

Received 4 November 2022 Accepted 25 November 2022

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

Keywords: crystal structure; cobalt(II)thiocyanate; 3-bromopyridine; thermal properties.

CCDC reference: 2222142

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Synthesis, crystal structure and thermal properties of bis(acetonitrile- κN)bis(3-bromopyridine- κN)-bis(thiocyanato- κN)cobalt(II)

Christoph Krebs, Inke Jess and Christian Näther*

Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Kiel, Max-Eyth-Str. 2, 24118 Kiel, Germany. *Correspondence e-mail: cnaether@ac.uni-kiel.de

Single crystals of the title compound, $[Co(NCS)_2(C_5H_4BrN)_2(C_2H_3N)_2]$, were obtained by the reaction of $Co(NCS)_2$ with 3-bromopyridine in acetonitrile. The Co^{II} cations lie on crystallographic inversion centers and are coordinated by two N-bonded thiocyanate anions, two 3-bromopyridine and two acetonitrile ligands thereby forming slightly distorted CoN_6 octahedra. In the crystal, these complexes are linked by $C-H\cdots$ S and $C-H\cdots$ N hydrogen bonds into a three-dimensional network. In the direction of the crystallographic *b*-axis, the complexes are arranged into columns with neighboring 3-bromopyridine ligands stacked onto each other, indicating $\pi-\pi$ interactions. The CN stretching vibration of the thiocyanate anions is observed at 2066 cm⁻¹, in agreement with the presence of only N-bonded anionic ligands. TG-DTA measurements reveal that in the first mass loss the acetonitrile ligand is lost, leading to the formation of a polymeric compound with the composition $[(Co(NCS)_2)_2(C_5H_4BrN)_3]_n$ already reported in the literature.

1. Chemical context

Coordination compounds based on thiocyanate anions show a large structural variability, which to some extend can be traced back to the versatile coordination behavior of this ligand and this is surely one reason why, for example, many isomeric compounds are known (Jochim et al., 2020a; Böhme et al., 2020; Neumann et al., 2018; Werner et al., 2015a). Moreover, in bridging thiocyanate anions a reasonable magnetic exchange is present, which can lead to compounds with a variety of magnetic properties (Palion-Gazda et al., 2015; Mekuimemba et al., 2018). In this context, compounds based on Co^{II} cations are of special interest, not only because they can show antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic ordering but as also for the strong magnetic anisotropy and slow relaxation of the magnetization indicative of single-chain-magnet behavior that can be observed (Böhme et al., 2019; Switlicka et al., 2020; Werner et al., 2014; Shurdha et al., 2013; Prananto et al., 2017; Mautner et al., 2018). The latter is observable in linear chain compounds, in which the Co^{II} cations are octahedrally coordinated in an all trans-configuration and linked by pairs of thiocyanate anions (Werner et al., 2015b; Mautner et al., 2018; Rams et al., 2020). This is the most common structural motif for such compounds, although corrugated chains or layers are also known (Chen et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2007; Suckert et al., 2016). All of these are reasons why we became interested in this class of compounds in order to study the influence of a chemical and a



structural modification on their magnetic properties (Wöhlert *et al.*, 2014; Neumann *et al.*, 2019; Rams *et al.*, 2017*a*,*b*, 2020; Jochim *et al.*, 2020*b*; Ceglarska *et al.*, 2021).

In this context we have reported on the synthesis, crystal structures and magnetic properties of coordination compounds based on Co(NCS)2 and 3-bromopyridine (C₅H₄BrN) as a ligand (Böhme et al., 2022). During these investigations, we obtained discrete complexes with the composition Co(NCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₄, Co(NCS)₂(3bromopyridine)₂(H_2O)₂ and Co(NCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₂(MeOH)₂ that lose the ligands stepwise upon heating, leading to the formation of compounds with the composition $[(Co(NCS)_2)_2(3\text{-bromopyridine})_3]_n$ and $[Co(NCS)_2(3\text{-bromo$ pyridine)₂]_n, in which the Co cations are linked into chains by pairs of μ -1,3 bridging thiocyanate anions. In the latter compound, each of the Co^{II} cations is octahedrally coordinated, whereas in the former an alternating octahedral and square-planar coordination is observed, which is very rare for thiocyanate compounds and had never been observed previously with Co(NCS)₂. Later it was found that the compound with the mixed coordination can also be obtained from solution, which is impossible for the other compound with only an octahedral coordination. Unfortunately, the synthesis of the latter is difficult to achieve because all thermogravimetric curves are not well resolved and thermal annealing of the discrete complexes can lead to pure samples, but sometimes this is not the case. Therefore, we looked for other precursors that might show a similar reactivity and that might lead more easily to pure samples. In the course of these investigations, we obtained crystals of the title compound by the reaction of $Co(NCS)_2$, 3-bromopyridine and acetonitrile. The CN stretching vibration of the thiocyanate anions is observed at 2066 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum, which points to the presence of terminal N-bonded thiocyanate anions (Fig. S1). Single-crystal structure analysis proved that the structure consists of discrete complexes with the composition Co(NCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₂(acetonitrile)₂ and a comparison of the experimental XRPD pattern with that calculated from single-crystal data reveals that a pure phase has been obtained (Fig. S2). Therefore, this compound might be a suitable precursor for the synthesis of compounds, in which the Co^{II} cations are linked by μ -1,3 bridging thiocyanate anions into chains.



Investigations using thermogravimetry and differential thermoanalysis (TG-DTA) show several mass losses, that are each accompanied with endothermic events in the DTA curve (Fig. S3). The experimental mass loss is in excellent agreement with that, calculated for the removal of two acetonitrile ligands ($\Delta m = 14.3\%$), whereas the values for the second and third mass loss roughly correspond to the emission of half a 3-bromopyridine ligand in each step ($\Delta m = 13.8\%$). Therefore, one can assume that in the first TG step a compound with the composition $Co(NCS)_2(3$ -bromopyridine)₂ will form, which transforms into $(Co(NCS)_2)_2(3$ -bromopyridine)_3 upon further heating. XRPD investigations of the residue obtained after the first mass loss reveal the formation of a compound of poor crystallinity that cannot be identified. In contrast, a comparison of the powder pattern of the residue formed after the second TG step with that calculated for $[(Co(NCS)_2)_2(3$ bromopyridine)₃]_n (Böhme et al., 2022) retrieved from the literature proves that this chain compound has formed (Fig. S4).

2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the title compound consists of one crystallographically independent Co cation that is located on a center of inversion, as well as one thiocyanate anion, one 3-bromopyridine and one acetonitrile ligand in general positions (Fig. 1). The methyl H atoms of the acetonitrile ligands are disordered over two orientations rotated by about 120° and were refined using a split model. The Co^{II} cations are



Figure 1

Crystal structure of the title compound with atom labeling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1. The disorder of the methyl H atoms is shown as full and open bonds.

research communications

Table 1Selected geometrie	c parameters (Å,	°).	
Co1-N1 Co1-N11	2.0736 (19) 2.1759 (19)	Co1-N21	2.161 (2)
N1 ⁱ -Co1-N11 N1-Co1-N11 N1 ⁱ -Co1-N21 N1-Co1-N21	90.27 (7) 89.73 (7) 84.79 (7) 95.21 (7)	N21 ⁱ -Co1-N11 N21-Co1-N11 Co1-N1-C1 Co1-N21-C21	90.59 (7) 89.41 (7) 154.96 (18) 160.05 (18)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

octahedrally coordinated by two symmetry-related 3-bromopyridine and two acetonitrile ligands as well as two terminal N-bonded thiocyanate anions into discrete complexes (Fig. 1). Bond lengths and angles correspond to literature values and from the bonding angles it is obvious that the octahedra are moderately distorted (Fig. 1 and Table 1). This is in agreement with the values for the octahedral angle variance of $10.02^{\circ 2}$ and the mean octahedral quadratic elongation of 1.0037, calculated using the method of Robinson *et al.* (1971). The sixmembered rings of the 3-bromopyridine ligands coordinating to the Co^{II} cations are coplanar by symmetry.

3. Supramolecular features

In the extended structure of the title compound, the discrete complexes are linked by $C-H\cdots S$ and $C-H\cdots Br$ inter-



Figure 2

Crystal structure of the title compound with view along the crystallographic *b*-axis and intermolecular $C-H\cdots S$ and $C-H\cdots Br$ hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines. Please note that the methyl H atoms of the acetonitrile ligand are disordered.

Table 2Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C11 111 Mi	0.05	2 (0	2 100 (2)	114
CII-HII···NI	0.95	2.60	3.109 (3)	114
$C15-H15\cdots N1$	0.95	2.63	3.121 (3)	113
$C22-H22A\cdots S1^{ii}$	0.98	2.98	3.947 (3)	168
$C22 - H22B \cdot \cdot \cdot S1^{iii}$	0.98	2.76	3.625 (3)	147
$C22-H22C\cdots Br11^{iv}$	0.98	2.97	3.840 (3)	148
$C22 - H22F \cdots Br11^{v}$	0.98	2.92	3.681 (3)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (iv) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

actions into a three-dimensional network (Fig. 2 and Table 2). One C-H···S angle is close to linear, whereas the other C-H···S and C-H···Br angles are much less than 180°, indicating only weak interactions (Table 2). There are additional C-H···N contacts but their bonding angles are very far from linear (Table 2). The discrete complexes are arranged in stacks that propagate along the crystallographic *b*-axis direction (Fig. 2). Within these stacks, neighboring pyridine rings are nearly coplanar with an angle between their mean planes of 10.8 (1)° and a distance between the centroids of the rings of 4.037 (1) Å, indicating very weak π - π stacking interactions (Fig. 3).

4. Database survey

Some crystal structures with thiocyanate anions and 3-bromopyridine as a coligand have already been reported in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.42, last update November 2021; Groom *et al.*, 2016). They include $[Cu(NCS)_2(3-bromopyridine)_2]_2$, which consists of dimers, in which each Cu^{II} cation is coordinated by two 3-bromopyridine





Crystal structure of the title compound showing the orientation of neighboring 3-bromopyridine rings. The distance between the centroids of the six-membered rings and the angles between the ring planes are shown. Please note that the methyl H atoms of the acetonitrile ligand are disordered.

Table	3	
Experi	mental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Co(NCS)_2(C_5H_4BrN)_2(C_2H_3N)_2]$
$M_{ m r}$	573.20
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbca
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	13.1206 (2), 8.0606 (2), 20.4520 (4)
$V(Å^3)$	2163.00 (8)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	12.47
Crystal size (mm)	$0.16\times0.08\times0.02$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2021)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.689, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	10492, 2289, 2142
R _{int}	0.023
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.634
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.027, 0.084, 1.10
No. of reflections	2289
No. of parameters	126
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.38, -0.53

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2021), SHELXT2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2016/6 (Sheldrick, 2015b), DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 1999) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

coligands as well as one terminal and two μ -1,3 bridging thiocyanate anions (Handy *et al.*, 2017). In CuNCS(3-bromopyridine), the copper(I) cations are tetrahedrally coordinated and linked into layers by μ -1,3 bridging thiocyanate anions (Miller *et al.*, 2011). In the second Cu^{II} compound (CuNCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₄, the copper cations are also tetrahedrally coordinated but linked into chains by μ -1,3 bridging thiocyanate anions (Nicholas, *et al.*, 2017).

With Ni(NCS)₂ and 3-bromopyridine several compounds are reported, including the discrete complexes with octahedrally coordinated Ni^{II} cations Ni(NCS)₂(3-bromo-Ni(NCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₂(H₂O)₂ pyridine)₄, and Ni(NCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₂(CH₃OH)₂ (Krebs et al., 2021). Also included is a compound with acetonitrile with the composition Ni(NCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₂·CH₃CN, but in this structure the Ni^{II} cations are linked into corrugated chains by μ -1,3 bridging thiocyanate anions that are connected via intermolecular hydrogen bonding into a three-dimensional network that contains channels in which acetonitrile solvate molecules are embedded (Krebs et al., 2021). Finally, when the discrete complexes are heated, a transformation into Ni(NCS)₂(3-bromopyridine)₂ is observed, in which the Ni^{II} cations are octahedrally coordinated and linked into chains by pairs of μ -1,3 bridging thiocyanate anions (Krebs *et al.*, 2021). The latter compound is isotypic to its Co^{II} analog reported recently (Böhme et al., 2022).

With diamagnetic cations, four structures are reported. The compounds $M(NCS)_2(3$ -bromopyridine)₄ (M = Zn, Cd) are isotypic and consist of discrete complexes in which the metal

cations are octahedrally coordinated by four 3-bromopyridine ligands and two terminal N-bonded thiocyanate anions (Wöhlert *et al.*, 2013). Upon heating, half of the 3-bromopyridine ligands are removed and a transformation into compounds of the composition $M(NCS)_2(3\text{-bromopyridine})_2$ (M = Zn, Cd) is observed (Wöhlert *et al.*, 2013). The Zn compounds consist of tetrahedral complexes, whereas in the Cd compound the cations are linked by pairs of anionic ligands into chains.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

Co(NCS)₂ and 3-bromopyridine were purchased from Merck. All chemicals were used without further purification. After storing 0.5 mmol of Co(NCS)₂ (87.5 mg) and 0.5 mmol of 3-bromopyridine (48.8 µl) in 2.0 ml of acetonitrile for 3 d at room temperature, light-red single crystals of the title compound suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis were obtained. The IR spectrum was measured using an ATI Mattson Genesis Series FTIR Spectrometer, control software WINFIRST, from ATI Mattson. The XRPD measurement was performed with Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.540598$ Å) using a Stoe Transmission Powder Diffraction System (STADI P) equipped with a MYTHEN 1K detector and a Johansson-type Ge(111) monochromator. Thermogravimetry and differential thermoanalysis (TG-DTA) measurements were performed in a dynamic nitrogen atmosphere in Al₂O₃ crucibles using a STA-PT 1000 thermobalance from Linseis. The instrument was calibrated using standard reference materials.

6. Refinement

The C-bound H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry (methyl H atoms allowed to rotate but not to tip) and were refined isotropically with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ (1.5 for methyl H atoms) using a riding model. The H atoms of the methyl group of the acetonitrile ligand are disordered in two orientations and were refined in ratio 50:50 using a split model. Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the State of Schleswig-Holstein and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant No. NA 720/5-2).

References

Böhme, M., Jochim, A., Rams, M., Lohmiller, T., Suckert, S., Schnegg, A., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020). *Inorg. Chem.* 59, 5325–5338.

Böhme, M. & Plass, W. (2019). Chem. Sci. 10, 9189–9202.

Böhme, M., Rams, M., Krebs, C., Mangelsen, S., Jess, I., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2022). *Inorg. Chem.* 61, 16841–16855.

research communications

- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Ceglarska, M., Böhme, M., Neumann, T., Plass, W., Näther, C. & Rams, M. (2021). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 23, 10281–10289.
- Chen, H. & Chen, X. M. (2002). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 329, 13-21.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Handy, J. V., Ayala, G. & Pike, R. D. (2017). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **456**, 64–75.
- Jin, Y., Che, Y. X. & Zheng, J. M. (2007). J. Coord. Chem. 60, 2067– 2074.
- Jochim, A., Lohmiller, T., Rams, M., Böhme, M., Ceglarska, M., Schnegg, A., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020a). *Inorg. Chem.* 59, 8971– 8982.
- Jochim, A., Rams, M., Böhme, M., Ceglarska, M., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020b). Dalton Trans. 49, 15310–15322.
- Krebs, C., Ceglarska, M. & N\"ather, C. (2021). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 647, 552–559.
- Mautner, F. A., Traber, M., Fischer, R. C., Torvisco, A., Reichmann, K., Speed, S., Vicente, R. & Massoud, S. S. (2018). *Polyhedron*, **154**, 436–442.
- Mekuimemba, C. D., Conan, F., Mota, A. J., Palacios, M. A., Colacio, E. & Triki, S. (2018). *Inorg. Chem.* 57, 2184–2192.
- Miller, K. M., McCullough, S. M., Lepekhina, E. A., Thibau, I. J., Pike, R. D., Li, X., Killarney, J. P. & Patterson, H. H. (2011). *Inorg. Chem.* **50**, 7239–7249.
- Neumann, C., Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2019). *Chem. Commun.* 55, 2652–2655.
- Neumann, T., Ceglarska, M., Germann, L. S., Rams, M., Dinnebier, R. E., Suckert, S., Jess, I. & N\u00e4ther, C. (2018). *Inorg. Chem.* 57, 3305–3314.
- Nicholas, A. D., Otten, B. M., Ayala, G., Hutchinson, J., Wojtas, L., Omary, M. A., Pike, R. D. & Patterson, H. H. (2017). *J. Phys. Chem.* C121, 25430–25439.
- Palion-Gazda, J., Machura, B., Lloret, F. & Julve, M. (2015). Cryst. Growth Des. 15, 2380–2388.
- Prananto, Y. P., Urbatsch, A., Moubaraki, B., Murray, K. S., Turner, D. R., Deacon, G. B. & Batten, S. R. (2017). *Aust. J. Chem.* 70, 516– 528.

- Rams, M., Böhme, M., Kataev, V., Krupskaya, Y., Büchner, B., Plass, W., Neumann, T., Tomkowicz, Z. & Näther, C. (2017b). Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 19, 24534–24544.
- Rams, M., Jochim, A., Böhme, M., Lohmiller, T., Ceglarska, M., Rams, M. M., Schnegg, A., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020). *Chem. Eur. J.* 26, 2837–2851.
- Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z. A., Böhme, M., Plass, W., Suckert, S., Werner, J., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2017*a*). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 19, 3232–3243.
- Rigaku OD (2021). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction.
- Robinson, K., Gibbs, G. V. & Ribbe, P. H. (1971). Science, **172**, 567–570.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Shi, J. M., Chen, J. N., Wu, C. J. & Ma, J. P. (2007). J. Coord. Chem. 60, 2009–2013.
- Shurdha, E., Moore, C. E., Rheingold, A. L., Lapidus, S. H., Stephens, P. W., Arif, A. M. & Miller, J. S. (2013). *Inorg. Chem.* 52, 10583– 10594.
- Suckert, S., Rams, M., Böhme, M., Germann, L., Dinnebier, R. E., Plass, W., Werner, J. & Näther, C. (2016). *Dalton Trans.* 45, 18190– 18201.
- Świtlicka, A., Machura, B., Kruszynski, R., Moliner, N., Carbonell, J. M., Cano, J., Lloret, F. & Julve, C. (2020). *Inorg. Chem. Front.* 7, 4535–4552.
- Wang, X. Y., Li, B. L., Zhu, X. & Gao, S. (2005). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 3277–3286.
- Werner, J., Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z. & N\u00e4ther, C. (2014). Dalton Trans. 43, 17333–17342.
- Werner, J., Runčevski, T., Dinnebier, R. E., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Suckert, S. & Näther, C. (2015a). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 3236– 3245.
- Werner, J., Tomkowicz, Z., Rams, M., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Neumann, T. & Näther, C. (2015b). *Dalton Trans.* 44, 14149–14158.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wöhlert, S., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2013). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **407**, 243–251.
- Wöhlert, S., Tomkowicz, Z., Rams, M., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Fink, L., Schmidt, M. U. & Näther, C. (2014). *Inorg. Chem.* 53, 8298–8310.
- Yang, G., Zhang, Q., Zhang, X. P., Zhu, Y. & Ng, S. W. (2007). J. Chem. Res. pp. 384–386.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 14-18 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989022011380]

Synthesis, crystal structure and thermal properties of bis(acetonitrile- κN)bis(3-bromopyridine- κN)bis(thiocyanato- κN)cobalt(II)

Christoph Krebs, Inke Jess and Christian Näther

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2021); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2021); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2021); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2016/6* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

 $Bis(acetonitrile - \kappa N) bis(3-bromopyridine - \kappa N) bis(thiocyanato - \kappa N) cobalt(II)$

Crystal data

$[Co(NCS)_2(C_5H_4BrN)_2(C_2H_3N)_2]$
$M_r = 573.20$
Orthorhombic, Pbca
a = 13.1206 (2) Å
b = 8.0606 (2) Å
c = 20.4520 (4) Å
V = 2163.00 (8) Å ³
Z = 4
F(000) = 1124

Data collection

XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, PhotonJet (Cu) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.0000 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysalisPro; Rigaku OD, 2021)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ $wR(F^2) = 0.084$ S = 1.102289 reflections 126 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: dual $D_x = 1.760 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 8002 reflections $\theta = 4.3-77.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 12.47 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, light red $0.16 \times 0.08 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.689, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 10492 measured reflections 2289 independent reflections 2142 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 77.9^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.3^{\circ}$ $h = -16 \rightarrow 13$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -25 \rightarrow 24$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0583P)^2 + 0.8249P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.38$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.53$ e Å⁻³ Extinction correction: SHELXL2016/6 (Sheldrick 2015b), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ Extinction coefficient: 0.00076 (10)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

 $U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$ Occ. (<1) х Ζ v Co1 0.500000 0.500000 0.500000 0.02317 (15) N1 0.0277 (4) 0.46546 (15) 0.2934(2)0.55618 (9) C1 0.42663 (16) 0.2229(3)0.59925 (10) 0.0261 (4) **S**1 0.37115 (5) 0.12261 (8) 0.65871 (3) 0.03667 (17) N11 0.34175 (14) 0.5136(2) 0.46864(9)0.0243(4)C11 0.31849 (16) 0.5744(3)0.40943 (11) 0.0258(4)0.031* H11 0.371856 0.612144 0.381775 C12 0.5837 (3) 0.38751 (10) 0.0252(4)0.21868 (18) C13 0.13924(17)0.5257(3)0.42614 (11) 0.0265(4)0.411177 0.032* H13 0.070717 0.528518 C14 0.16372 (18) 0.4638 (3) 0.48723 (12) 0.0271 (4) H14 0.111742 0.423819 0.515468 0.033* C15 0.26519 (17) 0.4606(3)0.50692 (10) 0.0256(4)H15 0.280996 0.419248 0.549211 0.031* 0.67390 (3) Br11 0.19160(2)0.30387(2)0.02899 (12) N21 0.53585(15)0.3613(2)0.41254 (10) 0.0289(4)C21 0.55714 (17) 0.3339(3)0.35970(12) 0.0275(5)C22 0.5843(2)0.3030(3) 0.29171 (13) 0.0364(5)0.5 H22A 0.523662 0.315482 0.264145 0.055* H₂₂B 0.610963 0.189959 0.287354 0.055* 0.5 H22C 0.636420 0.382640 0.277910 0.055* 0.5 0.055* 0.5 H22D 0.550407 0.384647 0.263646 H₂₂E 0.191093 0.279293 0.055* 0.5 0.562352 H22F 0.658286 0.312341 0.286471 0.055* 0.5

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Col	0.0217 (3)	0.0256 (3)	0.0222 (3)	-0.00087 (18)	-0.00012 (17)	0.00064 (18)
N1	0.0256 (9)	0.0280 (9)	0.0294 (9)	-0.0008(7)	-0.0015 (7)	0.0028 (7)
C1	0.0233 (9)	0.0283 (10)	0.0268 (10)	0.0033 (8)	-0.0026 (8)	-0.0037 (9)
S 1	0.0440 (3)	0.0407 (3)	0.0253 (3)	-0.0020 (3)	0.0058 (2)	0.0034 (2)
N11	0.0224 (8)	0.0268 (8)	0.0237 (9)	-0.0009 (7)	-0.0001 (7)	-0.0009(7)
C11	0.0243 (10)	0.0285 (10)	0.0247 (10)	-0.0022 (8)	0.0002 (8)	-0.0002 (9)
C12	0.0285 (10)	0.0244 (9)	0.0225 (9)	-0.0006 (8)	-0.0011 (8)	-0.0001 (8)

supporting information

012	0.0225 (10)	0.0271 (10)	0.0200 (11)	0.0004 (0)	0.0001.(0)	0.0000 (0)
C13	0.0235 (10)	0.02/1(10)	0.0289 (11)	-0.0004 (8)	-0.0001 (8)	0.0000 (8)
C14	0.0235 (10)	0.0285 (10)	0.0293 (10)	-0.0004 (9)	0.0048 (9)	0.0028 (9)
C15	0.0261 (11)	0.0273 (10)	0.0233 (10)	0.0018 (9)	0.0009 (8)	0.0001 (8)
Br11	0.02896 (17)	0.03464 (17)	0.02338 (17)	-0.00212 (9)	-0.00260 (7)	0.00317 (8)
N21	0.0259 (9)	0.0313 (9)	0.0293 (9)	-0.0008 (7)	0.0006 (8)	-0.0004 (8)
C21	0.0251 (10)	0.0270 (10)	0.0305 (12)	-0.0004 (8)	0.0011 (9)	0.0025 (8)
C22	0.0416 (14)	0.0391 (12)	0.0283 (11)	-0.0008 (11)	0.0092 (10)	0.0035 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Co1—N1 ⁱ	2.0736 (19)	C13—H13	0.9500	
Co1—N1	2.0736 (19)	C13—C14	1.383 (3)	
Co1—N11	2.1759 (19)	C14—H14	0.9500	
Co1—N11 ⁱ	2.1758 (19)	C14—C15	1.391 (3)	
Co1—N21 ⁱ	2.161 (2)	C15—H15	0.9500	
Co1—N21	2.161 (2)	N21—C21	1.138 (3)	
N1-C1	1.165 (3)	C21—C22	1.457 (3)	
C1—S1	1.632 (2)	C22—H22A	0.9800	
N11—C11	1.342 (3)	C22—H22B	0.9800	
N11—C15	1.343 (3)	C22—H22C	0.9800	
C11—H11	0.9500	C22—H22D	0.9800	
C11—C12	1.386 (3)	C22—H22E	0.9800	
C12—C13	1.389 (3)	C22—H22F	0.9800	
C12—Br11	1.892 (2)			
N1 ⁱ —Co1—N1	180.0	C13—C12—Br11	120.17 (17)	
N1 ⁱ —Co1—N11	90.27 (7)	C12—C13—H13	121.3	
N1—Co1—N11	89.73 (7)	C14—C13—C12	117.5 (2)	
N1—Co1—N11 ⁱ	90.27 (7)	C14—C13—H13	121.3	
N1 ⁱ —Co1—N11 ⁱ	89.73 (7)	C13—C14—H14	120.3	
N1 ⁱ —Co1—N21	84.79 (7)	C13—C14—C15	119.4 (2)	
N1—Co1—N21	95.21 (7)	C15—C14—H14	120.3	
N1-Co1-N21 ⁱ	84.79 (7)	N11—C15—C14	122.8 (2)	
N1 ⁱ —Co1—N21 ⁱ	95.21 (7)	N11—C15—H15	118.6	
N11 ⁱ —Co1—N11	180.0	C14—C15—H15	118.6	
N21 ⁱ —Co1—N11 ⁱ	89.42 (7)	Co1—N21—C21	160.05 (18)	
N21 ⁱ —Co1—N11	90.59 (7)	N21—C21—C22	178.7 (2)	
N21—Co1—N11	89.41 (7)	C21—C22—H22A	109.5	
N21—Co1—N11 ⁱ	90.58 (7)	C21—C22—H22B	109.5	
N21—Co1—N21 ⁱ	180.00 (6)	C21—C22—H22C	109.5	
Co1—N1—C1	154.96 (18)	C21—C22—H22D	109.5	
N1-C1-S1	179.1 (2)	C21—C22—H22E	109.5	
C11—N11—Co1	120.11 (14)	C21—C22—H22F	109.5	
C11—N11—C15	118.17 (19)	H22A—C22—H22B	109.5	
C15—N11—Co1	121.72 (15)	H22A—C22—H22C	109.5	
N11—C11—H11	119.1	H22B—C22—H22C	109.5	
N11—C11—C12	121.8 (2)	H22D—C22—H22E	109.5	
C12-C11-H11	119.1	H22D—C22—H22F	109.5	

supporting information

C11—C12—C13	120.5 (2)	H22E—C22—H22F	109.5
C11—C12—Br11	119.37 (17)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C11—H11····N1 ⁱ	0.95	2.60	3.109 (3)	114
C15—H15…N1	0.95	2.63	3.121 (3)	113
C22—H22A····S1 ⁱⁱ	0.98	2.98	3.947 (3)	168
C22—H22 <i>B</i> ····S1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.98	2.76	3.625 (3)	147
C22—H22 C ···Br11 ^{iv}	0.98	2.97	3.840 (3)	148
C22—H22 F ····Br11 ^v	0.98	2.92	3.681 (3)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (ii) x, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (iii) -x+1, -y, -z+1; (iv) x+1/2, y, -z+1/2; (v) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2.