

Received 30 August 2022 Accepted 5 September 2022

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

Keywords: crystal structure; heterocycle; dithiazolone; nitrile sulfide; hydrogen bonding; sulfur interactions.

CCDC reference: 2205416

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Synthesis and crystal structure of 3-phenyl-1,4,2dithiazole-5-thione

Melbourne J. Schriver,^a* Tanner George^b and Jason D. Masuda^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Crandall University, PO Box 6004, Moncton, New Brunswick, E1C 9L7, Canada, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Saint Mary's University, 923 Robie Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 3C3, Canada. *Correspondence e-mail: mel.schriver@crandallu.ca

In the title compound, $C_8H_5NS_3$, the dihedral angle between the heterocyclic ring and the phenyl ring is 2.62 (5)°. In the extended structure, aromatic π - π stacking between the 1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thione moiety and the phenyl ring is observed [centroid–centroid distances = 3.717 (6) and 3.712 (6) Å]. The almost planar molecules arrange themselves in parallel chains of head-to-tail molecules oriented by a network of weak C–H···S contacts close to the sum of their van der Waals radii within the chains. All the hydrogen atoms participate in hydrogen-bonding interactions with the sulfur and nitrogen atoms of adjacent molecules. C—S···S contacts between the chains that are significantly shorter than the sum of their van der Waals radii also impact the overall packing.

1. Chemical context

The preparation of derivatives of the 1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thione heterocycle was first described in 1967 in 9-14% yield (Behringer & Deichmann, 1967). Subsequent synthetic work (Greig et al., 1985) allowed the synthesis of several derivatives in higher yields (21-29%). An investigation of the chemistry of the ring system (Crosby et al., 2002) showed that the 1,4,2dithiazole-5-thione ring is more thermally stable and less reactive than the electronically similar 1,3,4-oxathiazol-2-one ring but may be used as an alternate route to nitrile sulfides and the thermal cycloaddition with electron deficient alkynes and nitriles. The existing literature on the 1,4,2-dithiazole-5thione heterocycle is limited to six accounts (Behringer & Deichmann, 1967; Noel & Vialle, 1967; Holm & Toubro, 1978; Greig et al., 1985; Wai & Sammes, 1990; Crosby et al., 2002), which do not include theoretical or crystal-structure determinations.

The 1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thione heterocycle is a member of a rich family of isomeric ring systems. Derivatives of 1,2,4-dithiazole-5-thione include xanthane hydride, which has been the subject of structural analysis (Stanford, 1963) and is used industrially as a sulfur-transfer agent in the vulcanization of rubber and the sulfuration of oligonucleotides. The crystal structure of the isomeric ring system 1,3,2-dithiazole-4-thione has also been reported (Oakley *et al.*, 1987).

The incorporation of the preparation, isolation and structural characterization of heterocyclic compounds to demonstrate the chemistry of carboxylic acid derivatives in the undergraduate organic chemistry laboratory has been previously described (Nason *et al.*, 2017). To date, our attention has been focused on the synthesis of derivatives of the 1,3,4-oxathiazol-2-one heterocycle because of the relative ease



of preparation and, until recently, the limited research studying the chemistry of the heterocycle family. In our search for a new focus heterocycle, the small library of existing publications on the 1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thione derivatives coupled to the relative ease of synthesis made this ring system a target for investigation and we now describe the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, $C_8H_5NS_3$.



2. Structural commentary

The structure of the title compound (Fig. 1) reveals that the heterocycle and the aromatic ring are essentially co-planar $[C8-C3-C2-S2 = -2.91 (13)^{\circ}]$. The C2-C3 [1.4721 (14) Å] bond is not significantly shorter than the accepted value for a Csp^2-Csp^2 single bond (1.48 Å) but it is longer than the average $(1.45 \pm 0.03 \text{ Å})$ of similar Csp^2-Csp^2 inter-ring bonds found in the related oxathiazolone derivatives (Nason *et al.*, 2017). The extension of π delocalization between the rings is sufficient to direct the observed co-planarity. The sum of the internal angles of the heterocyclic ring (539.9°) is almost ideal for five membered rings (540°).

Within the heterocycle moiety, the molecule shows significant (p < 0.01) structural differences (Kooijman, 2005) to similar regions in the related oxathiazolone derivatives, and for reference, the comparison will be made to 5-phenyl-1,3,4oxathiazol-2-one (Schriver *et al.*, 1995). In the title compound, the C2=N1 double bond [1.2961 (13) Å] is significantly longer (and weaker) than in the oxathiazolone [1.268 (6) Å] while the C1-S1 bond [1.7248 (11) Å] is shorter [1.754 (5) Å]. These differences are consistent with a higher degree of π delocalization in the title heterocycle as compared to the oxathiazolone. The current π -island structural model for oxathiazolone heterocycles has been suggested to explain the decarboxylation to form the nitrile sulfides (Krayushkin *et al.*, 2010) with longer, weaker endocyclic C-S bonds consistent with lower extrusion temperatures (Zhu *et al.*, 2017).



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing anisotropic displacement ellipsoids projected at 50% probability.

Conversely, in the title molecule the C1–S1 bond is shorter and stronger, which is consistent with the observed resistance of 1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thiones to thermally extrude CS₂ to form nitrile sulfides (Greig *et al.*, 1985). The endocyclic C–S bonds are significantly (p < 0.01) asymmetric with the C1–S1 bond the shortest of the three bonds, consistent with a higher bond order and π character while the C1–S2 bond [1.7363 (11) Å] is longer but not as long as the C2–S2 bond [1.7587 (10) Å]. This pattern of bond lengths is in agreement with a more extensive, and less localized, π delocalization in this heterocycle than in the comparable oxathiazolone derivatives.

Comparison of the structure of the title compound with the structures of the isomeric ring systems 1,2,4-dithiazole-5-thione (Stanford, 1963), 1,3,2-dithiazole-4-thione (Oakley *et al.*, 1987), 1,2,3-dithiazole-5-thione (Constantinides *et al.*, 2021) and the derivatives of 1,4,2-dithiazole (Oakley *et al.*, 1993) reveal that the endocyclic C–S bonds in the heterocycle (average 1.74 ± 0.02 Å) and the exocyclic C1–S3 bond [1.6438 (11) Å] are all consistent with the distances expected based on the conventional Lewis structure and the statistical averages for comparable bond distances (C–S = 1.75 ± 0.02 Å and C=S = 1.64 ± 0.02 Å) from the comparison heterocycle systems.

3. Supramolecular features

The extended structure of the title compound features π - π centroid stacking (Fig. 2), six hydrogen-bonding interactions





Packing diagram illustrating centroid-stacking interactions down the *b*-axis direction (*a*) between parallel-aligned ring systems with co-planar molecules flipped across an inversion centre [centroid-to-centroid distance = 3.712 (6) Å] and packed back to back [centroid-to-centroid distance = 3.717 (6) Å]. The three molecules are staggered with an angle of 166.698 (17)° and two molecules fit within the the *P*1 unit cell.

research communications

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).	

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C4-H4\cdots N1^i$	0.95	2.89	3.6281 (14)	136
$C5-H5\cdots S1^i$	0.95	3.04	3.8474 (11)	144
$C5-H5\cdots S3^{ii}$	0.95	2.96	3.6529 (11)	131
$C6-H6\cdots S3^{ii}$	0.95	3.11	3.7231 (12)	124
C7-H7··· $S3$ ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	3.06	3.9651 (12)	159
$C8{-}H8{\cdot}{\cdot}{\cdot}S2^{iii}$	0.95	3.10	3.9141 (11)	145

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y, -z + 1; (ii) x + 1, y, z + 1; (iii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

(Table 1; Fig. 3) and one chain of sulfur-sulfur interactions (Fig. 4). The packing of two molecules across an inversion centre results in one of two centroid-stacking interactions. The molecules exists as co-planar and parallel chains of heterocycles, with supramolecular contacts confirmed by the statistically constant centroid-to-centroid distances between rings in different adjacent chains [3.717 (6) and 3.712 (6) Å] with the latter centroid-to-centroid distance across the inversion centre. The plane of the molecule is roughly perpendicular to the *b* axis and the molecular centroids form a chain-to-chain, stepwise angle [166.698 (17) °] on the a axis. Head-to-toe hydrogen-bonding interactions between C5 and C6 donors to the exocyclic thione S3 with H...S distances of 2.96 and 3.11 Å, respectively (Fig. 3) form the primary cohesion along the *a*- and *c*-axis directions. In addition, the rest of the phenyl ring hydrogen atoms are involved with side-on, out-of-plane step-wise hydrogen bonds between H4 and N1 (2.89 Å), H5···S1 (3.04 Å), H7···S3 (3.06 Å) and H8···S2 (3.10 Å). The sulfur-sulfur interactions occur as a chain out of plane between the thione S3 and S1 atoms within the ring (Fig. 4). While the observed $H \cdot \cdot \cdot S$ hydrogen bonding between the molecules is weak (Σ van der Waals radii S···H = 3.0 Å), they aid the orientation of the molecules within the out-of-plane chains. In contrast, the S \cdots S contact distance [3.575 (11) Å] may appear to be close to the accepted sum of the van der Waals radii (3.6 Å) but when the known anisotropy of sulfur contacts [in plane $S \cdots S$ contact 3.20 Å and perpendicular S···S contact 4.06 Å (Constantinides *et al.*, 2021)] are factored, it is revealed that the contact is a significant contributor to the supramolecular packing of the compound.



Figure 3

A packing diagram of the title compound showing hydrogen bonding in head-to-tail chains with flanking interactions where all possible hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors are participating in hydrogen bonds.



Figure 4

A packing diagram of the title compound showing interchain $S \cdots S$ contacts of 3.575 (11) Å and the stepwise progression of the chains going down the *b*-axis, stepping towards the *a*-axis.

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.41, September 2021; Groom *et al.*, 2016) revealed that there are six crystal structures reported for molecules containing the neutral 1,4,2-dithiazole heterocyclic ring (Chu *et al.*, 1993; Oakley *et al.*, 1993, 1994; Feng *et al.*, 2016). The thione moiety in the structure of 3-phenyl-1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thione, however, makes this the first crystal structure reported for this heterocyclic system with a thione substituent at the C1 position.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

A solution of trichloromethanesulfenyl chloride (10.17 g, 22.81 mmol) in chloroform (10.17 g) was added dropwise to a warmed solution of thiobenzamide (6.161 g, 44.91 mmol) in chloroform (240 ml) according to a literature procedure (Greig *et al.*, 1985). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h followed by evaporation in a crystallizing dish to a yellow–orange residue (7.002 g). The crude product was recrystallized twice in 95% ethanol to give the product as bright-yellow crystalline needles (Fig. 5) (1.235 g, 5.84 mmol, 13.0%)



Figure 5 A photograph of crystals of the title compound (1 mm reference scale).

Table 2 Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	C ₈ H ₅ NS ₃
$M_{\rm r}$	211.31
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	100
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	5.7955 (4), 7.3789 (5), 10.0344 (7)
α, β, γ (°)	89.459 (3), 89.719 (2), 78.956 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	421.15 (5)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.81
Crystal size (mm)	$0.2 \times 0.12 \times 0.05$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et
	al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.654, 0.748
No. of measured, independent and	49509, 4085, 3322
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.064
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.833
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.031, 0.074, 1.03
No. of reflections	4085
No. of parameters	109
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ (e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.63, -0.39

Computer programs: APEX4 and SAINT (Bruker, 2019), SHELXT2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

suitable for crystallographic analysis. R_f (CH₂Cl₂) = 0.671; UV–visible (CH₂Cl₂) λ_{max} nm (log ε): 256 (4.29), 361 (4.20), ¹H NMR 60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.53 ppm (multiplet).

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All H atoms were geometrically placed (C-H = 0.95 Å) and refined as riding atoms with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Katherine N. Robertson for helpful discussions.

Funding information

MJS would like to acknowledge the support of Crandall University and the Stephen and Ella Steeves Research Fund

for operating funds. JDM would like to acknowledge the Natural Science and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC) for operating funds and Saint Mary's University for supporting the purchase of the SCXRD instrument.

References

- Behringer, H. & Deichmann, D. (1967). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 8, 1013–1017.
- Bruker (2019). APEX4 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chu, S.-L., Wai, K.-F., Lai, T.-F. & Sammes, M. P. (1993). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **34**, 847–850.
- Constantinides, C. P., Koyioni, M., Bazzi, F., Manoli, M., Lawson, D. B. & Koutentis, P. A. (2021). *Molecules*, **26**, 5875.
- Crosby, J., Grant, K. J., Greig, D. J., Paton, R. M., Rankin, J. G. & Ross, J. F. (2002). *Arkivoc*, pp. 121–129.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Feng, Y., Zou, M., Song, R., Shao, X., Li, Z. & Qian, X. (2016). J. Org. Chem. 81, 10321–10327.
- Greig, D. J., McPherson, M., Paton, R. M. & Crosby, J. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 1205–1208.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Holm, A. & Toubro, N. H. (1978). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 1445–1449.
- Kooijman, H. (2005). Interpretation of Crystal Structure Determinations. Utrecht University.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Krayushkin, M. M., Kalik, M. A. & Vorontsova, L. G. (2010). Chem. Heterocycl. Compd, 46, 484–489.
- Nason, T. R., Schriver, M. J., Hendsbee, A. D. & Masuda, J. D. (2017). Acta Cryst. E73, 1298–1301.
- Noel, D. & Vialle, J. (1967). Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. p. 2239.
- Oakley, R. T., Koenig, H. & Cordes, A. W. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 2468–2469.
- Oakley, R. T., Richardson, J. F. & Spence, R. E. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1226–1227.
- Oakley, R. T., Richardson, J. F., Spence, R. E. v. H. (1994). J. Org. Chem. 59, 2997–3002.
- Schriver, M. J. & Zaworotko, M. J. (1995). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 25, 25–28.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Stanford, R. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1157-1162.
- Wai, K. F. & Sammes, M. P. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 808–809.
- Zhu, S., Schriver, M. J., Hendsbee, A. D. & Masuda, J. D. (2017). Acta Cryst. E73, 1726–1731.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2022). E78, 1006-1009 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698902200888X]

Synthesis and crystal structure of 3-phenyl-1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thione

Melbourne J. Schriver, Tanner George and Jason D. Masuda

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX4* (Bruker, 2019); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2019); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2019); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

3-Phenyl-5H-1,4,2-dithiazole-5-thione

Crystal data

C₈H₅NS₃ $M_r = 211.31$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 5.7955 (4) Å b = 7.3789 (5) Å c = 10.0344 (7) Å a = 89.459 (3)° $\beta = 89.719$ (2)° $\gamma = 78.956$ (2)° V = 421.15 (5) Å³

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.654, \ T_{\max} = 0.748$
49509 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$ $wR(F^2) = 0.074$ S = 1.034085 reflections 109 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: dual Z = 2 F(000) = 216 $D_x = 1.666 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9861 reflections $\theta = 2.8-39.7^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.81 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K Needle, yellow $0.2 \times 0.12 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

4085 independent reflections 3322 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.064$ $\theta_{max} = 36.3^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.0^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0293P)^2 + 0.2221P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.63$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.39$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
S1	0.59859 (5)	0.10273 (4)	0.20602 (3)	0.01367 (6)
S2	0.23050 (4)	0.29112 (4)	0.36958 (3)	0.01254 (6)
S3	0.12785 (5)	0.22623 (4)	0.08266 (3)	0.01711 (6)
N1	0.67826 (16)	0.13417 (13)	0.36131 (9)	0.01333 (16)
C1	0.30604 (19)	0.20788 (15)	0.21084 (10)	0.01200 (17)
C2	0.51316 (18)	0.22144 (14)	0.43743 (10)	0.01050 (16)
C3	0.55288 (18)	0.26295 (14)	0.57773 (10)	0.01094 (16)
C4	0.77430 (18)	0.20050 (14)	0.63514 (10)	0.01211 (17)
H4	0.898918	0.133069	0.583378	0.015*
C5	0.81060 (19)	0.23770 (15)	0.76809 (11)	0.01428 (18)
Н5	0.960741	0.195896	0.806938	0.017*
C6	0.6283 (2)	0.33594 (15)	0.84490 (11)	0.01488 (18)
H6	0.653943	0.359485	0.936024	0.018*
C7	0.4088 (2)	0.39949 (15)	0.78795 (11)	0.01443 (18)
H7	0.284614	0.467081	0.839959	0.017*
C8	0.37177 (19)	0.36371 (14)	0.65470 (10)	0.01259 (17)
H8	0.222345	0.408062	0.615693	0.015*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S 1	0.01186 (11)	0.01664 (12)	0.01185 (11)	-0.00088 (9)	0.00020 (8)	-0.00335 (8)
S2	0.00949 (10)	0.01580 (12)	0.01156 (10)	-0.00040 (8)	-0.00086 (8)	-0.00118 (8)
S3	0.01444 (12)	0.02474 (14)	0.01221 (11)	-0.00380 (10)	-0.00353 (9)	0.00023 (9)
N1	0.0115 (4)	0.0153 (4)	0.0124 (4)	-0.0004 (3)	-0.0012 (3)	-0.0028 (3)
C1	0.0116 (4)	0.0132 (4)	0.0115 (4)	-0.0033 (3)	0.0001 (3)	-0.0004 (3)
C2	0.0103 (4)	0.0095 (4)	0.0118 (4)	-0.0020 (3)	-0.0009 (3)	0.0005 (3)
C3	0.0116 (4)	0.0096 (4)	0.0116 (4)	-0.0020 (3)	-0.0006 (3)	-0.0001 (3)
C4	0.0116 (4)	0.0119 (4)	0.0124 (4)	-0.0013 (3)	-0.0008 (3)	-0.0007 (3)
C5	0.0143 (4)	0.0142 (4)	0.0142 (4)	-0.0025 (4)	-0.0036 (3)	0.0005 (3)
C6	0.0188 (5)	0.0147 (4)	0.0118 (4)	-0.0047 (4)	-0.0013 (4)	-0.0011 (3)
C7	0.0164 (5)	0.0137 (4)	0.0132 (4)	-0.0026 (4)	0.0016 (3)	-0.0017 (3)
C8	0.0119 (4)	0.0124 (4)	0.0130 (4)	-0.0014 (3)	0.0001 (3)	-0.0005 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—N1	1.6583 (9)	C4—H4	0.9500
S1—C1	1.7248 (11)	C4—C5	1.3896 (14)
S2—C1	1.7363 (11)	С5—Н5	0.9500

supporting information

S2—C2	1.7587 (10)	C5—C6	1.3945 (16)
S3—C1	1.6418 (11)	С6—Н6	0.9500
N1—C2	1.2961 (13)	C6—C7	1.3926 (16)
C2—C3	1.4721 (14)	C7—H7	0.9500
C3—C4	1.4024 (14)	C7—C8	1.3910 (15)
C3—C8	1.3981 (14)	C8—H8	0.9500
N1—S1—C1	100.79 (5)	C5—C4—H4	120.1
C1—S2—C2	95.54 (5)	C4—C5—H5	119.7
C2—N1—S1	115.28 (8)	C4—C5—C6	120.51 (10)
S1—C1—S2	110.12 (6)	С6—С5—Н5	119.7
S3—C1—S1	124.34 (6)	С5—С6—Н6	120.0
S3—C1—S2	125.54 (7)	C7—C6—C5	119.96 (10)
N1-C2-S2	118.25 (8)	С7—С6—Н6	120.0
N1—C2—C3	122.66 (9)	С6—С7—Н7	120.1
C3—C2—S2	119.09 (8)	C8—C7—C6	119.80 (10)
C4—C3—C2	119.78 (9)	С8—С7—Н7	120.1
C8—C3—C2	120.68 (9)	С3—С8—Н8	119.8
C8—C3—C4	119.54 (9)	C7—C8—C3	120.48 (10)
C3—C4—H4	120.1	С7—С8—Н8	119.8
C5—C4—C3	119.70 (10)		
S1—N1—C2—S2	0.97 (12)	C2—S2—C1—S1	1.38 (6)
S1—N1—C2—C3	-179.44 (8)	C2—S2—C1—S3	-178.33 (8)
S2—C2—C3—C4	176.91 (8)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-179.15 (9)
S2—C2—C3—C8	-2.91 (13)	C2—C3—C8—C7	178.73 (10)
N1—S1—C1—S2	-1.05 (7)	C3—C4—C5—C6	0.27 (16)
N1—S1—C1—S3	178.66 (7)	C4—C3—C8—C7	-1.09 (15)
N1-C2-C3-C4	-2.67 (15)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-0.80 (16)
N1—C2—C3—C8	177.51 (10)	C5—C6—C7—C8	0.38 (16)
C1—S1—N1—C2	0.08 (9)	C6—C7—C8—C3	0.57 (16)
C1—S2—C2—N1	-1.49 (9)	C8—C3—C4—C5	0.67 (15)
C1—S2—C2—C3	178.91 (8)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
C4—H4…N1 ⁱ	0.95	2.89	3.6281 (14)	136
C5—H5…S1 ⁱ	0.95	3.04	3.8474 (11)	144
C5—H5…S3 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.96	3.6529 (11)	131
C6—H6…S3 ⁱⁱ	0.95	3.11	3.7231 (12)	124
C7—H7···S3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	3.06	3.9651 (12)	159
C8—H8····S2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	3.10	3.9141 (11)	145

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*, -*z*+1; (ii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*+1; (iii) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.