



Received 5 November 2021 Accepted 14 December 2021

Edited by O. Blacque, University of Zürich, Switzerland

**Keywords:** crystal structure; cobalt(II)thiocyanate; 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea; thermal properties.

CCDC reference: 2128608

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Synthesis, crystal structure and thermal properties of $bis(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea-\kappa S)bis(isothio-cyanato-\kappa N)cobalt(II)$

#### Christoph Krebs,\* Inke Jess and Christian Näther

Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Kiel, Max-Eyth.-Str. 2, 24118 Kiel, Germany. \*Correspondence e-mail: ckrebs@ac.uni-kiel.de

Crystals of the title compound,  $[Co(NCS)_2(C_{13}H_{24}N_2S)_2]$ , were obtained by the reaction of  $Co(NCS)_2$  with 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea in ethanol. Its crystal structure consists of discrete complexes that are located on twofold rotation axes, in which the Co<sup>II</sup> cations are tetrahedrally coordinated by two terminal N-bonded thiocyanate anions and two 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea ligands. These complexes are linked *via* intermolecular N–H···S and C–H···S hydrogen bonding into chains, which elongate in the *b*-axis direction. These chains are closely packed in a pseudo-hexagonal manner. The CN stretching vibration of the thiocyanate anions located at 2038 cm<sup>-1</sup> is in agreement with only terminal bonded anionic ligands linked to metal cations in a tetrahedral coordination. TG–DTA measurements prove the decomposition of the compound at about 227°C. DSC measurements reveal a small endothermic signal before decomposition at about 174°C, which might correspond to melting.

#### 1. Chemical context

Coordination polymers based on Co(NCS)<sub>2</sub> have been investigated for several years because they can show interesting magnetic properties due to the large magnetic anisotropy of Co<sup>II</sup>. This is the reason why we and others are especially interested in this class of compounds. In most cases, the Co<sup>II</sup> cations are octahedrally coordinated and linked by pairs of thiocyanate anions into chains, even if a few compounds with single thiocyanate bridges have been reported (Palion-Gazda et al., 2015). If the Co cations are alltrans or cis-cis-trans coordinated with the thiocyanate anions in the trans-position, the chains are linear and frequently show antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic behavior or a slow relaxation of the magnetization indicative of single-chain magnetism (Wang et al., 2005; Shurda et al., 2013; Wöhlert et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2007; Prananto et al., 2017; Mautner et al., 2018; Rams et al., 2020; Jochim et al., 2020a). In the case where the Co centers are cis-cis-trans coordinated with the thiocyanate anions in the cis-position, the chains are corrugated and the magnetic exchange is suppressed (Shi et al., 2007; Böhme et al., 2020). In some cases Co(NCS)<sub>2</sub> layers are observed, in which the Co cations are linked by single and double thiocyanate bridges or by single anionic ligands exclusively (Suckert et al., 2016; Werner et al., 2015a). These compounds usually show ferromagnetic behavior with low critical temperatures, which can be tuned by mixed crystal formation with Ni<sup>II</sup> cations (Wellm et al., 2018, 2020; Neumann et al., 2018a).

# research communications

In the case where monocoordinating co-ligands are used and the chains are linear, these compounds have the general composition  $Co(NCS)_2(L)_2$  (L = co-ligand) but for this composition a second structure exists, in which the Co cations are tetrahedrally coordinated and in this case, no cooperative magnetic exchange interactions can be observed. The reason why, dependent on the nature of the co-ligand, chains or complexes are formed is not clear. First of all, one can assume that the cobalt cations would prefer a tetrahedral coordination with bulky co-ligands because of steric crowding. On the other hand, we observed that strong N-donor co-ligands such as, for example, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine would lead to the formation of tetrahedral complexes (Neumann et al., 2018b), whereas weaker donors such as 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine (Werner et al., 2015b) or 4-(3-phenylpropyl)pyridine (Werner et al., 2014; Ceglarska et al., 2021) lead to the formation of chains. In the case of intermediate donor ligands like 4-methoxypyridine, both isomers can be obtained, chains and discrete complexes (Mautner et al., 2018; Rams et al., 2020).



In the course of our systematic work, we became interested in S-donor co-ligands and with thiourea we obtained a compound with the desired chain structure showing antiferromagnetic ordering but no slow relaxation of the magnetization (Jochim et al., 2020a). In further work, we obtained two compounds with 1,3-dimethylthiourea (and 1,1,3,3-tetramethylthiourea) but in this case, tetrahedral discrete complexes were obtained (Jochim et al., 2020b,c). To investigate the influence of the co-ligand in more detail we used 1,3dicyclohexylthiourea as the co-ligand and we obtained crystals of the title compound, which were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction, which proves the formation of a discrete complex even with this ligand. Investigations using X-ray powder diffraction show that the title compound was obtained as a pure phase (Fig. 1). The CN stretching vibration is observed at 2038  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , which is typical for thiocyanates that are only terminal bonded to metal cations in a tetrahedral coordination (Fig. S1). Measurements using simultaneously differential thermoanalysis (DTA) and thermogravimetry reveal the decomposition of the title compound starting at about 227°C, which is accompanied with an endothermic event in the DTA curve (Fig. S2). The experimental mass loss of 37.7% is in a reasonable agreement with that calculated for the removal of one 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea ligand of 36.6%. The mass loss in the second step is higher than expected for



Experimental (top) and calculated powder pattern (bottom) of the title compound measured with Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation.

the removal of the second 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea ligand, but in this temperature region the thiocyanate anions also decompose. Additional measurements using differential scanning calorimetry show a small endothermic event before the compound decomposes (Fig. S3). To check if this event corresponds to some transition, the residue formed after the endothermic signal (see point 'x' in Fig. S3) was isolated and investigated by XRPD measurements, which shows that the powder pattern is identical to that of the pristine material but of lower crystallinity (Fig. S4).

#### 2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the title compound consists of one Co<sup>II</sup> cation that is located on a twofold rotation axis, one thio-





Crystal structure of the title compound with labeling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1,  $y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ]

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$ ).		).	
Co1-N1	1,9516 (16)	Co1-S11	2.3

Co1-N1	1.9516 (16)	Co1-S11	2.3130 (5
$N1-Co1-N1^{i}$ $N1-Co1-S11^{i}$	113.00 (10) 109.67 (5)	N1-Co1-S11	106.00 (5)

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

cyanate anion and one 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea ligand that occupies general positions. The Co<sup>II</sup> cations are fourfold coordinated by two terminal N-bonded thiocvanate anions and two sulfur atoms of 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea ligands each (Fig. 2). The Co-N and Co-S distances are comparable to that observed in other Co(NCS)<sub>2</sub> compounds with thiourea derivatives (Table 1, Jochim et al., 2020a,b). The bond angles deviate from the ideal values, revealing that the tetrahedra are slightly distorted (see supporting information). Both hexane rings of the 1,3-dimethylthiourea ligand are in a chair conformation (Figs. 2 and 3). There are two symmetryequivalent intramolecular N-H···N hydrogen bonds between the amino H atom of the 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea ligand and the N atoms of the thiocyanate anions (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The  $N-H \cdots N$  angle is close to linearity, indicating that this is a relatively strong interaction (Table 2).

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal structure of the title compound the discrete complexes are linked into chains by two intermolecular N– $H \cdots S$  hydrogen bonds related by the twofold rotation axis between the N–H H atoms and the thiocyanate S atom of a neighboring complex (Fig. 4, Table 2). The discrete complexes are additionally linked by two symmetry-equivalent C– $H \cdots S$  hydrogen bonds, which might correspond to a weak interaction (Fig. 4, Table 2). These chains elongate along the *b*-axis direction and each chain is surrounded by six neighboring chains in a pseudo-hexagonal manner (Fig. 5).



Figure 3

View of the discrete complex with intramolecular  $N\!-\!H\!\cdots\!N$  hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines.

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geomet	try (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N11-H11···N1	0.88	2.33	3.169 (2)	160
$C12-H12\cdots S1^{ii}$	1.00	2.93	3.774 (2)	143
N12 $-H12A \cdot \cdot \cdot S1^{ii}$	0.88	2.84	3.6770 (16)	159
C19−H19B···S11	0.99	3.00	3.529 (2)	114

Symmetry code: (ii) x, y - 1, z.

#### 4. Database survey

There are only ten crystal structures with this ligand reported in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.42, last update November 2020; Groom *et al.*, 2016). The most important for us is bis(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea)bis(isothiocyanato)zinc(II), which is isotypic to the title compound (refcode: TINBIC; Jia *et al.*, 2007). These authors also reported the structure of hexakis(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea)lead(II)bis(isothiocyanate) ethanol solvate, which





Crystal structure of the title compound with a view of a chain formed by intermolecular  $N-H\cdots S$  and  $C-H\cdots S$  hydrogen bonding (dashed lines).





Crystal structure of the title compound with a view in the direction of the crystallographic *b*-axis, showing the arrangement of the chains. Intermolecular  $N-H\cdots S$  and  $C-H\cdots S$  hydrogen bonding is shown as dashed lines.

# research communications

consists of discrete complexes, in which the Pb<sup>II</sup> cations are octahedrally coordinated by six 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea ligands (refcode: TINBUO; Jia *et al.*, 2007). In that paper, the crystal structure of bis(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea)dichlorocobalt(II) is also reported (refcode: TINBEY). The crystal structures of chlorobis(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea)copper(I), of bromobis(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea)copper(I) (refcodes: WODVER and WODVIV; Jia *et al.*, 2008) and of chlorotris(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea)tellurium(II) chloride (refcode: OCAWUK; Husebye *et al.*, 2001) also consist of discrete complexes. The crystal structure of 1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea was reported by Ramnathan *et al.* (1996) (refcode: ZIVGUG).

There are also several crystal structures with Co(NCS)<sub>2</sub> reported, in which the Co<sup>II</sup> cations are tetrahedrally coordinated by two terminal N-bonded thiocyanate anions and two N-donor co-ligands, for example two polymorphic modifications of bis(4-dimethylaminopyridine)bis(isothiocyanato)-cobalt(II) (refcode: GIQPEE; Neumann *et al.*, 2018*a*; Krebs *et al.*, 2021), bis(4-vinylpyridine)di(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II) (refcode: BOZJUW; Foxman & Mazurek, 1982), bis(2-chloropyridine)bis(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II), bis(2-bromopyridine)bis(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II), bis(2-bromopyridine)bis(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II), bis(2-bromopyridine)bis(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II), crefcode: DEYDUI, DEYFIY and DEYGAR; Wöhlert *et al.*, 2013) and bis(4-methoxypyridine)bis(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II) (refcode: KIJQAY; Mautner *et al.*, 2018).

Two structures have already been reported with thiourea derivatives and  $Co(NCS)_2$ , *viz*. bis(1,3-dimethylthiourea)-bis(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II) (refcode: QUSZAI; Jochim *et al.*, 2020*b*) and bis(1,1,3,3-tetramethylthiourea)bis(isothiocyanato)cobalt(II) (refcode: WUQTIO; Jochim *et al.*, 2020*c*).

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

#### Synthesis

 $Co(NCS)_2$  was purchased from Merck. 1,3-Dicyclohexylthiourea was purchased from Alfa Aesar. All chemicals were used without further purification. Blue-colored single crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis were obtained after storage of 0.25 mmol Co(NCS)<sub>2</sub> (43.8 mg) and 0.50 mmol 1,3dicyclohexylthiourea (120.2 mg) in 2.0 ml ethanol at 333 K over night.

#### **Experimental details**

The data collection for single crystal structure analysis was performed using an XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix diffractometer from Rigaku with Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation.

The IR spectrum was measured using an ATI Mattson Genesis Series FTIR Spectrometer, control software: *WINFIRST*, from ATI Mattson.

The PXRD measurement was performed with Cu  $K\alpha_1$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.540598$  Å) using a Stoe Transmission Powder Diffraction System (STADI P) equipped with a MYTHEN 1K detector and a Johansson-type Ge(111) monochromator.

Thermogravimetry and differential thermoanalysis (TG– DTA) measurements were performed in a dynamic nitrogen atmosphere in  $Al_2O_3$  crucibles using a STA-PT 1000 ther-

Table	3	
Experi	mental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Co(NCS)_2(C_{13}H_{24}N_2S)_2]$
M <sub>r</sub>	655.89
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c
Temperature (K)	100
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	24.0667 (4), 8.8282 (1), 18.8910 (3)
$\beta$ (°)	125.619 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	3262.76 (11)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu <i>Kα</i>
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	6.73
Crystal size (mm)	$0.15 \times 0.08 \times 0.03$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2021)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.704, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and	20399, 3503, 3462
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub>	0.025
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.639
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.035, 0.096, 1.05
No. of reflections	3503
No. of parameters	177
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.65, -0.36

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2021), SHELXT2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2016/6 (Sheldrick, 2015b), DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 1999) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

mobalance from Linseis. The instrument was calibrated using standard reference materials.

The DSC experiments were performed using a DSC 1 star system with STARe Excellence software from Mettler-Toledo AG under dynamic nitrogen flow in Al pans.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The C-bound H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined isotropically with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$  using a riding model.

#### Acknowledgements

Financial support by the State of Schleswig-Holstein and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant No. NA720/5-2).

#### References

Böhme, M., Jochim, A., Rams, M., Lohmiller, T., Suckert, S., Schnegg, A., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020). *Inorg. Chem.* 59, 5325–5338.

Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

- Ceglarska, M., Böhme, M., Neumann, T., Plass, W., Näther, C. & Rams, M. (2021). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **23**, 10281–10289.
- Foxman, B. M. & Mazurek, H. (1982). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 59, 231–235.
   Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst.* B72, 171–179.
- Husebye, S., Törnroos, K. W. & Zhu, H.-Z. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 854–856
- Jia, D. X., Zhu, A. M., Deng, J. & Zhang, Y. (2007). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 633, 2059–2063.
- Jia, D. X., Zhu, A. M., Ji, M. & Zhang, Y. (2008). J. Coord. Chem. 61, 2307–2314.
- Jin, Y., Che, Y. X. & Zheng, J. M. (2007). J. Coord. Chem. 60, 2067– 2074.
- Jochim, A., Lohmiller, T., Rams, M., Böhme, M., Ceglarska, M., Schnegg, A., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020a). *Inorg. Chem.* 59, 8971– 8982.
- Jochim, A., Radulovic, R., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2020b). Acta Cryst. E76, 1476–1481.
- Jochim, A., Radulovic, R., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2020c). Acta Cryst. E76, 1373–1377.
- Krebs, C., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2021). Acta Cryst. E77, 1120-1125.
- Mautner, F. A., Traber, M., Fischer, R. C., Torvisco, A., Reichmann, K., Speed, S., Vicente, R. & Massoud, S. S. (2018). *Polyhedron*, 154, 436–442.
- Neumann, T., Jess, I., Pielnhofer, F. & Näther, C. (2018b). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 4972–4981.
- Neumann, T., Rams, M., Wellm, C. & Näther, C. (2018a). Cryst. Growth Des. 18, 6020–6027.
- Palion-Gazda, J., Machura, B., Lloret, F. & Julve, M. (2015). Cryst. Growth Des. 15, 2380–2388.
- Prananto, Y. P., Urbatsch, A., Moubaraki, B., Murray, K. S., Turner, D. R., Deacon, G. B. & Batten, S. R. (2017). *Aust. J. Chem.* 70, 516– 528.

- Ramnathan, A., Sivakumar, K., Subramanian, K., Meerarani, D., Ramadas, K. & Fun, H.-K. (1996). *Acta Cryst.* C**52**, 139–142.
- Rams, M., Jochim, A., Böhme, M., Lohmiller, T., Ceglarska, M., Rams, M. M., Schnegg, A., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020). *Chem. Eur. J.* 26, 2837–2851.
- Rigaku OD (2021). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Shi, J. M., Chen, J. N., Wu, C. J. & Ma, J. P. (2007). J. Coord. Chem. 60, 2009–2013.
- Shurdha, E., Moore, C. E., Rheingold, A. L., Lapidus, S. H., Stephens, P. W., Arif, A. M. & Miller, J. S. (2013). *Inorg. Chem.* 52, 10583– 10594.
- Suckert, S., Rams, M., Böhme, M., Germann, L., Dinnebier, R. E., Plass, W., Werner, J. & Näther, C. (2016). *Dalton Trans.* 45, 18190– 18201.
- Wang, X. Y., Li, B. L., Zhu, X. & Gao, S. (2005). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 3277–3286.
- Wellm, C., Majcher-Fitas, A., Rams, M. & Näther, C. (2020). Dalton Trans. 49, 16707–16714.
- Wellm, C., Rams, M., Neumann, C., Ceglarska, M. & Näther, C. (2018). Cryst. Growth Des. 18, 3117–3123.
- Werner, J., Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z. & N\u00e4ther, C. (2014). Dalton Trans. 43, 17333–17342.
- Werner, J., Tomkowicz, Z., Rams, M., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Neumann, T. & Näther, C. (2015b). Dalton Trans. 44, 14149–14158.
- Werner, J., Tomkowicz, Z., Reinert, T. & Näther, C. (2015a). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 3066–3075.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wöhlert, S., Jess, I., Englert, U. & Näther, C. (2013). CrystEngComm, 15, 5326–5336.
- Wöhlert, S., Tomkowicz, Z., Rams, M., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Fink, L., Schmidt, M. U. & Näther, C. (2014). *Inorg. Chem.* 53, 8298–8310.

Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 71-75

## Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 71-75 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698902101327X]

Synthesis, crystal structure and thermal properties of  $bis(1,3-dicyclohexylthio-urea-\kappa S)bis(isothiocyanato-\kappa N)cobalt(II)$ 

# Christoph Krebs, Inke Jess and Christian Näther

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2021); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2021); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2021); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2016/6* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

F(000) = 1396

 $\theta = 2.9 - 78.5^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 6.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 100 K

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.335 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Block, intense blue

 $0.15\times0.08\times0.03~mm$ 

Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å Cell parameters from 13904 reflections

Bis(1,3-dicyclohexylthiourea-ĸS)bis(isothiocyanato-ĸN)cobalt(II)

```
Crystal data
```

```
\begin{bmatrix} \text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{S})_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ M_r = 655.89 \\ \text{Monoclinic, } C2/c \\ a = 24.0667 \text{ (4) Å} \\ b = 8.8282 \text{ (1) Å} \\ c = 18.8910 \text{ (3) Å} \\ \beta = 125.619 \text{ (2)}^\circ \\ V = 3262.76 \text{ (11) Å}^3 \\ Z = 4 \end{aligned}
```

## Data collection

XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix	$T_{\rm min} = 0.704, \ T_{\rm max} = 1.000$
diffractometer	20399 measured reflections
Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray	3503 independent reflection
tube, PhotonJet (Cu) X-ray Source	3462 reflections with $I > 2\sigma$
Mirror monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$
Detector resolution: 10.0000 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 80.0^\circ,  \theta_{\rm min} = 4.5^\circ$
$\omega$ scans	$h = -30 \rightarrow 30$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -11 \rightarrow 10$
(CrysAlisPro; Rigaku OD, 2021)	$l = -20 \rightarrow 24$

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$  $wR(F^2) = 0.096$ S = 1.053503 reflections 177 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: dual 3503 independent reflections 3462 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.025$   $\theta_{max} = 80.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 4.5^{\circ}$   $h = -30 \rightarrow 30$   $k = -11 \rightarrow 10$   $l = -20 \rightarrow 24$ Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.054P)^2 + 5.0479P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.65$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.36$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Col	0.500000	0.91248 (4)	0.750000	0.02073 (12)	
N1	0.57874 (8)	1.03449 (18)	0.78063 (11)	0.0283 (3)	
C1	0.62890 (10)	1.1041 (2)	0.82549 (13)	0.0241 (4)	
S1	0.69885 (2)	1.19936 (6)	0.88857 (3)	0.02946 (13)	
S11	0.53579 (2)	0.76716 (5)	0.87146 (3)	0.02478 (12)	
C11	0.59547 (9)	0.6489 (2)	0.87525 (12)	0.0221 (3)	
N11	0.63300 (8)	0.70206 (18)	0.84996 (11)	0.0257 (3)	
H11	0.628830	0.799458	0.837934	0.031*	
C12	0.68063 (9)	0.6182 (2)	0.83960 (13)	0.0250 (4)	
H12	0.664260	0.511209	0.823464	0.030*	
C13	0.67862 (11)	0.6900 (3)	0.76500 (14)	0.0377 (5)	
H13A	0.691074	0.798367	0.777936	0.045*	
H13B	0.631610	0.683568	0.710954	0.045*	
C14	0.72780 (12)	0.6107 (3)	0.75124 (15)	0.0433 (6)	
H14A	0.712524	0.504987	0.732404	0.052*	
H14B	0.727319	0.662885	0.704507	0.052*	
C15	0.80009 (11)	0.6105 (3)	0.83419 (15)	0.0342 (5)	
H15A	0.817213	0.715832	0.849578	0.041*	
H15B	0.830355	0.553156	0.824289	0.041*	
C16	0.80239 (10)	0.5391 (2)	0.90921 (14)	0.0297 (4)	
H16A	0.849393	0.546680	0.963165	0.036*	
H16B	0.790582	0.430304	0.896754	0.036*	
C17	0.75272 (10)	0.6167 (2)	0.92332 (13)	0.0276 (4)	
H17A	0.752748	0.562352	0.969193	0.033*	
H17B	0.767965	0.721974	0.943308	0.033*	
N12	0.60327 (7)	0.50735 (18)	0.90351 (10)	0.0224 (3)	
H12A	0.635837	0.452301	0.908415	0.027*	
C18	0.56066 (9)	0.4371 (2)	0.92713 (12)	0.0212 (3)	
H18	0.550070	0.515812	0.955748	0.025*	
C19	0.49330 (9)	0.3789 (2)	0.84687 (12)	0.0244 (4)	
H19A	0.502584	0.301113	0.817278	0.029*	
H19B	0.468264	0.463403	0.805576	0.029*	
C20	0.44955 (10)	0.3106 (2)	0.87343 (13)	0.0262 (4)	
H20A	0.437460	0.390552	0.898999	0.031*	
H20B	0.406622	0.270325	0.821191	0.031*	
C21	0.48772 (10)	0.1834 (2)	0.93957 (13)	0.0281 (4)	
H21A	0.495221	0.098353	0.911865	0.034*	
H21B	0.459693	0.145614	0.958672	0.034*	
C22	0.55656 (10)	0.2392 (2)	1.01863 (12)	0.0256 (4)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

H22A	0.581609	0.153099	1.058555	0.031*
H22B	0.548684	0.315490	1.050304	0.031*
C23	0.60031 (10)	0.3096 (2)	0.99230 (13)	0.0264 (4)
H23A	0.643242	0.349894	1.044513	0.032*
H23B	0.612306	0.231184	0.965832	0.032*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Col	0.0151 (2)	0.0140 (2)	0.0277 (2)	0.000	0.00945 (17)	0.000
N1	0.0226 (8)	0.0191 (8)	0.0361 (9)	-0.0028 (6)	0.0130 (7)	0.0022 (7)
C1	0.0240 (9)	0.0176 (8)	0.0317 (9)	0.0029 (7)	0.0167 (8)	0.0038 (7)
S1	0.0212 (2)	0.0271 (2)	0.0354 (2)	-0.00593 (17)	0.0138 (2)	-0.00315 (18)
S11	0.0221 (2)	0.0209 (2)	0.0337 (2)	0.00480 (16)	0.01759 (19)	0.00516 (17)
C11	0.0167 (8)	0.0214 (9)	0.0251 (8)	0.0010 (7)	0.0105 (7)	0.0026 (7)
N11	0.0209 (7)	0.0206 (8)	0.0381 (9)	0.0044 (6)	0.0186 (7)	0.0086 (6)
C12	0.0182 (8)	0.0251 (9)	0.0329 (9)	0.0022 (7)	0.0156 (8)	0.0053 (8)
C13	0.0241 (10)	0.0537 (14)	0.0336 (10)	0.0071 (9)	0.0157 (9)	0.0142 (10)
C14	0.0342 (12)	0.0667 (17)	0.0342 (11)	0.0050 (11)	0.0229 (10)	0.0070 (11)
C15	0.0251 (10)	0.0360 (11)	0.0479 (12)	0.0000 (8)	0.0250 (10)	0.0022 (9)
C16	0.0199 (9)	0.0290 (10)	0.0376 (10)	0.0010 (8)	0.0154 (8)	-0.0003 (8)
C17	0.0234 (9)	0.0285 (9)	0.0292 (9)	0.0023 (7)	0.0144 (8)	0.0011 (8)
N12	0.0177 (7)	0.0215 (7)	0.0298 (7)	0.0023 (6)	0.0148 (6)	0.0042 (6)
C18	0.0186 (8)	0.0197 (8)	0.0261 (9)	0.0001 (7)	0.0134 (7)	0.0027 (7)
C19	0.0221 (9)	0.0261 (9)	0.0242 (8)	-0.0031 (7)	0.0129 (7)	-0.0002 (7)
C20	0.0227 (9)	0.0275 (10)	0.0290 (9)	-0.0066 (7)	0.0155 (8)	-0.0030 (7)
C21	0.0324 (10)	0.0239 (9)	0.0344 (10)	-0.0052 (8)	0.0232 (9)	-0.0014 (8)
C22	0.0280 (9)	0.0238 (9)	0.0288 (9)	0.0040 (7)	0.0188 (8)	0.0066 (7)
C23	0.0220 (9)	0.0255 (9)	0.0310 (9)	0.0044 (7)	0.0151 (8)	0.0086 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Co1—N1	1.9516 (16)	C16—H16B	0.9900
Co1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	1.9517 (16)	C16—C17	1.530 (3)
Co1—S11	2.3130 (5)	C17—H17A	0.9900
Co1—S11 <sup>i</sup>	2.3131 (5)	C17—H17B	0.9900
N1—C1	1.167 (3)	N12—H12A	0.8800
C1—S1	1.620(2)	N12—C18	1.472 (2)
S11—C11	1.7431 (18)	C18—H18	1.0000
C11—N11	1.330 (2)	C18—C19	1.526 (2)
C11—N12	1.328 (2)	C18—C23	1.525 (2)
N11—H11	0.8800	C19—H19A	0.9900
N11—C12	1.470 (2)	C19—H19B	0.9900
С12—Н12	1.0000	C19—C20	1.529 (2)
C12—C13	1.520 (3)	C20—H20A	0.9900
C12—C17	1.522 (3)	C20—H20B	0.9900
C13—H13A	0.9900	C20—C21	1.526 (3)
C13—H13B	0.9900	C21—H21A	0.9900

C13—C14	1.522 (3)	C21—H21B	0.9900
C14—H14A	0.9900	C21—C22	1.528 (3)
C14—H14B	0.9900	C22—H22A	0.9900
C14—C15	1.519 (3)	C22—H22B	0.9900
C15—H15A	0.9900	C22—C23	1.534 (3)
C15—H15B	0.9900	C23—H23A	0.9900
C15—C16	1.522 (3)	C23—H23B	0.9900
C16—H16A	0.9900		
N1—Co1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	113.00 (10)	C12—C17—C16	110.88 (16)
N1—Co1—S11 <sup>i</sup>	109.67 (5)	C12—C17—H17A	109.5
N1—Co1—S11	106.00 (5)	C12—C17—H17B	109.5
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—S11 <sup>i</sup>	106.00 (5)	C16—C17—H17A	109.5
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—S11	109.67 (5)	C16—C17—H17B	109.5
S11—Co1—S11 <sup>i</sup>	112.63 (3)	H17A—C17—H17B	108.1
C1—N1—Co1	157.11 (17)	C11—N12—H12A	118.1
N1-C1-S1	179.39 (19)	C11—N12—C18	123.87 (15)
C11—S11—Co1	101.24 (6)	C18—N12—H12A	118.1
N11—C11—S11	119.32 (14)	N12—C18—H18	108.3
N12—C11—S11	120.02 (13)	N12—C18—C19	111.41 (15)
N12—C11—N11	120.67 (16)	N12—C18—C23	109.67 (14)
C11—N11—H11	116.0	C19—C18—H18	108.3
C11—N11—C12	127.98 (16)	C23—C18—H18	108.3
C12—N11—H11	116.0	C23—C18—C19	110.89 (16)
N11—C12—H12	108.5	C18—C19—H19A	109.7
N11—C12—C13	107.87 (16)	C18—C19—H19B	109.7
N11—C12—C17	111.80 (16)	C18—C19—C20	110.05 (15)
C13—C12—H12	108.5	H19A—C19—H19B	108.2
C13—C12—C17	111.64 (16)	C20—C19—H19A	109.7
С17—С12—Н12	108.5	C20—C19—H19B	109.7
C12—C13—H13A	109.4	C19—C20—H20A	109.4
C12—C13—H13B	109.4	C19—C20—H20B	109.4
C12 - C13 - C14	111.01 (18)	H20A—C20—H20B	108.0
H13A—C13—H13B	108.0	$C_{21}$ $C_{20}$ $C_{19}$	110.96 (16)
C14— $C13$ — $H13A$	109.4	$C_{21}$ $C_{20}$ $H_{20A}$	109.4
C14— $C13$ — $H13B$	109.4	$C_{21}$ $C_{20}$ $H_{20B}$	109.4
C13 - C14 - H14A	109.1	$C_{20}$ $C_{21}$ $H_{21}$ $H$	109.1
C13 - C14 - H14B	109.1	$C_{20}$ $C_{21}$ $H_{21R}$	109.5
$H_{14A}$ $-C_{14}$ $H_{14B}$	109.4	$C_{20} = C_{21} = C_{22}$	110.86 (16)
$C_{15}$ $C_{14}$ $C_{13}$	100.0 111.2(2)	$H_{21}^{-1} = C_{21}^{-1} = H_{21}^{-1} = $	108.1
$C_{15}$ $C_{14}$ $H_{144}$	109.4	$C^{22}$ $C^{21}$ $H^{21}$	100.1
$C_{15} = C_{14} = H_{14}$	109.4	$C_{22}$ $C_{21}$ $H_{21R}$	109.5
$C_{12} = C_{14} = H_{15}$	109.4	$C_{22} = C_{21} = H_{21} B$	109.5
C14 = C15 = H15R	109.4	$C_{21} = C_{22} = H_{22R}$	109.2
C14 C15 C16	102.7	$C_{21} = C_{22} = 1122B$	109.2
$H_{15} = C_{15} = C_{10}$	108.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21 \\ \hline 0.22 \\ \hline 0.23 $	107.0
C16 C15 H15A	100.0	1122 - C22 - 1122 D	107.9
C16 C15 U15D	107.4	$C_{23} = C_{22} = \Pi_{22} \Lambda$	109.2
	109.4	$U_{23}$ — $U_{22}$ — $\Pi_{22}$ D	109.2

C15—C16—H16A	109.3	C18—C23—C22	109.63 (15)
C15—C16—H16B	109.3	C18—C23—H23A	109.7
C15—C16—C17	111.61 (17)	C18—C23—H23B	109.7
H16A—C16—H16B	108.0	С22—С23—Н23А	109.7
C17—C16—H16A	109.3	С22—С23—Н23В	109.7
C17—C16—H16B	109.3	H23A—C23—H23B	108.2

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+3/2.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$	
N11—H11…N1	0.88	2.33	3.169 (2)	160	
C12—H12…S1 <sup>ii</sup>	1.00	2.93	3.774 (2)	143	
N12—H12A····S1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.88	2.84	3.6770 (16)	159	
C19—H19 <i>B</i> ···S11	0.99	3.00	3.529 (2)	114	

Symmetry code: (ii) x, y-1, z.