

Received 20 March 2024 Accepted 2 April 2024

Edited by C. Schulzke, Universität Greifswald, Germany

Keywords: thiosemicarbazone; jasmone; jasmone 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone; H-bonded ribbon; crystal structure; Hirshfeld analysis.

CCDC reference: 2304271

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld analysis of *N*-ethyl-2-{3-methyl-2-[(2*Z*)-pent-2-en-1-yl]cyclopent-2-en-1-ylidene}hydrazinecarbothioamide

Adriano Bof de Oliveira,^a* Johannes Beck^b and Jörg Daniels^b

^aDepartamento de Química, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Av. Marcelo Deda Chagas s/n, Campus Universitário, 49107-230 São Cristóvão-SE, Brazil, and ^bInstitut für Anorganische Chemie, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Gerhard-Domagk-Strasse 1, D-53121 Bonn, Germany. *Correspondence e-mail: adriano@daad-alumni.de

The title compound (C14H23N3S, common name: cis-jasmone 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone) was synthesized by the equimolar reaction of *cis*-jasmone and 4-ethylthiosemicarbazide in ethanol facilitated by acid catalysis. There is one crystallographically independent molecule in the asymmetric unit, which shows disorder of the terminal ethyl group of the jasmone carbon chain [site-occupancy ratio = 0.911(5):0.089(5)].The thiosemicarbazone entity [N-N-C(=S)-N] is approximately planar, with the maximum deviation of the mean plane through the N/N/C/S/N atoms being 0.0331 (8) Å, while the maximum deviation of the mean plane through the five-membered ring of the jasmone fragment amounts to -0.0337 (8) Å. The dihedral angle between the two planes is $4.98 (7)^{\circ}$. The molecule is not planar due to this structural feature and the sp^3 -hybridized atoms of the jasmone carbon chain. Additionally, one $H \cdots N$ intramolecular interaction is observed, with graph-set motif S(5). In the crystal, the molecules are connected through pairs of $H \cdot \cdot \cdot S$ interactions with $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^1(7)$ graph-set motifs into centrosymmetric dimers. The dimers are further connected by $H \cdots N$ interactions with graph-set motif $R_2^2(12)$, which are related by an inversion centre, forming a mono-periodic hydrogen-bonded ribbon parallel to the *b*-axis. The crystal structure and the supramolecular assembly of the title compound are compared with four known *cis*-jasmone thiosemicarbazone derivatives (two crystalline modifications of the non-substituted form, the 4-methyl and the 4-phenyl derivatives). A Hirshfeld surface analysis indicates that the major contributions for the crystal cohesion are from $H \cdots H$ (70.7%), $H \cdots S/S \cdots H$ (13.5%), $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ (8.8%), and $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$ (6.6%) interfaces (only the disordered atoms with the highest s.o.f. were considered for the evaluation).

1. Chemical context

Thiosemicarbazones $[R_1R_2C=N-N(H)C(=S)NR_3R_4]$ can be readily prepared through a well-known condensation reaction between a ketone or an aldehyde $(R_1R_2C=0)$ and a thiosemicarbazide derivative $[H_2N-N(H)C(=S)NR_3R_4]$ (Freund & Schander, 1902). Due to the structural diversity of the educts, a huge number of thiosemicarbazone derivatives (TSC) can be synthesized for numerous applications across a wide range of scientific disciplines, such as coordination chemistry, medicinal chemistry and materials science. These three main approaches are interconnected, as demonstrated by Farias et al. (2021) in a report concerning the synthesis, in vitro and in silico evaluations of the antitumor activities of two thiosemicarbazone Ni^{II} complexes, which were considered materials with biological properties. The coordination chemistry of thiosemicarbazone derivatives was addressed in a review by Lobana et al. (2009), illustrating the chemical

bonding of **TSC**s with metal centres of different Lewis acidity, the coordination modes and geometries, and some biological and analytical applications.

Several thiosemicarbazone derivatives have biological properties either as metal complexes or as non-coordinated molecules. For examples of thiosemicarbazone complexes with biological activity, see: Gupta et al. (2022); Khan et al. (2022); Monsur Showkot Hossain et al. (2023); Parrilha et al. (2022), which covers compounds for chemotherapy and medical diagnostic imaging combined, also referred to as theranostics, and Singh et al. (2023). For a review of TSC complexes in the inhibition of topoisomerases, which are biological targets of prime importance in cancer research, see: Jiang et al. (2023). For examples of the biological activity of non-coordinated thiosemicarbazone derivatives, see: Fatondji et al. (2013), which shows a small chemical library with 35 derivatives with trypanocidal activity against the Trypanosoma brucei brucei parasite, and for a review on tyrosinase inhibitory activity, which is another important biological target, see: Hałdys & Latajka (2019). The non-coordinated TSCs are also mentioned in a review on tyrosinase inhibition by Zolghadri et al. (2019). In addition, thiosemicarbazone derivatives have been studied for the treatment of Parkinson's disease (Mathew et al., 2021), microbial growth inhibition (D'Agostino et al., 2022), anti-inflammatory pathologies (Kanso et al., 2021) and antifungal activity (Bajaj et al., 2021). Specifically, in the context of this work, the parent cis-jasmone thiosemicarbazone derivative has shown fungistatic biological activity as a free molecule (Jamiołkowska et al., 2022) and also as a Cu^{II} complex (Orsoni et al., 2020).

In materials science, thiosemicarbazone complexes are employed as single-source educts for the synthesis of nanostructured materials, *e.g.*, CdS nanocrystals (Masikane *et al.*,



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 40% probability level. Disordered atoms are drawn with 40% transparency and labelled C9A/ C10A [s.o.f. = 0.911 (5)] and C9B/C10B [s.o.f. = 0.089 (5)]. For the N3-H3···N1 intramolecular interaction, a ring with graph-set motif S(5)is observed.

2019) and nanostructured CuFeS₂, which is being used as an electrode material for supercapacitors (Ansari *et al.*, 2022). Non-coordinated thiosemicarbazones have been used to functionalize metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), such as zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (mainly, ZIF-8), for the removal of Hg^{II} from aqueous solutions at room temperature and neutral pH (Jaafar *et al.*, 2021). **TSC** derivatives have also been studied as corrosion inhibitors for metals and alloys. For the respective theoretical approach, see: Silva & Martínez-Huitle (2021). Additionally, thiosemicarbazone derivatives have turned out to be useful in several fields of analytical chemistry, including calorimetry, fluorimetry and electrochemical sensors, *e.g.*, in the detection of anions and metallic cations (Özbek & Berkel, 2023).



In this context and as a contribution to the **TSC** chemistry, we report here the synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld analysis of *cis*-jasmone 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone.

2. Structural commentary

For the title compound, cis-jasmone 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone (JETSC), the asymmetric unit consists of one molecule with all atoms in general positions, which shows disorder over the jasmone carbon chain [s.o.f. = 0.911 (5):0.089 (5)]. The disordered atoms with higher s.o.f. are A-labelled and the atoms with lower s.o.f. are B-labelled (Fig. 1). The thiosemicarbazone entity is approximately planar, with the maximum deviation of the mean plane through the N1/N2/C12/S1 atoms being 0.0331(8) Å for N2 (r.m.s.d. = 0.0215 Å). For the fivemembered ring of the jasmone fragment, the maximum deviation of the mean plane through the selected atoms amounts to -0.0337 (8) Å for C2 (r.m.s.d. = 0.0256 Å) and the dihedral angle between the two planes is $4.98 (7)^{\circ}$. The molecule is not planar due to this angle and to the sp^3 -hybridized atoms of the jasmone carbon chain, with the torsion angles for the C5-C6-C7-C8, C7-C8-C9A-C10A and C7-C8-C9B-C10B fragments being -138.74 (16), -106.5 (2) and 132.7 (10)°. Finally, an intramolecular hydrogen-bond interaction is observed, N3-H3···N1, which forms a ring of graphset motif S(5) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). For a review addressing hydrogen bonding in the solid state, see: Steiner (2002).

research communications

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N2-H2\cdots S1^{i}$	0.873 (18)	2.608 (18)	3.4808 (12)	177.6 (15)
$N3-H3\cdots N1$	0.828 (16)	2.187 (15)	2.6008 (15)	111.0 (13)
$C2-H2A\cdots S1^{i}$	1.003 (16)	2.822 (15)	3.3535 (13)	113.7 (10)
$C13-H13B\cdots N1^{ii}$	0.966 (15)	2.655 (15)	3.5466 (17)	153.5 (12)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the molecules are connected through $H \cdots S$ and H···N interactions, forming rings of graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$, $R_2^1(7)$ and $R_2^2(12)$ for the C₂H₂N₂S₂, C₂H₂N₂S and C₄H₂N₆ entities, respectively (Fig. 2). The S1 and N1 atoms act as double hydrogen-bond acceptors, where the N1 atoms play an important role in the supramolecular arrangement of the molecules. Firstly, the molecules are connected into centrosymmetric dimers through $C2-H2A\cdots S1^{i}$ and $N2-H2\cdots S1^{i}$ intermolecular interactions [symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1], as has also been observed in other *cis*-jasmone thiosemicarbazone derivatives (Oliveira et al., 2023a, 2024). These centrosymmetric dimers, in which rings of graph-set motif $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^1(7)$ are present, have their centres of gravity located in the centre of the ac planes. In addition, the dimers are further connected by C13-H13B...N1ⁱⁱ intermolecular interactions [symmetry code: (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1], where rings of graph-set motif $R_2^2(12)$ are observed (Fig. 2, Table 1). The centre of gravity of the centrosymmetric $C_4H_2N_6$ ring lies at an inversion centre of the cell and thus, the molecules are linked into a mono-periodic hydrogen-bonded ribbon parallel to the *b*-axis. (Fig. 3).

The Hirshfeld surface analysis (Hirshfeld, 1977), the graphical representations and the two-dimensional Hirshfeld surface fingerprint plots (HSFP) were calculated with the *Crystal Explorer* software (Wolff *et al.*, 2012) and only the atoms with the higher s.o.f. were taken into account. The



Figure 3

Crystal structure section of the title compound, showing the intermolecular hydrogen-bonded interactions as dashed lines. Disorder is not shown for clarity. The molecules are linked into mono-periodic hydrogenbonded ribbons parallel to the *b*-axis *via* pairs of $N-H\cdots$ S, $C-H\cdots$ S and $C-H\cdots$ N interactions with graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$, $R_1^2(7)$ and $R_2^2(12)$.

Hirshfeld surface analysis of the title compound suggests that the most relevant intermolecular interactions for the crystal packing are $H \cdots H$ (70.7%), $H \cdots S/S \cdots H$ (13.5%), $H \cdots C/$ $C \cdots H$ (8.8%) and $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$ (6.4%). The graphical representation of the Hirshfeld surface (d_{norm}) is given in a figure with transparency and using the *ball-and-stick* model. Locations of the strongest intermolecular contacts, *i.e*, the regions around the H2, H2A and S1 atoms are indicated in red (Fig. 4). These atoms are those involved in the $H \cdots S$ interactions shown in previous figures (Figs. 2 and 3). The contributions to the crystal cohesion are represented as twodimensional Hirshfeld surface fingerprint plots (HSFP) with coloured dots (Fig. 5). The d_i (x-axis) and the d_e (y-axis) values are the closest internal and external distances from given points on the Hirshfeld surface contacts (in Å).

4. Database survey



Figure 2

Crystal structure section of the title compound showing the intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions as dashed lines. The molecules are linked *via* pairs of C2-H2A···S1ⁱ, N-H2···S1ⁱ and C13-H13B-N1ⁱⁱ interactions with graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$, $R_2^1(7)$ and $R_2^2(12)$. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.]

To the best of our knowledge and from using database tools such as the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, accessed *via* WebCSD on March 15, 2024; Groom *et al.*, 2016), there are



Figure 4

Hirshfeld surface graphical representation (d_{norm}) for the title compound. The surface is drawn with transparency, the molecules are drawn in *ball* and stick model and the disorder is not shown for clarity. The regions with strongest intermolecular interactions are shown in red.



Figure 5

The Hirshfeld surface two-dimensional fingerprint plot (HSFP) for the title compound, showing the contacts in detail (coloured). The major contributions of the interactions to the crystal cohesion amount to (*a*) $H \cdots H$ (70.7%), (*b*) $H \cdots S/S \cdots H$ (13.5%), (*c*) $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ (8.8%) and (*d*) $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$ (6.4%). The d_i (*x*-axis) and the d_e (*y*-axis) values are the closest internal and external distances from given points on the Hirshfeld surface contacts (in Å). Regarding the disorder, only the atoms with the highest s.o.f. were considered.

four crystal structures of cis-jasmone thiosemicarbazone derivatives reported in the literature: the α -crystalline modification of *cis*-jasmone thiosemicarbazone, *a*-JTSC (refcode ZAJRUB; Orsoni et al., 2020), the β -crystalline modification, β-JTSC (ZAJRUB01; Oliveira et al., 2023b), cis-jasmone 4methylthiosemicarbazone, JMTSC (JOFYOW; Oliveira et al., 2024), *cis*-jasmone 4-phenylthiosemicarbazone, JPTSC (QIVYIH; Oliveira et al., 2023a), with cis-jasmone 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone, JETSC (this work) being the fifth. For the Hirshfeld analysis comparison, of the α -JTSC and the β -JTSC crystalline modifications, only β -JTSC was considered and will be designated in the following merely as **JTSC**. Fig. 6 provides the chemical structures of JTSC, JMTSC, JETSC and JPTSC. The Hirshfeld surface fingerprint signatures of the TSC derivatives are drawn as two-dimensional plots (HSFP) and the most relevant contribution for the crystal packing, the $H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ intermolecular interactions, are highlighted (coloured) (Fig. 7). Their contributions for the crystal cohesion are 67.8% for JTSC, 70.6% for JMTSC, 70.7% for the title compound, JETSC, and 65.3% for JPTSC. It might be argued that the methyl and ethyl derivatives show more C-H entities for H...H intermolecular interactions in comparison to the nonsubstituted **JTSC**, and less steric hindrance than the phenyl derivative JPTSC. These structural features would explain the higher values of the H...H contributions to the crystal packing for JMTSC and JETSC, and the lower contributions for **JTSC** and **JPTSC**. In addition, the $H \cdot \cdot \cdot N/N \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ contacts,



Figure 6

Chemical structure formulae of (a) cis-jasmone thiosemicarbazone, **JTSC**, (b) cis-jasmone 4-methylthiosemicarbazone, **JMTSC**, (c) cis-jasmone 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone, **JETSC**, and (d) cis-jasmone 4-phenylthiosemicarbazone, **JPTSC**.



Figure 7

The Hirshfeld surface two-dimensional fingerprint plot (HSFP) signatures for (a) **JTSC** (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023b), (b) **JMTSC** (Oliveira *et al.*, 2024), (c) **JETSC** (this work) and (d) **JPTSC** (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023a). The major contributions for the crystal cohesion in all structures are the $H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ intermolecular interactions, which amount to 67.8%, 70.6%, 70.7% and 65.3%, respectively, and are highlighted (coloured). The d_i (xaxis) and the d_e (y-axis) values are the closest internal and external distances from given points on the Hirshfeld surface contacts (in Å). Regarding the disorder, only the atoms with the highest s.o.f. were considered.

research communications



Figure 8

The Hirshfeld surface two-dimensional fingerprint plot (HSFP) signatures for (a) **JTSC** (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023b), (b) **JMTSC** (Oliveira *et al.*, 2024), (c) **JETSC** (this work) and (d) **JPTSC** (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023a), with the H···N/N···H contacts highlighted (coloured). The d_i (x-axis) and the d_e (y-axis) values are the closest internal and external distances from given points on the Hirshfeld surface contacts (in Å). Regarding the disorder, only the atoms with the highest s.o.f. were considered.

which are important for the supramolecular arrangement of **JETSC** (this work) are clearly represented in the HSFP signature of the crystal structure and do not appear in the same way in the signature of the related compounds (Fig. 8). Although the contributions of the $H \cdot \cdot \cdot N/N \cdot \cdot H$ contacts to the crystal packing for all the jasmone thiosemicarbazone derivatives are very similar in value, within a range of 4.9% to 6.4%, the $H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$ intermolecular interactions are of major importance for the molecular assembly of **JETSC**, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

The influence of the substituent at the terminal N atom on the supramolecular assembly in the crystal structures of jasmone **TSC** derivatives is shown in Figs. 9 and 10. For the non-substituted α - and β -crystalline modifications of *cis*jasmone thiosemicarbazone, a-JTSC (Orsoni et al., 2020) and β -JTSC (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023*b*), the molecules are connected via pairs of H...S interactions into mono-periodic hydrogenbonded ribbons. The crystal structure of *a*-JTSC shows three crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. The molecules are linked by H...S interactions with graph-set motif $R_2^2(8)$ along [100] into two independent onedimensional hydrogen-bonded polymers (Fig. 9a). For β -JTSC, with one crystallographically independent molecule in the asymmetric unit, the molecules are connected by $H \cdots S$ interactions with graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^1(7)$ into monoperiodic hydrogen-bonded ribbons along [010] (Fig. 9b). For the supramolecular assembly of cis-jasmone 4-methylthiosemicarbazone, **JMTSC**, (Oliveira *et al.*, 2024) and of *cis*jasmone 4-phenylthiosemicarbazone, **JPTSC**, (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023*a*), a structural similarity can be observed. In the crystal, the molecules are linked into centrosymmetric dimers by pairs of H···S interactions, in which rings of graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^1(7)$ are present. As a result of the steric hindrance of the methyl and phenyl groups, respectively, the dimers are assembled as discrete units and only weak intermolecular interactions, *viz.*, London dispersion forces can be assumed (Fig. 10*a,b*). The C-H···N intermolecular interactions observed in the crystal structure of the title compound, which cause the increase of the supramolecular dimensionality, are not observed in any of the four crystal structures of closely related molecules mentioned above.



Figure 9

(a) Section of the molecular arrangement of *a*-JTSC (Orsoni *et al.*, 2020). The three crystallographically independent molecules are *A*-, *B*-, and *C*-labelled. They are linked by pairs of N-H···S interactions, with rings of graph-set motif $R_2^2(8)$, into two independent mono-periodic hydrogenbonded ribbons along [100]. [Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) x - 1, y, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (iv) -x, -y, -z + 1.] (b) Section of the molecular arrangement of β -JTSC (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023*b*). The molecular interactions, with rings of graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^1(7)$, into monoperiodic hydrogen-bonded ribbons along [010]. Disorder is not shown for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) -x + 1, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.]



Figure 10

(a) Graphical representation of the **JMTSC** dimeric arrangement. The molecules are connected by pairs of $N-H\cdots S$ and $C-H\cdots S$ intermolecular interactions, with rings of graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^1(7)$, into centrosymmetric dimers. Only the non-disordered **JMTSC-1** dimer is drawn for clarity (Oliveira *et al.*, 2024). [Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z + 2]. (b) Graphical representation of the **JPTSC** dimeric arrangement (Oliveira *et al.*, 2023a). The molecules are linked also *via* pairs of $N-H\cdots S$ and $C-H\cdots S$ intermolecular interactions, with rings of graph-set motifs $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^1(7)$, into centrosymmetric dimers. One $N-H\cdots N$ intramolecular interaction of graph-set S(5) is observed. [Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z.]

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The starting materials are commercially available and were used without further purification. The synthesis of the cisjasmone 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone derivative was adapted from previously reported procedures (Freund & Schander, 1902; Oliveira et al., 2024; Orsoni et al., 2020). cis-Jasmone was dissolved in ethanol under magnetic stirring at room temperature (8 mmol, 1.3139 g, in 50 mL). A solution of 4ethylthiosemicarbazide in ethanol (8 mmol, 0.9535 g, in 50 mL) was prepared under the same conditions. The solutions were combined, the HCl catalyst was added (1 mL, 1 M), and the final mixture was refluxed under magnetic stirring for 8 h. After cooling, the precipitated product was filtered off and washed with cold ethanol. Yield = 0.7431 g (35%). Colourless single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from tetrahydrofuran by slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

Table 2	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{14}H_{23}N_3S$
$M_{\rm r}$	265.41
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	123
a, b, c (Å)	7.4584 (2), 7.7429 (3), 13.2461 (3)
α, β, γ (°)	103.025 (2), 98.735 (2), 90.769 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	735.73 (4)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \ (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.21
Crystal size (mm)	$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.05$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Enraf-Nonius FR590 Kappa CCD
Absorption correction	Analytical (using the de Meulenaer & Tompa algorithm; Alcock, 1970)
Trains Trans	0.944, 0.990
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	13116, 3325, 2810
R _{int}	0.047
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.650
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.034, 0.085, 1.02
No. of reflections	3325
No. of parameters	275
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.29, -0.21

Computer programs: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998), HKL, DENZO and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997), SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006), CrystalExplorer (Wolff et al., 2012), WinGX (Farrugia, 2012), publCIF (Westrip, 2010) and enCIFer (Allen et al., 2004).

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. There is one crystallographically independent molecule in the asymmetric unit of the title compound, which shows disorder over the chain of the *cis*jasmone fragment, *viz*., the C9 and C10 atoms [Fig. 1; siteoccupancy ratio = 0.911 (5):0.089 (5)]. The H atoms were refined freely, with exception of those bonded to C9B and C10B. These constrained H atoms were located in a difference-Fourier map, but were positioned with idealized geometry and refined isotropically using a riding model. For the H atoms attached to atom C9B with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$, the C–H bonds were set to 0.97 Å. For the C10B atom, the methyl H atoms were allowed to rotate but not to tip to best fit the experimental electron density, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C)$, and the C–H bonds were set to 0.96 Å.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the State of North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany. ABO is a former DAAD scholarship holder and *alumnus* of the University of Bonn, Germany, and thanks both of the institutions for the long-time support.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brazil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

References

- Alcock, N. W. (1970). The Analytical Method for Absorption Correction. In: Crystallographic Computing, edited by F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall & C. P. Huber, pp. 271–278. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335–338.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Ansari, A., Badhe, R. A., Babar, D. G. & Garje, S. S. (2022). Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv. 9, 100231.
- Bajaj, K., Buchanan, R. M. & Grapperhaus, C. A. (2021). J. Inorg. Biochem. 225, 111620.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- D'Agostino, I., Mathew, G. E., Angelini, P., Venanzoni, R., Angeles Flores, G., Angeli, A., Carradori, S., Marinacci, B., Menghini, L., Abdelgawad, M. A., Ghoneim, M. M., Mathew, B. & Supuran, C. T. (2022). J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 37, 986–993.
- Farias, R. L., Polez, A. M. R., Silva, D. E. S., Zanetti, R. D., Moreira, M. B., Batista, V. S., Reis, B. L., Nascimento-Júnior, N. M., Rocha, F. V., Lima, M. A., Oliveira, A. B., Ellena, J., Scarim, C. B., Zambom, C. R., Brito, L. D., Garrido, S. S., Melo, A. P. L., Bresolin, L., Tirloni, B., Pereira, J. C. M. & Netto, A. V. G. (2021). *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, **121**, 111815.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Fatondji, H. R., Kpoviessi, S., Gbaguidi, F., Bero, J., Hannaert, V., Quetin-Leclercq, J., Poupaert, J., Moudachirou, M. & Accrombessi, G. C. (2013). *Med. Chem. Res.* 22, 2151–2162.
- Freund, M. & Schander, A. (1902). Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 35, 2602–2606.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Gupta, S., Singh, N., Khan, T. & Joshi, S. (2022). *Results Chem.* 4, 100459.
- Hałdys, K. & Latajka, R. (2019). MedChemComm 10, 378-389.
- Hirshfeld, H. L. (1977). Theor. Chim. Acta, 44, 129-138.
- Jaafar, A., Platas-Iglesias, C. & Bilbeisi, R. A. (2021). *RSC Adv.* **11**, 16192–16199.
- Jamiołkowska, A., Skwaryło-Bednarz, B., Mielniczuk, E., Bisceglie, F., Pelosi, G., Degola, F., Gałązka, A. & Grzęda, E. (2022). Agronomy 12, 116.

- Jiang, X., Fielding, L. A., Davis, H., Carroll, W., Lisic, E. C. & Deweese, J. E. (2023). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24, 12010.
- Kanso, F., Khalil, A., Noureddine, H. & El-Makhour, Y. (2021). Int. Immunopharmacol. 96, 107778.
- Khan, T., Raza, S. & Lawrence, A. J. (2022). *Russ. J. Coord. Chem.* 48, 877–895.
- Lobana, T. S., Sharma, R., Bawa, G. & Khanna, S. (2009). Coord. Chem. Rev. 253, 977–1055.
- Masikane, S. C., Sixberth Mlowe, S., Pawar, A. S., Garje, S. S. & Revaprasadu, N. (2019). *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* 64, 1063–1071.
- Mathew, G. E., Oh, J. M., Mohan, K., Tengli, A., Mathew, B. & Kim, H. (2021). J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. **39**, 4786–4794.
- Monsur Showkot Hossain, A., Méndez-Arriaga, J. M., Gómez-Ruiz, S., Xie, J., Gregory, D. H., Akitsu, T., Ibragimov, A. B., Sun, B. & Xia, C. (2023). *Polyhedron*, **244**, 116576.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Oliveira, A. B. de, Bresolin, L., Beck, J. & Daniels, J. (2023a). *IUCrData*, **8**, x230971.
- Oliveira, A. B. de, Bresolin, L., Beck, J. & Daniels, J. (2024). *IUCr-Data*, **9**, x240013.
- Oliveira, A. B. de, Bresolin, L., Gervini, V. C., Beck, J. & Daniels, J. (2023b). *IUCrData*, **8**, x231018.
- Orsoni, N., Degola, F., Nerva, L., Bisceglie, F., Spadola, G., Chitarra, W., Terzi, V., Delbono, S., Ghizzoni, R., Morcia, C., Jamiołkowska, A., Mielniczuk, E., Restivo, F. M. & Pelosi, G. (2020). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **21**, 8681.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Özbek, O. & Berkel, C. (2023). Polyhedron, 238, 116426.
- Parrilha, G. L., dos Santos, R. G. & Beraldo, H. (2022). Coord. Chem. Rev. 458, 214418.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Silva, R. L. & Martínez-Huitle, C. A. (2021). J. Mol. Liq. 343, 117660.
- Singh, V., Palakkeezhillam, V. N. V., Manakkadan, V., Rasin, P., Valsan, A. K., Kumar, V. S. & Sreekanth, A. (2023). *Polyhedron*, 245, 116658.
- Steiner, T. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 48-76.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., McKinnon, J. J., Turner, M. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2012). *Crystal Explorer 3.1*. University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.
- Zolghadri, S., Bahrami, A., Hassan Khan, M. T., Munoz-Munoz, J., Garcia-Molina, F., Garcia-Canovas, F. & Saboury, A. A. (2019). J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 34, 279–309.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80, 452-458 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989024002913]

Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld analysis of *N*-ethyl-2-{3methyl-2-[(2*Z*)-pent-2-en-1-yl]cyclopent-2-en-1-ylidene}hydrazinecarbothioamide

Adriano Bof de Oliveira, Johannes Beck and Jörg Daniels

Computing details

N-Ethyl-2-{3-methyl-2-[(2Z)-pent-2-en-1-yl]cyclopent-2-en-1-ylidene}hydrazinecarbothioamide

Crystal data

 $C_{14}H_{23}N_{3}S$ $M_{r} = 265.41$ Triclinic, *P*1 *a* = 7.4584 (2) Å *b* = 7.7429 (3) Å *c* = 13.2461 (3) Å *a* = 103.025 (2)° β = 98.735 (2)° γ = 90.769 (2)° *V* = 735.73 (4) Å³

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius FR590 Kappa CCD diffractometer
Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, Enraf Nonius FR590
Horizontally mounted graphite crystal monochromator
Detector resolution: 9 pixels mm⁻¹
CCD rotation images, thick slices, κ–goniostat scans

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ $wR(F^2) = 0.085$ S = 1.023325 reflections 275 parameters 0 restraints Z = 2 F(000) = 288 $D_x = 1.198 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 27549 reflections $\theta = 2.9-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 123 KFragment, colourless $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

Absorption correction: analytical (using the de Meulenaer & Tompa algorithm; Alcock, 1970) $T_{min} = 0.944, T_{max} = 0.990$ 13116 measured reflections 3325 independent reflections 2810 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.047$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.0^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0336P)^2 + 0.2782P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$ $\begin{array}{l} \Delta\rho_{\rm max}=0.28~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min}=-0.21~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$	Occ. (<1)
C1	0.87223 (16)	0.34727 (16)	0.71554 (9)	0.0198 (3)	
C2	0.95725 (17)	0.17051 (17)	0.68820 (10)	0.0224 (3)	
C3	1.14804 (18)	0.20144 (18)	0.75527 (11)	0.0243 (3)	
C4	1.16282 (17)	0.39689 (17)	0.80623 (10)	0.0217 (3)	
C5	1.00677 (16)	0.47688 (17)	0.78541 (9)	0.0207 (3)	
C6	0.96603 (18)	0.66839 (18)	0.82233 (11)	0.0246 (3)	
C7	0.8584 (2)	0.69971 (19)	0.91194 (11)	0.0304 (3)	
C8	0.7226 (2)	0.8050 (2)	0.92470 (12)	0.0328 (3)	
C9A	0.6346 (2)	0.9092 (3)	0.84930 (14)	0.0342 (5)	0.911 (5)
C10A	0.6880 (4)	1.1062 (3)	0.8879 (2)	0.0465 (6)	0.911 (5)
H9A	0.500 (3)	0.894 (3)	0.8412 (16)	0.050 (6)*	0.911 (5)
H9B	0.666 (2)	0.859 (2)	0.7779 (15)	0.036 (5)*	0.911 (5)
H10A	0.823 (3)	1.124 (3)	0.8960 (16)	0.048 (6)*	0.911 (5)
H10B	0.625 (3)	1.173 (3)	0.837 (2)	0.065 (7)*	0.911 (5)
H10C	0.655 (3)	1.149 (3)	0.959 (2)	0.063 (7)*	0.911 (5)
C9B	0.778 (3)	1.006 (2)	0.9103 (13)	0.033 (5)	0.089 (5)
H9C	0.794526	1.092924	0.976673	0.039*	0.089 (5)
H9D	0.886258	1.005830	0.878229	0.039*	0.089 (5)
C10B	0.614 (3)	1.036 (3)	0.839 (2)	0.042 (6)	0.089 (5)
H10D	0.595042	0.941005	0.777614	0.064*	0.089 (5)
H10E	0.630332	1.146535	0.819865	0.064*	0.089 (5)
H10F	0.510340	1.040027	0.874748	0.064*	0.089 (5)
C11	1.33750 (18)	0.4800 (2)	0.87064 (12)	0.0284 (3)	
C12	0.43231 (16)	0.31557 (17)	0.57148 (10)	0.0203 (3)	
C13	0.22713 (17)	0.56201 (18)	0.56951 (11)	0.0226 (3)	
C14	0.2314 (2)	0.75737 (19)	0.62214 (12)	0.0279 (3)	
H2	0.627 (2)	0.157 (2)	0.5899 (13)	0.037 (5)*	
Н3	0.477 (2)	0.548 (2)	0.6476 (12)	0.026 (4)*	
H2A	0.883 (2)	0.072 (2)	0.7024 (12)	0.029 (4)*	
H2B	0.967 (2)	0.139 (2)	0.6138 (13)	0.028 (4)*	
H3A	1.243 (2)	0.167 (2)	0.7122 (12)	0.030 (4)*	
H3B	1.163 (2)	0.132 (2)	0.8094 (12)	0.029 (4)*	
H6A	0.900 (2)	0.712 (2)	0.7621 (12)	0.028 (4)*	
H6B	1.081 (2)	0.739 (2)	0.8457 (12)	0.033 (4)*	
H7	0.899 (3)	0.636 (3)	0.9680 (15)	0.054 (5)*	
H8	0.670 (2)	0.817 (2)	0.9901 (14)	0.040 (5)*	

H11A	1.438 (3)	0.449 (2)	0.8318 (14)	0.045 (5)*
H11B	1.335 (2)	0.609 (3)	0.8935 (14)	0.046 (5)*
H11C	1.366 (3)	0.434 (3)	0.9314 (15)	0.051 (5)*
H13A	0.127 (2)	0.4975 (19)	0.5857 (11)	0.021 (3)*
H13B	0.211 (2)	0.5436 (19)	0.4940 (12)	0.024 (4)*
H14A	0.244 (2)	0.775 (2)	0.6981 (13)	0.032 (4)*
H14B	0.332 (2)	0.821 (2)	0.6068 (13)	0.035 (4)*
H14C	0.118 (2)	0.805 (2)	0.5956 (13)	0.038 (4)*
N1	0.71169 (14)	0.39345 (14)	0.68391 (8)	0.0214 (2)
N2	0.59457 (14)	0.26386 (15)	0.61441 (9)	0.0222 (2)
N3	0.39593 (14)	0.48485 (15)	0.60530 (9)	0.0217 (2)
S1	0.28777 (4)	0.16924 (4)	0.48084 (3)	0.02554 (11)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0176 (6)	0.0227 (6)	0.0190 (6)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0017 (5)	0.0055 (5)
C2	0.0184 (6)	0.0233 (6)	0.0231 (7)	-0.0006 (5)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0031 (5)
C3	0.0187 (6)	0.0257 (7)	0.0261 (7)	0.0019 (5)	-0.0009(5)	0.0039 (5)
C4	0.0192 (6)	0.0255 (6)	0.0196 (6)	-0.0020 (5)	0.0005 (5)	0.0052 (5)
C5	0.0199 (6)	0.0226 (6)	0.0189 (6)	-0.0021 (5)	0.0019 (5)	0.0047 (5)
C6	0.0225 (6)	0.0222 (6)	0.0277 (7)	-0.0017 (5)	0.0010 (5)	0.0048 (5)
C7	0.0354 (8)	0.0288 (7)	0.0275 (7)	0.0040 (6)	0.0050 (6)	0.0075 (6)
C8	0.0354 (8)	0.0311 (8)	0.0325 (8)	0.0031 (6)	0.0095 (6)	0.0058 (6)
C9A	0.0276 (9)	0.0372 (12)	0.0351 (10)	0.0066 (7)	0.0011 (7)	0.0050 (8)
C10A	0.0618 (16)	0.0311 (12)	0.0514 (15)	0.0110 (11)	0.0225 (12)	0.0099 (10)
C9B	0.046 (10)	0.017 (8)	0.032 (9)	0.012 (7)	0.010 (7)	-0.003 (6)
C10B	0.048 (13)	0.037 (14)	0.054 (14)	0.011 (11)	0.043 (12)	0.010 (11)
C11	0.0203 (7)	0.0318 (8)	0.0291 (8)	-0.0025 (6)	-0.0040 (6)	0.0041 (6)
C12	0.0163 (6)	0.0234 (6)	0.0217 (6)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0021 (5)	0.0068 (5)
C13	0.0173 (6)	0.0263 (7)	0.0236 (7)	0.0016 (5)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0070 (5)
C14	0.0239 (7)	0.0276 (7)	0.0306 (8)	0.0051 (6)	0.0024 (6)	0.0043 (6)
N1	0.0184 (5)	0.0227 (5)	0.0212 (5)	-0.0025 (4)	-0.0009 (4)	0.0042 (4)
N2	0.0169 (5)	0.0204 (6)	0.0258 (6)	-0.0002 (4)	-0.0027 (4)	0.0023 (4)
N3	0.0161 (5)	0.0222 (5)	0.0234 (6)	-0.0003 (4)	-0.0028 (4)	0.0021 (4)
S 1	0.01824 (16)	0.02307 (18)	0.03029 (19)	-0.00124 (12)	-0.00422 (12)	0.00120 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N1	1.2899 (16)	C10A—H10B	1.01 (3)	
C1—C5	1.4639 (17)	C10A—H10C	0.99 (2)	
C1—C2	1.5086 (18)	C9B—C10B	1.48 (3)	
С2—С3	1.5436 (17)	C9B—H9C	0.9700	
C2—H2A	1.003 (16)	C9B—H9D	0.9700	
C2—H2B	0.974 (16)	C10B—H10D	0.9600	
C3—C4	1.5068 (18)	C10B—H10E	0.9600	
С3—НЗА	0.977 (16)	C10B—H10F	0.9600	
С3—Н3В	0.980 (16)	C11—H11A	0.973 (19)	

C4—C5	1.3465 (17)	C11—H11B	0.98 (2)
C4—C11	1.4939 (18)	C11—H11C	0.95 (2)
C5—C6	1.5035 (18)	C12—N3	1.3319 (17)
C6—C7	1.509 (2)	C12—N2	1.3620 (16)
C6—H6A	0.998 (16)	C12—S1	1.6877 (13)
C6—H6B	0.980(17)	C13—N3	1 4606 (16)
C7—C8	1 316(2)	C13 - C14	1 5139 (19)
C7—H7	0.99(2)	C13—H13A	0.971(15)
C_{8} C_{9A}	1.502(2)	C13—H13B	0.971(15)
C8-C9B	1.562(2)	C14—H14A	0.975(17)
	0.002(18)	C14 $H14B$	0.973(17)
$C_0 \wedge C_{10} \wedge C_{10}$	1.522(3)		0.903(17)
	1.322(3)	N1 N2	1.2004(15)
COA HOD	0.99(2)	NI-NZ	1.3904(13)
Слад Шал	1.00 (2)	N2—H2	0.873 (18)
CI0A—HI0A	1.00(2)	N3—H3	0.828 (16)
	100.00 (11)		100.0 (1.4)
NI-CI-C5	120.80 (11)	C9A—C10A—H10C	109.0 (14)
NI-CI-C2	129.87 (11)	HI0A—CI0A—HI0C	106.4 (18)
C3—C1—C2	109.28 (10)	H10B—C10A—H10C	111 (2)
C1—C2—C3	103.99 (10)	C10B—C9B—C8	100.0 (14)
C1—C2—H2A	111.9 (9)	C10B—C9B—H9C	111.8
С3—С2—Н2А	113.2 (9)	C8—C9B—H9C	111.8
C1—C2—H2B	110.7 (9)	C10B—C9B—H9D	111.8
C3—C2—H2B	110.4 (9)	C8—C9B—H9D	111.8
H2A—C2—H2B	106.7 (12)	H9C—C9B—H9D	109.5
C4—C3—C2	104.68 (10)	C9B—C10B—H10D	109.5
С4—С3—Н3А	112.1 (9)	C9B-C10B-H10E	109.5
С2—С3—НЗА	111.3 (9)	H10D-C10B-H10E	109.5
С4—С3—Н3В	109.8 (9)	C9B—C10B—H10F	109.5
С2—С3—Н3В	112.2 (9)	H10D-C10B-H10F	109.5
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	106.8 (13)	H10E—C10B—H10F	109.5
C5—C4—C11	127.60 (12)	C4—C11—H11A	110.2 (11)
C5—C4—C3	112.28 (11)	C4—C11—H11B	112.8 (11)
C11—C4—C3	120.11 (11)	H11A—C11—H11B	110.0 (15)
C4—C5—C1	109.42 (11)	C4-C11-H11C	110.6 (12)
C4—C5—C6	128.51 (12)	H11A—C11—H11C	105.0 (15)
C1 - C5 - C6	122.06(11)	H11B—C11—H11C	107.9(15)
C_{5}	1122.00(11) 113.14(11)	N_3 _C12_N2	11644(11)
$C_5 - C_6 - H_{6A}$	109.4 (9)	N3-C12-S1	122.95 (10)
C7_C6_H6A	109.1(9) 110.4(9)	$N_2 - C_{12} - S_1$	120.61 (10)
C_{5} C_{6} H_{6} H_{6}	10.4(9)	$N_2 = C_{12} = S_1$ $N_3 = C_{13} = C_{14}$	120.01(10)
C_{2} C_{6} H_{6} H_{6}	108.3(9) 108.3(0)	$N_{3} = C_{13} = C_{14}$ $N_{2} = C_{13} = H_{12A}$	110.13(11) 108.2(8)
	106.5(9) 106.6(12)	13 - 13 - 113	108.2(8)
$C_{2} C_{1} C_{6}$	100.0(13) 127.15(14)	$N_{1} = C_{1} = U_{1} = U_{1} = U_{1}$	111.2(9)
$C_{0} = C_{1} = U_{1}$	127.13(14) 1176(11)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111 4 (0)
$C_{0} - C_{1} - H_{1}$	11/.0(11)	$U_{14} = U_{13} = H_{13}B$	111.4 (9)
C_{-}	113.2(11) 12(02(15))	$\Pi I J A - U I J - \Pi I J B$	100.7(12)
U/U8U9A	120.93 (13)	C13—C14—H14A	111.1 (9)
С/—С8—С9В	110.7 (6)	C13—C14—H14B	111.1 (10)

С7—С8—Н8	117.8 (10)	H14A—C14—H14B	107.7 (14)
С9А—С8—Н8	115.3 (10)	C13—C14—H14C	108.0 (10)
С9В—С8—Н8	109.2 (11)	H14A—C14—H14C	109.8 (14)
C8—C9A—C10A	111.28 (16)	H14B—C14—H14C	109.1 (14)
С8—С9А—Н9А	110.1 (12)	C1—N1—N2	117.11 (11)
С10А—С9А—Н9А	108.3 (13)	C12—N2—N1	117.59 (11)
С8—С9А—Н9В	109.3 (11)	C12—N2—H2	118.7 (11)
C10A—C9A—H9B	112.1 (11)	N1—N2—H2	122.9 (11)
Н9А—С9А—Н9В	105.6 (16)	C12—N3—C13	123.81 (11)
C9A—C10A—H10A	109.6 (13)	C12—N3—H3	116.1 (11)
C9A—C10A—H10B	109.5 (16)	C13—N3—H3	120.0 (11)
H10A—C10A—H10B	111 (2)		
N1-C1-C2-C3	-177.86 (13)	C1—C5—C6—C7	79.84 (15)
C5-C1-C2-C3	4.82 (14)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-138.74 (16)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-5.70 (13)	С6—С7—С8—С9А	4.5 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	5.04 (15)	C6—C7—C8—C9B	-50.1 (6)
C2-C3-C4-C11	-174.65 (12)	C7—C8—C9A—C10A	-106.5 (2)
C11—C4—C5—C1	177.60 (13)	C7—C8—C9B—C10B	132.7 (10)
C3—C4—C5—C1	-2.06 (15)	C5-C1-N1-N2	177.52 (10)
C11—C4—C5—C6	-1.1 (2)	C2-C1-N1-N2	0.47 (19)
C3—C4—C5—C6	179.29 (12)	N3-C12-N2-N1	-4.03 (17)
N1-C1-C5-C4	-179.51 (11)	S1—C12—N2—N1	176.25 (9)
C2-C1-C5-C4	-1.91 (14)	C1—N1—N2—C12	-172.87 (11)
N1—C1—C5—C6	-0.76 (18)	N2—C12—N3—C13	-178.66 (11)
C2-C1-C5-C6	176.84 (11)	S1—C12—N3—C13	1.04 (18)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-101.67 (16)	C14—C13—N3—C12	-179.07 (12)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N2— $H2$ ···S1 ⁱ	0.873 (18)	2.608 (18)	3.4808 (12)	177.6 (15)
N3—H3…N1	0.828 (16)	2.187 (15)	2.6008 (15)	111.0 (13)
C2— $H2A$ ···S1 ⁱ	1.003 (16)	2.822 (15)	3.3535 (13)	113.7 (10)
C13—H13 <i>B</i> ····N1 ⁱⁱ	0.966 (15)	2.655 (15)	3.5466 (17)	153.5 (12)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.