



Received 30 April 2024 Accepted 13 May 2024

Edited by L. Van Meervelt, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

Keywords: crystal structure; thermoset polymer; polymers; CTE; characterization.

CCDC reference: 2355133

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Nichole Valdez,^a* Eric Nagel,^a Erica Redline,^a Mark Rodriguez,^a Chad Staiger,^a Jason Dugger^a and Jeffrey Foster^b

^aSandia National Laboratories, 1515 Eubank Blvd. SE, Albuquerque, NM 87123, USA, and ^bOak Ridge National Laboratory, 5200 1 Bethel Valley Rd., Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: nrvalde@sandia.gov

The *cis*- form of diaminodibenzocyclooctane (DADBCO, $C_{16}H_{18}N_2$) is of interest as a negative coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) material. The crystal structure was determined through single-crystal X-ray diffraction at 100 K and is presented herein.

1. Chemical context

Thermoset polymers are high performance materials that demonstrate excellent chemical, thermal, and mechanical stability at low weight and cost, making them ubiquitous in a wide range of applications such as insulating layers, encapsulants, adhesives, barriers, and composites (Biron, 2013; Brostow et al., 2014; Dickie et al., 1988; Pascault et al., 2002; Guo, 2018). Because these applications involve an interface between different materials, the thermal expansion behaviors of each constituent must be considered to achieve suitable performance. Most solid materials exhibit a positive coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE), the rate at which thermal expansion occurs during positive temperature change. Large differences in CTE between the various materials in composites and devices results in internal thermomechanical stress at interfaces, which in turn reduces service life and may initiate device failure (Okura et al., 2000; de Vreugd et al., 2010).



One strategy to mitigate CTE incompatibilities is the covalent incorporation of thermally activated contractile units into the polymer (Shen et al., 2013). These units counteract the thermal expansion during heating, reducing the CTE below that of the parent material. Materials capable of zero or even negative CTE are achievable with this method. Dibenzocyclooctane (DBCO) is one such unit, which achieves a thermally activated volume decrease by undergoing a reversible twist-boat to chair conformational change (Shen et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2020). Diaminodibenzocyclooctane (DADBCO), an aminated derivative, is also able to undergo this CTE modifying conformational change. However, it was found that epoxy resins incorporating 2,2'-DADBCO (cis) demonstrated negative CTE behavior while those utilizing 2,3'-DADBCO (trans) did not (Foster et al., 2021). These two materials are not differentiable by most characterization methods including IR, MS, and NMR. In addition, melting



Figure 1

Displacement ellipsoid plot of *cis*-DADBCO with atom labels. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

points are unreliable due to the difficulty of separation of these two isomers.

2. Structural Commentary

The cis-DADBCO molecules (point group C2) crystallizes in the chair conformation in space group Pna21. The structure was determined at 100 K and is illustrated in Fig. 1. The carbon rings are labeled as Ring 1: C11-C16 with nitrogen N1 connected to Ring 1 at C16, Ring 2: C21-C26 with N2 connected to Ring 2 at C26. The center cyclooctane contains carbon atoms: C12, C13, C31-C34, C22, C23. The plane of Ring 1 (C11–C16) makes a 59.9 (1) $^{\circ}$ angle with the plane that contains the four central atoms of the chair cyclooctane, C31-34. Nitrogen N1 is essentially planar with Ring 1 with a deviation from the plane of -0.025 (4) Å. The plane of Ring 2 (C21-26) makes a 56.7 $(1)^{\circ}$ angle with the aforementioned cyclooctane plane. Nitrogen N2 is essentially planar with Ring 2 with a deviation from the plane of 0.026 (4) $^{\circ}$. The puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) of the center cyclooctane are: total puckering amplitude $Q = 0.906 (4) \text{ Å}, q_2 =$ 0.024 (4) Å $\varphi_2 = 146$ (9)°, $q_3 = 0.906$ (4) Å $\varphi_3 = 111.6$ (2)°, $q_4 =$ -0.005 (4) Å.

3. Supramolecular Features

Hydrogen bonding appears to be possible between the two amine groups from one independent molecule to the next



Figure 2

Hydrogen bonding in the crystal packing of the title compound. Incomplete molecules in the range are omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability.

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of rings C11-C16 and C21-C26, respectively.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1B\cdots N2^{i}$	0.86 (4)	2.46 (4)	3.295 (5)	165 (4)
$N2-H2A\cdots N1^{ii}$	0.88	2.46	3.295 (5)	159
$N2-H2B\cdots Cg2^{iii}$	0.88	2.96	3.742 (4)	149
$C14 - H14 \cdots Cg1^{iv}$	0.95	2.84	3.572 (4)	135
$C32-H32A\cdots Cg1^{ii}$	0.99	2.78	3.640 (4)	145
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iv) -	$-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{3}{2}, y $	$\frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$ (ii) $z + \frac{1}{2}.$	-x + 1, -y +	1, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii)

through hydrogen H1*B* on nitrogen N2 to nitrogen N1 (bond length 2.452 Å) and through hydrogen H2*A* on nitrogen N2 to nitrogen N1 (bond length 2.458 Å). This information is summarized in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 2. N–H.. π and C–H.. π interactions are also included in Table 1.

4. Database survey

A search was performed on the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD Version 5.45, March 2024; Groom *et al.*, 2016) using ConQuest (Version 2024.1.0), and this aminated structure was not found. At time of submission there were eight 6,8,6 ring system entries in the CSD, with cyclooctane as the central ring, no additional rings linked, and no chemical substitutions in the core 6,8,6 carbon rings. A search of the chemical formula also yielded no results. An overview of 6,8,6 ring systems can be found in Domiano *et al.* (1992). The 6,8,6 motif also appears in circulene systems, see Miyoshi *et al.* (2022).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

Synthesis of dibenzocyclooctane (DBCO)

The synthesis of DBCO was carried out according to a literature procedure (Franck et al., 2012). An oven-dried three-necked round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with lithium metal (3.30 g, 478 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and 100 mL of anhydrous THF in an Ar-filled glovebox. The flask was sealed with two rubber septa and vacuum adapter with a stopcock. The flask was removed from the glovebox and connected to a Schlenk line. The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser and pressure-equalizing addition funnel under N₂ flow. A solution of α, α' -dibromo-o-xylene (50.2 g, 190 mmol, 1 equiv) in 100 mL of anhydrous THF was prepared and transferred to the addition funnel. This solution was added dropwise to the Li suspension with vigorous stirring under an N_2 atmosphere. After $\sim 1/4$ of this solution had been added, the reaction mixture began to reflux, and the addition was paused to allow the exotherm to subside. After 5 min, the dropwise addition was resumed, taking 1 h to add the remaining solution. The addition funnel was replaced with a glass stopper and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight under N2. Complete consumption of the starting material was confirmed by TLC in petroleum ether. The reaction flask was cooled in an ice bath and the reaction mixture was then carefully filtered over a glass frit to remove unreacted Li. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and was

re-suspended in 200 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The resulting suspension was filtered over a pad of silica gel and the silica gel pad was washed with an additional 200 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The combined filtrates were dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated *in vacuo*, yielding 20.7 g of viscous yellow oil that solidified upon standing. The crude product was further purified *via* Kugelrohr distillation at 463 K under vacuum to afford the pure product as a white crystalline solid.

Synthesis of dinitro dibenzocyclooctane (DNDBCO)

DBCO (4.55 g, 21.9 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in 200 mL of CH₂Cl₂ in a round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar. The flask was fitted with a pressure-equalizing addition funnel and was placed in an ice bath. To the flask was added 25 mL HNO₃ (\sim 20 equiv) dropwise *via* the addition funnel at 273 K. During the addition, the reaction mixture developed a deep red color. The flask was removed from the ice bath and allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, during which time the color of the reaction mixture changed from deep red to yellow-orange. The reaction mixture was poured into a beaker containing 300 mL of cold deionized (DI) H₂O to quench the reaction, and this biphasic mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The aqueous layer was discarded, and the organic layer was washed subsequently with DI H₂O, saturated NaHCO₃ solution $(2\times)$, and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Upon further drying under vacuum, a yellow solid was obtained that was used in the next step without further purification.

Synthesis of diamino dibenzocyclooctane (DADBCO)

A three-necked round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with 10% Pd/C (0.89 g, 5 mol% Pd relative to DNDBCO). The flask was fitted with two rubber septa and a vacuum adapter and was placed under an N₂ atmosphere. To the flask was added 150 mL of MeOH followed by DNDBCO (5.05 g, 16.9 mmol, 1 equiv) under N₂ flow. The rubber septa were replaced with vacuum adapters attached to H2-filled balloons, and the N2 atmosphere was exchanged for H2 via five vacuum/H2-back-fill cycles. The reaction mixture was then stirred overnight at room temperature under H₂, over which time the solid DNDBCO slowly dissolved. The complete consumption of the starting material was confirmed by TLC in 2:1 hexane/ethyl acetate. The reaction mixture was filtered over a pad of celite to remove the Pd/C and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting dark-red oil was redissolved in 1M HCl solution and was washed with CH₂Cl₂ $(3\times)$. The pH of the solution was then adjusted to pH >10 using $\sim 4M$ NaOH solution, and the precipitated product was extracted with EtOAc $(2\times)$. The combined EtOAc solutions were washed with DI H₂O and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Further drying under vacuum afforded the product as a light-pink solid (3.05 g, 76% yield). Note: DADBCO was obtained as a mixture of isomers, where the ratio of *ortho/meta* anilines was \sim 2:3. The various isomers could be isolated via exhaustive silica gel chromatography, eluting with a gradient from 0–50% EtOAc in hexane. In particular, the 2,2' and 2,3' isomers were suspected as the last and second to last compounds that eluted from the

Experimental d	letails.
----------------	----------

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{16}H_{18}N_2$
M _r	238.32
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pna21
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	8.8641 (3), 22.0075 (6), 6.2771 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	1224.52 (7)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.59
Crystal size (mm)	$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.01$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.459, 0.754
No. of measured, independent and	39813, 2060, 1781
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.118
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.588
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.046, 0.106, 1.08
No. of reflections	2060
No. of parameters	173
No. of restraints	172
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$, $\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.18, -0.22
Absolute structure	Refined as an inversion twin
Absolute structure parameter	0.3 (12)

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2019), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

column, respectively. A suitable crystal of the 2,2' material was grown for XRD analysis by allowing a saturated hot toluene solution to cool to room temperature over several hours followed by further cooling to 273 K.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection, and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The hydrogen atoms on N2 were placed with a riding-bond model, whereas the hydrogen atoms on N1 were placed manually to match observed electron density. The distance of the manually placed atoms was constrained with DFIX and for the hydrogen atoms on N1 the isotropic thermal parameters were refined without constraints. All other H atoms were generated *via* the riding-bond model and refined with $U(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C/N)$. The absolute structure was not determined due to the absence of heavy atoms (Flack parameter = 0.3), and the inversion twin law was used for refinement.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program at Sandia National Laboratories, a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell Inter-

research communications

national Inc. for the US Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under Contract DE-NA0003525. This paper describes objective technical results and analysis. Any subjective views or opinions that might be expressed in the paper do not necessarily represent the views of the US Department of Energy or the United States Government.

References

- Biron, M. (2013). Editor. Thermosets and Composites, 2nd ed., ch. 6 Composites, pp 299–243. Oxford: William Andrew Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-3124-4.00006-7
- Brostow, W., Goodman, S. & Wahrmund, J. (2014). Handbook of Thermoset Plastics, 3rd ed., edited by H. Dodiuk & S. Goodman, ch. 8 Epoxies, pp 191–252. Boston: William Andrew Publishing. https:// doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-3107-7.00008-7
- Bruker (2019). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354–1358.

- Dickie, R., Labana, S. & Bauer, R. (1988). Editors. Cross-Linked Polymers: Chemistry, Properties, and Applications, vol 367. Washington, D. C.: American Chemical Society. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/bk-1988-0367.fw001
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Domiano, P., Cozzini, P., Claramunt, R. M., Lavandera, J. L., Sanz, D. & Elguero, J. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1609–1620.

- Foster, J., Staiger, C., Dugger, J. & Redline, E. (2021). ACS Macro Lett. 10, 940–944.
- Franck, G., Brill, M. & Helmchen, G. (2012). Org. Syn. 89, 55-65.
- Fu, W., Alam, T., Li, J., Bustamante, J., Lien, T., Adams, R. W., Teat, S. J., Stokes, B., Yang, W., Liu, Y. & Lu, J. (2020). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 142, 16651–16660.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Guo, Q. (2018). Editor.*Thermosets*, 2nd ed., ch. 8–13. Amsterdam: Elsevier. ISBN: 978-0081010211
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Miyoshi, H., Sugiura, R., Kishi, R., Spisak, S. N., Wei, Z., Muranaka, A., Uchiyama, M., Kobayashi, N., Chatterjee, S., Ie, Y., Hisaki, I., Petrukhina, M. A., Nishinaga, T., Nakano, M. & Tobe, Y. (2022). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **61**, e202115316.
- Okura, J., Shetty, S., Ramakrishnan, B., Dasgupta, A., Caers, J. F. J. M. & Reinikainen, T. (2000). *Microelectron. Reliab.* **40**, 1173–1180.
- Pascault, J., Sautereau, H., Verdu, J. & Williams, R. (2002). Thermosetting Polymers. New York: CRC Press. ISBN: 978-0429208072
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Shen, X., Viney, C., Johnson, E., Wang, C. & Lu, J. (2013). *Nat. Chem.* **5**, 1035–1041.
- Vreugd, J. de, Jansen, K., Ernst, L. & Bohm, C. (2010). *Microelectron. Reliab.* 50, 910–916.
- Wang, Z., Huang, Y., Guo, J., Li, Z., Xu, J., Lu, J. & Wang, C. (2018). Macromolecules, 51, 1377–1385.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80, 645-648 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989024004468]

Synthesis and crystal structure of 2,9-diamino-5,6,11,12-tetrahydro-

dibenzo[a,e]cyclooctene

Nichole Valdez, Eric Nagel, Erica Redline, Mark Rodriguez, Chad Staiger, Jason Dugger and Jeffrey Foster

Computing details

2,9-Diamino-5,6,11,12-tetrahydrodibenzo[a,e][8]annulene

Crystal data

C₁₆H₁₈N₂ $M_r = 238.32$ Orthorhombic, *Pna*2₁ a = 8.8641 (3) Å b = 22.0075 (6) Å c = 6.2771 (2) Å V = 1224.52 (7) Å³ Z = 4F(000) = 512

Data collection

```
Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
\varphi and \omega scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min} = 0.459, T_{\max} = 0.754
39813 measured reflections
```

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$ $wR(F^2) = 0.106$ S = 1.082060 reflections 173 parameters 172 restraints Primary atom site location: shelXT Hydrogen site location: mixed $D_x = 1.293 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 8510 reflections $\theta = 4.0-73.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.59 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KNeedle, clear light green $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$

2060 independent reflections 1781 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.118$ $\theta_{max} = 65.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 4.0^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -25 \rightarrow 25$ $l = -6 \rightarrow 7$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0353P)^2 + 0.9951P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.18 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Refined as an inversion twin Absolute structure parameter: 0.3 (12)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refined as a 2-component inversion twin.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
N1	0.8644 (4)	0.33304 (16)	0.4674 (6)	0.0276 (8)
H1A	0.855 (5)	0.325 (2)	0.332 (4)	0.058 (18)*
H1B	0.950 (4)	0.321 (2)	0.516 (7)	0.052 (16)*
N2	0.3459 (4)	0.76938 (14)	0.2091 (6)	0.0295 (9)
H2A	0.293746	0.748604	0.114219	0.035*
H2B	0.284518	0.793724	0.279538	0.035*
C11	0.7832 (4)	0.43707 (17)	0.3906 (6)	0.0205 (9)
H11	0.750058	0.424326	0.253757	0.025*
C12	0.7645 (4)	0.49766 (16)	0.4496 (6)	0.0181 (8)
C13	0.8118 (4)	0.51680 (17)	0.6518 (6)	0.0186 (8)
C14	0.8763 (4)	0.47369 (16)	0.7896 (7)	0.0211 (8)
H14	0.908901	0.485974	0.927203	0.025*
C15	0.8932 (4)	0.41367 (17)	0.7284 (7)	0.0228 (9)
H15	0.935284	0.385279	0.825644	0.027*
C16	0.8497 (4)	0.39431 (17)	0.5276 (7)	0.0217 (9)
C31	0.6953 (4)	0.54170 (17)	0.2940 (6)	0.0195 (8)
H31A	0.692418	0.522457	0.151541	0.023*
H31B	0.760925	0.577985	0.284019	0.023*
C32	0.5330 (4)	0.56256 (16)	0.3539 (6)	0.0192 (8)
H32A	0.467057	0.556422	0.228475	0.023*
H32B	0.495208	0.535789	0.468896	0.023*
C33	0.7993 (4)	0.58216 (16)	0.7233 (7)	0.0214 (8)
H33A	0.836432	0.608605	0.606980	0.026*
H33B	0.866689	0.588157	0.847236	0.026*
C34	0.6377 (4)	0.60340 (17)	0.7861 (6)	0.0217 (8)
H34A	0.572848	0.567063	0.802213	0.026*
H34B	0.642990	0.623702	0.926614	0.026*
C21	0.4468 (4)	0.66922 (16)	0.2906 (7)	0.0205 (8)
H21	0.418445	0.656557	0.151499	0.025*
C22	0.5171 (4)	0.62758 (17)	0.4262 (6)	0.0182 (8)
C23	0.5629 (4)	0.64650 (17)	0.6289 (6)	0.0213 (8)
C24	0.5334 (5)	0.70611 (17)	0.6907 (7)	0.0269 (9)
H24	0.564002	0.719258	0.828207	0.032*
C25	0.4600 (5)	0.74720 (19)	0.5557 (7)	0.0287 (10)
H25	0.439745	0.787438	0.602401	0.034*
C26	0.4170 (4)	0.72879 (17)	0.3533 (7)	0.0235 (9)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0259 (19)	0.0224 (18)	0.034 (2)	0.0023 (15)	0.0022 (17)	-0.0011 (16)
N2	0.0270 (18)	0.0243 (18)	0.037 (2)	0.0035 (14)	-0.0119 (16)	0.0037 (15)
C11	0.0109 (18)	0.026 (2)	0.025 (2)	-0.0010 (15)	-0.0004 (15)	0.0018 (16)
C12	0.0108 (17)	0.0217 (19)	0.022 (2)	0.0020 (15)	0.0000 (16)	0.0021 (16)
C13	0.0106 (17)	0.0234 (18)	0.022 (2)	0.0013 (15)	0.0018 (16)	-0.0004 (16)
C14	0.0129 (17)	0.027 (2)	0.023 (2)	-0.0011 (15)	0.0004 (16)	0.0019 (17)
C15	0.0153 (18)	0.027 (2)	0.026 (2)	0.0022 (16)	0.0008 (17)	0.0042 (18)
C16	0.0179 (19)	0.0185 (19)	0.029 (2)	0.0003 (15)	0.0041 (17)	0.0010 (17)
C31	0.0152 (18)	0.0242 (19)	0.019 (2)	0.0001 (15)	-0.0003 (16)	-0.0019 (16)
C32	0.0140 (17)	0.0197 (19)	0.024 (2)	-0.0009 (14)	-0.0024 (16)	-0.0010 (15)
C33	0.0175 (18)	0.0240 (19)	0.023 (2)	-0.0017 (15)	0.0000 (17)	-0.0019 (16)
C34	0.0232 (19)	0.0210 (18)	0.021 (2)	0.0029 (16)	-0.0043 (17)	-0.0023 (16)
C21	0.0157 (18)	0.0207 (18)	0.025 (2)	-0.0007 (15)	-0.0031 (17)	0.0004 (16)
C22	0.0141 (18)	0.0189 (19)	0.022 (2)	-0.0010 (14)	0.0011 (16)	0.0018 (15)
C23	0.0186 (19)	0.0215 (19)	0.024 (2)	0.0000 (15)	-0.0030 (17)	-0.0006 (16)
C24	0.031 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.027 (2)	0.0030 (18)	-0.0071 (17)	-0.0039 (18)
C25	0.032 (2)	0.022 (2)	0.032 (3)	0.0022 (18)	-0.0055 (19)	-0.0045 (18)
C26	0.0185 (19)	0.0217 (19)	0.030(2)	-0.0010 (16)	-0.0073(17)	0.0034 (17)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—H1A	0.87 (2)	C31—C32	1.556 (5)	
N1—H1B	0.86 (2)	C32—H32A	0.9900	
N1-C16	1.406 (5)	C32—H32B	0.9900	
N2—H2A	0.8817	C32—C22	1.508 (5)	
N2—H2B	0.8824	С33—Н33А	0.9900	
N2-C26	1.419 (5)	С33—Н33В	0.9900	
С11—Н11	0.9500	C33—C34	1.557 (5)	
C11—C12	1.394 (5)	C34—H34A	0.9900	
C11—C16	1.404 (5)	C34—H34B	0.9900	
C12—C13	1.401 (5)	C34—C23	1.520 (5)	
C12—C31	1.506 (5)	C21—H21	0.9500	
C13—C14	1.406 (5)	C21—C22	1.398 (5)	
C13—C33	1.511 (5)	C21—C26	1.394 (5)	
C14—H14	0.9500	C22—C23	1.399 (6)	
C14—C15	1.384 (5)	C23—C24	1.393 (5)	
С15—Н15	0.9500	C24—H24	0.9500	
C15—C16	1.385 (6)	C24—C25	1.400 (6)	
C31—H31A	0.9900	C25—H25	0.9500	
C31—H31B	0.9900	C25—C26	1.387 (6)	
H1A—N1—H1B	111 (4)	C22—C32—C31	116.1 (3)	
C16—N1—H1A	116 (3)	С22—С32—Н32А	108.3	
C16—N1—H1B	107 (3)	C22—C32—H32B	108.3	
H2A—N2—H2B	109.3	C13—C33—H33A	108.4	

C26—N2—H2A	109.7	С13—С33—Н33В	108.4
C26—N2—H2B	109.6	C13—C33—C34	115.4 (3)
C12—C11—H11	119.1	H33A—C33—H33B	107.5
C12—C11—C16	121.9 (4)	С34—С33—Н33А	108.4
C16—C11—H11	119.1	С34—С33—Н33В	108.4
C11—C12—C13	119.5 (4)	С33—С34—Н34А	108.5
C11—C12—C31	119.5 (4)	C33—C34—H34B	108.5
C13—C12—C31	121.0 (3)	H34A—C34—H34B	107.5
C12—C13—C14	118.4 (4)	C23—C34—C33	115.1 (3)
C12—C13—C33	122.2 (3)	С23—С34—Н34А	108.5
C14—C13—C33	119.3 (3)	C23—C34—H34B	108.5
C13—C14—H14	119.4	C22—C21—H21	119.0
C15—C14—C13	121.1 (4)	C26—C21—H21	119.0
C15—C14—H14	119.4	C26—C21—C22	121.9 (4)
C14—C15—H15	119.5	$C_{21} - C_{22} - C_{32}$	118.7 (3)
C14-C15-C16	121.1 (4)	$C_{21} - C_{22} - C_{23}$	119.2 (3)
C16—C15—H15	119.5	C_{23} C_{22} C_{32}	121.9(3)
C11-C16-N1	121 1 (4)	C^{22} C^{23} C^{34}	121.9(3) 1221(3)
C15-C16-N1	121.1(4) 120.9(4)	$C_{22} = C_{23} = C_{34}$	122.1(3) 1193(4)
$C_{15} - C_{16} - C_{11}$	117.9(3)	$C_{24} = C_{23} = C_{24}$	119.5(4) 118.6(4)
C_{12} C_{31} H_{31A}	108 7	C_{23} C_{24} C_{23} C_{24} H_{24}	110.0 (4)
C12 = C31 = H31R	108.7	C_{23} C_{24} C_{25} C_{24} C_{25}	121 8 (4)
$C_{12} = C_{31} = C_{32}$	114.2 (3)	$C_{25} = C_{24} = C_{25}$	110 1
$H_{31A} = C_{31} = H_{31B}$	107.6	$C_{23} = C_{24} = H_{24}$	120.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	107.0	$C_{24} = C_{23} = M_{23}$	120.2 110.6 (4)
C_{32} C_{31} H_{31R}	108.7	$C_{20} = C_{23} = C_{24}$	119.0 (4)
C_{21} C_{22} H_{22A}	108.7	$C_{20} = C_{23} = M_{23}$	120.2 110.7 (4)
$C_{31} = C_{32} = H_{32R}$	108.5	$C_{21} = C_{20} = N_2$	119.7(4) 121.5(4)
$C_{31} - C_{32} - H_{32D}$	107.4	$C_{25} = C_{20} = N_2$	121.3(4)
П32А—С32—П32В	107.4	C25-C26-C21	118.8 (4)
C11—C12—C13—C14	-0.3 (5)	C31—C32—C22—C21	108.3 (4)
C11—C12—C13—C33	178.1 (3)	C31—C32—C22—C23	-75.3 (4)
C11—C12—C31—C32	108.7 (4)	C32—C22—C23—C34	2.6 (5)
C12—C11—C16—N1	178.4 (3)	C32—C22—C23—C24	-174.8(4)
C12—C11—C16—C15	1.8 (5)	C33—C13—C14—C15	-178.4(3)
C12—C13—C14—C15	0.0 (5)	C33—C34—C23—C22	68.8 (5)
C12—C13—C33—C34	76.1 (5)	C33—C34—C23—C24	-113.8(4)
C12—C31—C32—C22	109.5 (4)	C34—C23—C24—C25	-177.7 (4)
C13—C12—C31—C32	-71.9 (4)	C21—C22—C23—C34	179.0 (3)
C13—C14—C15—C16	1.3 (5)	C21—C22—C23—C24	1.6 (5)
C13—C33—C34—C23	-107.9 (4)	C22—C21—C26—N2	179.7 (3)
C14—C13—C33—C34	-105.6 (4)	C22—C21—C26—C25	0.7 (6)
C14—C15—C16—N1	-178.8(3)	C22—C23—C24—C25	-0.2(6)
C14—C15—C16—C11	-2.1 (5)	C23—C24—C25—C26	-1.0 (6)
C16—C11—C12—C13	-0.6 (5)	C24—C25—C26—N2	-178.3 (4)
C16—C11—C12—C31	178.8 (3)	C24—C25—C26—C21	0.7 (6)
C31—C12—C13—C14	-179.7 (3)	C26—C21—C22—C32	174.7 (3)
C31—C12—C13—C33	-1.3 (5)	C26—C21—C22—C23	-1.9 (5)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
N1—H1B···N2 ⁱ	0.86 (4)	2.46 (4)	3.295 (5)	165 (4)
N2—H2A····N1 ⁱⁱ	0.88	2.46	3.295 (5)	159
N2—H2 B ··· $Cg2^{iii}$	0.88	2.96	3.742 (4)	149
C14—H14···· $Cg1^{iv}$	0.95	2.84	3.572 (4)	135
C32—H32 <i>A</i> ··· <i>Cg</i> 1 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.78	3.640 (4)	145

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of rings C11–C16 and C21–C26, respectively.

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+3/2, y-1/2, z+1/2; (ii) -x+1, -y+1, z-1/2; (iii) -x-1/2, y+3/2, z+1/2; (iv) -x+2, -y+1, z+1/2.