4)
M1-O1	2.256 (3)	2.360 (5)
$M1-O2^{v}$	2.296 (3)	2.358 (5)
M1-O3	2.424 (3)	2.476 (5)
$M1-O4^{v}$	2.589 (3)	2.554 (5)
$M1-O4^{iii}$	2.688 (3)	2.682 (5)

(a) Lattice parameters: a = 9.382 (2), b = 5.7095 (14), c = 11.132 (3) Å, $\beta = 115.109$ (4)°, V = 539.95 Å³ (Weil & Stöger, 2008). [Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2};$ (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1;$ (iv) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (v) x, y + 1, z.]

of space the $5s^2$ electron lone pair requires. The corresponding coordination polyhedra can be derived from a trigonal bipyramid, [Ψ TeO₄], with the lone pair occupying one of the equatorial positions in each case. The shapes of the polyhedra without the contribution of the lone pair correspond to [TeO₄] bisphenoids (Fig. 2). The bond-valence sums for the tellurium(IV) atoms were calculated to be 4.15 and 4.10 v.u. for Te1 and Te2, respectively, using the values of Brese & O'Keeffe (1991). When applying the revised parameters of Mills & Christy (2013), BVS of 3.94 and 3.92 v.u. were obtained.



Figure 2

The $[\text{TeO}_4]$ polyhedra in the crystal structure of β -CdTe₂O₅. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 90% probability level. Symmetry codes refer to Table 1.





Figure 3 The crystal structure of β -CdTe₂O₅ in a projection along [001]. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 90% probability level.

The $[\text{TeO}_4]$ polyhedra are connected to each other to form layers oriented parallel to (100). These layers have a distinct undulating shape (Fig. 3) and are built up by chains of $[\text{Te1O}_4]$ and $[\text{Te2O}_4]$ units arranged alternately by sharing corners with two neighbours. These chains are cross-linked by two $[\text{Te2O}_4]$ polyhedra by sharing an edge consisting of two O5 atoms. The $[\text{Te1O}_4]$ units are located very close to the Cd–O layer and share edges with three $[\text{CdO}_7]$ polyhedra and one corner with a fourth one. The $[\text{Te2O}_4]$ units are positioned in the centre of the layer and only share two corners with three $[\text{CdO}_7]$ polyhedra. The rather loose arrangement of $[\text{TeO}_4]$ units in the layer can be explained by the stereochemically active $5s^2$



Figure 4

Large channels in the β -CdTe₂O₅ structure running parallel to [011]. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 90% probability level.

The arrangement of such an undulating ${}^{2}_{\infty}$ [Te₂O₅]²⁻ layer was reported for the first time for the ε -polymorph of CaTe₂O₅ (Weil & Stöger, 2008), which is isotypic with β -CdTe₂O₅. CaTe₂O₅ is likewise reported to crystallize in a mica-like form from the melt (Redman et al., 1970). Although several hightemperature polymorphs have also been reported for this phase (Tripathi *et al.*, 2001), ε -CaTe₂O₅ is the only polymorph for which a crystal-structure determination has been performed (Weil & Stöger, 2008; Barrier et al., 2009). The close similarity between the two structures can be explained by the very similar ionic radii (Shannon, 1976) of Ca (CN 7: 1.20 Å) and Cd (CN 7: 1.17 Å). The corresponding bond lengths in the isotypic structures (Table 1) differ only slightly with one exception: the Te1-O4 bond, which is 0.165 Å longer in the Ca structure, shows by far the biggest difference. quantitative comparison between β -CdTe₂O₅ and Α ε -CaTe₂O₅ was carried out using the *compstru* software (de la Flor et al., 2016), available at the Bilbao Crystallographic Server (Aroyo et al., 2006). The absolute distances between paired atoms are 0.0303 Å for Cd/Ca1, 0.0628 Å for Te1, 0.0178 Å for Te2, 0.1426 Å for O1, 0.0791 Å for O2, 0.0384 Å for O3, 0.0788 Å for O4 and 0.0635 Å for O5. The degree of lattice distortion is 0.0118, the arithmetic mean of the distance between paired atoms is 0.0642 Å, and the measure of similarity is 0.077.

3. Synthesis and crystallization

Crystals of $CdTe_2O_5$ were obtained under hydrothermal conditions. The reactants, 0.1890 g (0.613 mmol)





Smaller channels in the β -CdTe₂O₅ structure running parallel to [010]. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 90% probability level.

research communications

Table 2Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	CdTe ₂ O ₅
$M_{ m r}$	447.60
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	9.4535 (5), 5.5806 (3), 10.8607 (5)
β (°)	114.430 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	521.67 (5)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	15.08
Crystal size (mm)	$0.10\times0.06\times0.05$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et
ТТ	$u_{i}^{2}, 2013)$
I min, I max	0.000, 0.740
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	9230, 1827, 1402
R _{int}	0.045
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.747
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.024, 0.045, 1.00
No. of reflections	1827
No. of parameters	73
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ (e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	1.20, -1.04

Computer programs: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2016), *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *ATOMS* (Dowty, 2006), *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Cd(NO₃)₃·2H₂O, 0.0484 g (0.303 mmol) TeO₂, 0.0710 g (0.309 mmol) H₆TeO₆ and 0.12 g (1.8 mmol) 25%_{wt} NH_{3(aq)} were weighed into a small teflon vessel with a volume of *ca* 3 ml. Deionized water was added until the vessel was filled to about two thirds of its volume. Then the vessel was heated to 483 K in a steel autoclave for 7 d under autogenous pressure. Afterwards, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature within about 4 h. The reaction product was a light-yellow, almost white solid. In the X-ray powder pattern of the bulk, α -Cd₃TeO₆ (Burckhardt *et al.*, 1982) and β -CdTe₂O₅ were found. Under a polarising microscope a few small shiny colourless blocks of β -CdTe₂O₅ were isolated for single-crystal measurements.

4. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. Atom labels and starting coord-

inates for refinement were adopted from the isotypic ε -CaTe₂O₅ structure (Weil & Stöger, 2008).

Acknowledgements

The X-ray centre of the TU Wien is acknowledged for financial support and for providing access to the single-crystal and powder X-ray diffractometers.

References

- Aroyo, M. I., Perez-Mato, J. M., Capillas, C., Kroumova, E., Ivantchev, S., Madariaga, G., Kirov, A. & Wondratschek, H. (2006). Z. Kristallogr. 221, 15–27.
- Barrier, N., Rueff, J. M., Lepetit, M. B., Contreras-Garcia, J., Malo, S. & Raveau, B. (2009). Solid State Sci. 11, 289–293.
- Brese, N. E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 192-197.
- Brown, I. D. (2002). The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry: The Bond Valence Model. Oxford University Press.
- Bruker (2016). APEX3 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burckhardt, H.-G., Platte, C. & Trömel, M. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2450–2452.
- Dowty, E. (2006). *ATOMS for Windows*. Shape Software, Kingsport, Tennessee, USA.
- Flor, G. de la, Orobengoa, D., Tasci, E., Perez-Mato, J. M. & Aroyo, M. I. (2016). J. Appl. Cryst. 49, 653–664.
- Gorbenko, V. M., Kudzin, A. Y., Sadovskaja, L. J., Sokoljanskii, G. X. & Avramenko, V. P. (1990). *Ferroelectrics*, **110**, 47–50.
- Karaduman, G., Ersundu, A. E., Çelikbilek, M., Solak, N. & Aydin, S. (2012). J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 32, 603–610.
- Krämer, V. & Brandt, G. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 1152-1154.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Mills, S. J. & Christy, A. G. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 145-149.
- Nawash, J. (2015). MRS Proceedings, 1799, 13-18.
- Poupon, M., Barrier, N., Petit, S. & Boudin, S. (2017). *Dalton Trans.* **46**, 1927–1935.
- Redman, M. J., Chen, J. H., Binnie, W. P. & Mallo, W. J. (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 53, 645–648.
- Robertson, D. S., Shaw, N. & Young, I. M. (1978). J. Mater. Sci. 13, 1986–1990.
- Sadovskaya, L. J., Dudnik, E. F., Scherbina, W. A. & Grzhegorzhevskii, O. A. (1983). Ferroelectrics, 48, 109–112.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Tripathi, S. N., Mishra, R., Mathews, M. D. & Namboodiri, P. N. (2001). *Powder Diffr.* 16, 205–211.
- Weil, M. (2004). Solid State Sci. 6, 29-37.
- Weil, M. & Stöger, B. (2008). Acta Cryst. C64, i79-i81.
- Weil, M. & Veyer, T. (2018). Acta Cryst. E74, 1561-1564.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zagorac, D., Müller, H., Ruehl, S., Zagorac, J. & Rehme, S. (2019). J. *Appl. Cryst.* **52**, 918–925.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 831-834 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020006283]

The crystal structure of a new CdTe₂O₅ polymorph, isotypic with \in -CaTe₂O₅

Felix Eder and Matthias Weil

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2016); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *ATOMS* (Dowty, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Cadmium pentaoxidoditellurate(IV)

Crystal data

CdTe₂O₅ $M_r = 447.60$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 9.4535 (5) Å b = 5.5806 (3) Å c = 10.8607 (5) Å $\beta = 114.430$ (1)° V = 521.67 (5) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer ω - and φ -scan Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Krause *et al.*, 2015) $T_{\min} = 0.600, T_{\max} = 0.746$ 9236 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ $wR(F^2) = 0.045$ S = 1.001827 reflections 73 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 768 $D_x = 5.699 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2645 reflections $\theta = 2.4-32.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 15.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, colourless $0.10 \times 0.06 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

1827 independent reflections 1462 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.045$ $\theta_{max} = 32.1^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.8^{\circ}$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 16$

Primary atom site location: isomorphous structure methods $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0171P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.20 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.03 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Te1	0.25911 (3)	0.32034 (5)	0.72297 (3)	0.01195 (7)	
Te2	0.34935 (3)	0.64780 (5)	0.49078 (3)	0.01181 (7)	
Cd1	0.01900 (4)	0.79762 (6)	0.63620(3)	0.01416 (8)	
01	0.1293 (4)	0.4948 (5)	0.7821 (3)	0.0141 (6)	
O2	0.1216 (4)	0.1359 (5)	0.5843 (3)	0.0188 (7)	
03	0.2239 (4)	0.5960 (5)	0.5973 (3)	0.0163 (7)	
04	0.2081 (4)	0.0287 (6)	0.8466 (3)	0.0162 (7)	
05	0.4791 (4)	0.3979 (6)	0.5962 (3)	0.0176 (7)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Te1	0.00997 (13)	0.01304 (14)	0.01191 (13)	0.00123 (10)	0.00360 (10)	-0.00069 (10)
Te2	0.01214 (13)	0.01187 (13)	0.01070 (13)	-0.00178 (10)	0.00399 (10)	-0.00077 (10)
Cd1	0.01824 (16)	0.01311 (16)	0.01254 (15)	0.00370 (12)	0.00778 (13)	0.00255 (12)
O1	0.0171 (16)	0.0124 (15)	0.0175 (15)	0.0035 (12)	0.0116 (13)	0.0054 (12)
O2	0.0256 (18)	0.0146 (16)	0.0109 (15)	-0.0055 (14)	0.0023 (13)	-0.0008 (13)
O3	0.0199 (17)	0.0142 (15)	0.0209 (16)	0.0035 (13)	0.0144 (14)	0.0032 (13)
O4	0.0162 (16)	0.0142 (15)	0.0159 (15)	0.0016 (13)	0.0044 (13)	0.0068 (13)
O5	0.0162 (16)	0.0198 (16)	0.0188 (16)	0.0060 (13)	0.0094 (13)	0.0072 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Te102	1 843 (3)	Те?Те?іі	3 2253 (6)
	1.075 (3)		5.2255 (0)
lel—01	1.875 (3)	Cdl—Ol ^m	2.235 (3)
Te1—O3	1.991 (3)	Cd1—O2 ^{iv}	2.238 (3)
Te1—O4	2.285 (3)	Cd1—O2 ^v	2.296 (3)
Te2—O4 ⁱ	1.864 (3)	Cd1—O1	2.255 (3)
Te2—O5	1.897 (3)	Cd1—O3	2.424 (3)
Te2—O3	1.990 (3)	Cd1—O4 ^v	2.589 (3)
Te2—O5 ⁱⁱ	2.204 (3)	Cd1—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.688 (3)
O2—Te1—O1	103.25 (15)	O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cd1—O3	167.39 (11)
O2—Te1—O3	90.59 (13)	O2 ^{iv} —Cd1—O3	93.09 (12)
O1—Te1—O3	83.46 (12)	O2 ^v —Cd1—O3	83.66 (11)
O2—Te1—O4	80.40 (12)	O1—Cd1—O3	66.67 (10)
O1—Te1—O4	80.89 (12)	$O1^{iii}$ — $Cd1$ — $O4^{v}$	73.85 (11)
O3—Te1—O4	159.60 (12)	$O2^{iv}$ —Cd1—O4 ^v	138.57 (10)
O4 ⁱ —Te2—O5	100.25 (14)	$O2^v$ —Cd1—O4 v	66.40 (10)

O4 ⁱ —Te2—O3	91.11 (13)	O1—Cd1—O4 ^v	78.65 (10)
O5—Te2—O3	86.24 (13)	$O3$ — $Cd1$ — $O4^{v}$	94.31 (10)
O4 ⁱ —Te2—O5 ⁱⁱ	88.71 (13)	Te1—O1—Cd1 ^{vi}	119.15 (14)
O5—Te2—O5 ⁱⁱ	76.52 (13)	Te1—O1—Cd1	109.06 (13)
O3—Te2—O5 ⁱⁱ	162.43 (12)	Cd1 ^{vi} —O1—Cd1	117.64 (13)
O4 ⁱ —Te2—Te2 ⁱⁱ	95.12 (10)	Te1—O2—Cd1 ^{iv}	133.44 (16)
O5—Te2—Te2 ⁱⁱ	41.63 (9)	Te1—O2—Cd1 ^{vii}	119.05 (14)
O3—Te2—Te2 ⁱⁱ	127.79 (9)	$Cd1^{iv}$ — $O2$ — $Cd1^{vii}$	105.95 (12)
O5 ⁱⁱ —Te2—Te2 ⁱⁱ	34.89 (8)	Te1—O3—Te2	122.67 (15)
$O1^{iii}$ —Cd1— $O2^{iv}$	98.69 (12)	Te1—O3—Cd1	99.09 (12)
$O1^{iii}$ — $Cd1$ — $O2^{v}$	95.20 (12)	Te2—O3—Cd1	138.18 (14)
$O2^{iv}$ —Cd1— $O2^{v}$	74.05 (13)	Te2 ^{viii} —O4—Te1	128.18 (16)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cd1—O1	105.89 (7)	Te2 ^{viii} —O4—Cd1 ^{vii}	118.13 (14)
O2 ^{iv} —Cd1—O1	140.67 (11)	Te1—O4—Cd1 ^{vii}	94.15 (10)
O2 ^v —Cd1—O1	131.97 (12)	Te2—O5—Te2 ⁱⁱ	103.48 (13)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*-1/2; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (iii) -*x*, *y*+1/2, -*z*+3/2; (iv) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (v) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (vi) -*x*, *y*-1/2, -*z*+3/2; (vii) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (viii) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2.