

Received 9 August 2023 Accepted 15 September 2023

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

**Keywords:** crystal structure; aminopyrazole; ferrocene; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 2287368

**Supporting information:** this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# Synthesis, crystal structure, and Hirshfeld surface analysis of 3-ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1*H*-pyrazol-5-amine

#### Delara Joekar, Lana K. Hiscock and Louise N. Dawe\*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Wilfrid Laurier University, 75 University Ave. W., Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3C5, Canada. \*Correspondence e-mail: Idawe@wlu.ca

A key step towards utilizing polynuclear metal-based systems in magnetic device applications involves the careful design of ligands. This strategic planning aims to produce metal assemblies that exhibit some kind of 'switch' mechanism. Towards this end, a ligand that incorporates a redox-active functional group (ferrocene) is reported. This communication presents the multi-step synthesis, characterization (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR), and structural analysis (single-crystal X-ray diffraction and Hirshfeld surface analysis) of 3-ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1*H*-pyrazol-5-amine, [Fe(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>4</sub>)]. Supramolecular features, including  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking and hydrogen bonding are quantified, while a database search reveals the unique combination of molecular moieties, which offer future opportunities for studies to involve simultaneous Lewis acid and base coordination.

#### 1. Chemical context

We have previously reported a pyrazole-based ligand scaffold, which incorporates groups for both cation and anion coordination, as well as the opportunity for functionalization with other moieties for practical applications, for example, fluorescent tags (Hiscock *et al.*, 2019), or in the area of molecular magnetism.





Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

One step towards achieving magnetic device applications for polynuclear metal-based systems is the strategic design of ligands such that resulting metal assemblies possess some type of 'switch' [electrochemical, photo-induced, or other (Cador *et al.*, 2019)]. As an example, a single ion magnet switching process with a bis-diaminoferrocene-based ligand for Dy<sup>III</sup> yielded a chemically (iodine) induced one-electron reduction (Dickie *et al.*, 2017). In this reversible process, a change in magnetization dynamics (in the absence of an applied DC field) characterized this system as an 'on/off' switch for slow magnetic relaxation.

Table 1		
Hydrogen-bond ge	eometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} N4 - H4A \cdots N3^{i} \\ N4 - H4B \cdots N1 \end{array}$	0.86(3) 0.88(4)	2.44 (3) 2.05 (4)	3.210 (4) 2.749 (4)	150 (3) 136 (3)

Symmetry code: (i)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Herein, the synthesis, characterization, and structural features of 3-ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1*H*-pyrazol-5-amine (1) are described. This ligand design enables future opportunities, as the substituent on the unfunctionalized pyrazole carbon atom can be varied to tune the metal coordination environment, for which single ion magnets are sensitive (Marin *et al.*, 2021; Gálico *et al.*, 2019).

#### 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of **1** is shown in Fig. 1. A Mogul geometry search (Cottrell *et al.*, 2012; Bruno *et al.*, 2004) revealed only one unusual bond angle, present in the pyrazole ring, formed by C7-C8-N3. The experimental value reported for this angle in **1** is 112.3 (2)°, while the Mogul search revealed a mean value of 111.28° with a standard deviation of 0.48° based on 33 observations in the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom *et al.*, 2016). It is noted that despite being flagged as unusual, the value for **1** lies within three standard deviations of that reported from the Mogul search.

In 1, an intramolecular hydrogen bond [graph-set notation  $S_1^1(6)$ ] from the pyrazole amine group to the pyridyl nitrogen acceptor (N4—H4B···N1; Fig. 1, Table 1) facilitates a near planar orientation of the pyridyl (py) and pyrazole (pz) rings [dihedral py–pz twist angle of 3.16 (3)°]. The orientation of the ferrocenyl cyclopentadienyl ring (cp; C9–13) that is directly bound to the pyrazole ring exhibits a greater twist from planarity, with an observed cp–pz dihedral angle of



#### Figure 2

Packing diagrams for 1, represented with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level; (left) viewed down the c axis to show short contacts between pz–py planes of adjacent molecules; (right) viewed down the b axis to show intermolecular hydrogen-bonding perpendicular to the pz–py interplanar interactions.

12.28 (12)°. The ferrocenyl cyclopentadienyl rings in **1** are approximately eclipsed, with a dihedral angle of  $3.8 (4)^{\circ}$ .

## 3. Supramolecular features and Hirshfeld surface analysis

Examination of the crystal packing for **1** reveals short contacts between the mean pz-py planes, parallel to the *b* axis, with plane-to-plane centroid separations (*i.e.* shortest distance between planes) of 3.4790 (18) Å and a plane-to-plane shift of 2.006 (3) Å [measured from molecules generated by symmetry operations (ii) *x*, *y*, *z* to (iii) 1 - x,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; Fig. 2]. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding from the pyrazole amine group to an adjacent pyrazole nitrogen acceptor (N4-H4A···N3<sup>i</sup>; Table 1) yields infinite chains [graph-set notation  $C_1^1(5)$ ] parallel to the *c* axis.

Hirshfeld surface analysis (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) was performed using *CrystalExplorer17* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021). Examination of the shape-index plot (Fig. 3) shows the same short pz–py planar contacts and perpendicular  $N-H\cdots N$  hydrogen-bonding interactions, but also additional short contacts, indicated as red hollows (shape-index <1) and blue bumps (shape-index >1) representing complementary



#### Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of 1, shown with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms as fixed-size spheres with radius of 0.15 Å. The intramolecular hydrogen bond is represented as a dashed line.





(Left) Hirshfeld shape index surface for the asymmetric unit of 1, viewed down the *b* axis; and (right) with symmetry-related molecules making short contacts with the asymmetric unit. Transparent surface representations, with ball-and-stick molecular model on the left, and with molecular bonds represented as tubes and hydrogen bonds as dashed lines on the right. Hydrogen atoms were generated in normalized neutron X-H positions by *CrystalExplorer17* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021).

## research communications



Figure 4

Fingerprint plots showing all close contacts in the crystal structure of **1** (top left), and (other plots) the contributions of the total interactions by  $H \cdots H$ ,  $C \cdots H$ ,  $N \cdots H$ ,  $N \cdots C$  and  $C \cdots C$  contacts. Plots were generated using *CrystalExplorer17* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021).

intermolecular interaction between donors and acceptor groups, respectively (Tan et al., 2019). These interactions are quantitatively summarized as 2D fingerprint plots (Fig. 4). In these plots,  $d_i$  is plotted on the x-axis and represents the distance to the nearest nucleus inside the Hirshfeld surface, and  $d_e$  is plotted on the y-axis, and represents the distance from the Hirshfeld surface to the nearest nucleus outside the surface. These fingerprint plots indicate weak (blue and bluegreen) van der Waals  $H \cdots H$  contacts as the dominant packing interaction (66.9% of the overall surface) in 1, with  $C \cdots H/$  $H \cdots C$  contacts [*i.e.*  $C - H \cdots \pi / \pi \cdots C - H$  contacts (Tan *et al.*, 2019)] contributing 12.4% of the Hirshfeld area, and N···H/  $H \cdots N$ ,  $N \cdots C/C \cdots N$ , and  $C \cdots C$  interactions contributing 7.8%, 6.8% and 6.1% of interactions, respectively. Note that, as expected, these plots are pseudo-mirrored along the diagonal, *i.e.* where  $d_e$  and  $d_i$  have the same value.

#### 4. Database survey and conclusion

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Conquest Version 2023.1.0; CSD version 5.44 with April 2023 updates; Groom *et al.*, 2016) yielded 6635 carbon-functionalized mono-substituted ferrocene structures. Narrowing the search parameters to monosubstituted 3-ferrocenyl-1*H*-pyrazole structures yielded 96 structures with available coordinates, while further limiting the search to require the presence of a 1*H*-pyrazol-5-amine group resulted in zero previously reported structures. This demonstrates the unique combination of elements in the molecular structure, each of which have been incorporated for a purpose (redox activity, cation coordinates in future studies.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

<sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on an Agilent Technologies Varian Unity Inova 300 or 400 MHz NMR spectrometer using the indicated deuterated solvents



Figure 5 Schematic synthesis of  $\alpha$ -chloroacetylferrocene.

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  scale in p.p.m. using the residual solvent peak (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  = 7.260) as reference. 2-Hydrazinylpyridine was prepared from 2-bromopyridine using a modified literature procedure (Klingele *et al.*, 2010), as we have previously reported (Hiscock *et al.*, 2019).  $\alpha$ -Chloroacetylferrocene (Yang *et al.*, 2007) and 3-oxo-3-(ferrocenyl)propanenitrile (Rao & Muthanna, 2016) were also prepared *via* modified literature procedures. All other reagents and starting materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as purchased. Melting points were determined on a Mel-Temp Electrothermal melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -chloroacetylferrocene is schematically shown in Fig. 5. Dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml) was placed in an oven-dried 250 ml round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar under a nitrogen atmosphere. Purified ferrocene (2.00 g, 11.0 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added to the flask producing a clear orange mixture. 2-Chloroacetyl chloride (0.60 mL, 7.2 mmol, 1.0 eq) was also added to the mixture. The round-bottom flask was then placed in an ice bath (NaCl/ice, 1:3). AlCl<sub>3</sub> (1.43 g, 7.20 mmol, 1.0 eq) was gradually added to the mixture in three equal portions (0.47 g) every 15 min, resulting in a cloudy dark-purple mixture. It was then stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h. Distilled water (50 ml) was added to the flask while stirring in an ice bath. The cloudy dark-purple mixture was placed in a separatory funnel and washed with distilled water  $(2 \times 25 \text{ ml})$  and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2  $\times$  25 ml). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the volume was reduced by rotary evaporation. The dark-brown solid was purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/PhMe). Rotary evaporation of the fraction containing the product gave  $\alpha$ -chloroacetylferrocene, a darkred powdery solid (0.260 g, 1.00 mmol, 9%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 4.81 (s, 2H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 4.27 (s, 5H), 3.76 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 114.2, 76.4, 73.6, 70.6, 69.8, 29.7.



**Figure 6** Schematic synthesis of 3-oxo-3-(ferrocenyl)propanenitrile.



**Figure 7** Schematic synthesis of 3-ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1*H*-pyrazol-5-amine.

Synthesis of 3-oxo-3-(ferrocenyl)propanenitrile is schematically shown in Fig. 6. KCN (0.733 g, 11.0 mmol, 2.0 eq) was placed in a 100 ml round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar. Distilled water (6.0 ml) and ethanol (17 ml) were added followed by  $\alpha$ -chloroacetylferrocene (1.00 g, 5.10 mmol, 1.0 eq), which resulted in a clear dark-red mixture. It was refluxed for 48 h. The following step was performed with great care: In a very well-ventilated fumehood, HCl (12 M, 1.0 ml) was added and nitrogen was bubbled through the solution for 1 h. The volume was reduced by rotary evaporation (10 ml NaOH in the trap) yielding a brown powder. It was dissolved in dichloromethane (30 ml) and washed with distilled water (3  $\times$ 25 ml),  $K_2CO_3$  (3 × 25 ml), and brine (1 × 25 ml). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the volume was reduced by rotary evaporation to give 3-oxo-3-(ferrocenyl)propanenitrile, a dark-brown powdery solid (0.185 g, 0.964 mmol, 19%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ*: 4.81 (*s*, 2H), 4.63 (*s*, 2H), 4.27 (*s*, 5H), 3.76 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 190.7, 114.2, 76.4, 73.6, 70.6, 69.8, 29.7.

Synthesis of 3-ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-5amine is schematically shown in Fig. 7. 2-Hydrazinylpyridine (2.80 g, 25.8 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 3-oxo-3-(ferrocenvl)propanenitrile (5.04 g, 25.8 mmol, 1.0 eq) were placed in ethanol (20 ml) in a 100 ml round-bottom flask equipped with a condenser and a stir bar. The resulting dark-brown mixture was refluxed for 48 h. The volume was then reduced by rotary evaporation. The product was purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 5% EtOAc/PhMe). Rotary evaporation gave 3ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine, a brownorange crystalline solid containing X-ray quality single-crystals (0.137 g, 0.400 mmol, 2%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.37-8.35 (ddd, J = 5.0, 1.95, 0.85 Hz, 1H), 8.08-8.05 (dt, J =8.49, 0.96 Hz, 1H), 7.86–7.80 (d, J = 0.75 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.09 (ddd, J = 7.38, 4.96, 1.06 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (s, 2H), 5.66 (s, J = 0.64H, 1H), 4.73 (s, 2H), 4.32 (s, 2H), 4.15 (s, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 154.9, 152.3, 149.7, 146.6, 138.8, 119.7, 113.9, 87.3, 78.2, 69.4, 68.6, 66.8.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All the hydrogen atoms, except H4A and H4B, were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.95 Å) and refined using a riding model with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$ of the carrier atom. Amine hydrogen atoms, H4A and H4B, were introduced in their difference electron density map positions and refined isotropically.

Table 2

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_{13}H_{11}N_4)]$
M <sub>r</sub>	344.20
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	110
a, b, c (Å)	17.349 (8), 6.894 (3), 12.173 (5)
β (°)	92.878 (12)
$V(Å^3)$	1454.0 (11)
Z	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	1.04
Crystal size (mm)	$0.15\times0.10\times0.04$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.829, 0.956
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	37974, 2578, 1877
R <sub>int</sub>	0.105
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.596
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.036, 0.078, 1.02
No. of reflections	2578
No. of parameters	216
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e}  {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.42, -0.32

Computer programs: APEX2 (Bruker, 2012), SAINT (Bruker, 2018), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

#### Acknowledgements

The following colleagues are gratefully acknowledged: Dr Paul D. Boyle, Western University, for the single-crystal X-ray data collection and Dr Kenneth Maly, Wilfrid Laurier University, for invaluable discussions related to organic synthesis and spectroscopic analysis.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (grant to LND; studentship CGS-D to LKH); Wilfrid Laurier University (studentship Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies to DJ; grant Research Support Fund to LND).

#### References

Bruker (2012). *APEX2*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Bruker (2018). *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Kessler, M., Luo, J., Motherwell, W. D. S., Purkis, L. H., Smith, B. R., Taylor, R., Cooper, R. I., Harris, S. E. & Orpen, A. G. (2004). *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 44, 2133–2144.
- Cador, O., Le Guennic, B. & Pointillart, F. (2019). *Inorg. Chem. Front.* 6, 3398–3417.
- Cottrell, S. J., Olsson, T. S. G., Taylor, R., Cole, J. C. & Liebeschuetz, J. W. (2012). J. Chem. Inf. Model. 52, 956–962.
- Dickie, C. M., Laughlin, A. L., Wofford, J. D., Bhuvanesh, N. S. & Nippe, M. (2017). *Chem. Sci.* 8, 8039–8049.

- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Gálico, D. A., Marin, R., Brunet, G., Errulat, D., Hemmer, E., Fernando, S. A., Moilanen, J. & Murugesu, M. (2019). *Chem. Eur. J.* pp. 1–14.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hiscock, L. K., Joekar, D., Balonova, B., Tomas Piqueras, M., Schroeder, Z. W., Jarvis, V., Maly, K. E., Blight, B. A. & Dawe, L. N. (2019). *Inorg. Chem.* 58, 16317–16321.
- Klingele, J., Kaase, D., Hilgert, J., Steinfeld, G., Klingele, M. H. & Lach, J. (2010). *Dalton Trans.* **39**, 4495–4507.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.

- Marin, R., Brunet, G. & Murugesu, M. (2021). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 60, 1728–1746.
- Rao, H. S. P. & Muthanna, N. (2016). Synlett, 27, 2014–2018.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Tan, S. L., Jotani, M. M. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2019). Acta Cryst. E75, 308–318.
- Yang, H., Zhou, Z., Huang, K., Yu, M., Li, F., Yi, T. & Huang, C. (2007). Org. Lett. 9, 4729–4732.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 926-930 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989023008101]

Synthesis, crystal structure, and Hirshfeld surface analysis of 3-ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1*H*-pyrazol-5-amine

### Delara Joekar, Lana K. Hiscock and Louise N. Dawe

**Computing details** 

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015*a*); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*); molecular graphics: Olex2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: Olex2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

3-Ferrocenyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine

Crystal data	
$[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_{13}H_{11}N_4)]$	F(000) = 712
$M_r = 344.20$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.572 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 17.349(8) Å	Cell parameters from 7455 reflections
b = 6.894 (3) Å	$\theta = 3.4 - 24.7^{\circ}$
c = 12.173 (5) Å	$\mu = 1.04 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 92.878(12)^{\circ}$	T = 110  K
$V = 1454.0(11) \text{ Å}^3$	Plate, orange
<i>Z</i> = 4	$0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker APEXII CCD	2578 independent reflections
diffractometer	1877 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.105$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.1^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.4^{\circ}$
(SADABS: Krause et al., 2015)	$h = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.829, T_{\max} = 0.956$	$k = -8 \rightarrow 8$
37974 measured reflections	$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: mixed
Least-squares matrix: full	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$	and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.078$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0216P)^2 + 2.158P]$

and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0216P)^2 + 2.153]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.42 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.32 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

Primary atom site location: dual

S = 1.01

2578 reflections

216 parameters 0 restraints

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.13466 (2)	0.63057 (6)	0.39894 (3)	0.01773 (13)
N1	0.50809 (14)	0.3811 (4)	0.17719 (18)	0.0217 (6)
N2	0.38601 (13)	0.3858 (4)	0.24937 (18)	0.0175 (5)
N3	0.34008 (13)	0.3919 (4)	0.33927 (18)	0.0182 (5)
N4	0.37249 (17)	0.3811 (4)	0.0502 (2)	0.0232 (6)
H4A	0.3461 (18)	0.318 (5)	0.001 (3)	0.025 (9)*
H4B	0.423 (2)	0.378 (6)	0.054 (3)	0.047 (11)*
C1	0.58538 (17)	0.3775 (5)	0.1939 (2)	0.0249 (7)
H1	0.615939	0.377992	0.131304	0.030*
C2	0.62261 (17)	0.3733 (5)	0.2960 (2)	0.0266 (7)
H2	0.677358	0.370090	0.303644	0.032*
C3	0.57860 (17)	0.3738 (5)	0.3872 (2)	0.0248 (7)
Н3	0.602776	0.371625	0.458937	0.030*
C4	0.49941 (16)	0.3774 (5)	0.3735 (2)	0.0220 (6)
H4	0.467917	0.377177	0.435100	0.026*
C5	0.46683 (16)	0.3813 (4)	0.2669 (2)	0.0162 (6)
C6	0.34133 (16)	0.3791 (4)	0.1515 (2)	0.0173 (6)
C7	0.26567 (16)	0.3809 (4)	0.1799 (2)	0.0195 (6)
H7	0.220986	0.377176	0.131562	0.023*
C8	0.26812 (16)	0.3896 (4)	0.2957 (2)	0.0169 (6)
C9	0.20262 (16)	0.3951 (4)	0.3665 (2)	0.0184 (6)
C10	0.12391 (16)	0.3580 (4)	0.3347 (2)	0.0205 (6)
H10	0.104586	0.326560	0.262506	0.025*
C11	0.07891 (17)	0.3757 (4)	0.4286 (2)	0.0206 (6)
H11	0.024704	0.357569	0.430408	0.025*
C12	0.12993 (17)	0.4254 (4)	0.5196 (2)	0.0201 (7)
H12	0.115721	0.445806	0.593091	0.024*
C13	0.20567 (17)	0.4393 (4)	0.4814 (2)	0.0191 (7)
H13	0.250783	0.472386	0.524847	0.023*
C14	0.16504 (19)	0.8494 (5)	0.2979 (3)	0.0344 (9)
H14	0.199240	0.838866	0.239615	0.041*
C15	0.08432 (19)	0.8210 (5)	0.2885 (3)	0.0313 (8)
H15	0.054821	0.787232	0.223430	0.038*
C16	0.05549 (18)	0.8521 (5)	0.3936 (3)	0.0272 (7)
H16	0.002936	0.844053	0.411569	0.033*
C17	0.11837 (18)	0.8970 (4)	0.4671 (3)	0.0293 (8)
H17	0.115362	0.923602	0.543339	0.035*
C18	0.18652 (19)	0.8960 (5)	0.4084 (3)	0.0347 (8)
H18	0.237283	0.921796	0.437669	0.042*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

## supporting information

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
Fe1	0.0171 (2)	0.0199 (2)	0.0163 (2)	0.0013 (2)	0.00189 (16)	0.0013 (2)
N1	0.0217 (14)	0.0254 (13)	0.0186 (13)	0.0004 (13)	0.0064 (11)	-0.0001 (13)
N2	0.0176 (13)	0.0225 (13)	0.0126 (11)	-0.0001 (12)	0.0015 (10)	0.0016 (12)
N3	0.0194 (13)	0.0231 (13)	0.0125 (12)	0.0038 (12)	0.0046 (10)	-0.0016 (11)
N4	0.0221 (16)	0.0333 (16)	0.0142 (13)	-0.0030 (14)	0.0029 (12)	-0.0051 (13)
C1	0.0211 (17)	0.0290 (17)	0.0254 (16)	-0.0003 (16)	0.0090 (13)	-0.0003 (16)
C2	0.0174 (16)	0.0306 (17)	0.0321 (18)	-0.0005 (16)	0.0043 (14)	-0.0026 (18)
C3	0.0237 (17)	0.0273 (16)	0.0229 (16)	-0.0036 (16)	-0.0017 (13)	0.0011 (16)
C4	0.0201 (16)	0.0288 (16)	0.0172 (15)	-0.0005 (16)	0.0027 (12)	0.0008 (16)
C5	0.0172 (15)	0.0133 (13)	0.0184 (14)	0.0009 (13)	0.0020 (12)	-0.0004 (14)
C6	0.0198 (16)	0.0181 (14)	0.0134 (14)	0.0009 (14)	-0.0027 (12)	-0.0009 (14)
C7	0.0203 (16)	0.0224 (15)	0.0153 (14)	0.0018 (15)	-0.0036 (12)	-0.0026 (14)
C8	0.0179 (15)	0.0167 (14)	0.0163 (14)	0.0014 (13)	0.0019 (12)	-0.0008 (13)
C9	0.0207 (16)	0.0178 (15)	0.0167 (15)	0.0007 (13)	0.0014 (12)	-0.0018 (13)
C10	0.0214 (16)	0.0225 (15)	0.0174 (15)	-0.0026 (15)	-0.0008 (12)	-0.0006 (14)
C11	0.0196 (16)	0.0214 (15)	0.0210 (15)	0.0004 (15)	0.0037 (12)	0.0023 (15)
C12	0.0239 (17)	0.0210 (16)	0.0155 (15)	0.0012 (13)	0.0037 (13)	0.0015 (12)
C13	0.0205 (17)	0.0206 (15)	0.0160 (15)	0.0016 (13)	-0.0001 (13)	-0.0013 (12)
C14	0.030 (2)	0.0301 (19)	0.045 (2)	0.0082 (16)	0.0199 (16)	0.0167 (18)
C15	0.0286 (19)	0.038 (2)	0.0273 (18)	0.0078 (16)	0.0037 (15)	0.0159 (15)
C16	0.0213 (17)	0.0259 (17)	0.0348 (18)	0.0042 (15)	0.0072 (14)	0.0088 (16)
C17	0.033 (2)	0.0200 (17)	0.0347 (18)	0.0048 (16)	0.0039 (16)	-0.0032 (15)
C18	0.0247 (18)	0.0235 (18)	0.056 (2)	-0.0034 (16)	0.0035 (17)	0.0041 (18)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—C14	2.033 (3)	C4—C5	1.389 (4)
Fe1—C13	2.035 (3)	C4—H4	0.9500
Fe1—C18	2.040 (3)	C6—C7	1.374 (4)
Fe1—C10	2.040 (3)	C7—C8	1.409 (4)
Fe1—C17	2.041 (3)	C7—H7	0.9500
Fe1—C15	2.044 (3)	C8—C9	1.460 (4)
Fe1—C12	2.044 (3)	C9—C10	1.423 (4)
Fe1—C11	2.047 (3)	C9—C13	1.431 (4)
Fe1—C16	2.053 (3)	C10—C11	1.422 (4)
Fe1—C9	2.056 (3)	C10—H10	0.9500
N1-C5	1.335 (3)	C11—C12	1.425 (4)
N1-C1	1.346 (4)	C11—H11	0.9500
N2—N3	1.386 (3)	C12—C13	1.419 (4)
N2-C6	1.389 (3)	C12—H12	0.9500
N2—C5	1.408 (3)	C13—H13	0.9500
N3—C8	1.332 (3)	C14—C15	1.413 (5)
N4—C6	1.371 (3)	C14—C18	1.415 (5)
N4—H4A	0.86 (3)	C14—H14	0.9500
N4—H4B	0.88 (4)	C15—C16	1.413 (4)

# supporting information

C1—C2	1.372 (4)	C15—H15	0.9500
C1—H1	0.9500	C16—C17	1.410 (4)
C2—C3	1.378 (4)	С16—Н16	0.9500
С2—Н2	0.9500	C17—C18	1.412 (5)
C3—C4	1.376 (4)	С17—Н17	0.9500
С3—Н3	0.9500	C18—H18	0.9500
C14—Fe1—C13	127.63 (13)	N4—C6—N2	122.9 (3)
C14—Fe1—C18	40.67 (14)	C7—C6—N2	106.4 (2)
C13—Fe1—C18	107.40 (13)	C6—C7—C8	105.7 (2)
C14—Fe1—C10	118.24 (13)	С6—С7—Н7	127.1
C13—Fe1—C10	68.51 (12)	С8—С7—Н7	127.1
C18—Fe1—C10	151.59 (13)	N3—C8—C7	112.3 (2)
C14—Fe1—C17	67.89 (14)	N3—C8—C9	120.4 (2)
C13—Fe1—C17	118.39 (13)	С7—С8—С9	127.3 (3)
C18—Fe1—C17	40.47 (13)	C10—C9—C13	107.0 (2)
C10—Fe1—C17	166.61 (12)	C10—C9—C8	126.8 (2)
C14—Fe1—C15	40.56 (13)	С13—С9—С8	126.2 (3)
C13—Fe1—C15	165.73 (12)	C10-C9-Fe1	69.06 (17)
C18—Fe1—C15	68.51 (14)	C13—C9—Fe1	68.72 (16)
C10—Fe1—C15	108.17 (13)	C8—C9—Fe1	127.2 (2)
C17—Fe1—C15	68.03 (14)	C11—C10—C9	108.9 (2)
C14—Fe1—C12	165.82 (13)	C11—C10—Fe1	69.90 (17)
C13—Fe1—C12	40.72 (11)	C9-C10-Fe1	70.27 (17)
C18—Fe1—C12	127.85 (14)	C11—C10—H10	125.5
C10—Fe1—C12	68.40 (12)	С9—С10—Н10	125.5
C17—Fe1—C12	108.61 (13)	Fe1—C10—H10	125.9
C15—Fe1—C12	152.40 (13)	C10-C11-C12	107.5 (3)
C14—Fe1—C11	152.03 (13)	C10-C11-Fe1	69.39 (17)
C13—Fe1—C11	68.67 (12)	C12-C11-Fe1	69.50 (17)
C18—Fe1—C11	166.21 (13)	C10-C11-H11	126.2
C10—Fe1—C11	40.71 (11)	C12—C11—H11	126.2
C17—Fe1—C11	128.65 (12)	Fe1—C11—H11	126.4
C15—Fe1—C11	118.53 (13)	C13—C12—C11	108.1 (3)
C12—Fe1—C11	40.76 (11)	C13—C12—Fe1	69.30 (16)
C14—Fe1—C16	67.80 (13)	C11-C12-Fe1	69.74 (17)
C13—Fe1—C16	152.29 (12)	C13—C12—H12	125.9
C18—Fe1—C16	68.10 (14)	C11—C12—H12	125.9
C10—Fe1—C16	128.67 (12)	Fe1—C12—H12	126.6
C17—Fe1—C16	40.30 (12)	C12—C13—C9	108.5 (3)
C15—Fe1—C16	40.36 (12)	C12-C13-Fe1	69.99 (17)
C12—Fe1—C16	119.12 (12)	C9—C13—Fe1	70.34 (17)
C11—Fe1—C16	108.84 (13)	С12—С13—Н13	125.8
C14—Fe1—C9	107.51 (13)	С9—С13—Н13	125.8
C13—Fe1—C9	40.94 (11)	Fe1—C13—H13	125.5
C18—Fe1—C9	117.62 (13)	C15—C14—C18	108.7 (3)
C10—Fe1—C9	40.67 (11)	C15—C14—Fe1	70.14 (18)
C17—Fe1—C9	151.77 (12)	C18—C14—Fe1	69.94 (19)

C15—Fe1—C9	127.65 (12)	C15—C14—H14	125.6
C12—Fe1—C9	68.66 (11)	C18—C14—H14	125.6
C11—Fe1—C9	68.71 (12)	Fe1—C14—H14	125.9
C16—Fe1—C9	166.01 (12)	C14—C15—C16	107.5 (3)
C5—N1—C1	116.6 (2)	C14—C15—Fe1	69.30 (18)
N3—N2—C6	111.1 (2)	C16—C15—Fe1	70.17 (18)
N3—N2—C5	119.3 (2)	C14—C15—H15	126.3
C6—N2—C5	129.6 (2)	C16—C15—H15	126.3
C8—N3—N2	104.5 (2)	Fe1—C15—H15	125.8
C6—N4—H4A	114 (2)	C17—C16—C15	108.1 (3)
C6—N4—H4B	113 (2)	C17—C16—Fe1	69.41 (18)
H4A—N4—H4B	122 (3)	C15—C16—Fe1	69.47 (18)
N1-C1-C2	123.9 (3)	С17—С16—Н16	126.0
N1—C1—H1	118.1	C15—C16—H16	126.0
С2—С1—Н1	118.1	Fe1—C16—H16	126.7
C1—C2—C3	118.3 (3)	C16—C17—C18	108.6 (3)
C1—C2—H2	120.8	C16—C17—Fe1	70.29 (18)
C3—C2—H2	120.8	C18— $C17$ —Fel	69 71 (19)
C4-C3-C2	119.5 (3)	С16—С17—Н17	125.7
C4—C3—H3	120.2	C18—C17—H17	125.7
C2-C3-H3	120.2	Fe1—C17—H17	125.9
$C_{3}-C_{4}-C_{5}$	118.1 (3)	C17—C18—C14	107.2 (3)
C3—C4—H4	121.0	C17—C18—Fe1	69.82 (19)
C5-C4-H4	121.0	C14— $C18$ —Fel	69 39 (19)
N1-C5-C4	123.6 (3)	C17 - C18 - H18	126.4
N1-C5-N2	1166(2)	C14-C18-H18	126.4
C4-C5-N2	119.8 (2)	Fe1—C18—H18	125.9
N4—C6—C7	130.6(3)		120.9
	150.0 (5)		
C6—N2—N3—C8	-0.2 (3)	Fe1—C9—C10—C11	-59.4 (2)
C5—N2—N3—C8	-178.3 (3)	C13-C9-C10-Fe1	58.5 (2)
C5—N1—C1—C2	0.3 (5)	C8-C9-C10-Fe1	-121.5 (3)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-0.4 (5)	C9—C10—C11—C12	0.4 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.4 (5)	Fe1-C10-C11-C12	-59.3 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.3 (5)	C9-C10-C11-Fe1	59.6 (2)
C1—N1—C5—C4	-0.2 (5)	C10-C11-C12-C13	0.3 (3)
C1—N1—C5—N2	179.8 (3)	Fe1-C11-C12-C13	-58.9 (2)
C3—C4—C5—N1	0.2 (5)	C10-C11-C12-Fe1	59.2 (2)
C3—C4—C5—N2	-179.7 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C9	-0.9(3)
N3—N2—C5—N1	-178.1 (2)	Fe1—C12—C13—C9	-60.1 (2)
C6—N2—C5—N1	4.1 (5)	C11—C12—C13—Fe1	59.1 (2)
N3—N2—C5—C4	1.8 (4)	C10-C9-C13-C12	1.1 (3)
C6—N2—C5—C4	-175.9 (3)	C8—C9—C13—C12	-178.9 (3)
N3—N2—C6—N4	177.0 (3)	Fe1—C9—C13—C12	59.8 (2)
C5—N2—C6—N4	-5.1 (5)	C10-C9-C13-Fe1	-58.7 (2)
N3—N2—C6—C7	0.0 (3)	C8—C9—C13—Fe1	121.3 (3)
C5—N2—C6—C7	177.9 (3)	C18—C14—C15—C16	0.6 (4)
N4—C6—C7—C8	-176.4 (3)	Fe1—C14—C15—C16	60.1 (2)
			(-)

N2-C6-C7-C8 $N2-N3-C8-C7$ $N2-N3-C8-C9$ $C6-C7-C8-N3$ $C6-C7-C8-C9$ $N3-C8-C9-C10$ $C7-C8-C9-C13$ $C7-C8-C9-C13$ $N3-C8-C9-C13$ $N3-C8-C9-Fe1$ $C7-C8-C9-Fe1$ $C7-C8-C9-Fe1$ $C13-C9-C10-C11$	0.2 (3) 0.4 (3) -179.8 (3) -0.4 (4) 179.8 (3) -167.5 (3) 12.2 (5) 12.5 (5) -167.7 (3) 102.0 (3) -78.2 (4) -0.9 (3)	$\begin{array}{cccccc} C18 & -C14 & -C15 & -Fe1 \\ C14 & -C15 & -C16 & -C17 \\ Fe1 & -C15 & -C16 & -C17 \\ C14 & -C15 & -C16 & -Fe1 \\ C15 & -C16 & -C17 & -C18 \\ Fe1 & -C16 & -C17 & -Fe1 \\ C16 & -C17 & -C18 & -C14 \\ Fe1 & -C17 & -C18 & -C14 \\ C16 & -C17 & -C18 & -Fe1 \\ C15 & -C14 & -C18 & -C17 \\ Fe1 & -C14 & -C18 & -C17 \\ Fe1 & -C14 & -C18 & -C17 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -59.5 (2) \\ -0.6 (4) \\ 58.9 (2) \\ -59.5 (2) \\ 0.5 (4) \\ 59.4 (2) \\ -58.9 (2) \\ -0.2 (4) \\ 59.6 (2) \\ -59.8 (2) \\ -0.3 (4) \\ -59.9 (2) \end{array}$
C13—C9—C10—C11	-0.9 (3)	FeI—C14—C18—C17	-59.9 (2)
C8—C9—C10—C11	179.1 (3)	C15—C14—C18—Fe1	59.6 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H··· $A$
N4—H4A····N3 <sup>i</sup>	0.86 (3)	2.44 (3)	3.210 (4)	150 (3)
N4—H4 <i>B</i> …N1	0.88 (4)	2.05 (4)	2.749 (4)	136 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) x, -y+1/2, z-1/2.