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# Nil molecular complex with a tetradentate amino-guanidine-derived Schiff base ligand: structural, spectroscopic and electrochemical studies and photoelectric response 
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The new molecular nickel(II) complex, namely, \{4-bromo-2-[(\{ $N^{\prime}-[(2-$ oxidobenzylidene)amino]carbamimidoyl ${ }^{\text {imino }}$ )methyl]phenolato\}nickel(II) $N, N$-dimethylformamide solvate monohydrate, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (I), crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$ with one molecule per asymmetric unit. The guanidine ligand is a product of Schiff base condensation between aminoguanidine, salicylaldehyde and 5-bromosalicylaldehyde templated by $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ions. The chelating ligand molecule is deprotonated at the phenol O atoms and coordinates the metal centre through the two azomethine N and two phenolate O atoms in a cis- $\mathrm{NiN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ square-planar configuration [average $(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O})=$ $1.8489 \AA$, cis angles in the range $83.08(5)-95.35(5)^{\circ}$, trans angles of 177.80 (5) and $\left.178.29(5)^{\circ}\right]$. The complex molecule adopts an almost planar conformation. In the crystal, a complicated hydrogen-bonded network is formed through $\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular interactions. Complex (I) was also characterized by FT-IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. It undergoes an $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{III}}$ redox reaction at $E_{1 / 2}=+0.295 \mathrm{~V}(v s \mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl})$ in methanol solution. In a thin film with a free surface, complex (I) shows a fast photoelectric response upon exposure to visible light with a maximum photovoltage of $\sim 178 \mathrm{mV}$.

## 1. Chemical context

Guanidine, the functional group on the side chain of arginine, has attracted much attention in the fields of drug development (Santos et al., 2015; Hirsh et al., 2008) and natural product synthesis (Berlinck \& Romminger, 2016; Kudo et al., 2016). Guanidine derivatives have also been explored as catalysts and superbases (Selig, 2013; Ishikawa, 2009). Aminoguanidine (AG) is an antioxidant and nucleophilic agent with strong scavenging activities against reactive carbonyl species (RCS) a class of byproducts originating from exogenous and endogenous oxidation. RCS react with nucleophilic targets such as nucleic acids, phospholipids and proteins to form damaging adducts (Colzani et al., 2016; Ramis et al., 2019). Diabetic and Alzheimer's disease patients were both found to have increased RCS levels in their circulatory systems (Kalousova et al., 2002; Picklo et al., 2002). Blocking RCS by carbonyl quenchers is an encouraging therapeutic strategy and the investigation of conjugates of AG and arylaldehydes as well as
their metal complexes has been at the focus of research interest for several decades (Fukumoto et al., 2002; Qian et al., 2010; Vojinović-Ješić et al., 2014).


In our previous study, the condensation reactions of aminoguanidine freshly liberated from AG• HCl or $\mathrm{AG} \cdot \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ and arylaldehydes (salicylaldehyde, 5-bromosalicylaldehyde, pyridine-2-carbaldehyde) produced the expected 1:1 Schiff base ligands isolated as protonated cations of nitrate or chloride salts as well as $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{III}}$ mononuclear complexes (Buvaylo et al., 2013, 2016, 2017). The dichloridocopper(II) complex bearing a pyridine-2-carbaldehyde aminoguanidine Schiff base ligand revealed prominent catalytic activity towards the oxidation of cyclohexane with hydrogen peroxide in the presence of various promoters (Buvaylo et al., 2017). In contrast, the interaction of AG with formaldehyde yielded a completely different compound with a high nitrogen content that had not been reported before (Buvaylo et al., 2018). 2,20Methylenedihydrazinecarboximidamide, which was isolated in its protonated form as the dinitrate salt, resulted from the condensation between two AG molecules and one molecule of formaldehyde.

In the present work, we attempted to synthesize an Ni complex with the Schiff base ligand derived from AG and salicylaldehyde. However, 5-bromosalicylaldehyde was also mistakenly introduced into the flask. As a result, the new tetradentate ligand (2-hydroxybenzylidene)(5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)aminoguanidine, $\mathrm{H}_{2} L$, was formed from the


Figure 1
Molecular structure and atom labelling of $[\mathrm{Ni} L] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (I), with displacement ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| Ni1-N2 | $1.8383(11)$ | Ni1-O21 | $1.8515(10)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Ni1-N5 | $1.8494(11)$ | Ni1-O11 | $1.8562(10)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| N2-Ni1-N5 | $83.08(5)$ | N2-Ni1-O11 | $95.35(5)$ |
| N2-Ni1-O21 | $177.80(5)$ | N5-Ni1-O11 | $178.29(5)$ |
| N5-Ni1-O21 | $95.25(5)$ | O21-Ni1-O11 | $86.30(4)$ |

in situ condensation of one AG molecule and two different molecules of the aldehydes in the presence of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ions. Herein, the crystal structure of $[\mathrm{Ni} L] \cdot \mathrm{DMF} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (DMF $=$ $N, N$-dimethylformamide), (I), is presented along with the elemental analyses, IR, NMR and cyclic voltammetry results as well as photoelectric response characteristics.

## 2. Structural commentary

Compound (I), $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$ and is assembled from discrete $\mathrm{Ni} L$ molecules and solvent molecules of crystallization. The chelating ligand $L^{2-}$ is deprotonated at the phenol O atoms and coordinates the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion through the two azomethine N and two phenolate O atoms in a cis- $\mathrm{NiN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ square-planar configuration (Fig. 1). The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O}$ distances fall in the range $1.8383(11)-1.8562(10) \AA$, the cis angles at the metal atom vary from 83.08 (5) to 95.35 (5) ${ }^{\circ}$ and the trans angles are equal to 177.80 (5) and 178.29 (5) ${ }^{\circ}$ (Table 1). The molecule is quite planar, the atoms with the largest deviations being C15 [ $\delta=0.059(2) \AA]$ and C23 [ $\delta=0.057(2) \AA]$ although there is very slight 'bowing' at the Ni atom. The dihedral angle between the two phenyl rings is $3.37(5)^{\circ}$.

## 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the $\mathrm{Ni} L$ molecules form centrosymmetrically related pairs with an interplanar distance of approximately $3.32 \AA$ and the $\mathrm{Ni} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{Ni}$ separation being 3.4191 (3) A (Fig. 2). There are no hydrogen bonds between the $\mathrm{Ni} L$ molecules and no $\pi-\pi$ stacking is observed owing to the trans-orientation of the two paired molecules. Instead, the $\mathrm{Ni} L$ molecule creates centrosymmetric hydrogen-bonded pairs through one H atom on the amine nitrogen N 4 , its other hydrogen forming a hydrogen bond to a centrosymmetrically related water molecule as shown by the $\mathrm{N} 4 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 3\{-x+2,-y+2,-z+1\}$ and


Figure 2
View of a pair of centrosymmetically related trans-oriented $\mathrm{Ni} L$ molecules showing the absence of $\pi-\pi$ stacking.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.85(2)$ | $2.16(2)$ | $3.0116(17)$ | $176(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | $0.81(2)$ | $2.09(2)$ | $2.8900(19)$ | $169(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11$ | $0.72(3)$ | $2.38(3)$ | $3.0056(17)$ | $146(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 21$ | $0.72(3)$ | $2.48(3)$ | $3.0719(18)$ | $141(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 10$ | $0.80(3)$ | $1.97(3)$ | $2.772(2)$ | $178(3)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2,-y+2,-z+1$; (ii) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$.
$\mathrm{N} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1\{-x+2,-y+1,-z+1\}$ distances of 3.0116 (17) and 2.8900 (19) Å, respectively (Fig. 3, Table 2). One hydrogen atom of the solvent water molecule is involved in a bifurcated hydrogen bond to the two coordinated phenolate oxygen atoms, O 11 and O 21 , with corresponding $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances of 3.0056 (17) and 3.0719 (18) $\AA$, respectively. The other hydrogen atom of the water molecule makes a hydrogen bond to the DMF oxygen atom O10 with the $\mathrm{O} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 10$ distance being equal to 2.772 (2) $\AA$. This forms a three-dimensional hydrogen bonded network.

## 4. Database survey

Crystal structures of neither the ligand itself nor its metal complexes are found in the Cambridge Structure Database (CSD, Version 5.42, update of May 2021; Groom et al., 2016). AG tends to interact with aldehyde groups in the familiar and important amine-aldehyde condensation reaction in a $1: 1$


Figure 3
Fragment of the crystal packing of (I), viewed along the $b$-axis direction, showing intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions $(\mathrm{CH}$ hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity; hydrogen bonds are shown as blue dashed lines; green lines joining Ni centres do not represent bonds). [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2,-y+2,-z+1$; (ii) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$.]
molar ratio. The structures of 45 of this kind of AG-based Schiff bases and their metal complexes deposited in the CSD incorporate various derivatives of benzaldehyde, pyridine and pyrimidine. Most of the Schiff base metal complexes derived from AG are mononuclear with the ligands coordinating through two azomethine N atoms and phenolate O atom from the ring if such a one is present. Schiff base condensations with molar ratios different from 1:1 usually employ AG amino derivatives, such as e.g. triaminoguanidine. The product of the $1: 3$ condensation reaction of the latter and 5-bromosalicylaldehyde, the tris[(5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzylidene)amino]guanidinium cation was found suitable for coordination of three $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ centres to form chiral (although racemic), tightly closed tetrahedral cages with a formal $\left[M_{6} L_{4}\right]$ topology, where $M$ is a $(\mathrm{CdO})_{2}$ four-membered ring (FIKJIT, FIKJOZ, FIKJUF; Müller et al., 2005).

To our knowledge, only one example of a Schiff base metal complex structurally similar to (I) has been reported. The reaction between (salicylideneamino)nitroguanidine and salicylaldehyde in the presence of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ions used as templating agents and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations produced potassium ( $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(salicyl-ideneamino)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-nitroguanidinato- $N, N^{\prime}, O, O^{\prime}$ )nickel(II) with a cis- $\mathrm{NiN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ square-planar chromophore (TUFDAZ; Starikova et al., 1996). Obviously, the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$-assisted condensation of AG or its $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-substituted analogue with two aldehyde molecules in the case of (I) and TUFDAZ occurred due to a combination of structural and electronic factors unique to the nickel(II) cation, which is prone to adopt a tetradentate square-planar geometry, and the favourable stoichiometry of the condensation reaction.

## 5. IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy measurements

The infrared spectrum of complex (I) in the $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range is very rich and shows all characteristic functional group peaks. A broad absorption near $3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and multiple overlapping bands in the range $3358-3134 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are attributed to $\nu(\mathrm{OH})$ and $\nu(\mathrm{NH})$ stretching vibrations, respectively. Bands arising above $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are due to aromatic $=\mathrm{CH}$ stretching of the ligand; alkyl CH stretching vibrations of $L^{2-}$ and DMF solvent are seen from 2958 to $2808 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Very intense overlapping signals in the $1668-1584 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region represent $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ stretching of the DMF molecule, deformation vibrations of the amino group, a group mode of the $\mathrm{CN}_{3}$ unit of the ligand, $v_{\text {as }}\left(\mathrm{CN}_{3}\right)$, and $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ peaks of $L^{2-}$ that cannot be distinguished from each other. The symmetric stretching mode $v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CN}_{3}\right)$ of the $\mathrm{CN}_{3}$ unit falls in the $1600-1400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range of the aromatic ring vibrations. Several sharp bands of medium intensity are observed in the out-of-plane CH bending region ( $800-700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).

The diamagnetic nature of the majority of square-planar $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes is helpful in their characterization by NMR spectroscopy. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of (I) exhibits the expected set of signals between 8.5 and 2.5 ppm (Fig. 4). The presence of two $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ - protons that appear as two singlets in a $1: 1$ ratio at $\delta 8.37$ and 8.05 ppm confirms the Schiff base condensation of AG with two aldehyde molecules. The signals


Figure 4
$400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of (I) in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at 293 K in the range $8.5-$ 6.5 ppm .
of seven aromatic protons in the range $7.57-6.58 \mathrm{ppm}$ observed as one singlet, four doublets and two triplets evidence the presence of two chemically inequivalent rings. A broad singlet at $\delta 7.25 \mathrm{ppm}$ is due to the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group adjacent to the carbon atom of the guanidine moiety. The absence of the phenolic OH singlets detected at $\delta 11.55 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of (5-bromosalicylidene)aminoguanidine• $\cdot \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ (Buvaylo et al., 2016) points out the deprotonation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} L$ upon coordination to the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ centre in (I). Three sharp singlets in a $1: 3: 3$ ratio at $7.94,2.88$ and 2.72 ppm were attributed to the CH and two $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups of DMF, respectively.

## 6. Cyclic voltammetry

The electrochemical features of complex (I) were studied in methanol in the presence of 0.1 M acetate buffer ( pH 4 ) and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ (70:28:2) as supporting electrolyte by using a threeelectrode setup (glassy carbon working electrode, platinum auxiliary electrode and $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode) in the potential range +1.0 to -1.0 V at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The anodic scan, starting from the open circuit potential ( 0.24 V vs $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl})$, displays an oxidation wave at $E_{\mathrm{pa}}=$ +0.42 V coupled with a corresponding reduction wave at $E_{\mathrm{pc}}=$ +0.17 V (Fig. 5). A large separation between the cathodic and anodic peak potentials $(250 \mathrm{mV})$ indicates a quasi-reversible


Figure 5
Cyclic voltammogram of (I), $0.1 \mathrm{~m} M$ in methanol mixed with 0.1 M acetate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 4)$ and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}(70: 28: 2)$ as supporting electrolyte at a glassy carbon electrode and $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ as a reference electrode (scan rate: $\left.100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1} ; T=293 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.
redox process which can be assigned to $\mathrm{Ni}^{+2} / \mathrm{Ni}^{+3}$ couple with $E_{1 / 2}=+0.295 \mathrm{~V}$ (vs $\left.\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}\right)$. The non-equivalent current intensity of cathodic and anodic peaks ( $i_{\mathrm{c}} / i_{\mathrm{a}}=0.551$ ) suggests that the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{III}}$ complex generated by oxidation of $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ is not stable.

## 7. Electro-optical measurements

The ability of (I) to form thin films on its own when cast from methanol solution prompted us to examine its photoelectric response under illumination with visible light. The thin film of the complex with estimated thickness of about $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ was obtained by drop casting of a methanol solution of (I) on an electroconducting ITO $\left(\mathrm{SnO}_{2}: \mathrm{In}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ layer of a standard glass slide and subsequent drying. A Kelvin probe technique was employed to track the contact potential difference between the free surface of the film and the probe with a BM8020 USB oscilloscope according to Davidenko et al. (2016). A 4 mm diameter aluminium plate placed $\sim 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ above the surface with a vibration frequency of 4 kHz was used as the reference probe. A white-light-emitting diode (LED) with power density $I \simeq 40 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ was used to illuminate the film from the ITO substrate side.

The thin-film sample of (I) showed a rather fast photoelectric response upon exposure to visible light with the surface potential $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ reaching its maximum value of $\sim 178 \mathrm{mV}$ within 6 s . Then the potential diminished slightly to stay nearly constant until the light was turned off at $t=100 \mathrm{~s}$ (Fig. 6). The $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ relaxation in the film occurred almost as fast as its growth. The free surface of the film acquired a positive charge under illumination meaning the photogenerated electrons transfer to the ITO substrate. The fast kinetics of the surface photovoltage growth and decay indicates a high mobility of the photogenerated charge carriers in (I).


Figure 6
Time dependence of $V_{\mathrm{PH}}$ of a thin film sample of (I) with a free film surface upon illumination with a white LED ( $I=40 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) from the side of a transparent ITO electrode; illumination stopped at the point shown by the vertical arrow.

## 8. Synthesis and crystallization

A mixture of salicylaldehyde $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$, 5-bromosalicylaldehyde $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AG} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ) in a conical flask was heated at 323 K under magnetic stirring for 1.5 h with its green colour deepening. Then the solution was filtered and allowed to stand at room temperature. It changed colour to brown upon filtration. After a week, diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) was added to the clear solution to initiate precipitation. Red shiny plate-like crystals of the title compound formed over a month. They were filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and dried out in air (yield based on $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 69 \%$ ). Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{NiO}_{4}$ (509.01): C, 42.48; H, 3.96; N, $13.76 \%$. Found: C, $42.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.70 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, s$, singlet; $b r$, broad; $d$, doublet; $t$, triplet): $\delta 8.37,8.05(s, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}) ; 7.94\left(s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{DMF}}\right)$; $7.57(s, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ring $) ; 7.50(d, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ring, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.39(d, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ring, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.25\left(b r, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) ; 7.18(t, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ring, $J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.91(d, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ring, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(d, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ring, $J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(t, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ring, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.88,2.72[s, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (DMF)]. FT-IR (KBr, $v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 3502br, $3358 m, 3278 m$, $3248 m, 3134 m, 3062 m, 2958 w, 2930 w, 2884 w, 2832 w, 2808 w$, $1668 \mathrm{vs}, 1610 \mathrm{vs}, 1584 \mathrm{~s}$, 1536 w , $1512 \mathrm{~m}, 1452 \mathrm{~s}, 1412 \mathrm{~m}, 1384 m$, $1356 m, 1310 m, 1246 w, 1206 m, 1184 m, 1152 w, 1106 w, 1066 w$, $990 w, 948 w, 908 w, 826 w, 754 m, 690 w, 668 w, 656 w, 616 w, 582 w$, $550 w, 532 w, 462 w, 448 w, 410 w$.

## 9. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. All hydrogen atoms bound to carbon were included in calculated positions and refined using a riding model with isotropic displacement parameters based on those of the parent atom $\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA, U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=\right.$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98 \AA, U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }} \mathrm{C}$ for $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Water and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ hydrogen atoms were refined without restraints. Anisotropic displacement parameters were employed for the non-hydrogen atoms.
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## supporting information

$\mathrm{Ni}^{\text {II }}$ molecular complex with a tetradentate aminoguanidine-derived Schiff base ligand: structural, spectroscopic and electrochemical studies and photoelectric response

Olga Yu. Vassilyeva, Elena A. Buvaylo, Vladimir N. Kokozay, Sergey L. Studzinsky, Brian W. Skelton and Georgii S. Vasyliev

## Computing details

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2016); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2016); data reduction: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL2017 (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 2012).
\{4-Bromo-2-[(\{N $\left.\left.\left.\left.N^{\prime}-[(2-o x i d o b e n z y l i d e n e) a m i n o] c a r b a m i m i d o y l\right\} i m i n o\right) m e t h y l\right] p h e n o l a t o\right\} n i c k e l(I I) ~ N, N-$ dimethylformamide monosolvate monohydrate

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=509.01$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
Hall symbol: -P 1
$a=8.3057$ (4) $\AA$
$b=9.2300(4) \AA$
$c=14.3970$ (7) $\AA$
$\alpha=95.338(4)^{\circ}$
$\beta=104.493$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma=112.592(5)^{\circ}$
$V=964.23(9) \AA^{3}$

## Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 16.0009 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: analytical
(CrysAlis Pro; Rigaku OD, 2016)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.484, T_{\text {max }}=0.721$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.036$

$$
Z=2
$$

$$
F(000)=516
$$

$$
D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.753 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
$$

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 11025 reflections
$\theta=3.4-37.3^{\circ}$
$\mu=3.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=100 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, red
$0.32 \times 0.26 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$

28563 measured reflections
9442 independent reflections
7711 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.033$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=36.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.4^{\circ}$
$h=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$k=-15 \rightarrow 15$
$l=-23 \rightarrow 24$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.083$
$S=1.04$
9442 reflections

280 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: dual
Hydrogen site location: mixed
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0353 P)^{2}+0.1785 P\right] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.002 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.73 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.39 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two 1.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Water molecule and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ hydrogen atoms were refined without restraints.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nil | 0.71284 (2) | 0.50588 (2) | 0.54926 (2) | 0.01171 (4) |
| Br1 | 0.78673 (2) | -0.02916 (2) | 0.16762 (2) | 0.02100 (4) |
| C11 | 0.59223 (17) | 0.53634 (16) | 0.71522 (10) | 0.0144 (2) |
| O11 | 0.61079 (14) | 0.44311 (12) | 0.64783 (8) | 0.01633 (18) |
| C12 | 0.65390 (17) | 0.70528 (16) | 0.72467 (10) | 0.0145 (2) |
| C13 | 0.62837 (19) | 0.79600 (18) | 0.79975 (11) | 0.0183 (3) |
| H13 | 0.670747 | 0.908793 | 0.805476 | 0.022* |
| C14 | 0.5434 (2) | 0.72456 (19) | 0.86487 (11) | 0.0203 (3) |
| H14 | 0.528595 | 0.787163 | 0.915561 | 0.024* |
| C15 | 0.4794 (2) | 0.55819 (19) | 0.85484 (11) | 0.0205 (3) |
| H15 | 0.418098 | 0.507219 | 0.898316 | 0.025* |
| C16 | 0.5039 (2) | 0.46680 (18) | 0.78260 (11) | 0.0182 (3) |
| H16 | 0.460325 | 0.354169 | 0.778076 | 0.022* |
| C1 | 0.73956 (18) | 0.78904 (17) | 0.65882 (10) | 0.0150 (2) |
| H1 | 0.776971 | 0.901853 | 0.668905 | 0.018* |
| N2 | 0.76894 (15) | 0.71997 (13) | 0.58640 (9) | 0.01352 (19) |
| N3 | 0.85703 (16) | 0.82274 (14) | 0.53203 (9) | 0.0156 (2) |
| C4 | 0.88158 (18) | 0.74226 (16) | 0.46136 (10) | 0.0142 (2) |
| N4 | 0.96020 (18) | 0.81776 (15) | 0.39828 (10) | 0.0179 (2) |
| N5 | 0.81845 (15) | 0.57454 (13) | 0.45334 (8) | 0.01256 (19) |
| C6 | 0.83447 (17) | 0.48570 (16) | 0.38291 (10) | 0.0134 (2) |
| H6 | 0.887782 | 0.537164 | 0.336972 | 0.016* |
| C21 | 0.69562 (17) | 0.22941 (16) | 0.43607 (10) | 0.0135 (2) |
| O21 | 0.66497 (14) | 0.29247 (12) | 0.51103 (8) | 0.01554 (18) |
| C22 | 0.77713 (17) | 0.31744 (15) | 0.37102 (10) | 0.0129 (2) |
| C23 | 0.80347 (18) | 0.23840 (16) | 0.29043 (10) | 0.0145 (2) |
| H23 | 0.85787 | 0.297919 | 0.247395 | 0.017* |
| C24 | 0.75004 (18) | 0.07631 (17) | 0.27528 (11) | 0.0158 (2) |
| C25 | 0.67098 (19) | -0.01344 (17) | 0.33888 (11) | 0.0175 (2) |
| H25 | 0.635444 | -0.126036 | 0.327557 | 0.021* |
| C26 | 0.64509 (19) | 0.06105 (16) | 0.41707 (11) | 0.0168 (2) |
| H26 | 0.592223 | -0.001036 | 0.459596 | 0.02* |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C101 | $1.2835(2)$ | $0.7674(2)$ | $1.04820(14)$ | $0.0279(3)$ |
| H10A | 1.320626 | 0.688278 | 1.021153 | $0.042^{*}$ |
| H10B | 1.303048 | 0.771121 | 1.118552 | $0.042^{*}$ |
| H10C | 1.356958 | 0.873448 | 1.038389 | $0.042^{*}$ |
| C102 | $1.0013(2)$ | $0.8037(2)$ | $1.04333(14)$ | $0.0300(4)$ |
| H10D | 1.008633 | 0.783988 | 1.109651 | $0.045^{*}$ |
| H10E | 0.872272 | 0.762924 | 1.00362 | $0.045^{*}$ |
| H10F | 1.062839 | 0.91934 | 1.0469 | $0.045^{*}$ |
| N10 | $1.09055(17)$ | $0.72197(16)$ | $0.99855(10)$ | $0.0211(2)$ |
| C10 | $0.9998(2)$ | $0.6113(2)$ | $0.91592(12)$ | $0.0240(3)$ |
| H10 | 0.873871 | 0.587458 | 0.88718 | $0.029^{*}$ |
| O10 | $1.06542(18)$ | $0.53699(16)$ | $0.87336(9)$ | $0.0302(3)$ |
| O1 | $0.8463(2)$ | $0.27288(17)$ | $0.72054(11)$ | $0.0263(2)$ |
| H4A | $1.011(3)$ | $0.919(3)$ | $0.4152(17)$ | $0.032(6)^{*}$ |
| H4B | $1.005(3)$ | $0.780(3)$ | $0.3645(18)$ | $0.036(6)^{*}$ |
| H1A | $0.773(4)$ | $0.281(3)$ | $0.686(2)$ | $0.054(9)^{*}$ |
| H1B | $0.911(4)$ | $0.349(3)$ | $0.764(2)$ | $0.044(7)^{*}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\hat{A}^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ni1 | $0.01357(7)$ | $0.00904(7)$ | $0.01292(8)$ | $0.00464(6)$ | $0.00502(6)$ | $0.00230(6)$ |
| Br1 | $0.02594(7)$ | $0.01691(7)$ | $0.02208(8)$ | $0.00886(6)$ | $0.01230(6)$ | $0.00042(5)$ |
| C11 | $0.0134(5)$ | $0.0148(6)$ | $0.0142(6)$ | $0.0052(4)$ | $0.0043(4)$ | $0.0024(5)$ |
| O11 | $0.0216(4)$ | $0.0124(4)$ | $0.0166(5)$ | $0.0069(4)$ | $0.0091(4)$ | $0.0027(4)$ |
| C12 | $0.0136(5)$ | $0.0148(6)$ | $0.0149(6)$ | $0.0060(4)$ | $0.0047(4)$ | $0.0015(5)$ |
| C13 | $0.0187(6)$ | $0.0165(6)$ | $0.0188(6)$ | $0.0076(5)$ | $0.0053(5)$ | $-0.0003(5)$ |
| C14 | $0.0210(6)$ | $0.0230(7)$ | $0.0184(6)$ | $0.0101(5)$ | $0.0084(5)$ | $0.0009(5)$ |
| C15 | $0.0202(6)$ | $0.0242(7)$ | $0.0171(6)$ | $0.0079(5)$ | $0.0085(5)$ | $0.0036(5)$ |
| C16 | $0.0200(6)$ | $0.0163(6)$ | $0.0175(6)$ | $0.0056(5)$ | $0.0079(5)$ | $0.0031(5)$ |
| C1 | $0.0165(5)$ | $0.0121(5)$ | $0.0165(6)$ | $0.0063(4)$ | $0.0053(4)$ | $0.0016(4)$ |
| N2 | $0.0150(4)$ | $0.0106(5)$ | $0.0149(5)$ | $0.0049(4)$ | $0.0050(4)$ | $0.0033(4)$ |
| N3 | $0.0197(5)$ | $0.0099(5)$ | $0.0172(5)$ | $0.0050(4)$ | $0.0077(4)$ | $0.0034(4)$ |
| C4 | $0.0156(5)$ | $0.0099(5)$ | $0.0160(6)$ | $0.0045(4)$ | $0.0043(4)$ | $0.0032(4)$ |
| N4 | $0.0253(6)$ | $0.0099(5)$ | $0.0201(6)$ | $0.0059(4)$ | $0.0119(5)$ | $0.0046(4)$ |
| N5 | $0.0138(4)$ | $0.0089(4)$ | $0.0143(5)$ | $0.0043(4)$ | $0.0040(4)$ | $0.0026(4)$ |
| C6 | $0.0140(5)$ | $0.0115(5)$ | $0.0145(5)$ | $0.0046(4)$ | $0.0053(4)$ | $0.0033(4)$ |
| C21 | $0.0137(5)$ | $0.0118(5)$ | $0.0156(6)$ | $0.0054(4)$ | $0.0054(4)$ | $0.0027(4)$ |
| O21 | $0.0204(4)$ | $0.0108(4)$ | $0.0181(5)$ | $0.0066(3)$ | $0.0102(4)$ | $0.0033(3)$ |
| C22 | $0.0128(5)$ | $0.0107(5)$ | $0.0147(5)$ | $0.0042(4)$ | $0.0046(4)$ | $0.0028(4)$ |
| C23 | $0.0153(5)$ | $0.0128(5)$ | $0.0160(6)$ | $0.0055(4)$ | $0.0067(4)$ | $0.0024(5)$ |
| C24 | $0.0162(5)$ | $0.0147(6)$ | $0.0171(6)$ | $0.0067(5)$ | $0.0064(5)$ | $0.0010(5)$ |
| C25 | $0.0201(6)$ | $0.0112(5)$ | $0.0231(7)$ | $0.0066(5)$ | $0.0101(5)$ | $0.0023(5)$ |
| C26 | $0.0193(6)$ | $0.0114(5)$ | $0.0223(7)$ | $0.0055(5)$ | $0.0116(5)$ | $0.0055(5)$ |
| C101 | $0.0209(7)$ | $0.0330(9)$ | $0.0281(8)$ | $0.0103(6)$ | $0.0069(6)$ | $0.0046(7)$ |
| C102 | $0.0314(8)$ | $0.0339(9)$ | $0.0292(9)$ | $0.0212(7)$ | $0.0060(7)$ | $0.0042(7)$ |
| N10 | $0.0206(5)$ | $0.0228(6)$ | $0.0208(6)$ | $0.0097(5)$ | $0.0063(5)$ | $0.0062(5)$ |
| C10 | $0.0248(7)$ | $0.0239(7)$ | $0.0210(7)$ | $0.0063(6)$ | $0.0083(6)$ | $0.0092(6)$ |

supporting information

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O10 | $0.0366(6)$ | $0.0287(6)$ | $0.0245(6)$ | $0.0094(5)$ | $0.0159(5)$ | $0.0038(5)$ |
| O1 | $0.0294(6)$ | $0.0320(7)$ | $0.0224(6)$ | $0.0169(6)$ | $0.0092(5)$ | $0.0081(5)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA$, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Ni1-N2 | 1.8383 (11) | C6-C22 | 1.4176 (18) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ni1-N5 | 1.8494 (11) | C6-H6 | 0.95 |
| Ni1-O21 | 1.8515 (10) | C21-O21 | 1.3048 (16) |
| Ni1-O11 | 1.8562 (10) | C21-C26 | 1.4241 (19) |
| Br1-C24 | 1.9044 (14) | C21-C22 | 1.4255 (19) |
| C11-O11 | 1.3142 (17) | C22-C23 | 1.4208 (19) |
| C11-C16 | 1.415 (2) | C23-C24 | 1.3651 (19) |
| C11-C12 | 1.4228 (19) | C23-H23 | 0.95 |
| C12-C13 | 1.413 (2) | C24-C25 | 1.407 (2) |
| C12-C1 | 1.433 (2) | C25-C26 | 1.370 (2) |
| C13-C14 | 1.379 (2) | C25-H25 | 0.95 |
| C13-H13 | 0.95 | C26-H26 | 0.95 |
| C14-C15 | 1.398 (2) | C101-N10 | 1.452 (2) |
| C14-H14 | 0.95 | C101-H10A | 0.98 |
| C15-C16 | 1.382 (2) | C101-H10B | 0.98 |
| C15-H15 | 0.95 | C101-H10C | 0.98 |
| C16-H16 | 0.95 | C102-N10 | 1.453 (2) |
| C1-N2 | 1.2947 (18) | C102-H10D | 0.98 |
| C1-H1 | 0.95 | C102-H10E | 0.98 |
| N2-N3 | 1.3926 (16) | C102-H10F | 0.98 |
| N3-C4 | 1.3069 (18) | N10-C10 | 1.327 (2) |
| C4-N4 | 1.3423 (18) | C10-O10 | 1.233 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 5$ | 1.4133 (17) | C10-H10 | 0.95 |
| N4-H4A | 0.85 (2) | O1-H1A | 0.72 (3) |
| N4-H4B | 0.81 (2) | O1-H1B | 0.80 (3) |
| N5-C6 | 1.3095 (17) |  |  |
| N2-Ni1-N5 | 83.08 (5) | N5-C6-C22 | 124.01 (12) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{O} 21$ | 177.80 (5) | N5-C6-H6 | 118 |
| N5-Ni1-O21 | 95.25 (5) | C22-C6-H6 | 118 |
| N2-Ni1-O11 | 95.35 (5) | O21-C21-C26 | 118.42 (12) |
| N5-Ni1-O11 | 178.29 (5) | $\mathrm{O} 21-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 22$ | 124.57 (12) |
| O21-Ni1-O11 | 86.30 (4) | C26-C21-C22 | 117.02 (12) |
| O11-C11-C16 | 119.03 (13) | C21-O21-Ni1 | 126.68 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 11-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 124.05 (12) | C6-C22-C23 | 117.06 (12) |
| C16-C11-C12 | 116.91 (12) | C6-C22-C21 | 122.19 (12) |
| C11-O11-Ni1 | 126.59 (9) | C23-C22-C21 | 120.75 (12) |
| C13-C12-C11 | 120.07 (13) | C24-C23-C22 | 119.52 (13) |
| C13-C12-C1 | 117.68 (13) | C24-C23-H23 | 120.2 |
| C11-C12-C1 | 122.24 (12) | C22-C23-H23 | 120.2 |
| C14-C13-C12 | 121.51 (14) | C23-C24-C25 | 120.99 (13) |
| C14-C13-H13 | 119.2 | C23-C24-Br1 | 119.43 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13$ | 119.2 | C25-C24-Br1 | 119.57 (10) |


| C13-C14-C15 | 118.70 (14) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C13-C14-H14 | 120.7 |
| C15-C14-H14 | 120.7 |
| C16-C15-C14 | 120.97 (14) |
| C16-C15-H15 | 119.5 |
| C14-C15-H15 | 119.5 |
| C15-C16-C11 | 121.82 (14) |
| C15-C16-H16 | 119.1 |
| C11-C16-H16 | 119.1 |
| N2-C1-C12 | 123.84 (13) |
| N2-C1-H1 | 118.1 |
| C12-C1-H1 | 118.1 |
| C1-N2-N3 | 115.09 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Ni} 1$ | 127.91 (10) |
| N3-N2-Ni1 | 116.98 (9) |
| C4-N3-N2 | 110.50 (11) |
| N3-C4-N4 | 120.38 (12) |
| N3-C4-N5 | 117.40 (12) |
| N4-C4-N5 | 122.21 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A}$ | 114.7 (15) |
| C4-N4-H4B | 123.3 (17) |
| H4A-N4-H4B | 115 (2) |
| C6-N5-C4 | 120.76 (12) |
| C6-N5-Ni1 | 127.20 (9) |
| C4-N5-Ni1 | 112.02 (9) |
| C16-C11-O11-Ni1 | 177.39 (10) |
| C12-C11-O11-Ni1 | -1.81 (19) |
| N2—Ni1-O11-C11 | 1.06 (12) |
| O21-Ni1-O11-C11 | 179.59 (11) |
| $\mathrm{O} 11-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | -179.83 (13) |
| C16-C11-C12-C13 | 0.96 (19) |
| O11-C11-C12-C1 | 1.4 (2) |
| C16-C11-C12-C1 | -177.81 (13) |
| C11-C12-C13-C14 | -0.3 (2) |
| C1-C12-C13-C14 | 178.49 (13) |
| C12-C13-C14-C15 | -0.9 (2) |
| C13-C14-C15-C16 | 1.4 (2) |
| C14-C15-C16-C11 | -0.8(2) |
| O11-C11-C16-C15 | -179.68 (13) |
| C12-C11-C16-C15 | -0.4 (2) |
| C13-C12-C1-N2 | -179.16 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | -0.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 3$ | -178.84 (12) |
| C12-C1-N2-Ni1 | -0.2 (2) |
| N5-Ni1-N2-C1 | -179.40 (13) |
| O11-Ni1-N2-C1 | -0.08 (13) |
| N5-Ni1-N2-N3 | -0.76 (9) |

## supporting information

| $\mathrm{O} 11-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $178.56(9)$ | $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 25-\mathrm{C} 26$ | $0.5(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $179.55(12)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 25-\mathrm{C} 26$ | $179.49(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $0.73(14)$ | $\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 25-\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $0.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $178.31(12)$ | $\mathrm{O} 21-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{C} 25$ | $179.09(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $-0.22(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{C} 25$ | $-1.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $178.37(12)$ | $\mathrm{C} 101-\mathrm{N} 10-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{O} 10$ | $0.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-0.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 102-\mathrm{N} 10-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{O} 10$ | $179.88(17)$ |

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.85(2)$ | $2.16(2)$ | $3.0116(17)$ | $176(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4 — \mathrm{H} 4 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.81(2)$ | $2.09(2)$ | $2.8900(19)$ | $169(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11$ | $0.72(3)$ | $2.38(3)$ | $3.0056(17)$ | $146(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 21$ | $0.72(3)$ | $2.48(3)$ | $3.0719(18)$ | $141(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 10$ | $0.80(3)$ | $1.97(3)$ | $2.772(2)$ | $178(3)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2,-y+2,-z+1$; (ii) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$.

