

Received 5 September 2022 Accepted 24 January 2023

Edited by G. Diaz de Delgado, Universidad de Los Andes Mérida, Venezuela

This article is part of a collection of articles to commemorate the founding of the African Crystallographic Association and the 75th anniversary of the IUCr.

**Keywords:** crystal structure; Hirshfeld surface analysis;  $\pi$ – $\pi$  stacking; biquinoline; copper complex.

CCDC reference: 2237760

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of di- $\mu_2$ -iodido-bis[(2,2'-biquinoline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$ )copper(I)]

# Ayalew W. Temesgen,<sup>a\*</sup> Anton P. Novikov,<sup>b,c</sup> Alexander G. Tskhovrebov,<sup>b</sup> Ekaterina K. Kultyshkina<sup>b</sup> and Tuan Anh Le<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Natural and Computational Science, University of Gondar, Gondar 196, Ethiopia, <sup>b</sup>Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), 6 Miklukho-Maklaya, St, 117198, Moscow, Russian Federation, <sup>c</sup>Frumkin Institute of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 31 Leninsky Prospekt bldg 4, 119071 Moscow, Russian Federation, and <sup>d</sup>University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, 334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan, 100000, Hanoi, Vietnam. \*Correspondence e-mail: wodajo.ayalew@uog.edu.et

The molecular and crystal structures of the title compound,  $[Cu_2I_2(C_{18}H_{12}N_2)_2]$ , were examined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and Hirshfeld surface analysis. The Cu atom is coordinated in a distorted tetrahedral geometry by two N atoms from the 2,2'-biquinoline ligands and the two  $\mu_2$ -bridging iodide ligands. The molecules are in contact *via*  $\pi$ - $\pi$ -stacking interactions. Hirshfeld surface analysis showed that the most important contributions to the intermolecular interactions are H···H (39.7%), H···I/I···H (17.8%), C···H/H···C (17.5%), C···C (16.5%), N···C/C···N (3.9%) and N···H/H···N (3.5%).

## 1. Chemical context

Metal complexes with N-heterocyclic ligands find wide applications in various fields such as catalysis and medicine, among others (Delgado-Rebollo et al., 2019; Novikov et al., 2021; Fong, 2016; Artemjev et al., 2022). Copper(I) bypiridine complexes are of interest because of their structural peculiarities, cuprophilic interactions, and important photochemical properties. Therefore, bypyridine-type systems are often the ligands of choice to explore new metal complexes with potentially useful properties (Ferraro et al., 2022; Starosta et al., 2012; Vatsadze et al., 2010). 2,2'-Biquinoline is an important and widely employed diimine ligand. The geometry of the resulting metal derivatives depends on the ligand and counterion, the metal:ligand ratio and the solvent and synthetic conditions. Here we report the preparation and structural characterization of a copper iodide complex with 2,2'-biquinoline. We used Hirshfeld surface analysis to estimate the contribution of non-covalent interactions to the crystal structure.



Selected geometric parameters (A, $^{\circ}$ ).						
I1-Cu1	2.5734 (2)	Cu1-N2	2.0900 (14)			
I1-Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	2.6487 (2)	Cu1-N1	2.0930 (13)			
Cu1-I1-Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	68.829 (8)	N2-Cu1-I1 <sup>i</sup>	110.91 (4)			
N2-Cu1-N1	79.28 (5)	N1-Cu1-I1 <sup>i</sup>	106.99 (4)			
N2-Cu1-I1	122.14 (4)	$I1-Cu1-I1^{i}$	111.171 (8)			
N1-Cu1-I1	122.34 (4)					

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

Table 1

#### 2. Structural commentary

The title compound crystallizes in the centrosymmetric space group  $P\overline{1}$  with one crystallographically independent molecule in the unit cell. The molecular structure is illustrated in Fig. 1. The Cu atom is coordinated in a distorted tetrahedral geometry (Table 1) by two nitrogen atoms from the 2,2'-biquinoline ligands and the two  $\mu_2$ -bridged iodide ligands. The Cu1—I1 and Cu1<sup>i</sup>—I1 distances [symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1] are 2.5734 (2) and 2.6487 (2) Å, which are close to the distances in similar compounds (Sun *et al.*, 2013; Starosta *et al.*, 2012) with a substituted quinoline ligand. The Cu—N distances of 2.0930 (13) and 2.0900 (14) Å are almost equal within standard uncertainty.

The quinoline fragments in the biquinoline ligand adopt, as expected, a planar geometry. The maximum and minimum deviations of the atoms from these planes are between -0.018 (2) and 0.026 (2) Å. The angle between the quinolines described by rings 1/2 (as defined in Fig. 1) is 5.08 (9)° and between 3/4 is 0.59 (8)°. Then, the quinoline formed by rings 1 and 2 (ring 5) makes an angle of 7.56 (5)° with the quinoline described by rings 3/4 (ring 6).

#### 3. Supramolecular features

The crystal packing is shown in Fig. 2, viewed down the c axis. Molecules both within the layers and between them are



Figure 1

Molecular structure of the title compound, including atom and ring labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.]

connected by  $\pi$ - $\pi$ -stacking interactions between sixmembered rings of the quinoline rings. The  $\pi$ - $\pi$ -stacking interaction parameters are presented in Table 2. Ring 4, defined by N2/C18/C10-C13 in Fig. 1, participates in the shortest interactions. The contact with another ring 4, related by the symmetry operation -x, -y + 1, -z + 1, is perhaps the most efficient, based on the distance, the angle between the planes, and the shift between ring centroids.

#### 4. Database survey

A search in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.43, update of 2022; Groom *et al.*, 2016) showed only a few hits for bis[( $\mu_2$ -halogen)-2,2'-biquinoline-di-copper(I)]. We only found data for compounds with substituted quinoline rings in position-4 with carboxylate fragments. All compounds crystallize in the triclinic space group *P*1. In IRIVIP (Vatsadze *et al.*, 2010), *n*-hexyl carboxylate groups are attached to the quinoline rings at position 4. In YIJFAA, YIJFEE, and YIJFII (Sun *et al.*, 2013), ethyl carboxylate fragments are attached, and in PAYKIL (Starosta *et al.*, 2012), there are methyl carboxylate fragments. In IRIVIP and YIJFAA, instead of the iodine atom, as in the title structure, there are chlorine atoms; in YIJFEE, there are bromine atoms. In other structures, the copper atoms are bonded through iodine atoms.

#### 5. Hirshfeld surface analysis

*Crystal Explorer21* was used to calculate the Hirshfeld surfaces and two-dimensional fingerprint plots (Spackman *et al.*, 2021). The donor–acceptor groups are visualized using a standard (high) surface resolution and  $d_{\text{norm}}$  surfaces are mapped over a fixed colour scale from -0.0579 (red) to 1.3919 (blue) a.u., as illustrated in Fig. 3(*a*). Red spots on the surface



Figure 2

View along the c axis of the crystal packing of the title compound, showing the stacking of layers formed by the Cu complex.

# research communications

Ring 1	Ring No.	Ring 2	Ring No.	Angle	Centroid–centroid distance	Shift distance between ring centroids
C1-C6	1	C1-C6(-x+1, -y, -z+2)	1	0.000	3.874	1.459
C13-C18	3	N1/C1/C6-C9(-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1)	2	4.772	3.711	1.480
		N2/C18/C10-C13(-x, -y + 1, -z + 1)	4	0.590	3.665	1.602
N1/C1/C6-C9	2	N2/C18/C10-C13(-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1)	4	5.301	3.564	1.139
		C13-C18(-x+1, -y+1, -z+1)	3	4.772	3.711	1.283
N2/C18/C10-C13	4	N2/C18/C10-C13(-x, -y + 1, -z + 1)	4	0.000	3.652	1.555
		C13-C18(-x, -y + 1, -z + 1)	3	0.590	3.665	1.579
		N1/C1/C6-C9(-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1)	2	5.301	3.564	1.068

**Table 2**  $\pi$ - $\pi$ -stacking interaction parameters (Å, °).

correspond to C···C and I···H interactions. The presence of  $\pi$ -stacking interactions is confirmed by the characteristic red and blue triangles on the shape-index surface [Fig. 3(*b*)]. Fingerprint plots of the most important non-covalent interactions for the title compound are shown in Fig. 4. The largest contribution to the crystal packing is made by contacts of the H···H type (39.7%). Then contacts of the H···I/I···H and C···H/H···C types make approximately equal contributions (17.8 and 17.5%, respectively). C···C interactions responsible for  $\pi$ -stacking contribute 16.5%. Contacts that contribute less than 1% are not shown in Fig. 4.

## 6. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared by refluxing CuI with one equivalent of 2,2'-biquinoline in ethanol for 24 h. The compound precipitates as a purple solid in 87% yield. Found (%): C, 48.39; H, 2.71; N, 6.27. for  $C_{36}H_{24}Cu_2I_2N_4$ . Calculated (%): C, 48.61; H, 2.64; N, 6.19.

## 7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. C-bound H atoms were placed at calculated positions (C-H = 0.95 Å) and refined using a riding model with  $[U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)]$ .

#### **Acknowledgements**

Authors contributions are as follows: Conceptualization, AWT, AGT and TAL; methodology, APN, AGT; validation: AWT, AGT; formal analysis: APN, AGT, TAL; investigation: AWT, AGT and TAL; resources, AGT, TAL; data curation, APN, EKK; writing (original draft), AWT; writing (review and



#### Figure 3

Hirshfeld surface mapped over (a)  $d_{\text{norm}}$  and (b) shape-index to visualize the interactions in the title compound.

editing), APN, AGT, TAL; visualization, AWT, TAL; supervision, AWT, AGT; project administration, AGT; funding acquisition, AGT, TAL.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (subject No. 122011300061-3). This work was supported by the RUDN



#### Figure 4

Two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title compound divided into  $H \cdots H$  (39.7%),  $H \cdots I/I \cdots H$  (17.8%),  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$  (17.5%),  $C \cdots C$  (16.5%),  $N \cdots C/C \cdots N$  (3.9%) and  $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$  (3.5%) interactions.

Experimental details. Crystal data  $\begin{array}{l} [Cu_2I_2(C_{18}H_{12}N_2)_2] \\ 893.49 \end{array}$ Chemical formula  $M_r$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ Crystal system, space group Temperature (K) 100 8.2032 (2), 9.4084 (3), 10.8312 (3) a, b, c (Å)  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  (°) 70.9328 (8), 76.1237 (9), 74.2486 (9)  $V(Å^3)$ 749.84 (4) Ζ Radiation type Μο Κα  $\mu \,({\rm mm}^{-1})$ 3.51 Crystal size (mm)  $0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.06$ Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON-III Diffractometer CCD Absorption correction Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015) 0.656, 0.798  $T_{\min}, T_{\max}$ No. of measured, independent and 22231, 5464, 4875 observed  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$  reflections  $R_{\rm int}$ 0.030  $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$ 0.759 Refinement  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ No. of reflections 0.021, 0.050, 1.07 5464 200 No. of parameters H-atom treatment H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$ 0.93, -1.00

Table 3

Computer programs: APEX3 (Bruker, 2018), SAINT (Bruker, 2013), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b) and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

University Strategic Academic Leadership Program: Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 21-53-54001).

Artemjev, A. A., Novikov, A. P., Burkin, G. M., Sapronov, A. A., Kubasov, A. S., Nenajdenko, V. G., Khrustalev, V. N., Borisov, A. V., Kirichuk, A. A., Kritchenkov, A. S., Gomila, R. M., Frontera, A. & Tskhovrebov, A. G. (2022). <i>Int. J. Mol. Sci.</i> 23, 6372.
Bruker (2013). SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Bruker (2018). APEX3. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Delgado-Rebollo, M., García-Morales, C., Maya, C., Prieto, A., Echavarren, A. M. & Pérez, P. J. (2019). J. Organomet. Chem. 898, 120856.
Ferraro, V., Castro, J., Trave, E. & Bortoluzzi, M. (2022). J. Organomet. Chem. 957, 122171.
Fong, C. W. (2016). Free Radical Biol. Med. 95, 216-229.
Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). <i>Acta Cryst.</i> B72, 171–179.
Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
Novikov, A. P., Volkov, M. A., Safonov, A. V., Grigoriev, M. S. & Abkhalimov, E. V. (2021). <i>Crystals</i> , <b>11</b> , 1417.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). <i>J. Appl. Cryst.</i> <b>54</b> , 1006–1011.
Starosta, R., Komarnicka, U. K., Nagaj, J., Stokowa-Sołtys, K. & Bykowska, A. (2012). <i>Acta Cryst.</i> E68, m756–m757.
Sun, X. M., Ning, W. H., Liu, J. L., Liu, S. X., Guo, P. C. & Ren, X. M. (2013). Chin. J. Inorg. Chem. 29, 2176–2182.

Vatsadze, S. Z., Dolganov, A. V., Yakimanskii, A. V., Goikhman, M. Y., Podeshvo, I. V., Lyssenko, K. A., Maksimov, A. L. & Magdesieva, T. V. (2010). *Russ. Chem. Bull.* 59, 724–732.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 132-135 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989023000634]

Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of di- $\mu_2$ -iodido-bis-[(2,2'-biquinoline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$ )copper(I)]

# Ayalew W. Temesgen, Anton P. Novikov, Alexander G. Tskhovrebov, Ekaterina K. Kultyshkina and Tuan Anh Le

# **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2018); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2013); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2013); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

 $\text{Di-}\mu_2\text{-iodido-bis}[(2,2'\text{-biquinoline-}\kappa^2N,N')\text{copper}(I)]$ 

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} Cu_2I_2(C_{18}H_{12}N_2)_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ M_r = 893.49 \\ \text{Triclinic, } PI \\ a = 8.2032 (2) \text{ Å} \\ b = 9.4084 (3) \text{ Å} \\ c = 10.8312 (3) \text{ Å} \\ a = 70.9328 (8)^{\circ} \\ \beta = 76.1237 (9)^{\circ} \\ \gamma = 74.2486 (9)^{\circ} \\ V = 749.84 (4) \text{ Å}^3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON-III CCD diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Krause *et al.*, 2015)  $T_{\min} = 0.656, T_{\max} = 0.798$ 22231 measured reflections

# Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$  $wR(F^2) = 0.050$ S = 1.075464 reflections 200 parameters 0 restraints Z = 1 F(000) = 432  $D_x = 1.979 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9951 reflections  $\theta = 2.3-32.6^{\circ}$   $\mu = 3.51 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 100 KPlate, red  $0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$ 

5464 independent reflections 4875 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.030$  $\theta_{max} = 32.6^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 2.3^\circ$  $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$  $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$  $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$ 

Primary atom site location: difference Fourier map Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0241P)^2 + 0.2045P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.93 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$   $\Delta \rho_{\min} = -1.00 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL, Fc\*=kFc[1+0.001xFc<sup>2</sup> \lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]<sup>-1/4</sup> Extinction coefficient: 0.00061 (6)

## Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic	coordinates and	isotropic or	equivalent isotrop	pic displaceme	nt parameters	$(Å^2)$
						1 /

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
I1	0.72676 (2)	0.05497 (2)	0.36228 (2)	0.01463 (4)	
Cu1	0.47151 (3)	0.14895 (2)	0.52815 (2)	0.01482 (5)	
N1	0.50362 (17)	0.22489 (16)	0.68043 (14)	0.0137 (2)	
N2	0.32363 (17)	0.37275 (15)	0.48351 (13)	0.0126 (2)	
C1	0.5986 (2)	0.14322 (19)	0.77875 (16)	0.0149 (3)	
C2	0.7206 (2)	0.0086 (2)	0.76410 (18)	0.0188 (3)	
H2	0.7335	-0.0253	0.6881	0.023*	
C3	0.8205 (2)	-0.0730 (2)	0.86007 (19)	0.0225 (3)	
H3	0.9050	-0.1616	0.8486	0.027*	
C4	0.7991 (3)	-0.0268 (2)	0.97589 (19)	0.0235 (4)	
H4	0.8658	-0.0869	1.0430	0.028*	
C5	0.6831 (2)	0.1034 (2)	0.99178 (18)	0.0221 (3)	
Н5	0.6688	0.1333	1.0701	0.027*	
C6	0.5837 (2)	0.1942 (2)	0.89164 (16)	0.0168 (3)	
C7	0.4734 (2)	0.3365 (2)	0.89624 (17)	0.0204 (3)	
H7	0.4603	0.3741	0.9702	0.024*	
C8	0.3849 (2)	0.4209 (2)	0.79434 (17)	0.0186 (3)	
H8	0.3134	0.5187	0.7954	0.022*	
C9	0.4017 (2)	0.35984 (18)	0.68682 (16)	0.0134 (3)	
C10	0.30656 (19)	0.44564 (18)	0.57445 (16)	0.0130 (3)	
C11	0.2064 (2)	0.59515 (18)	0.56565 (17)	0.0151 (3)	
H11	0.1989	0.6436	0.6318	0.018*	
C12	0.1199 (2)	0.67017 (18)	0.46130 (17)	0.0161 (3)	
H12	0.0505	0.7703	0.4552	0.019*	
C13	0.1348 (2)	0.59756 (18)	0.36296 (16)	0.0135 (3)	
C14	0.0488 (2)	0.6683 (2)	0.25249 (17)	0.0171 (3)	
H14	-0.0225	0.7681	0.2431	0.021*	
C15	0.0680 (2)	0.5933 (2)	0.15911 (17)	0.0183 (3)	
H15	0.0103	0.6413	0.0850	0.022*	
C16	0.1738 (2)	0.4440 (2)	0.17292 (17)	0.0181 (3)	
H16	0.1868	0.3931	0.1074	0.022*	
C17	0.2579 (2)	0.37192 (19)	0.27946 (17)	0.0162 (3)	
H17	0.3279	0.2717	0.2876	0.019*	
C18	0.23990 (19)	0.44731 (18)	0.37738 (16)	0.0129 (3)	

# supporting information

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
I1	0.01422 (5)	0.01364 (5)	0.01633 (6)	-0.00129 (3)	-0.00081 (3)	-0.00714 (4)
Cu1	0.01437 (9)	0.01477 (9)	0.01559 (10)	0.00062 (7)	-0.00375 (7)	-0.00671 (7)
N1	0.0120 (6)	0.0154 (6)	0.0140 (6)	-0.0033 (5)	-0.0023 (5)	-0.0041 (5)
N2	0.0117 (5)	0.0134 (6)	0.0131 (6)	-0.0013 (5)	-0.0023 (4)	-0.0049 (5)
C1	0.0130 (7)	0.0179 (7)	0.0145 (7)	-0.0057 (6)	-0.0020 (5)	-0.0035 (6)
C2	0.0187 (8)	0.0184 (7)	0.0188 (8)	-0.0028 (6)	-0.0069 (6)	-0.0028 (6)
C3	0.0211 (8)	0.0196 (8)	0.0249 (9)	-0.0041 (7)	-0.0097 (7)	0.0003 (7)
C4	0.0250 (9)	0.0243 (9)	0.0207 (8)	-0.0102 (7)	-0.0117 (7)	0.0042 (7)
C5	0.0252 (9)	0.0282 (9)	0.0150 (7)	-0.0111 (7)	-0.0076 (6)	-0.0013 (7)
C6	0.0149 (7)	0.0234 (8)	0.0133 (7)	-0.0081 (6)	-0.0018 (5)	-0.0036 (6)
C7	0.0183 (8)	0.0309 (9)	0.0160 (8)	-0.0060 (7)	-0.0015 (6)	-0.0121 (7)
C8	0.0170 (7)	0.0244 (8)	0.0175 (8)	-0.0024 (6)	-0.0030 (6)	-0.0113 (7)
C9	0.0113 (6)	0.0162 (7)	0.0138 (7)	-0.0027 (5)	-0.0015 (5)	-0.0059 (6)
C10	0.0103 (6)	0.0148 (6)	0.0145 (7)	-0.0032 (5)	-0.0009(5)	-0.0053 (5)
C11	0.0147 (7)	0.0140 (6)	0.0186 (7)	-0.0033 (5)	-0.0016 (5)	-0.0077 (6)
C12	0.0152 (7)	0.0118 (6)	0.0206 (8)	-0.0023 (5)	-0.0009 (6)	-0.0055 (6)
C13	0.0116 (6)	0.0118 (6)	0.0159 (7)	-0.0019 (5)	-0.0022 (5)	-0.0026 (5)
C14	0.0137 (7)	0.0162 (7)	0.0181 (8)	-0.0016 (6)	-0.0033 (6)	-0.0012 (6)
C15	0.0175 (7)	0.0188 (7)	0.0166 (7)	-0.0013 (6)	-0.0058 (6)	-0.0023 (6)
C16	0.0175 (7)	0.0212 (8)	0.0164 (7)	-0.0011 (6)	-0.0043 (6)	-0.0077 (6)
C17	0.0152 (7)	0.0162 (7)	0.0180 (7)	-0.0006 (6)	-0.0036 (6)	-0.0072 (6)
C18	0.0104 (6)	0.0135 (6)	0.0145 (7)	-0.0021 (5)	-0.0016 (5)	-0.0041 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

I1—Cu1	2.5734 (2)	С7—С8	1.369 (3)
I1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	2.6487 (2)	С7—Н7	0.9500
Cu1—N2	2.0900 (14)	C8—C9	1.422 (2)
Cu1—N1	2.0930 (13)	C8—H8	0.9500
Cu1—I1 <sup>i</sup>	2.6487 (2)	C9—C10	1.488 (2)
Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	2.9520 (4)	C10—C11	1.409 (2)
N1—C9	1.330 (2)	C11—C12	1.367 (2)
N1—C1	1.367 (2)	C11—H11	0.9500
N2—C10	1.3354 (19)	C12—C13	1.409 (2)
N2—C18	1.369 (2)	C12—H12	0.9500
C1—C2	1.414 (2)	C13—C14	1.415 (2)
C1—C6	1.421 (2)	C13—C18	1.423 (2)
C2—C3	1.374 (2)	C14—C15	1.369 (2)
С2—Н2	0.9500	C14—H14	0.9500
C3—C4	1.415 (3)	C15—C16	1.418 (2)
С3—Н3	0.9500	C15—H15	0.9500
C4—C5	1.365 (3)	C16—C17	1.372 (2)
C4—H4	0.9500	C16—H16	0.9500
C5—C6	1.418 (2)	C17—C18	1.418 (2)
С5—Н5	0.9500	C17—H17	0.9500

C6—C7	1.406 (3)		
Cu1—I1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	68.829 (8)	С8—С7—Н7	119.9
N2—Cu1—N1	79.28 (5)	С6—С7—Н7	119.9
N2—Cu1—I1	122.14 (4)	C7—C8—C9	118.99 (16)
N1—Cu1—I1	122.34 (4)	С7—С8—Н8	120.5
N2—Cu1—I1 <sup>i</sup>	110.91 (4)	С9—С8—Н8	120.5
N1—Cu1—I1 <sup>i</sup>	106.99 (4)	N1—C9—C8	122.17 (15)
I1—Cu1—I1 <sup>i</sup>	111.171 (8)	N1	116.58 (13)
N2—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	141.62 (4)	C8—C9—C10	121.24 (15)
N1—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	136.76 (4)	N2-C10-C11	122.82 (15)
I1—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	56.791 (7)	N2-C10-C9	115.92 (14)
I1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	54.380 (7)	C11—C10—C9	121.26 (14)
C9—N1—C1	119.18 (14)	C12—C11—C10	119.63 (14)
C9—N1—Cu1	113.35 (11)	C12—C11—H11	120.2
C1—N1—Cu1	126.92 (11)	C10-C11-H11	120.2
C10—N2—C18	118.23 (14)	C11—C12—C13	119.38 (15)
C10—N2—Cu1	113.89 (11)	С11—С12—Н12	120.3
C18—N2—Cu1	127.82 (10)	C13—C12—H12	120.3
N1-C1-C2	118.85 (15)	C12-C13-C14	122.40 (15)
N1 - C1 - C6	121.75 (15)	C12-C13-C18	117.93 (15)
C2-C1-C6	119.33 (16)	C14-C13-C18	119.67 (14)
$C_{3}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{1}$	119.82 (17)	$C_{15}$ $-C_{14}$ $-C_{13}$	120.22 (16)
$C_3 - C_2 - H_2$	120.1	$C_{15}$ $C_{14}$ $H_{14}$	119.9
C1 - C2 - H2	120.1	$C_{13}$ $-C_{14}$ $-H_{14}$	119.9
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	120.1	C14-C15-C16	120 11 (16)
C2—C3—H3	119.6	C14-C15-H15	119.9
C4—C3—H3	119.6	$C_{16}$ $-C_{15}$ $-H_{15}$	119.9
$C_{5}$ $C_{4}$ $C_{3}$	120 43 (17)	$C_{17}$ $-C_{16}$ $-C_{15}$	121.04 (15)
C5-C4-H4	119.8	$C_{17}$ $-C_{16}$ $-H_{16}$	1195
$C_3 - C_4 - H_4$	119.8	$C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $H_{16}$	119.5
C4-C5-C6	120.13 (17)	$C_{16}$ $C_{17}$ $C_{18}$	119.85 (15)
C4 - C5 - H5	110.0	$C_{16}$ $C_{17}$ $H_{17}$	120.1
C6 C5 H5	110.0	$C_{10} = C_{17} = H_{17}$	120.1
$C_{7}$ $C_{6}$ $C_{5}$	119.9	$N_2 = C_{18} = C_{17}$	120.1 118 00 (14)
C7 - C6 - C1	122.99(10) 117.63(16)	$N_2 = C_{18} = C_{17}$	113.90(14)
$C_{-}^{-}C_{-}^{-}C_{1}^{-}$	117.03(10) 110.34(17)	$N_2 - C_{10} - C_{13}$	122.00(14)
$C^{\circ}$	119.34(17) 120.15(15)	01/013	119.10 (13)
0-0-0-0	120.13 (13)		
$C_{0}$ N1 $C_{1}$ $C_{2}$	-173.05(15)	$C_{18}$ N2 $C_{10}$ $C_{0}$	-170 42 (13)
$C_{2}$ $C_{2$	-1/5.03(15)	$C_{10} = N_2 = C_{10} = C_9$	-1/9.42(13)
$C_{\text{III}} = N_{\text{III}} = C_{\text{III}} = C_{\text{III}}$	10.1(2)	Cu1 - N2 - C10 - C9	3.07(17)
$C_{2} = N_{1} = C_{1} = C_{0}$	3.0(2)	N1 - C9 - C10 - N2	4.0(2)
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cu} - \text{INI} - \text{CI} - \text{CO} \\ \text{NI} - \text{CI} - \text{CO} - \text{CO} \\ \end{array}$	-100.98(11) 178.25(16)	$V_0 - V_2 - V_1 - N_2$	-1/3.83(14) -17464(14)
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1/0.33(10) 1/4(2)	NI = CY = CIU = CII	-1/4.04(14)
$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{3}$	1.4(3)	$ \begin{array}{c} C_{0} - C_{9} - C_{10} - C_{11} \\ N_{2} - C_{10} - C_{11} - C_{12} \\ \end{array} $	4.7 (2)
$C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	2.0(3)	$N_2 - C_{10} - C_{11} - C_{12}$	0.9 (2)
12 - 13 - 14 - 15	-2.0(3)		-1/9.63 (15)
C3-C4-C5-C6	-0.4 (3)	C10—C11—C12—C13	-1.0 (2)

C4—C5—C6—C7	-174.13 (17)	C11—C12—C13—C14	179.90 (16)
C4—C5—C6—C1	3.8 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C18	0.2 (2)
N1—C1—C6—C7	-3.1 (2)	C12—C13—C14—C15	179.67 (15)
C2-C1-C6-C7	173.76 (16)	C18—C13—C14—C15	-0.7 (2)
N1-C1-C6-C5	178.82 (15)	C13—C14—C15—C16	0.2 (3)
C2-C1-C6-C5	-4.3 (2)	C14—C15—C16—C17	0.3 (3)
C5—C6—C7—C8	177.99 (17)	C15—C16—C17—C18	-0.3 (3)
C1—C6—C7—C8	0.0 (2)	C10—N2—C18—C17	179.51 (14)
C6—C7—C8—C9	2.2 (3)	Cu1—N2—C18—C17	-3.4 (2)
C1—N1—C9—C8	-1.5 (2)	C10—N2—C18—C13	-0.9 (2)
Cu1—N1—C9—C8	170.55 (12)	Cu1—N2—C18—C13	176.23 (11)
C1—N1—C9—C10	177.85 (13)	C16—C17—C18—N2	179.42 (15)
Cu1—N1—C9—C10	-10.14 (17)	C16—C17—C18—C13	-0.2 (2)
C7—C8—C9—N1	-1.6 (3)	C12-C13-C18-N2	0.7 (2)
C7—C8—C9—C10	179.14 (15)	C14—C13—C18—N2	-178.92 (15)
C18—N2—C10—C11	0.1 (2)	C12—C13—C18—C17	-179.65 (15)
Cu1—N2—C10—C11	-177.45 (12)	C14—C13—C18—C17	0.7 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y, -z+1.