



Received 26 May 2022 Accepted 13 June 2022

Edited by A. V. Yatsenko, Moscow State University, Russia

**Keywords:** crystal structure; non-covalent interactions; C—H···Br interactions; C(8) chains; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 2178832

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of (*E*)-2-(4-bromophenyl)-1-[2,2-dibromo-1-(4-nitro-phenyl)ethenyl]diazene

#### Mehmet Akkurt,<sup>a</sup> Sema Öztürk Yıldırım,<sup>b,a</sup> Namiq Q. Shikhaliyev,<sup>c</sup> Naila A. Mammadova,<sup>c</sup> Ayten A. Niyazova,<sup>c,d</sup> Victor N. Khrustalev<sup>e,f</sup> and Ajaya Bhattarai<sup>g\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey, <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Eskisehir Technical University, Yunus Emre Campus 26470 Eskisehir, Turkey, <sup>c</sup>Organic Chemistry Department, Baku State University, Z. Khalilov str. 23, AZ 1148 Baku, Azerbaijan, <sup>d</sup>Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Istiglaliyyat str., Baku, Azerbaijan, <sup>e</sup>Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Miklukho-Maklay St. 6, Moscow, 117198, Russian Federation, <sup>f</sup>N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry RAS, Leninsky Prosp. 47, Moscow, 119991, Russian Federation, and <sup>g</sup>Department of Chemistry, M.M.A.M.C (Tribhuvan University) Biratnagar, Nepal. \*Correspondence e-mail: ajaya.bhattarai@mmamc.tu.edu.np

The molecule of the title compound,  $C_{14}H_8Br_3N_3O_2$ , consists of three almost planar groups: the central dibromoethenyldiazene fragment and two attached aromatic rings. The mean planes of these rings form dihedral angles with the plane of the central fragment of 26.35 (15) and 72.57 (14)° for bromine- and nitro-substituted rings, respectively. In the crystal,  $C-H\cdots Br$  interactions connect molecules, generating zigzag C(8) chains along the [100] direction. These chains are linked by  $C-Br\cdots \pi$  interactions into layers parallel to (001). van der Waals interactions between the layers aid in the cohesion of the crystal packing. The most substantial contributions to crystal packing, according to a Hirshfeld surface analysis, are from  $Br\cdots H/H\cdots Br$  (20.9%),  $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$ (15.2%),  $O\cdots H/H\cdots O$  (12.6%) and  $H\cdots H$  (11.7%) contacts.

#### 1. Chemical context

Azo dyes constitute the largest production volume (ca 70%) of the dye industry today, and their relative importance may increase further in the future (Lipskikh et al., 2018). They play a crucial role in the printing market, the design of functional materials attributed to smart hydrogen bonding, photo-triggered structural switching, self-assembled layers, ionophores, liquid crystals, semiconductors, indicators, spectrophotometric reagents for determination of metal ions, photoluminescent materials, catalysts, antimicrobial agents, optical recording media, spin-coating films, etc (Zollinger, 1994, 1995; Gurbanov et al., 2020a,b; Mahmudov et al., 2010, 2013). Depending on the attached substituents, the functional properties of azo compounds and their metal complexes can be improved/ controlled (Ma et al., 2020, 2021). Both E/Z isomerism and azo-hydrazo tautomerism properties of azo dyes are key phenomena in the synthesis and development of new functional materials (Shixaliyev et al., 2018, 2019). The attachment of non-covalent bond acceptor or donor centres to the azo dyes can be used as a synthetic strategy for the improvement of the functional properties of their metal complexes (Mahmudov et al., 2020, 2021, 2022). Thus, we have attached bromine atoms and a nitro group together with aryl rings to the -N—N-linkage leading to a new azo compound, (E)-2-(4bromophenyl)-1-[2,2-dibromo-1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethenyl]diazene, which can provide intermolecular halogen and hydrogen bonds as well as  $\pi$ -interactions.



Table 1		
Hydrogen-bond	geometry (Å,	°).

, , ,	• • • •			
$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C10-H10\cdots Br1^{i}$	0.95	2.89	3.530 (4)	126

Symmetry code: (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z$ .

the related azo compounds discussed in the *Database survey* section.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The molecule of the title compound (Fig. 1) consists of three almost planar groups: the central dibromoethenyldiazene fragment [largest deviation from the l.s. plane is 0.039 (3) Å for N2] and two attached aromatic rings. The mean planes of these rings form dihedral angles with the plane of the central fragment of 26.35 (15) and 72.57 (14)° for the bromine- and nitro-substituted rings, respectively. The nitro group is twisted by 8.1 (2)° with respect to the C3–C8 aromatic ring. The C2–N2 bond distance of 1.406 (4) Å indicates  $\pi$ -conjugation between ethene and diazo groups. All other bond lengths and angles in the title compound are similar to those reported for



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



**Figure 2** View down the *a*-axis of the title compound showing the  $C-H\cdots Br$  interactions.

3.	Supramolecular	features	and	Hirshfeld	surface
an	alysis				

In the crystal,  $C-H\cdots Br$  interactions connect the molecules, generating zigzag C(8) chains (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) along the [100] direction (Table 1, Figs. 2 and 3). These chains are linked by  $C-Br\cdots \pi$  interactions  $[C1-Br1\cdots Cg1^{ii}; C1-Br1 =$ 1.864 (3) Å,  $Br1\cdots Cg1^{ii} = 3.5803$  (16) Å,  $C1\cdots Cg1^{ii} =$ 4.722 (3) Å,  $C1-Br1\cdots Cg1^{ii} =$  116.77 (9)°; Cg1 is the centroid of the C3-C8 ring; symmetry code (ii):  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z$ ] into



**Figure 3** View down the *b*-axis of the title compound, showing the  $C-Br\cdots\pi$  interactions.

#### research communications



**Figure 4** View down the *c* axis of the title compound, showing the  $C-Br\cdots\pi$  interactions.

layers parallel to (001) (Fig. 4). van der Waals interactions between the layers help to keep the crystal packing together.

Crystal Explorer 17.5 (Turner et al., 2017) was used to perform a Hirshfeld surface analysis and to generate the corresponding two-dimensional fingerprint plots, with a standard resolution of the three-dimensional  $d_{norm}$  surfaces plotted over a fixed color scale of -0.1401 (red) to 1.1158 (blue) a.u. (Fig. 5). The red patches represent short contacts and negative  $d_{norm}$  values on the surface, which correspond to the C-H···Br hydrogen bonds discussed above (Table 1). The C10-H10···Br1 interactions, which are important for molecular packing of the title compound, are responsible for the red patch that appears around Br1.



Figure 5

View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title compound plotted over  $d_{\text{norm}}$  in the range -0.1401 to 1.1158 a.u.

Table 2					
Summary of short interatomic contacts	(Å)	) in the	title	comp	ound.

-		-
Br1···H10	2.89	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z$
H14···H5	2.40	$\frac{1}{2} - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$
Br2···Br3	3.44	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$
H10···C13	3.02	$-x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$
$O1 \cdot \cdot \cdot H13$	2.75	x, 1 + y, z
$H7 \cdot \cdot \cdot N2$	2.65	$\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$

The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot for the title compound and those delineated into  $Br \cdots H / H \cdots Br$  (20.9%),  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$  (15.2%),  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$  (12.6%) and  $H \cdots H$  (11.7%) contacts are shown in Fig. 6, while numerical details for short intermolecular contacts are given in Table 2.  $Br \cdots C/C \cdots Br$  (8.8%),  $Br \cdots Br$  (6.7%),  $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$  (6.5%),  $Br \cdots O/O \cdots Br$  (5.6%),  $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$  (4.1%),  $Br \cdots N/N \cdots Br$  (3.9%),  $C \cdots C$  (2.5%),  $O \cdots N/N \cdots O$  (1.3%) and  $N \cdots C/C \cdots N$  (0.1%) contacts have little directional influence on the molecular packing.



#### Figure 6

2,8

2.6

2.2

2.0

1,6

1.4 1,2

1,0

0.8

0.6

2,8 2,6 2,4

2.2

1.8

1.6 1.4

1.2

1.0

0,8

0,6

(Å)

(Å)

The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title compound, showing all interactions (a) and delineated into (b)  $Br \cdots H/H \cdots Br$ , (c)  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ , (d)  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ , and (e)  $H \cdots H$  interactions. The  $d_i$  and  $d_e$  values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from given points on the Hirshfeld surface.

#### 4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.42, update of September 2021; Groom *et al.*, 2016) for similar structures with the (*E*)-1-(2,2-dibromo-1-phenylethenyl)-2-phenyldiazene fragment showed that the nine closest are those of CSD refcodes TAZDIL [(**I**); Atioğlu *et al.*, 2022], PAXDOL [(**II**); Çelikesir *et al.*, 2022], GUPHIL [(**III**); Özkaraca *et al.*, 2020b], HONBUK [(**IV**); Akkurt *et al.*, 2019], HONBOE [(**V**); Akkurt *et al.*, 2019], HODQAV [(**VI**); Shikhaliyev *et al.*, 2019], XIZREG [(**VII**); Atioğlu *et al.*, 2019], LEQXOX [(**VIII**); Shikhaliyev *et al.*, 2018] and LEQXIR [(**IX**); Shikhaliyev *et al.*, 2018].

In (I), the molecules are connected by  $C-H\cdots O$  and C- $H \cdot \cdot F$  hydrogen bonds into layers parallel to (011). The crystal packing is consolidated by  $C-Br\cdots\pi$  and  $C-F\cdots\pi$  contacts, as well as by  $\pi - \pi$  stacking interactions. In the crystal of (II), the molecules are linked into chains running parallel to [001] by  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds. The crystal packing is consolidated by C-F··· $\pi$  contacts and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions, and short  $Br \cdots O[2.9828(13) A]$  distances are also observed. In the crystal of (III), the molecules are linked into inversion dimers via short halogen-halogen contacts  $[Cl1 \cdots Cl1 =$ 3.3763 (9) Å, C16-Cl1···Cl1 = 141.47 (7)°] compared to the van der Waals radius sum of 3.50 Å for two chlorine atoms. No other directional contacts could be identified, and the shortest aromatic ring centroid separation is greater than 5.25 Å. In the crystals of (IV) and (V), the molecules are linked through weak  $X \cdots Cl$  contacts [X = Cl for (IV) and Br for (V)], C-H···Cl and C–Cl··· $\pi$  interactions into sheets lying parallel to (001). In the crystal of (VI), the molecules are stacked in columns parallel to [100] via weak  $C-H \cdots Cl$  hydrogen bonds and face-to-face  $\pi - \pi$  stacking interactions. The crystal packing is further consolidated by short  $Cl \cdots Cl$  contacts. In (VII), molecules are linked by C-H···O hydrogen bonds into zigzag chains running parallel to [001]. The crystal packing also features  $C - Cl \cdots \pi$ ,  $C - F \cdots \pi$  and  $N - O \cdots \pi$  interactions. In (VIII),  $C-H \cdots N$  and short  $Cl \cdots Cl$  contacts are observed, and in (IX),  $C-H \cdots N$  and  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds and short Cl···O contacts occur.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

This dye was synthesized according to the reported method (Akkurt *et al.*, 2019; Atioğlu *et al.*, 2019; Maharramov *et al.*, 2018; Özkaraca *et al.*, 2020*a,b*). A 20 mL screw neck vial was charged with DMSO (10 mL), (*E*)-1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(4-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazine (1 mmol), tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA; 295 mg, 2.5 mmol), CuCl (2 mg, 0.02 mmol) and CBr<sub>4</sub> (4.5 mmol). After 1-3 h (until TLC analysis showed complete consumption of corresponding Schiff base), the reaction mixture was poured into 0.01 *M* solution of HCl (100 mL, pH = 2–3), and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with water (3 × 50 mL), brine (30 mL), dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo* using a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by column chromato-

Table	3	
Experi	mental	details.

$C_{14}H_8Br_3N_3O_2$
489.96
Orthorhombic, <i>Pna</i> 2 <sub>1</sub>
100
13.8678 (5), 13.5442 (5), 8.3017 (3)
1559.29 (10)
4
Μο Κα
7.77
$0.31 \times 0.14 \times 0.08$
Bruker D8 QUEST, Photon III detector
Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
0.044, 0.110
75835, 7370, 5962
0.057
0.826
0.033, 0.085, 1.02
7370
199
1
H-atom parameters constrained
1.41, -0.97
Flack parameter determined using 2437 quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons <i>et al.</i> , 2013).
0.003 (5)

Computer programs: *APEX3* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2020).

graphy on silica gel using appropriate mixtures of hexane and dichloromethane (3/1–1/1). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution. Red solid (58%); m.p. 398 K. Analysis calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>8</sub>Br<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M = 489.95): C 34.32, H 1.65, N 8.58; found: C 34.27, H 1.70, N 8.56%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.16–7.41 (8H, Ar–H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  150.89, 149.62, 148.26, 136.43, 132.25, 127.77, 125.57, 124.53, 123.57, 93.24. ESI–MS: m/z: 490.96 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. All H atoms were positioned geometrically and constrained to ride on their parent atoms (C-H = 0.95 Å) with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . One reflection (110), affected by the beam stop, was omitted in the final cycles of refinement.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors' contributions are as follows. Conceptualization, NQS, MA and AB; synthesis, NAM and GTS; X-ray analysis, SÖY, VNK and MA; writing (review and editing of the

manuscript) SÖY, MA and AB; funding acquisition, NQS, NAM and GTS; supervision, NQS, MA and AB.

#### **Funding information**

This work was performed under the support of the Science Development Foundation under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan (grant No. EIF-BGM-4- RFTF-1/2017-21/13/4).

#### References

- Akkurt, M., Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Suleymanova, G. T., Babayeva, G. V., Mammadova, G. Z., Niyazova, A. A., Shikhaliyeva, I. M. & Toze, F. A. A. (2019). Acta Cryst. E75, 1199–1204.
- Atioğlu, Z., Akkurt, M., Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Mammadova, N. A., Babayeva, G. V., Khrustalev, V. N. & Bhattarai, A. (2022). Acta Cryst. E78, 530–535.
- Atioğlu, Z., Akkurt, M., Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Suleymanova, G. T., Bagirova, K. N. & Toze, F. A. A. (2019). Acta Cryst. E75, 237–241.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (2018). APEX3 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Çelikesir, S. T., Akkurt, M., Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Mammadova, N. A., Suleymanova, G. T., Khrustalev, V. N. & Bhattarai, A. (2022). Acta Cryst. E78, 404–408.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Gurbanov, A. V., Kuznetsov, M. L., Demukhamedova, S. D., Alieva, I. N., Godjaev, N. M., Zubkov, F. I., Mahmudov, K. T. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2020a). CrystEngComm, 22, 628–633.
- Gurbanov, A. V., Kuznetsov, M. L., Mahmudov, K. T., Pombeiro, A. J. L. & Resnati, G. (2020b). Chem. Eur. J. 26, 14833–14837.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Lipskikh, O. I., Korotkova, E. I., Khristunova, Y. P., Barek, J. & Kratochvil, B. (2018). *Electrochim. Acta*, **260**, 974–985.
- Ma, Z., Mahmudov, K. T., Aliyeva, V. A., Gurbanov, A. V., Guedes da Silva, M. F. C. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2021). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 437, 213859.
- Ma, Z., Mahmudov, K. T., Aliyeva, V. A., Gurbanov, A. V. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2020). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **423**, 213482.

- Maharramov, A. M., Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Suleymanova, G. T., Gurbanov, A. V., Babayeva, G. V., Mammadova, G. Z., Zubkov, F. I., Nenajdenko, V. G., Mahmudov, K. T. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2018). Dyes Pigments, 159, 135–141.
- Mahmudov, K. T., Gurbanov, A. V., Aliyeva, V. A., Guedes da Silva, M. F. C., Resnati, G. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2022). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 464, 214556.
- Mahmudov, K. T., Gurbanov, A. V., Aliyeva, V. A., Resnati, G. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2020). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **418**, 213381.
- Mahmudov, K. T., Huseynov, F. E., Aliyeva, V. A., Guedes da Silva, M. F. C. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2021). *Chem. Eur. J.* 27, 14370–14389.
- Mahmudov, K. T., Kopylovich, M. N. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2013). Coord. Chem. Rev. 257, 1244–1281.
- Mahmudov, K. T., Maharramov, A. M., Aliyeva, R. A., Aliyev, I. A., Kopylovich, M. N. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2010). *Anal. Lett.* 43, 2923– 2938.
- Özkaraca, K., Akkurt, M., Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Askerova, U. F., Suleymanova, G. T., Mammadova, G. Z. & Shadrack, D. M. (2020a). Acta Cryst. E**76**, 1251–1254.
- Özkaraca, K., Akkurt, M., Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Askerova, U. F., Suleymanova, G. T., Shikhaliyeva, I. M. & Bhattarai, A. (2020*b*). *Acta Cryst.* E**76**, 811–815.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249–259.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Ahmadova, N. E., Gurbanov, A. V., Maharramov, A. M., Mammadova, G. Z., Nenajdenko, V. G., Zubkov, F. I., Mahmudov, K. T. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2018). *Dyes Pigments*, **150**, 377–381.
- Shikhaliyev, N. Q., Kuznetsov, M. L., Maharramov, A. M., Gurbanov, A. V., Ahmadova, N. E., Nenajdenko, V. G., Mahmudov, K. T. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2019). *CrystEngComm*, **21**, 5032–5038.
- Spek, A. L. (2020). Acta Cryst. E76, 1-11.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *Crystal Explorer 17.5*. University of Western Australia.http://hirshfeldsuface.net
- Zollinger, H. (1994). Diazo Chemistry I: Aromatic and Heteroaromatic Compounds. New York: Wiley.
- Zollinger, H. (1995). Diazo Chemistry II: Aliphatic, Inorganic and Organometallic Compounds. Weinheim: VCH.

### supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 732-736 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698902200620X]

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of (*E*)-2-(4-bromo-phenyl)-1-[2,2-dibromo-1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethenyl]diazene

# Mehmet Akkurt, Sema Öztürk Yıldırım, Namiq Q. Shikhaliyev, Naila A. Mammadova, Ayten A. Niyazova, Victor N. Khrustalev and Ajaya Bhattarai

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2018); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2020).

(E)-2-(4-Bromophenyl)-1-[2,2-dibromo-1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethenyl]diazene

#### Crystal data

 $C_{14}H_8Br_3N_3O_2$   $M_r = 489.96$ Orthorhombic,  $Pna2_1$  a = 13.8678 (5) Å b = 13.5442 (5) Å c = 8.3017 (3) Å V = 1559.29 (10) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4F(000) = 936

#### Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST, Photon III detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed X-Ray tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 7.31 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  shutterless scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Krause *et al.*, 2015)  $T_{\min} = 0.044, T_{\max} = 0.110$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$  $wR(F^2) = 0.085$ S = 1.027370 reflections 199 parameters 1 restraint  $D_x = 2.087 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9976 reflections  $\theta = 2.9-34.8^{\circ}$  $\mu = 7.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, red  $0.31 \times 0.14 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ 

75835 measured reflections 7370 independent reflections 5962 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.057$  $\theta_{max} = 36.0^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 2.9^\circ$  $h = -22 \rightarrow 22$  $k = -22 \rightarrow 22$  $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ 

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0438P)^{2} + 0.8309P]$ where  $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.41 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.97 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ 

Special details

Absolute structure: Flack parameter determined using 2437 quotients  $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons *et al.*, 2013). Absolute structure parameter: 0.003 (5)

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2 \text{sigma}(F^2)$  is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Br1	0.49964 (2)	0.72932 (3)	0.49158 (6)	0.02765 (8)
Br2	0.44572 (2)	0.50470 (2)	0.51189 (7)	0.02906 (8)
Br3	-0.10955 (3)	0.24165 (3)	0.55241 (7)	0.03182 (9)
O1	0.1810 (2)	1.1045 (2)	0.3729 (4)	0.0333 (6)
O2	0.1662 (3)	1.1002 (2)	0.6323 (4)	0.0326 (6)
N1	0.18506 (19)	1.0613 (2)	0.5020 (5)	0.0231 (5)
N2	0.24431 (19)	0.57755 (19)	0.5188 (4)	0.0207 (5)
N3	0.15599 (19)	0.5982 (2)	0.5376 (4)	0.0228 (6)
C1	0.4020 (2)	0.6350 (2)	0.5012 (6)	0.0222 (6)
C2	0.3073 (2)	0.6586 (2)	0.5080 (5)	0.0205 (5)
C3	0.2727 (2)	0.7629 (2)	0.5040 (5)	0.0196 (5)
C4	0.2373 (3)	0.8055 (3)	0.6449 (5)	0.0221 (6)
H4	0.233234	0.767615	0.741062	0.027*
C5	0.2076 (3)	0.9043 (3)	0.6441 (5)	0.0222 (6)
Н5	0.184039	0.934772	0.739348	0.027*
C6	0.2136 (2)	0.9563 (2)	0.5016 (5)	0.0212 (5)
C7	0.2468 (3)	0.9148 (3)	0.3596 (5)	0.0249 (7)
H7	0.248972	0.952162	0.262834	0.030*
C8	0.2769 (3)	0.8171 (3)	0.3629 (5)	0.0235 (6)
H8	0.300598	0.787169	0.267351	0.028*
C9	0.0971 (2)	0.5110 (2)	0.5422 (5)	0.0208 (6)
C10	0.0066 (3)	0.5207 (3)	0.6113 (5)	0.0251 (7)
H10	-0.013356	0.582330	0.654399	0.030*
C11	-0.0553 (3)	0.4391 (3)	0.6172 (5)	0.0262 (7)
H11	-0.117165	0.444374	0.665505	0.031*
C12	-0.0249 (3)	0.3509 (2)	0.5516 (5)	0.0243 (6)
C13	0.0662 (2)	0.3397 (2)	0.4837 (5)	0.0246 (7)
H13	0.085933	0.277960	0.440465	0.030*
C14	0.1275 (2)	0.4203 (2)	0.4803 (5)	0.0236 (6)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

# supporting information

H14	0.190380	0.4	13915	0.435972	0.028*	
Atomic	displacement part	ameters (Ų)				
	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Br1	0.01833 (12)	0.02119 (13)	0.0434 (2)	-0.00217 (10)	0.00069 (14)	0.00037 (16)
Br2	0.02025 (12)	0.01897 (13)	0.0480 (2)	0.00223 (10)	-0.00256 (15)	-0.00061 (14)
Br3	0.02917 (16)	0.02402 (15)	0.0423 (2)	-0.00937 (12)	-0.00254 (18)	0.00189 (17)
01	0.0460 (17)	0.0222 (13)	0.0317 (15)	0.0060 (12)	0.0009 (13)	0.0062 (11)
02	0.0450 (16)	0.0217 (13)	0.0310 (16)	0.0042 (12)	0.0006 (13)	-0.0038 (11)
N1	0.0211 (10)	0.0185 (11)	0.0296 (15)	0.0000 (8)	0.0007 (13)	-0.0001 (12)
N2	0.0179 (10)	0.0190 (10)	0.0251 (15)	-0.0007(8)	-0.0006 (10)	0.0012 (11)
N3	0.0184 (11)	0.0192 (11)	0.0306 (17)	-0.0012 (8)	0.0016 (10)	0.0014 (11)
C1	0.0181 (11)	0.0170 (11)	0.0315 (16)	0.0001 (9)	-0.0020 (13)	-0.0003 (13)
C2	0.0182 (11)	0.0171 (11)	0.0263 (15)	0.0002 (9)	-0.0010 (12)	0.0015 (12)
C3	0.0164 (10)	0.0167 (11)	0.0257 (15)	-0.0004 (8)	-0.0012 (13)	0.0022 (12)
C4	0.0237 (14)	0.0183 (13)	0.0243 (16)	0.0015 (11)	0.0002 (12)	0.0010 (12)
C5	0.0215 (14)	0.0211 (14)	0.0241 (16)	0.0024 (11)	0.0005 (12)	-0.0010 (12)
C6	0.0194 (11)	0.0166 (11)	0.0275 (15)	0.0000 (9)	-0.0004 (13)	-0.0007 (13)
C7	0.0262 (15)	0.0209 (14)	0.0276 (18)	0.0018 (12)	0.0018 (13)	0.0031 (12)
C8	0.0238 (14)	0.0202 (14)	0.0265 (17)	0.0003 (11)	0.0022 (13)	0.0003 (12)
С9	0.0189 (12)	0.0167 (11)	0.0269 (17)	-0.0010 (9)	-0.0007 (11)	0.0000 (12)
C10	0.0230 (14)	0.0182 (13)	0.0342 (19)	0.0003 (11)	0.0021 (13)	-0.0015 (13)
C11	0.0203 (13)	0.0209 (14)	0.037 (2)	-0.0021 (11)	0.0034 (13)	-0.0005 (13)
C12	0.0238 (13)	0.0185 (12)	0.0305 (17)	-0.0061 (10)	-0.0016 (13)	0.0016 (14)
C13	0.0246 (13)	0.0182 (12)	0.0312 (19)	-0.0007 (10)	0.0009 (14)	-0.0027 (13)
C14	0.0205 (12)	0.0208 (13)	0.0295 (19)	-0.0005 (10)	0.0035 (13)	-0.0024 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—C1	1.864 (3)	С5—Н5	0.9500
Br2—C1	1.868 (3)	C6—C7	1.384 (6)
Br3—C12	1.888 (3)	C7—C8	1.388 (5)
01—N1	1.223 (5)	С7—Н7	0.9500
O2—N1	1.231 (5)	C8—H8	0.9500
N1—C6	1.477 (4)	C9—C10	1.386 (5)
N2—N3	1.266 (4)	C9—C14	1.397 (5)
N2—C2	1.406 (4)	C10—C11	1.400 (5)
N3—C9	1.437 (4)	C10—H10	0.9500
C1—C2	1.352 (4)	C11—C12	1.378 (5)
C2—C3	1.492 (4)	C11—H11	0.9500
C3—C8	1.384 (5)	C12—C13	1.392 (5)
C3—C4	1.394 (5)	C13—C14	1.384 (5)
C4—C5	1.400 (5)	C13—H13	0.9500
C4—H4	0.9500	C14—H14	0.9500
C5—C6	1.379 (5)		
01—N1—O2	123.8 (3)	С6—С7—Н7	121.0

O1—N1—C6	118.1 (3)	С8—С7—Н7	121.0
O2—N1—C6	118.1 (3)	C3—C8—C7	120.7 (3)
N3—N2—C2	115.9 (3)	C3—C8—H8	119.6
N2—N3—C9	111.8 (3)	С7—С8—Н8	119.6
C2—C1—Br1	123.1 (2)	C10—C9—C14	120.6 (3)
C2—C1—Br2	122.4 (2)	C10—C9—N3	116.6 (3)
Br1—C1—Br2	114.43 (15)	C14—C9—N3	122.8 (3)
C1—C2—N2	115.0 (3)	C9—C10—C11	119.7 (3)
C1—C2—C3	122.3 (3)	C9—C10—H10	120.2
N2—C2—C3	122.7 (3)	C11—C10—H10	120.2
C8—C3—C4	120.3 (3)	C12—C11—C10	118.9 (3)
C8—C3—C2	120.5 (3)	C12—C11—H11	120.6
C4—C3—C2	119.1 (3)	C10-C11-H11	120.6
C3—C4—C5	119.7 (3)	C11—C12—C13	122.1 (3)
C3—C4—H4	120.2	C11—C12—Br3	119.2 (3)
C5—C4—H4	120.2	C13—C12—Br3	118.7 (3)
C6—C5—C4	118.3 (3)	C14—C13—C12	118.7 (3)
С6—С5—Н5	120.8	C14—C13—H13	120.7
C4—C5—H5	120.8	C12—C13—H13	120.7
C5—C6—C7	122.9 (3)	C13—C14—C9	120.0 (3)
C5-C6-N1	118.3 (3)	C13—C14—H14	120.0
C7—C6—N1	118.8 (3)	C9—C14—H14	120.0
C6-C7-C8	118.0 (3)		12010
C2—N2—N3—C9	178 1 (3)	02 - N1 - C6 - C7	-1718(3)
Br1-C1-C2-N2	-178.4(3)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-1.2(5)
$Br^2 - C1 - C^2 - N^2$	-1.8(6)	N1 - C6 - C7 - C8	1775(3)
Br1-C1-C2-C3	16(6)	C4-C3-C8-C7	0.6(5)
$Br^2 - C1 - C^2 - C^3$	178 2 (3)	$C_{2} = C_{3} = C_{8} = C_{7}$	-1785(3)
$N_3 - N_2 - C_2 - C_1$	174 8 (4)	C6-C7-C8-C3	0.6(5)
$N_3 = N_2 = C_2 = C_3$	-52(5)	$N_{2} N_{3} C_{9} C_{10}$	1603(4)
C1 - C2 - C3 - C8	72.2(5)	$N_2 - N_3 - C_9 - C_{14}$	-200(5)
$N_{2} - C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{8}$	-1077(4)	$C_{14}$ $C_{9}$ $C_{10}$ $C_{11}$	-0.9(6)
C1 - C2 - C3 - C4	-1069(5)	N3-C9-C10-C11	1789(4)
$N_{2} - C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{4}$	73 1 (5)	C9-C10-C11-C12	-0.9(6)
$C_{8} - C_{3} - C_{4} - C_{5}$	-1.3(5)	$C_{10}$ $C_{11}$ $C_{12}$ $C_{13}$	1.7(7)
$C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{4} - C_{5}$	177 8 (3)	C10-C11-C12-Br3	-177.8(3)
$C_{3}$ $C_{4}$ $C_{5}$ $C_{6}$	0.8(5)	$C_{11}$ $C_{12}$ $C_{13}$ $C_{14}$	-0.8(6)
C4 - C5 - C6 - C7	0.5 (5)	Br3-C12-C13-C14	178 8 (3)
C4-C5-C6-N1	-1782(3)	C12 - C13 - C14 - C9	-10(6)
01 - N1 - C6 - C5	-1727(3)	C10-C9-C14-C13	18(6)
02-N1-C6-C5	69(4)	$N_{3}$ C9 C14 C13	-1779(4)
01 - N1 - C6 - C7	86(5)		· / / · / (T)
	0.0 (0)		

#### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D···A	D—H···A

## supporting information

C10—H10····Br1 <sup>i</sup>	0.95	2.89	3.530 (4)	126	

Symmetry code: (i) x-1/2, -y+3/2, z.