

Received 9 December 2021 Accepted 18 December 2021

Edited by J. Reibenspies, Texas A & M University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; alkoxide; chelating ligand; 3 *d* metals.

CCDC reference: 2129676

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e







Sudheer S. Kurup,^a Sandra Nasser,^a Cassandra L. Ward^{b*} and Stanislav Groysman^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Wayne State University, 5101 Cass Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48202, USA, and ^bLumigen Instrument Center, Wayne State University, 5101 Cass Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48202, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: ward@wayne.edu, groysman@wayne.edu

A new sterically bulky chelating bis(alkoxide) ligand 3,3'-([1,1':4',1''-terphenyl]-2,2''-diyl)bis(2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentan-3-ol), (H₂[OO]^{tBu}), was prepared in a two-step process as the dichloromethane monosolvate, C₃₆H₅₀O₂·CH₂Cl₂. The first step is a Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction between 2-bromophenylboronic acid and 1,4-diiodobenzene. The resulting 2,2''-dibromo-1,1':4',1''-terphenyl was reacted with 'BuLi and hexamethylacetone to obtain the desired product. The crystal structure of H₂[OO]^{tBu} revealed an *anti* conformation of the [CPh₂(OH)] fragments relative to the central phenyl. Furthermore, the hydroxyl groups point away from each other. Likely because of this *anti–anti* conformation, the attempts to synthesize first-row transition-metal complexes with H₂[OO]^{tBu} were not successful.

1. Chemical context

Bulky alkoxides are becoming increasingly used as ancillary ligands in group-transfer chemistry and catalysis (Brazeau & Doerrer, 2019; Chua & Duong, 2014; Hannigan et al., 2017; Jayasundara et al., 2018; Wannipurage et al., 2020). As a result of their stereoelectronic properties, profoundly weak-field bulky alkoxides enable formation of reactive low-coordinate high-spin middle and late transition-metal centers (Bellow et al., 2016b; Grass et al., 2019b). We have previously reported bulky monodentate alkoxides that led to reactive chromium and iron nitrene-transfer catalysts, (Bellow et al., 2015; Wannipurage et al., 2021; Yousif et al., 2015, 2018) and a series of low-coordinate cobalt carbene complexes capable of carbene transfer to isocyanides (Bellow et al., 2016a; Grass et al., 2019a, 2020). However, the lability of monodentate alkoxides affected catalyst stability and the substrate scope. To remediate the problem of lability of monodentate alkoxides, we have designed and synthesized a new chelating bis-(alkoxide) ligand [1,1':4',1"-terphenyl]-2,2"-divlbis(diphenylmethanol) (H₂[OO]^{Ph}) (Fig. 1) (Kurup et al. 2019). The H₂[OO]^{Ph} ligand employs a 1,1':4',1"-terphenyl platform, which increases the bite angle between the alkoxide donors to form approximately seesaw transition-metal centers. While the isolated ligand precursor H₂[OO]^{Ph} exhibits an anti conformation of the [CPh₂(OH)] fragments relative to the central phenyl in the solid state (crystals obtained at 238 K), the hydroxyl groups point towards the central phenyl, exhibiting overall an anti-syn conformation (Fig. 1) (Kurup et al., 2019). Furthermore, while two different isomers were observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy at low temperatures, a single species was observed at room temperature, suggesting



Figure 1

Schematic representation of the 'anti-syn' structure of the previously synthesized H₂[OO]^{Ph} ligand and its reactivity with transition-metal precursors.

facile equilibration of anti and syn conformers. As a result, $H_2[OO]^{Ph}$ led to the formation of the desired bis(alkoxide) complexes with iron and chromium (Fig. 1) (Kurup et al., 2019, 2020). The resulting iron complex exhibited broader range of nitrene transfer reactivity, forming a variety of symmetric azoarenes.



H₂[OO]^{tBu}

The success of this strategy led us to design a new, even bulkier ligand ($H_2[OO]^{tBu}$). The ligand was synthesized in a two-step procedure as described in Fig. 2. Previously reported 2,2"-dibromo-1,1':4',1"-terphenyl was synthesized through a Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction between 2-bromophenylboronic acid and 1,4-diiodobenzene following a literature procedure (Velian et al., 2010). Next, 2,2"-dibromo-1,1':4',1"terphenyl was treated with 'BuLi followed by hexamethylacetone. The formation of the desired product H₂[OO]^{tBu} (35% isolated yield) was accompanied by the formation of significant amounts of *p*-terphenyl by-product (38% isolated yield). H₂[OO]^{tBu} was characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR



anti-syn conformation

Figure 2

Synthesis of H₂[OO]^{tBu}, its schematic structure, and the lack of welldefined reactivity with transition-metal amide precursors.

spectroscopy, high-resolution mass spectrometry, and X-ray crystallography. ¹H NMR spectroscopy demonstrates the presence of two isomers at room temperature in an approximately 2:1 ratio, as manifested by two tert-butyl resonances (1.05 and 1.03 ppm) and two OH resonances (2.09 and 2.07 ppm). This observation suggests that, in contrast to $H_2[OO]^{Ph}$, various isomers of H₂[OO]^{tBu} do not readily interconvert at room temperature, possibly due to the more significant steric hindrance of the tert-butyl groups. An X-ray crystallography study (see below) suggests that in at least one of these isomers the hydroxyl groups are pointing away from each other; such an isomer is unlikely to coordinate a single metal in a chelating fashion. Accordingly, the reaction of H₂[OO]^{tBu} with several representative transition-metal amides (M = Cr, Mn, Fe) failed to produce isolable complexes.

2. Structural commentary

The crystals of H₂[OO]^{tBu} were obtained from dichloromethane at 238 K. The structure crystallized in space group $P\overline{1}$ and is presented in Fig. 3. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 1. H₂[OO]^{tBu} exhibits a crystallographic inversion center, with only half of the molecule occupying the asymmetric unit. In addition to $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$, the structure contains one solvent molecule (CH₂Cl₂) disordered by symmetry over two positions. Selected bond distances, angles, and torsion angles appear in Table 1. The lateral phenyls of the terphenyl unit are approximately perpendicular to the central phenyl ring, as indicated by the corresponding torsion angles close to 90° (see Table 1). Similar to the structure of H₂[OO]^{Ph}, H₂[OO]^{tBu} manifests an *anti* conformation of the two '[C^tBu₂(OH)]' donors relative to the central phenyl ring.





The structure of $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$ (50% probability ellipsoids) is shown with the co-crystallized dichloromethane solvent molecule. The dichloromethane carbon atom was found to be disordered about an inversion center; only one orientation is shown, which is not the one belonging to the asymmetric unit. Hydroxyl H atoms are disordered over two positions, both positions are shown above.

research communications

Table 1 Selected bond distances (Å), angles, and torsion angles (°) in the structure of $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$.

Selected bond distances			
O1-C1	1.451 (2)	C11-C12	1.387 (3)
C1-C2	1.591 (3)	C12-C13	1.371 (3)
C1-C6	1.591 (3)	C13-C14	1.380 (3)
C1-C10	1.586 (2)	C14-C15	1.393 (2)
C15-C16	1.511 (2)	C15-C10	1.426 (2)
C10-C11	1.408 (2)		
Selected bond angles			
O1-C1-C10	104.99 (13)	C1-C10-C11	113.90 (15)
O1-C1-C2	104.30 (13)	C1-C10-C15	130.84 (15)
O1-C1-C6	104.28 (13)	C14-C15-C10	119.01 (16)
C10-C1-C2	108.49 (14)	C16-C15-C14	110.28 (15)
Selected torsion angles			
C14-C15-C16-C17	-84.3(2)	C14-C15-C16-C18	86.4 (2)
C10-C15-C16-C17	96.7 (2)	C10-C15-C16-C18	-92.7 (2)

In contrast to the structure of $H_2[OO]^{Ph}$, the hydroxyls point away from each other in the structure of $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$, leading to an overall *anti–anti* conformation. This disposition results in the placement of the *tert*-butyl groups above and below the central phenyl ring. The presence of bulky groups on both sides of the central phenyl is likely responsible for the distortion of the terphenyl fragment, which is indicated by the C10-C15-C16 angle of 130.70 (15)° and the C14-C15-C16 angle of 110.28 (15)°. Same distortion is likely responsible for the slight variation in (lateral) phenyl bond distances (Table 1).

3. Supramolecular features

 $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$ forms one-dimensional polymer chains held together by hydrogen bonding between two neighboring molecules (Table 2). One polymer chain is shown in Fig. 4. This chain-like structure results from the *anti–anti* conformation of $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$ in which both hydroxyl groups are pointing outward and thus can hydrogen bond with neighboring molecules. The hydrogen-bond distance (indicated by the lightblue dashed lines in Fig. 4) is 2.13 (3) Å. It is also noted that,

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, ⁶	°).

	•	·		
$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1A\cdots O1^{i}$	0.93 (4)	2.13 (3)	3.0066 (19)	157 (4)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.

due to the inversion center present within the molecule, the hydroxyl hydrogen atoms are disordered over two positions. As the diffraction data was of adequate quality, we were able to locate both hydrogen positions in the difference map. The corresponding O–H bonds are very similar, 0.93 (2) and 0.94 (2) Å. Only one of these hydrogen atoms participates in the hydrogen-bonding network (alternating conformations for consecutive molecules). The solvent molecules are positioned above and below the chains.

4. Database survey

 $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$ is a new compound that has not been previously synthesized and structurally characterized. As described above, the synthesis, structure, and coordination chemistry of the related compound $H_2[OO]^{Ph}$ has been previously reported by us (Kurup *et al.*, 2019) and reported in the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom *et al.*, 2016). We note that Agapie and coworkers have previously investigated structurally related 2,2"-diphosphine-1,1':4',1"-terphenyl ligands (Bailey & Agapie, 2021; Buss *et al.*, 2017) and Fortier and coworkers have investigated structurally related 2,2"-diamide-1,1':4',1"terphenyl ligands (Fortier *et al.*, 2017; Yadav *et al.*, 2020). In contrast to $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$, both the diphosphine and the diamide terphenyl ligands serve as chelates for transition metals, adopting a *syn* geometry for the phosphine/amide donors relative to the central phenyl ring.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

2,2"-Dibromo-1,1':4',1"-terphenyl (Velian *et al.*, 2010) (1.00 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL THF and cooled under



Figure 4

Chain of H₂[OO]^{tBu} molecules, bridged by hydrogen bonds (indicated in light blue).

238 K. To the cold solution 'BuLi (1.7 M in pentane, 6.4 mL, 10.8 mmol) was added dropwise and the resulting solution was stirred for 4 h. This reaction mixture was then transferred to a round-bottom flask containing hexamethylacetone (8.7 ml, 5 mmol) in 20 mL of hexane and stirred for 24 h. The organic contents were extracted using a dichloromethane-water solvent system. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated using a rotatory evaporator. The desired product H₂[OO]^{tBu} was separated in 35% yield (0.464 g, 0.9 mmol) by column chromatography on silica gel using 3% ethyl acetate in hexane. para-Terphenyl (1,1':4',1''-terphenyl) was found to be a major byproduct (38%) yield, 0.504 g, 2.2 mmol). Purified H₂[OO]^{tBu} was recrystallized from dichloromethane at 238 K to obtain colorless crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. ¹H NMR (298 K, 400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 1.05 (s, 25H, CH₃), 1.03 (s, 11H, CH₃), 2.07 (s, 1H, OH), 2.09 (s, 1H, OH), 6.89 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, ortho-H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ortho-H), 7.19 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, para-H), 7.33 (m, 6H), 8.28 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ortho-H). ¹³C NMR (298 K, 125 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 29.99, 30.28, 65.61, 87.36, 125.15, 126.08, 129.47, 130.19, 130.92, 131.37, 134.40, 134.84, 140.76, 144.07, 146.32. HRMS (m/z): Calculated [M -H]⁺ 515.39, found 515.36.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Data were acquired at 100 K with an Oxford 800 Cryostream low-temperature apparatus. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions using a standard riding model and refined isotropically (with the exception of hydroxyl hydrogens); all other atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydroxyl hydrogens were found to be disordered (due to the inversion center located at the hydrogen bond to the adjacent $H_2[OO]^{tBu}$) over two positions. Two alternating positions were identified from the difference-Fourier maps and refined to 50% occupancy. The CH_2Cl_2 solvent was also disordered by symmetry over two positions and refined with 50% occupancy.

Acknowledgements

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by The National Science Foundation for current support under grant No. CHE-1855681.

References

- Bailey, G. A. & Agapie, T. (2021). Organometallics, **40**, 16, 2881–2887.
- Bellow, J. A., Stoian, S. A., van Tol, J., Ozarowski, A., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2016a). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 5531–5534.
- Bellow, J. A., Yousif, M., Cabelof, A. C., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2015). Organometallics, 34, 2917–2923.
- Bellow, J. A., Yousif, M. & Groysman, S. (2016b). Comments Inorg. Chem. 36, 92–122.

Table	3
Experi	mental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{36}H_{50}O_2 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$
M _r	599.68
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	8.2449 (4), 9.1248 (4), 12.1825 (6)
$lpha,eta,\gamma(^\circ)$	101.530 (2), 102.729 (3), 109.200 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	806.53 (7)
Z	1
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.23
Crystal size (mm)	$0.15 \times 0.1 \times 0.04$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.722, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	27197, 3559, 2769
R _{int}	0.037
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.644
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.051, 0.136, 1.05
No. of reflections	3559
No. of parameters	211
No. of restraints	27
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.57, -0.43

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2016), SHELXT2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015b), and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

- Brazeau, S. E. N., Norwine, E. E., Hannigan, S. F., Orth, N., Ivanović-Burmazović, I., Rukser, D., Biebl, F., Grimm-Lebsanft, B., Praedel, G., Teubner, M., Rübhausen, M., Liebhäuser, P., Rösener, T., Stanek, J., Hoffmann, A., Herres-Pawlis, S. & Doerrer, L. H. (2019). *Dalton Trans.* 48, 6899–6909.
- Bruker (2016). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Buss, J. A., Oyala, P. H. & Agapie, T. (2017). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 14502–14506.
- Chua, Y.-Y. & Duong, H. A. (2014). Chem. Commun. 50, 8424–8427.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Fortier, S., Aguilar-Calderón, J. R., Vlaisavljevich, B., Metta-Magaña, A. J., Goos, A. G. & Botez, C. E. (2017). Organometallics, 36, 4591– 4599.
- Grass, A., Bellow, J. A., Morrison, G., zur Loye, H.-C., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2020). *Chem. Commun.* 56, 8416–8419.
- Grass, A., Dewey, N. S., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2019*a*). Organometallics, **38**, 962–972.
- Grass, A., Wannipurage, D., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2019b). Coord. Chem. Rev. 400, 1–16.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hannigan, S. F., Arnoff, A. I., Neville, S. E., Lum, J. S., Golen, J. A., Rheingold, A. L., Orth, N., Ivanović–Burmazović, I., Liebhäuser, P., Rösener, T., Stanek, J., Hoffmann, A., Herres–Pawlis, S. & Doerrer, L. H. (2017). *Chem. Eur. J.* 23, 8212–8224.
- Jayasundara, C. R. K., Sabasovs, D., Staples, R. J., Oppenheimer, J., Smith, M. R. III & Maleczka, R. E. Jr (2018). *Organometallics*, **37**, 1567–1574.

research communications

- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **48**, 3–10.
- Kurup, S. S., Staples, R. J., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2020). Molecules, 25, 273.
- Kurup, S. S., Wannipurage, D., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2019). *Chem. Commun.* 55, 10780–10783.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Velian, A., Lin, S., Miller, A. J. M., Day, M. W. & Agapie, T. J. (2010). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 6296–6297.
- Wannipurage, D., Hollingsworth, T. S., Santulli, F., Cozzolino, M., Lamberti, M., Groysman, S. & Mazzeo, M. (2020). *Dalton Trans.* 49, 2715–2723.
- Wannipurage, D., Kurup, S. S. & Groysman, S. (2021). Organometallics, 40, 3637–3644.
- Yadav, M., Metta-Magaña, A. & Fortier, S. (2020). Chem. Sci. 11, 2381–2387.
- Yousif, M., Tjapkes, D. J., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2015). Organometallics, 34, 5119-5128.
- Yousif, M., Wannipurage, D., Huizenga, C. D., Washnock-Schmid, E., Peraino, N. J., Ozarowski, A., Stoian, S. A., Lord, R. L. & Groysman, S. (2018). *Inorg. Chem.* 57, 9425–9438.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 92-96 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989021013438]

Synthesis and structure of a new bulky bis(alkoxide) ligand on a terphenyl platform

Sudheer S. Kurup, Sandra Nasser, Cassandra L. Ward and Stanislav Groysman

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2016); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2018/2* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

3,3'-([1,1':4',1''-Terphenyl]-2,2''-diyl)bis(2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentan-3-ol) dichloromethane monosolvate

Crystal data

 $C_{36}H_{50}O_2 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$ $M_r = 599.68$ Triclinic, *P*1 a = 8.2449 (4) Å b = 9.1248 (4) Å c = 12.1825 (6) Å $a = 101.530 (2)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 102.729 (3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 109.200 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 806.53 (7) \text{ Å}^3$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 8 pixels mm ⁻¹
ω and φ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.722, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.051$ $wR(F^2) = 0.136$ S = 1.053559 reflections 211 parameters Z = 1 F(000) = 324 $D_x = 1.235 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9980 reflections $\theta = 2.5-27.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KPrism, colourless $0.15 \times 0.1 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$

27197 measured reflections 3559 independent reflections 2769 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.037$ $\theta_{max} = 27.2^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 1.8^\circ$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$

27 restraints Primary atom site location: dual Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0594P)^2 + 0.6108P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.43 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Refinement. Used Part -1 on the dichloromethane (DCM) and hydroxyl hydrogens because they were disordered by inverison symmetry (sof=0.5). In addition, RIGU/DFIX/SIMU were employed to model the disorder of the DCM solvent.

The structure of H2[OO]tBu was collected on a Bruker X8 APEX-II diffractometer with MoK α radiation and a graphite monochromator. The diffraction intensities were measured using a Bruker APEX-II CCD detector. Data were acquired at 100?K with an Oxford 800 Cryostream low-temperature apparatus. The data were processed using APEX3 software supplied by Bruker AXS. The structures were solved by Intrinsic Phasing using SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a) and refined with SHELXL-2018 (Sheldrick 2015b) using Olex2 (Dolomanov, 2009).

	л	J.	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$	Occ. (<1)
01	0.55932 (17)	0.63933 (16)	0.10947 (11)	0.0224 (3)	
H1A	0.556 (6)	0.555 (4)	0.050 (3)	0.027*	0.5
H1B	0.594 (6)	0.737 (3)	0.088 (4)	0.027*	0.5
C17	0.8826 (2)	0.5620(2)	0.53594 (15)	0.0176 (4)	
H17	0.801780	0.603330	0.562488	0.021*	
C1	0.6733 (2)	0.6559 (2)	0.22428 (15)	0.0174 (4)	
C15	0.6438 (2)	0.4210 (2)	0.33668 (15)	0.0166 (4)	
C14	0.5253 (2)	0.2805 (2)	0.34915 (16)	0.0200 (4)	
H14	0.571142	0.235101	0.406337	0.024*	
C16	0.8316(2)	0.4698 (2)	0.41871 (15)	0.0168 (4)	
C18	1.0488 (2)	0.5946 (2)	0.61443 (15)	0.0179 (4)	
H18	1.081840	0.662282	0.692598	0.021*	
C10	0.5770(2)	0.4932 (2)	0.25311 (15)	0.0164 (4)	
C12	0.2780 (2)	0.2706 (2)	0.20251 (16)	0.0218 (4)	
H12	0.154405	0.221280	0.156261	0.026*	
C8	0.8541 (3)	0.5146 (2)	0.13697 (16)	0.0228 (4)	
H8A	0.823600	0.436332	0.181305	0.034*	
H8B	0.971115	0.526787	0.125134	0.034*	
H8C	0.760907	0.475262	0.060292	0.034*	
C13	0.3453 (3)	0.2034 (2)	0.28338 (16)	0.0233 (4)	
H13	0.270041	0.106475	0.293847	0.028*	
C2	0.6571 (2)	0.8019 (2)	0.31128 (16)	0.0196 (4)	
C11	0.3922 (2)	0.4115 (2)	0.18886 (16)	0.0201 (4)	
H11	0.342427	0.455795	0.132331	0.024*	
C9	1.0251 (2)	0.7558 (2)	0.32004 (16)	0.0230 (4)	
H9A	1.046663	0.870258	0.352693	0.034*	
H9B	1.133028	0.748183	0.302648	0.034*	
H9C	0.997886	0.697588	0.377407	0.034*	
C6	0.8648 (2)	0.6799 (2)	0.20637 (16)	0.0205 (4)	
C7	0.9138 (3)	0.7914 (2)	0.12807 (17)	0.0242 (4)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

supporting information

H7A	0.818087	0.748131	0.052235	0.036*	
H7B	1.028136	0.795658	0.114886	0.036*	
H7C	0.926383	0.901068	0.167499	0.036*	
C5	0.7299 (3)	0.8151 (2)	0.44128 (16)	0.0230 (4)	
H5A	0.660312	0.715436	0.456406	0.035*	
H5B	0.718633	0.908189	0.490347	0.035*	
H5C	0.857219	0.830149	0.460379	0.035*	
C3	0.7517 (3)	0.9677 (2)	0.29460 (18)	0.0263 (4)	
H3A	0.882351	0.996007	0.317126	0.039*	
H3B	0.726025	1.050519	0.344213	0.039*	
H3C	0.707206	0.962474	0.211807	0.039*	
C4	0.4579 (3)	0.7765 (2)	0.29020 (18)	0.0264 (4)	
H4A	0.403414	0.768737	0.208073	0.040*	
H4B	0.449706	0.868637	0.342607	0.040*	
H4C	0.393527	0.676084	0.306465	0.040*	
Cl1	0.3212 (4)	-0.0907 (3)	-0.0033 (2)	0.0621 (10)	0.5
Cl2	0.6911 (5)	0.0667 (4)	-0.0006 (3)	0.0715 (11)	0.5
C19	0.5047 (6)	0.0957 (5)	0.0345 (3)	0.0311 (10)	0.5
H19A	0.539953	0.150232	0.119918	0.037*	0.5
H19B	0.468532	0.166861	-0.008572	0.037*	0.5

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0208 (7)	0.0255 (7)	0.0181 (7)	0.0073 (6)	0.0002 (5)	0.0097 (6)
C17	0.0209 (9)	0.0168 (9)	0.0187 (9)	0.0109 (7)	0.0066 (7)	0.0063 (7)
C1	0.0182 (9)	0.0162 (9)	0.0145 (8)	0.0053 (7)	0.0007 (7)	0.0045 (7)
C15	0.0183 (9)	0.0159 (8)	0.0151 (8)	0.0078 (7)	0.0039 (7)	0.0028 (7)
C14	0.0243 (9)	0.0202 (9)	0.0181 (9)	0.0105 (8)	0.0067 (7)	0.0079 (7)
C16	0.0186 (9)	0.0149 (8)	0.0175 (8)	0.0065 (7)	0.0042 (7)	0.0077 (7)
C18	0.0224 (9)	0.0155 (8)	0.0150 (8)	0.0081 (7)	0.0036 (7)	0.0044 (7)
C10	0.0169 (8)	0.0143 (8)	0.0166 (8)	0.0058 (7)	0.0040 (7)	0.0036 (7)
C12	0.0172 (9)	0.0220 (9)	0.0183 (9)	0.0030(7)	0.0014 (7)	0.0018 (7)
C8	0.0234 (9)	0.0247 (10)	0.0207 (9)	0.0093 (8)	0.0073 (8)	0.0070 (8)
C13	0.0248 (10)	0.0181 (9)	0.0237 (10)	0.0028 (8)	0.0093 (8)	0.0069 (8)
C2	0.0205 (9)	0.0171 (9)	0.0202 (9)	0.0082 (7)	0.0027 (7)	0.0058 (7)
C11	0.0198 (9)	0.0216 (9)	0.0176 (9)	0.0077 (7)	0.0030 (7)	0.0065 (7)
C9	0.0181 (9)	0.0232 (10)	0.0225 (9)	0.0041 (8)	0.0035 (7)	0.0060 (8)
C6	0.0178 (9)	0.0224 (9)	0.0200 (9)	0.0057 (7)	0.0050 (7)	0.0077 (7)
C7	0.0212 (9)	0.0246 (10)	0.0217 (9)	0.0022 (8)	0.0051 (7)	0.0092 (8)
C5	0.0271 (10)	0.0201 (9)	0.0198 (9)	0.0099 (8)	0.0042 (8)	0.0037 (7)
C3	0.0308 (11)	0.0181 (9)	0.0281 (10)	0.0092 (8)	0.0059 (8)	0.0071 (8)
C4	0.0260 (10)	0.0268 (10)	0.0287 (10)	0.0153 (8)	0.0065 (8)	0.0066 (8)
Cl1	0.103 (2)	0.0143 (6)	0.0325 (10)	-0.0108 (9)	0.0021 (11)	0.0079 (6)
Cl2	0.120 (2)	0.104 (2)	0.0643 (16)	0.093 (2)	0.0660 (15)	0.0541 (14)
C19	0.057 (3)	0.029 (2)	0.0190 (19)	0.030(2)	0.0121 (19)	0.0086 (17)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—H1A	0.933 (19)	C2—C5	1.530 (2)
O1—H1B	0.944 (19)	C2—C3	1.535 (3)
O1—C1	1.451 (2)	C2—C4	1.535 (3)
C17—H17	0.9500	C11—H11	0.9500
C17—C16	1.395 (2)	С9—Н9А	0.9800
C17—C18	1.386 (2)	С9—Н9В	0.9800
C1—C10	1.586 (2)	С9—Н9С	0.9800
C1—C2	1.591 (3)	С9—С6	1.533 (2)
C1—C6	1.591 (3)	C6—C7	1.548 (2)
C15—C14	1.393 (2)	С7—Н7А	0.9800
C15—C16	1.511 (2)	С7—Н7В	0.9800
C15—C10	1.426 (2)	C7—H7C	0.9800
C14—H14	0.9500	С5—Н5А	0.9800
C14—C13	1.380 (3)	C5—H5B	0.9800
$C16-C18^{i}$	1.393 (3)	C5—H5C	0.9800
C18—H18	0.9500	C3—H3A	0.9800
C10-C11	1.408 (2)	C3—H3B	0.9800
C12—H12	0.9500	C3—H3C	0.9800
C_{12} C_{13}	1 371 (3)	C4—H4A	0.9800
C12-C11	1.387 (3)	C4—H4B	0.9800
C8—H8A	0.9800	C4—H4C	0.9800
C8—H8B	0.9800	Cl1—C19	1.755 (4)
C8—H8C	0.9800	Cl2—C19	1.768 (4)
C8—C6	1.539 (3)	C19—H19A	0.9900
C13—H13	0.9500	C19—H19B	0.9900
	0.000		
H1A—O1—H1B	109 (4)	C10—C11—H11	117.8
C1—O1—H1A	113 (3)	C12—C11—C10	124.45 (17)
C1—O1—H1B	112 (3)	C12—C11—H11	117.8
C16—C17—H17	119.3	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C18—C17—H17	119.3	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5
C18—C17—C16	121.35 (16)	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
O1—C1—C10	104.99 (13)	С6—С9—Н9А	109.5
O1—C1—C2	104.30 (13)	С6—С9—Н9В	109.5
O1—C1—C6	104.28 (13)	С6—С9—Н9С	109.5
C10—C1—C2	108.49 (14)	C8—C6—C1	108.22 (14)
C10—C1—C6	115.07 (14)	C8—C6—C7	104.41 (15)
C6—C1—C2	118.18 (14)	C9—C6—C1	115.03 (15)
C14—C15—C16	110.28 (15)	C9—C6—C8	111.07 (15)
C14—C15—C10	119.01 (16)	C9—C6—C7	104.92 (15)
C10-C15-C16	130.70 (15)	C7—C6—C1	112.70 (15)
C15—C14—H14	118.1	С6—С7—Н7А	109.5
C13—C14—C15	123.88 (17)	C6—C7—H7B	109.5
C13—C14—H14	118.1	C6—C7—H7C	109.5
C17—C16—C15	121.05 (15)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C18 ⁱ —C16—C17	117.09 (16)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5

010 010 015	101 02 (15)		100 5
	121.23 (15)	H/B - C/ - H/C	109.5
$C17 - C18 - C16^{1}$	121.49 (16)	C2—C5—H5A	109.5
C17—C18—H18	119.3	С2—С5—Н5В	109.5
C16 ⁱ —C18—H18	119.3	C2—C5—H5C	109.5
C15—C10—C1	130.84 (15)	H5A—C5—H5B	109.5
C11—C10—C1	113.90 (15)	H5A—C5—H5C	109.5
C11—C10—C15	115.18 (15)	H5B—C5—H5C	109.5
C13—C12—H12	120.3	С2—С3—НЗА	109.5
C13—C12—C11	119.34 (17)	С2—С3—Н3В	109.5
C11—C12—H12	120.3	С2—С3—Н3С	109.5
H8A—C8—H8B	109.5	НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	109.5
H8A—C8—H8C	109.5	НЗА—СЗ—НЗС	109.5
H8B—C8—H8C	109.5	НЗВ—СЗ—НЗС	109.5
С6—С8—Н8А	109.5	C2—C4—H4A	109.5
C6—C8—H8B	109.5	C2—C4—H4B	109.5
C6—C8—H8C	109.5	C2—C4—H4C	109.5
C14—C13—H13	120.9	H4A - C4 - H4B	109.5
C_{12} C_{13} C_{14}	118 13 (17)	H4A - C4 - H4C	109.5
C12 - C13 - C14	120.9	H4B - C4 - H4C	109.5
$C_{12} = C_{13} = I_{13}$	1120.9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109.5
$C_{5} = C_{2} = C_{1}^{3}$	107.88 (15)	$C_{11} = C_{12} = C_{12}$	110.9 (2)
$C_{5} = C_{2} = C_{4}$	107.00(15) 105.26(15)	$C_{11} = C_{12} = H_{10} R$	109.5
$C_{3} = C_{2} = C_{4}$	105.50(15) 112.22(15)	C12 C10 H10A	109.5
$C_3 = C_2 = C_1$	115.52 (15)	C12—C19—H19A	109.5
$C_{3} - C_{2} - C_{4}$	106.35 (15)	CI2—CI9—HI9B	109.5
C4—C2—C1	110.16 (15)	Н19А—С19—Н19В	108.1
Q1—C1—C10—C15	162.41 (17)	C10—C1—C2—C5	54.70 (19)
01-C1-C10-C11	$-21\ 10\ (19)$	C10-C1-C2-C3	178 00 (14)
01 - C1 - C2 - C5	166 21 (14)	$C_{10} - C_{1} - C_{2} - C_{4}$	-63.00(18)
01 - C1 - C2 - C3	-70.49(18)	C10-C1-C6-C8	39.81 (19)
$O_1 C_1 C_2 C_3$	<i>A</i> 8 5 1 (18)	C_{10} C_{1} C_{6} C_{9}	-85.03(19)
$O_1 = C_1 = C_2 = C_4$	-74.63(16)	$C_{10} = C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{1}$	154.74(15)
01 - 01 - 00 - 03	160.53 (15)	$C_{10} = C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{1}$	134.74(13) 1.2(2)
01 - 01 - 06 - 07	100.33(13)	C10 - C15 - C14 - C15	1.5(3)
$C_1 = C_1 = C_0 = C_7$	40.31(19) -177 15 (17)	C10 - C15 - C16 - C17	90.7(2)
C1 = C10 = C11 = C12	-1.2(2)	$C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{10}$	92.7(2)
$C_{13} = C_{14} = C_{13} = C_{12}$	-0.1(3)	$C_{13} = C_{12} = C_{11} = C_{10}$	-865(2)
C13 - C10 - C11 - C12	-0.1(3)	$C_2 = C_1 = C_{10} = C_{13}$	-80.3(2)
C14 - C15 - C10 - C17	-64.5(2)	$C_2 = C_1 = C_1 = C_1$	89.94(17)
$C14 - C15 - C16 - C18^{\circ}$	80.4 (2)	$C_2 - C_1 - C_0 - C_8$	170.19 (14)
	1/5.90 (1/)	C2_C1_C6_C9	45.3 (2)
C14—C15—C10—C11	-0.6 (2)	C2—C1—C6—C7	-/4.88 (19)
C16—C17—C18—C16 ¹	-3.1 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C14	0.6 (3)
C16—C15—C14—C13	-177.91 (17)	C6—C1—C10—C15	48.4 (2)
C16—C15—C10—C1	-5.1 (3)	C6-C1-C10-C11	-135.13 (16)
C16-C15-C10-C11	178.46 (17)	C6—C1—C2—C5	-78.62 (19)

supporting information

C18—C17—C16—C15	174.02 (16)	C6—C1—C2—C3	44.7 (2)
C18—C17—C16—C18 ⁱ	3.0 (3)	C6—C1—C2—C4	163.68 (15)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
01—H1 <i>A</i> …O1 ⁱⁱ	0.93 (4)	2.13 (3)	3.0066 (19)	157 (4)

Symmetry code: (ii) -x+1, -y+1, -z.