

CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS

ISSN 2056-9890

Received 13 May 2024 Accepted 12 August 2024

Edited by S. P. Kelley, University of Missouri-Columbia, USA

Keywords: hydrazone; crystal structure; copper complexes; Hirshfeld surface; hydrogen bonds.

CCDC reference: 2377149

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of $[Cu(H_2L)_2(\mu-Cl)CuCl_3] \cdot H_2O [H_2L = 2-hydroxy-N'-(propan-2-ylidene)benzohydrazide]$

Imededdine Boulguemh, Asma Lehleh, Chahrazed Beghidja and Adel Beghidja*

Unité de Recherche de Chimie de l'Environnement et Moléculaire Structurale (CHEMS), Université Constantine 1 - Frères Mentouri, 25017, Constantine, Algeria. *Correspondence e-mail: beghidja@umc.edu.dz

The present study focuses on the synthesis and structural characterization of a novel dinuclear Cu^{II} complex, [trichloridocopper(II)]- μ -chlorido-{bis[2hvdroxy-N'-(propan-2-vlidene)benzohvdrazide]copper(II)} monohydrate. $[Cu_2Cl_4(C_{10}H_{12}N_2O_2)_2] \cdot H_2O$ or $[Cu(H_2L)_2(\mu-Cl)CuCl_3] \cdot H_2O$ $[H_2L = 2-hy$ droxy-N'-(propan-2-ylidene)benzohydrazide]. The complex crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with one molecule of water, which forms interactions with the ligands. The first copper ion is penta-coordinated to two benzohydrazine-derived ligands via two nitrogen and two oxygen atoms, and one bridging chloride, which is also coordinated by the second copper ion alongside three terminal chlorines in a distorted tetrahedral geometry. The arrangement around the first copper ion exhibits a distorted geometry intermediate between trigonal bipyramidal and square pyramidal. In the crystal, chains are formed *via* intermolecular interactions along the *a*-axis direction, with subsequent layers constructed through hydrogen-bonding interactions parallel to the *ac* plane, and through slipped π - π stacking interactions parallel to the *ab* plane, resulting in a three-dimensional network. The intermolecular interactions in the crystal structure were quantified and analysed using Hirshfeld surface analysis. Residual electron density from disordered methanol molecules in the void space could not be reasonably modelled, thus a solvent mask was applied.

1. Chemical context

Schiff bases are organic compounds that have important applications in many areas of chemistry, including organic synthesis and inorganic chemistry (Sinicropi *et al.*, 2022). Over the years, Schiff bases have gained a lot of popularity as chelating ligands in coordination chemistry with transition metals, due to their versatility and ability to act as multiple linkers and their stability under various oxidizing and reducing conditions (DeepikaVerma *et al.*, 2023). These ligands make excellent coordination molecules and can show variety in structures with metal complexes (Guo *et al.*, 2011), thus leading to a variety of properties (DeepikaVerma *et al.*, 2023).

Hydrazone ligands constitute a distinct category of Schiff bases, arising from the condensation reaction involving hydrazine and either an aldehyde or a ketone in the presence of an acid or a base. The literature has reported that coordination complexes formed between hydrazones and metals can be used in several areas, such as in catalysis for various reactions (Dile *et al.*, 2016), as materials for gas adsorption (Roztocki *et al.*, 2016), for the detection of heavy metals in the environment (Sharma *et al.*, 2019), in electrochemistry (Toledano-Magaña *et al.*, 2018). In addition, these complexes are widely studied in pharmaceutical chemistry, (Haider & Khan, 2022) due to their potential as bioactive compounds, especially as anticancer (Šermukšnytė *et al.*, 2022; Gaur *et al.*, 2022), antituberculosis (Mathew *et al.*, 2015; Teneva *et al.*, 2023) and antifungal agents (Kajal *et al.*, 2014) (Yankin *et al.*, 2022), as well as for the design of drugs against Alzheimer's disease (Boulguemh *et al.*, 2020) and Parkinson's disease (Kondeva-Burdina *et al.*, 2022).



As a continuation of our research on the synthesis and the study of the biological and magnetic properties of new Schiff base-type ligands and their complexes (Ouilia *et al.*, 2012; Boussadia *et al.*, 2020; Boulguemh *et al.*, 2020), we report here the synthesis, structural characterization and Hirshfeld surface analysis of a new dinuclear copper(II) complex $[Cu(H_2L)_2(\mu-Cl)CuCl_3]$ ·H₂O with a hydrazine ligand (H₂L = 2-hydroxy-N'-(propan-2-vlidene)benzohydrazide).



Figure 1

The title compound showing the atom-labelling scheme with ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

 Table 1

 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

0	1 ()	/	
Cu1-Cl1	2.5001 (14)	Cu2-Cl1	2.2897 (15)
Cu1-O1	1.971 (3)	Cu2-Cl2	2.2601 (12)
Cu1-O3	1.959 (3)	Cu2-Cl3	2.2209 (16)
Cu1-N2	1.999 (4)	Cu2-Cl4	2.2269 (13)
Cu1-N4	2.009 (4)		
Cl1-Cu1-O1	108.38 (10)	N2-Cu1-N4	173.85 (15)
Cl1-Cu1-O3	108.44 (11)	Cl1-Cu2-Cl2	99.52 (5)
Cl1-Cu1-N2	96.87 (11)	Cl1-Cu2-Cl3	135.68 (6)
Cl1-Cu1-N4	89.26 (11)	Cl1-Cu2-Cl4	94.92 (5)
O1-Cu1-O3	143.06 (14)	Cl2-Cu2-Cl3	98.34 (5)
O1-Cu1-N2	81.01 (13)	Cl2-Cu2-Cl4	137.89 (5)
O1-Cu1-N4	96.61 (13)	Cl3-Cu2-Cl4	98.32 (5)
O3-Cu1-N2	97.49 (13)	Cu1-Cl1-Cu2	135.00 (5)
O3-Cu1-N4	80.97 (12)		

2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, which comprises a dinuclear Cu^{II} complex and one water solvation molecule, is illustrated in Fig. 1. The first copper ion Cu1 is in pentacoordinated environment with trigonality index parameter τ_5 = 0.516. The tau value for pentacoordinated complexes is calculated using the equation elaborated by Addison et al. (1984): $\tau_5 = (\beta - \alpha)/60$, where α and β are the largest basal angles. τ_5 equals 1 for an ideal trigonal bipyramid and 0 for a square-pyramidal coordination. The coordination geometry around the Cu1 ion lies between a distorted trigonal bipyramidal and square pyramidal. The copper ion Cu1 is coordinated to the two carbonyl oxygen atoms O1 and O3, and the two imine nitrogens N2 and N4 from two bidentate chelating H_2L ligands. The fifth coordination site is occupied by a bridging chloride Cl1 with a Cu1-Cl1 distance of 2.5001 (14) Å, consistent with literature values (Comba et al., 1988). The Cu1-O bond lengths are Cu1-O1 = 1.971 (3) Å and Cu1-O3 = 1.959 (3) Å, while the Cu1-N2 and Cu1-N4bond lengths are 1.999 (4) and 2.009 (4) Å, respectively. The distorted tetrahedral site around the second copper ion, Cu2, is occupied by three terminal chloride ions, Cl2, Cl3, and Cl4 and a bridging chloride ion Cl1. The terminal Cu-Cl bond distances range from 2.2209 (16) to 2.2601 (12) Å, while the Cu-Cl bridging bond is slightly longer, with a Cu2-Cl1 distance of 2.2897 (15) Å (Table 1). These distances are comparable to those observed for other tetrachlorometallate (Vasilevesky et al., 1991; Ramos Silva et al., 2005; Comba et al., 1988). The geometry index for tetracoordinated copper ions, τ_4 , is calculated as $[360^\circ - (\alpha + \beta)]/141^\circ$ (Yang *et al.*, 2007), inspired by the τ_5 index for five-coordinate complexes developed by Addison and Reedijk (Addison et al., 1984). The values of τ_4 range from 1 for a perfect tetrahedral geometry to 0 for a perfect square-planar geometry. For the tetracoordinated coordination geometry around the Cu2 ion, $\tau_4 = 0.61$, indicating a very distorted tetrahedral geometry (Yang et al., 2007). This distortion has been noted in numerous salts containing $[CuCl_4]^{2-}$ ions, with some displaying thermochromic properties attributed to the deformation of tetrachlorometallate ions in response to temperature changes (Willett et al., 1974).

Table 2Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O2-H2\cdots O1W$	0.82	1.79	2.606 (6)	171
$N1 - H1 \cdots O2$	0.86	1.94	2.597 (5)	132
$N3-H3\cdots O4$	0.86	1.96	2.612 (5)	132
$O1W-H1WA\cdots O3^{i}$	0.85	2.53	3.375 (6)	170
$O1W-H1WA\cdots N3^{i}$	0.85	2.59	3.303 (7)	142
$O1W-H1WB\cdots Cl3^{ii}$	0.85	2.38	3.195 (6)	160
$O4-H4\cdots Cl2^{iii}$	0.82	2.40	3.215 (3)	175
$C7-H7\cdots O1$	0.93	2.44	2.762 (5)	1
C10−H10C···O3	0.96	2.35	3.099 (6)	135
C20−H20C···O1	0.96	2.41	3.043 (5)	123
Symmetry codes: (i) -	x + 2 - y + 1	-7 ± 1 (ii)	-r + 1 - v + 1	1 - 7 + 1 (iii)

 $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$

The Cu1-Cl1-Cu2 bridging angle of 135.00 (5)° is larger than those observed in the literature for yellow terminal tetrachlorometallate ligands (Ramos Silva et al., 2005). However, the intermetallic Cu...Cu distance observed in the title compound [4.426 (8) Å] is within the range observed for similar compounds (Comba et al., 1988; Ramos Silva et al., 2005). Some correlations between the magnetic and structural parameters for mono- μ -chloro-copper chains have been observed, while the magnetic and structural data suggest a limited number of exchange pathways (van Albada et al., 2004; Alves et al., 2009). However, following these correlations, overall ferromagnetic behaviour can be expected for values of the quotient φ/R (where φ is the Cu–Cl–Cu bridge angle and *R* is the Cu–Cl long bond length) lower than approximately 40 and higher than 57, whereas antiferromagnetic behaviour is observed when this quotient φ/R is between these two values. However, the terminal halometallate counter-ion has no impact on the nature of the interaction. In the title compound, the Cu-Cl-Cu bond angle is $135.00(5)^\circ$, with Cu-Cl distances of 2.5001 (14) and 2.2897 (15) Å, resulting in φ/R ratios of 54 and 59, respectively. These values suggest antiferromagnetic behaviour.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the supramolecular network consists of an extensive set of intra- and intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions (numerical details are given in Table 2). Two intramolecular hydrogen bonds are formed between the imine N1 and N3 atoms and phenolic O2, O4 atoms of the ligand *via* the respective hydrogen atoms H1 and H3 (Fig. 1). While the carbon donor atoms C10 and C20 of the methyl groups are



Figure 2

Partial view of the crystal structure showing the intermolecular hydrogen bonds (indicated by green dashed lines) forming infinite chains propagating along the *a*-axis direction.



Figure 3

Molecular view of the arrangement of chains (a) via $R_4^4(20)$ and $R_4^4(24)$ rings and (b) $R_4^6(30)$ rings along the *ac* plane. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed green lines.

involved in hydrogen bonds with the acceptor atoms O3 and O1, respectively, of the carbonyl groups *via* the H10*C* and H20*C* atoms (Fig. 1). The solvent water molecule is linked to the complex molecule *via* the oxygen atom O2 of the phenolic group by a O2-H2 \cdots O1*W* hydrogen bond (Fig. 1).

The complex molecules are connected *via* two hydrogen bonds involving the water molecule, $O1W-H1WB\cdots Cl3$ and $O1W-H1WA\cdots O3$, leading to chains propagating along the *a*-axis direction (Fig. 2). The two-dimensional arrangement parallel to the *ac* plane is established by connecting two adjacent chains through two types of patterns. The first arrangement is formed by a succession of $R_4^4(20)$ and $R_4^4(24)$ rings, and the second one through a succession of $R_6^4(30)$ rings (Fig. 3). The first two ring structures are formed by two water and two complex molecules, except for the third ring, which is formed by two solvent water and four complex molecules.

In the first arrangement, the two water molecules act as acceptor and donor, forming $R_4^4(20)$ and $R_4^4(24)$ rings. $O2-H2\cdots O1W-H1WA\cdots O3$ interactions are observed in the first ring and $O2-H2\cdots O1W-H1WB\cdots C13$ in the second ring (Fig. 3*a*). The second arrangement of interconnected chains is generated by a succession of $R_6^4(30)$ rings, where the two water molecules act as donors in $C13\cdots H1WB$ $-O1W-H1WA\cdots O3$ interactions, and two phenol donor groups in $O4-H4\cdots C12$ interactions (Fig. 3*b*).



Figure 4

Crystal packing of the title compound shown in a projection of the twodimensional network connected through hydrogen bonds (shown as dashed green lines).

research communications



Figure 5

Crystal packing of the title compound showing the 3D network and the chains parallel to the *b* axis formed by π - π stacking interactions between the aromatic rings of the ligands (shown in red).

The junction between the resulting two double chains *via* hydrogen bonds O4—H4···Cl2 and C10—H10A···Cl4 establishes two-dimensional layers parallel to the *ac* plane (Fig. 4). Slipped π – π stacking interactions are also observed in this structure, involving the aromatic rings of the ligands with an intercentroid distance $Cg1\cdots Cg2(\frac{3}{2}-x, -\frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z)$ of 3.683 (3) Å (where Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C2–C7 and C12–C17 rings, respectively), resulting in a three-dimensional network by linking chains along the *b* axis (Fig. 5).

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.45, updated in November 2023; Groom *et al.*, 2016), revealed that crystal structures have been reported for complexes of several hydrazone derivatives with various metal ions, such as copper (Balsa *et al.*, 2021), zinc (Dasgupta *et al.*, 2020), cadmium (Govindaiah *et al.*, 2021), cobalt (Han *et al.*, 2020), magnesium (Khandar *et al.*, 2019). Only one complex based on copper and benzoic acid, 2-(1-methylethylidene)hydrazide has been reported (Mohamad *et al.*, 2019). No complexes containing two copper ions connected to each other by a chlorine atom and coordinated to two molecules of acetone hydrazone have been documented in the CSD.

To the best of our knowledge, there are only a few examples of asymmetric binuclear copper-based complexes reported in the CSD with some instances where a copper complex is bridged by any type of tetrametallate (Barz *et al.*, 1998; Shi *et al.*, 2014; Alves *et al.*, 2014; Kaur *et al.*, 2019; Singh *et al.*, 2014; Comba *et al.*, 1988; Ramos Silva *et al.*, 2005).

5. Hirshfeld surface analysis

For further characterization of the intermolecular interactions in the title compound, we carried out a Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) using *Crystal Explorer*





A view of the Hirshfeld surface mapped over (a) d_{norm} , (b) shape-index and (c) curvedness.

21 (Spackman et al., 2021) and generated the associated twodimensional fingerprint plots (McKinnon et al., 2007). The HS of the title compound mapped over d_{norm} in the range 0.4396 to +2.3676 a.u. is illustrated in Fig. 6 using colour to indicate contacts that are shorter (red areas), equal to (white areas), or longer than (blue areas) the sum of the van der Waals radii (Ashfaq et al., 2021). The red spots on the surface mapped over d_{norm} (Fig. 6a) indicate the involvement of atoms in hydrogen-bonding interactions. The HS mapped over shapeindex (Fig. 6b) is used to check for the presence of interactions such as C-H··· π and π - π stacking (Ashfaq *et al.*, 2021). The existence of adjacent red and blue triangular regions around the aromatic rings conforms to the presence of π - π stacking interactions in the title compound (Fig. 6b), and the curvedness plots (Fig. 6c) show flat surface patches characteristic of planar stacking. The two-dimensional fingerprint plots provide unique information about the non-covalent interactions and the crystal packing in terms of the percentage contribution of the interatomic contacts (Spackman & McKinnon, 2002; Ashfaq et al., 2021). Fig. 7 shows the two-dimensional fingerprint plot for the overall interactions with their relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface. The most important interatomic contact is H...Cl as it makes the highest contribution to the crystal packing (35.6%, Fig. 7b). The other major contributor is $H \cdots H$ interactions (32.3%, Fig. 7c). Other interactions contributing less to the crystal packing are $C \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ (9.9%, Fig. 7d), O···H (6.7%, Fig. 7e), C···C (4.7%, Fig. 7f), N···H (2.8%, Fig. 7g), C···O (1.7%, Fig. 7h), Cl···O (1.7%, Fig. 7*i*), N···C (1.5%, Fig. 7*j*) and O···O (0.8%, Fig. 7*k*). Other contacts make a contribution of 2.3% in total and are not discussed in this work.

research communications



Figure 7

Two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title compound, showing (a) all interactions, and delineated into (b) $Cl\cdots H/H\cdots Cl$, (c) $H\cdots H$, (d) $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$, (e) $O\cdots H/H\cdots O$, (f) $C\cdots C$, (g) $N\cdots H/H\cdots N$, (h) $O\cdots C/C\cdots O$, (i) $N\cdots C/C\cdots N$ and (j) $O\cdots O$ interactions. The d_i and d_e values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from given points on the Hirshfeld surface.

6. Synthesis and crystallization

A mixture of CuCl₂·2H₂O (0.170 g, 1mmol) with salicylhydrazide (0.304 g, 2 mmol) and NaOH (0.08 g, 2 mmol), was dissolved in 10 mL of a mixed methanol/acetone (3/1) solution then stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Yellow crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after 5 days in (0.022 g, 52%).

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C-H = 0.93–0.95 Å, N-H = 0.86 Å, O-H = 0.82–0.85 Å and refined using a riding model with $U_{iso}(H) =$ 1.2–1.5 $U_{eq}(C,N,O)$. A solvent mask was calculated *via* the SQUEEZE routine in *PLATON* (Spek, 2015, 2020) and 120 electrons were found in a volume of 234 Å³ in two voids per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of 1[H₂O], 1.5 [CH₃OH] per formula unit, which account for 122 electrons per unit cell.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to University Constantine 1- Frères Mentouri, MESRS (Algeria). The Algerian PRFU project (2023–2026: grant No. B00L01UN250120230004) is also acknowledged.

Table 3Experimental details.

1	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Cu_{2}Cl_{4}(C_{10}H_{12}N_{2}O_{2})_{2}]\cdot 2H_{2}O\cdot -1.5CH_{4}O$
Mr	737.40
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Temperature (K)	273
a, b, c (Å)	11.6514 (4), 20.1507 (8), 12.8149 (4)
β (°)	110.858 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	2811.56 (18)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	1.94
Crystal size (mm)	$0.14 \times 0.12 \times 0.09$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.673, 0.745
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	21699, 5708, 3843
R _{int}	0.055
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.626
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.049, 0.146, 1.06
No. of reflections	5708
No. of parameters	324
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.71, -0.53

Computer programs: *APEX2* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2013), *SHELXT2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2020).

References

- Addison, A. W., Rao, T. N., Reedijk, J., van Rijn, J. & Verschoor, G. C. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1349–1356.
- Albada, G. A. van, Roubeau, O., Gamez, P., Kooijman, H., Spek, A. L. & Reedijk, J. (2004). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 357, 4522–4527.
- Alves, L. G., Souto, M., Madeira, F., Adão, P., Munhá, R. F. & Martins, A. M. (2014). J. Organomet. Chem. 760, 130–137.
- Alves, W. A., Matos, I. O., Takahashi, P. M., Bastos, E. L., Martinho, H., Ferreira, J. G., Silva, C. C., de Almeida Santos, R. H., Paduan-Filho, A. & Da Costa Ferreira, A. M. (2009). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 2219–2228.
- Ashfaq, M., Tahir, M. N., Muhammad, S., Munawar, K. S., Ali, A., Bogdanov, G. & Alarfaji, S. S. (2021). ACS Omega, 6, 31211–31225.
- Balsa, L. M., Ferraresi-Curotto, V., Lavecchia, M. J., Echeverría, G. A., Piro, O. E., García-Tojal, J., Pis-Diez, R., González-Baró, A. C. & León, I. E. (2021). *Dalton Trans.* 50, 9812–9826.
- Barz, M., Herdtweck, E. & Thiel, W. R. (1998). *Polyhedron*, **17**, 1121–1131.
- Boulguemh, I.-E., Beghidja, A., Khattabi, L., Long, J. & Beghidja, C. (2020). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **507**, 119519.
- Boussadia, A., Beghidja, A., Gali, L., Beghidja, C., Elhabiri, M., Rabu, P. & Rogez, G. (2020). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **508**, 119656.
- Bruker (2013). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Comba, P., Curtis, N. F., Lawrance, G. A., O'Leary, M. A., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1988). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 497– 502.
- Dasgupta, S., Karim, S., Banerjee, S., Saha, M., Das Saha, K. & Das, D. (2020). Dalton Trans. 49, 1232–1240.
- DeepikaVerma, Sharma, S. & Vashishtha, M. (2023). Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 30, 20874–20886.
- Dile, O., Sorrentino, A. M. & Bane, S. (2016). Synlett, 27, 1335-1338.

Gaur, A., Peerzada, M. N., Khan, N. S., Ali, I. & Azam, A. (2022). *ACS Omega*, **7**, 42036–42043.

- Govindaiah, S., Naha, S., Madhuchakrapani Rao, T., Revanasiddappa, B. C., Srinivasa, S. M., Parashuram, L., Velmathi, S. & Sreenivasa, S. (2021). *Results Chem.* 3, 100197.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Guo, Y.-N., Xu, G.-F., Guo, Y. & Tang, J. (2011). Dalton Trans. 40, 9953–9963.
- Haider, M. & Khan, K. M. (2022). *Pharmaceutical Patent Analyst*, **12**, 1–3.
- Han, A., Su, H., Xu, G., Khan, M. A. & Li, H. (2020). *RSC Adv.* 10, 23372–23378.
- Kajal, A., Bala, S., Sharma, N., Kamboj, S. & Saini, V. (2014). Int. J. Med. Chem. 761030.
- Kaur, G., Polson, M. I. J. & Hartshorn, R. M. (2019). J. Coord. Chem. **72**, 1013–1035.
- Khandar, A. A., Azar, Z. M., Eskandani, M., Hubschle, C. B., van Smaalen, S., Shaabani, B. & Omidi, Y. (2019). *Polyhedron*, **171**, 237–248.
- Kondeva-Burdina, M., Mateev, E., Angelov, B., Tzankova, V. & Georgieva, M. (2022). *Molecules*, 27, 8485.

- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Mathew, B., Suresh, J., Ahsan, M. J., Mathew, G. E., Usman, D., Subramanyan, P. N. S., Safna, K. F. & Maddela, S. (2015). *Infect. Disord. Drug Targets*, 15, 76–88.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Mohamad, A. D. M., Abualreish, M. J. A. & Abu-Dief, A. M. (2019). J. Mol. Liq. 290, 111162.
- Ouilia, S., Beghidja, C., Beghidja, A. & Michaud, F. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, m943.
- Ramos Silva, M., Matos Beja, A., Paixão, J. A. & Martin-Gil, J. (2005). *Acta Cryst.* C61, m380–m382.
- Roztocki, K., Senkovska, I., Kaskel, S. & Matoga, D. (2016). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 4450–4456.
- Sadhukhan, D., Ghosh, P., Gómez-García, C. & Rouzieres, M. (2018). Magnetochemistry, 4, 56.
- Šermukšnytė, A., Kantminiene, K., Jonuškienė, I., Tumosienė, I. & Petrikaite, V. (2022). *Pharmaceuticals*, **15**, 1026.
- Sharma, S., Dubey, G., Sran, B. S., Bharatam, P. V. & Hundal, G. (2019). ACS Omega, 4, 18520–18529.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Shi, W.-B., Cui, A.-L. & Kou, H.-Z. (2014). CrystEngComm, 16, 8027–8034.
- Singh, R., Lloret, F. & Mukherjee, R. (2014). Z. Anorg. Allge Chem. 640, 1086–1094.
- Sinicropi, M., Ceramella, J., Iacopetta, D., Catalano, A., Mariconda, A., Rosano, C., Saturnino, C., El-Kashef, H. & Longo, P. (2022). *Int.* J. Mol. Sci. 23, 14840.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, M. A. & McKinnon, J. J. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 378– 392.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). J. Appl. Cryst. 54, 1006–1011.
- Spek, A. L. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 9-18.
- Spek, A. L. (2020). Acta Cryst. E76, 1-11.
- Teneva, Y., Simeonova, R., Valcheva, V. & Angelova, V. (2023). *Pharmaceuticals*, **16**, 484.
- Toledano-Magaña, Y., García-Ramos, J. C., Navarro-Olivarria, M., Flores-Alamo, M., Manzanera-Estrada, M., Ortiz-Frade, L., Galindo-Murillo, R., Ruiz-Azuara, L., Meléndrez-Luevano, R. & Cabrera-Vivas, B. (2015). *Molecules*, **20**, 9929–9948.
- Vasilevesky, I., Rose, N. R., Stenkamp, R. & Willett, R. D. (1991). *Inorg. Chem.* 30, 4082–4084.
- Willett, R. D., Haugen, J. A., Lebsack, J. & Morrey, J. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 2510–2513.
- Yang, L., Powell, D. R. & Houser, R. P. (2007). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 955– 964.
- Yankin, A., Nosova, N., Novikova, V. & Gein, V. (2022). Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 92, 166–173.

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80, 961-966 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989024007941]

Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of $[Cu(H_2L)_2(\mu-Cl)CuCl_3]$ ·H₂O $[H_2L = 2$ -hydroxy-N'-(propan-2-ylidene)benzohydrazide]

Imededdine Boulguemh, Asma Lehleh, Chahrazed Beghidja and Adel Beghidja

Computing details

[Trichloridocopper(II)]-µ-chlorido-{bis[2-hydroxy-N'-(propan-2-ylidene)benzohydrazide]copper(II)} monohydrate

Crystal data

 $[Cu_{2}Cl_{4}(C_{10}H_{12}N_{2}O_{2})_{2}]\cdot 2H_{2}O\cdot 1.5CH_{4}O$ $M_{r} = 737.40$ Monoclinic, $P2_{1}/n$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn a = 11.6514 (4) Å b = 20.1507 (8) Å c = 12.8149 (4) Å $\beta = 110.858$ (2)° V = 2811.56 (18) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: MoK α
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 18.4 pixels mm ⁻¹
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.673, T_{\max} = 0.745$

Refinement

```
Refinement on F^2
Least-squares matrix: full
R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049
wR(F^2) = 0.146
S = 1.06
5708 reflections
324 parameters
0 restraints
```

F(000) = 1360 $D_x = 1.704 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3635 reflections $\theta = 2.6-23.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.94 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 273 KBlock, yellow $0.14 \times 0.12 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$

21699 measured reflections 5708 independent reflections 3843 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.055$ $\theta_{max} = 26.4^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.0^{\circ}$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $k = -21 \rightarrow 25$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 14$

Hydrogen site location: mixed H-atom parameters constrained $W = 1/[\Sigma^2(FO^2) + (0.0793P)^2]$ WHERE $P = (FO^2 + 2FC^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.71$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.53$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. Bond distances, angles etc. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

Refinement. 1. Fixed Uiso At 1.2 times of: All C(H) groups, All N(H) groups At 1.5 times of: All C(H,H,H) groups, All O(H) groups, All O(H,H) groups

2. Uiso/Uaniso restraints and constraints Uanis(C21) ~ Ueq: with sigma of 0.001 and sigma for terminal atoms of 0.002 Uanis(O5) ~ Ueq: with sigma of 0.001 and sigma for terminal atoms of 0.002

3.a Free rotating group: O1W(H1WA,H1WB)

3.b Aromatic/amide H refined with riding coordinates: N1(H1), N3(H3), C4(H4A), C5(H5), C6(H6), C7(H7), C14(H14), C15(H15), C16(H16), C17(H17)

3.c Idealised Me refined as rotating group: C9(H9A,H9B,H9C), C10(H10A,H10B,H10C), C19(H19A,H19B,H19C), C20(H20A,H20B, H20C), C21(H21A,H21B,H21C)

3.d Idealised tetrahedral OH refined as rotating group: O2(H2), O4(H4), O5(H5A).

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cul	0.75452 (5)	0.61586 (3)	0.24378 (4)	0.0340 (2)
Cu2	0.36066 (5)	0.63824 (3)	0.19419 (4)	0.0381 (2)
C11	0.52667 (11)	0.61036 (6)	0.14739 (9)	0.0440 (4)
Cl2	0.40338 (12)	0.57786 (6)	0.35215 (9)	0.0498 (4)
C13	0.29411 (16)	0.72976 (7)	0.25093 (11)	0.0676 (5)
Cl4	0.22690 (12)	0.63604 (6)	0.01947 (10)	0.0521 (4)
01	0.8210 (3)	0.52516 (15)	0.2546 (2)	0.0384 (10)
O2	0.8882 (3)	0.42800 (17)	0.5540 (3)	0.0529 (11)
O3	0.8022 (3)	0.70917 (14)	0.2734 (2)	0.0365 (10)
O4	0.8657 (3)	0.81403 (15)	0.0217 (2)	0.0467 (10)
N1	0.8076 (3)	0.52971 (17)	0.4240 (3)	0.0343 (11)
N2	0.7577 (3)	0.59260 (17)	0.3964 (3)	0.0329 (11)
N3	0.8051 (3)	0.70518 (17)	0.1001 (3)	0.0340 (11)
N4	0.7711 (3)	0.63902 (16)	0.0973 (3)	0.0307 (11)
C1	0.8365 (4)	0.4970 (2)	0.3474 (3)	0.0304 (12)
O1W	0.9308 (4)	0.3537 (3)	0.7302 (4)	0.119 (2)
C2	0.8862 (4)	0.4296 (2)	0.3687 (3)	0.0343 (12)
C3	0.9105 (4)	0.3962 (2)	0.4704 (4)	0.0395 (14)
C4	0.9573 (4)	0.3314 (2)	0.4824 (4)	0.0517 (17)
C5	0.9782 (5)	0.3009 (3)	0.3947 (5)	0.0585 (19)
C6	0.9532 (5)	0.3324 (3)	0.2941 (4)	0.0539 (17)
C7	0.9075 (4)	0.3963 (2)	0.2808 (4)	0.0429 (17)
C8	0.7218 (4)	0.6229 (2)	0.4683 (4)	0.0376 (14)
C9	0.7308 (5)	0.5926 (3)	0.5773 (4)	0.0523 (17)
C10	0.6685 (5)	0.6909 (2)	0.4417 (4)	0.0503 (17)
C11	0.8230 (4)	0.7382 (2)	0.1949 (3)	0.0310 (12)
C12	0.8656 (4)	0.8069 (2)	0.2065 (3)	0.0311 (12)
C13	0.8874 (4)	0.8439 (2)	0.1218 (3)	0.0328 (12)
C14	0.9286 (4)	0.9088 (2)	0.1416 (3)	0.0366 (14)
C15	0.9450 (4)	0.9386 (2)	0.2436 (4)	0.0404 (14)
C16	0.9226 (4)	0.9033 (2)	0.3277 (4)	0.0412 (16)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

C17	0.8840 (4)	0.8387 (2)	0.3086 (3)	0.0356 (14)
C18	0.7490 (4)	0.6063 (2)	0.0057 (4)	0.0396 (14)
C19	0.7628 (7)	0.6374 (3)	-0.0940 (4)	0.074 (3)
C20	0.7147 (5)	0.5358 (2)	0.0012 (4)	0.0455 (16)
H1	0.81939	0.51265	0.48846	0.0410*
H2	0.89569	0.40190	0.60509	0.0800*
Н3	0.81424	0.72404	0.04332	0.0410*
H4	0.87029	0.84159	-0.02370	0.0700*
H4A	0.97419	0.30903	0.54971	0.0620*
Н5	1.00988	0.25806	0.40383	0.0700*
H6	0.96696	0.31086	0.23543	0.0640*
H7	0.89049	0.41769	0.21267	0.0520*
H9A	0.66940	0.55874	0.56479	0.0790*
H9B	0.71815	0.62622	0.62517	0.0790*
H9C	0.81082	0.57334	0.61213	0.0790*
H10A	0.72080	0.72206	0.49371	0.0750*
H10B	0.58840	0.69159	0.44692	0.0750*
H10C	0.66221	0.70267	0.36723	0.0750*
H14	0.94531	0.93246	0.08641	0.0440*
H15	0.97101	0.98253	0.25575	0.0490*
H16	0.93375	0.92321	0.39606	0.0500*
H17	0.86953	0.81523	0.36510	0.0430*
H19A	0.70856	0.67474	-0.11693	0.1110*
H19B	0.74279	0.60553	-0.15355	0.1110*
H19C	0.84614	0.65190	-0.07606	0.1110*
H20A	0.78488	0.50873	0.00817	0.0680*
H20B	0.65036	0.52658	-0.06878	0.0680*
H20C	0.68640	0.52596	0.06132	0.0680*
H1WA	0.99407	0.33290	0.72978	0.1790*
H1WB	0.88009	0.32335	0.73023	0.1790*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0510 (4)	0.0231 (3)	0.0307 (3)	0.0007 (2)	0.0181 (2)	0.0037 (2)
Cu2	0.0512 (4)	0.0320 (3)	0.0345 (3)	0.0030 (2)	0.0193 (3)	-0.0012 (2)
Cl1	0.0426 (6)	0.0525 (7)	0.0402 (6)	0.0021 (5)	0.0188 (5)	0.0021 (5)
Cl2	0.0742 (9)	0.0429 (7)	0.0369 (6)	0.0065 (6)	0.0256 (6)	0.0047 (5)
C13	0.1140 (12)	0.0390 (7)	0.0589 (8)	0.0198 (7)	0.0419 (8)	-0.0043 (6)
Cl4	0.0633 (8)	0.0474 (8)	0.0386 (6)	0.0069 (6)	0.0094 (6)	-0.0016 (5)
01	0.0520 (19)	0.0294 (16)	0.0341 (16)	0.0060 (14)	0.0156 (14)	0.0043 (13)
O2	0.073 (2)	0.044 (2)	0.0352 (18)	0.0046 (18)	0.0113 (17)	0.0127 (15)
O3	0.058 (2)	0.0241 (16)	0.0315 (15)	-0.0039 (14)	0.0209 (14)	0.0020 (12)
O4	0.083 (2)	0.0310 (17)	0.0346 (16)	-0.0098 (17)	0.0313 (17)	-0.0014 (13)
N1	0.045 (2)	0.0292 (19)	0.0293 (17)	0.0012 (16)	0.0140 (16)	0.0057 (15)
N2	0.043 (2)	0.0222 (18)	0.0344 (19)	-0.0030 (15)	0.0149 (16)	-0.0018 (14)
N3	0.050 (2)	0.0257 (19)	0.0301 (18)	-0.0050 (16)	0.0189 (16)	0.0010 (14)
N4	0.042 (2)	0.0212 (18)	0.0294 (18)	0.0000 (15)	0.0132 (15)	0.0016 (13)

C1	0.035 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.032 (2)	-0.0045 (17)	0.0116 (18)	-0.0001 (17)
O1W	0.069 (3)	0.195 (6)	0.096 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.032 (3)	0.098 (4)
C2	0.034 (2)	0.026 (2)	0.036 (2)	-0.0033 (18)	0.0040 (18)	0.0020 (17)
C3	0.043 (3)	0.030 (2)	0.037 (2)	-0.0046 (19)	0.004 (2)	0.0007 (18)
C4	0.047 (3)	0.032 (3)	0.059 (3)	0.002 (2)	-0.002 (2)	0.015 (2)
C5	0.051 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.080 (4)	0.007 (2)	0.003 (3)	-0.002 (3)
C6	0.055 (3)	0.040 (3)	0.062 (3)	0.008 (2)	0.015 (3)	-0.008 (2)
C7	0.049 (3)	0.035 (3)	0.042 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.013 (2)	-0.004(2)
C8	0.040 (3)	0.031 (2)	0.040 (2)	-0.0055 (19)	0.012 (2)	-0.0015 (19)
C9	0.068 (3)	0.060 (3)	0.035 (3)	-0.002 (3)	0.026 (2)	-0.001 (2)
C10	0.070 (3)	0.034 (3)	0.057 (3)	-0.001 (2)	0.035 (3)	-0.007(2)
C11	0.036 (2)	0.025 (2)	0.031 (2)	0.0014 (17)	0.0106 (18)	0.0023 (16)
C12	0.037 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.034 (2)	-0.0007 (17)	0.0137 (18)	0.0025 (16)
C13	0.042 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.029 (2)	-0.0001 (18)	0.0135 (18)	0.0033 (17)
C14	0.046 (3)	0.031 (2)	0.035 (2)	-0.006 (2)	0.017 (2)	0.0061 (18)
C15	0.048 (3)	0.028 (2)	0.042 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.012 (2)	-0.0041 (19)
C16	0.054 (3)	0.035 (3)	0.034 (2)	-0.005 (2)	0.015 (2)	-0.0057 (19)
C17	0.046 (3)	0.032 (2)	0.030(2)	-0.0027 (19)	0.0151 (19)	0.0025 (17)
C18	0.058 (3)	0.027 (2)	0.032 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.014 (2)	0.0025 (18)
C19	0.138 (6)	0.052 (4)	0.037 (3)	-0.025 (4)	0.036 (3)	-0.003 (2)
C20	0.066 (3)	0.032 (3)	0.036 (2)	-0.005 (2)	0.015 (2)	-0.0033 (19)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—Cl1	2.5001 (14)	C11—C12	1.460 (6)
Cu101	1.971 (3)	C12—C13	1.412 (6)
Cu1—O3	1.959 (3)	C12—C17	1.403 (5)
Cu1—N2	1.999 (4)	C13—C14	1.385 (6)
Cu1—N4	2.009 (4)	C14—C15	1.389 (6)
Cu2—Cl1	2.2897 (15)	C15—C16	1.391 (7)
Cu2—Cl2	2.2601 (12)	C16—C17	1.371 (6)
Cu2—Cl3	2.2209 (16)	C18—C19	1.482 (7)
Cu2—Cl4	2.2269 (13)	C18—C20	1.471 (6)
01—C1	1.271 (5)	O1W—H1WB	0.8500
O2—C3	1.351 (6)	O1W—H1WA	0.8500
O3—C11	1.260 (5)	C4—H4A	0.9300
O4—C13	1.357 (5)	С5—Н5	0.9300
N1—N2	1.387 (5)	С6—Н6	0.9300
N1—C1	1.322 (5)	С7—Н7	0.9300
N2-C8	1.293 (6)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
O2—H2	0.8200	С9—Н9С	0.9600
N3—C11	1.335 (5)	С9—Н9В	0.9600
N3—N4	1.388 (5)	C10—H10B	0.9600
N4-C18	1.290 (6)	C10—H10C	0.9600
O4—H4	0.8200	C10—H10A	0.9600
N1—H1	0.8600	C14—H14	0.9300
C1—C2	1.464 (6)	C15—H15	0.9300
С2—С3	1.403 (6)	C16—H16	0.9300

C2—C7	1.407 (6)	С17—Н17	0.9300
N3—H3	0.8600	C19—H19B	0.9600
C3—C4	1.402 (6)	С19—Н19С	0.9600
C4—C5	1.377 (8)	С19—Н19А	0.9600
C5—C6	1.372 (8)	C20—H20C	0.9600
C6—C7	1.381 (7)	C20—H20A	0.9600
C8—C10	1.493 (6)	C20—H20B	0.9600
C8—C9	1.494 (7)		
Cl1—Cu1—O1	108.38 (10)	C13—C12—C17	117.8 (4)
Cl1—Cu1—O3	108.44 (11)	C11—C12—C17	117.5 (4)
Cl1—Cu1—N2	96.87 (11)	C12—C13—C14	120.2 (3)
Cl1—Cu1—N4	89.26 (11)	O4—C13—C14	121.9 (4)
O1—Cu1—O3	143.06 (14)	O4—C13—C12	117.9 (4)
O1—Cu1—N2	81.01 (13)	C13—C14—C15	120.2 (4)
O1—Cu1—N4	96.61 (13)	C14—C15—C16	120.5 (4)
O3—Cu1—N2	97.49 (13)	C15—C16—C17	119.2 (4)
O3—Cu1—N4	80.97 (12)	C12—C17—C16	122.1 (4)
N2—Cu1—N4	173.85 (15)	C19—C18—C20	119.1 (4)
Cl1—Cu2—Cl2	99.52 (5)	N4—C18—C19	121.4 (4)
Cl1—Cu2—Cl3	135.68 (6)	N4—C18—C20	119.5 (4)
Cl1—Cu2—Cl4	94.92 (5)	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	104.00
Cl2—Cu2—Cl3	98.34 (5)	C3—C4—H4A	120.00
Cl2—Cu2—Cl4	137.89 (5)	C5—C4—H4A	120.00
Cl3—Cu2—Cl4	98.32 (5)	С6—С5—Н5	119.00
Cu1—Cl1—Cu2	135.00 (5)	C4—C5—H5	119.00
Cu1—O1—C1	113.7 (3)	С5—С6—Н6	120.00
Cu1—O3—C11	114.4 (2)	С7—С6—Н6	120.00
N2—N1—C1	117.5 (3)	С6—С7—Н7	119.00
Cu1—N2—N1	108.9 (3)	С2—С7—Н7	119.00
Cu1—N2—C8	133.5 (3)	С8—С9—Н9А	110.00
N1—N2—C8	117.6 (4)	С8—С9—Н9С	109.00
C3—O2—H2	109.00	H9A—C9—H9B	109.00
N4—N3—C11	116.9 (3)	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.00
Cu1—N4—N3	108.7 (3)	Н9В—С9—Н9С	109.00
N3—N4—C18	118.5 (4)	С8—С9—Н9В	109.00
Cu1—N4—C18	132.6 (3)	C8—C10—H10A	109.00
C13—O4—H4	109.00	C8—C10—H10C	109.00
01—C1—N1	118.9 (4)	H10A—C10—H10B	110.00
N2—N1—H1	121.00	C8—C10—H10B	109.00
C1—N1—H1	121.00	H10B—C10—H10C	109.00
N1—C1—C2	120.9 (3)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.00
O1—C1—C2	120.3 (4)	C13—C14—H14	120.00
C1—C2—C7	117.7 (3)	C15—C14—H14	120.00
C3—C2—C7	118.7 (4)	C16—C15—H15	120.00
C1—C2—C3	123.6 (4)	C14—C15—H15	120.00
O2—C3—C4	122.1 (4)	C15—C16—H16	120.00
C2—C3—C4	119.4 (4)	С17—С16—Н16	120.00

C11—N3—H3	122.00	С16—С17—Н17	119.00
O2—C3—C2	118.5 (4)	С12—С17—Н17	119.00
N4—N3—H3	122.00	С18—С19—Н19А	110.00
C3—C4—C5	120.1 (5)	C18—C19—H19B	109.00
C4—C5—C6	121.4 (5)	H19A—C19—H19B	110.00
C5—C6—C7	119.4 (5)	H19A—C19—H19C	110.00
C2-C7-C6	121.1 (4)	C18—C19—H19C	109.00
C9—C8—C10	118.3 (4)	H19B—C19—H19C	109.00
N2-C8-C9	122.7 (4)	C18—C20—H20B	110.00
$N_{2} = C_{8} = C_{10}$	1190(4)	C18 - C20 - H20C	110.00
03-C11-N3	118.9 (4)	C18 - C20 - H20A	110.00
N3-C11-C12	120 2 (4)	$H_{20}A - C_{20} - H_{20}C$	109.00
03-C11-C12	120.2(1) 120.9(3)	H_{20B} C_{20} H_{20C}	109.00
$C_{11} - C_{12} - C_{13}$	120.9(3) 124.7(3)	$H_{20A} - C_{20} - H_{20B}$	109.00
011 012 015	124.7 (5)	112011 020 11200	109.00
O1—Cu1—Cl1—Cu2	119.83 (11)	C11—N3—N4—Cu1	2.0 (5)
O3—Cu1—Cl1—Cu2	-63.23 (12)	C11—N3—N4—C18	177.7 (4)
N2—Cu1—Cl1—Cu2	37.05 (13)	N4—N3—C11—O3	-3.7 (6)
N4—Cu1—Cl1—Cu2	-143.46 (12)	N4—N3—C11—C12	176.6 (4)
Cl1—Cu1—O1—C1	-95.8 (3)	Cu1—N4—C18—C19	176.3 (4)
O3—Cu1—O1—C1	89.0 (4)	Cu1—N4—C18—C20	-6.5 (7)
N2—Cu1—O1—C1	-1.5 (3)	N3—N4—C18—C19	1.8 (7)
N4—Cu1—O1—C1	172.8 (3)	N3—N4—C18—C20	179.0 (4)
Cl1—Cu1—O3—C11	-87.9 (3)	O1—C1—C2—C3	177.4 (4)
O1—Cu1—O3—C11	87.3 (3)	O1—C1—C2—C7	-4.3 (7)
N2—Cu1—O3—C11	172.3 (3)	N1—C1—C2—C3	-2.3(7)
N4—Cu1—O3—C11	-1.7 (3)	N1—C1—C2—C7	176.0 (4)
Cl1—Cu1—N2—N1	110.0 (3)	C1—C2—C3—O2	-0.7 (7)
Cl1—Cu1—N2—C8	-68.4 (4)	C1—C2—C3—C4	179.5 (4)
O1—Cu1—N2—N1	2.4 (3)	C7—C2—C3—O2	-179.0 (4)
O1—Cu1—N2—C8	-176.0 (5)	C7—C2—C3—C4	1.2 (7)
O3—Cu1—N2—N1	-140.3 (3)	C1—C2—C7—C6	-179.4 (5)
O3—Cu1—N2—C8	41.3 (5)	C3—C2—C7—C6	-1.0(7)
Cl1—Cu1—N4—N3	108.6 (3)	O2—C3—C4—C5	179.8 (5)
Cl1—Cu1—N4—C18	-66.3 (4)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.5 (8)
O1—Cu1—N4—N3	-143.0 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.6 (9)
O1—Cu1—N4—C18	42.2 (5)	C4—C5—C6—C7	0.8 (9)
O3—Cu1—N4—N3	-0.2 (3)	C5—C6—C7—C2	0.0 (8)
O3—Cu1—N4—C18	-175.1 (5)	O3—C11—C12—C13	-177.9 (4)
Cl2—Cu2—Cl1—Cu1	-55.79 (9)	O3—C11—C12—C17	0.9 (7)
Cl3—Cu2—Cl1—Cu1	56.67 (11)	N3—C11—C12—C13	1.9 (7)
Cl4—Cu2—Cl1—Cu1	163.90 (8)	N3—C11—C12—C17	-179.3 (4)
Cu1—O1—C1—N1	0.2 (5)	C11—C12—C13—O4	1.0 (7)
Cu1—O1—C1—C2	-179.5 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C14	-179.6 (4)
Cu1—O3—C11—N3	3.4 (5)	C17—C12—C13—O4	-177.8 (4)
Cu1—O3—C11—C12	-176.8 (3)	C17—C12—C13—C14	1.6 (7)
C1—N1—N2—Cu1	-3.2 (5)	C11—C12—C17—C16	-179.3 (4)
C1—N1—N2—C8	175.5 (4)	C13—C12—C17—C16	-0.4 (7)

N2—N1—C1—O1	2.2 (6)	O4—C13—C14—C15	177.4 (4)
N2—N1—C1—C2	-178.2 (4)	C12—C13—C14—C15	-2.1 (7)
Cu1—N2—C8—C9	177.6 (4)	C13—C14—C15—C16	1.3 (7)
Cu1—N2—C8—C10	-2.2 (7)	C14—C15—C16—C17	-0.1 (7)
N1—N2—C8—C9	-0.7 (7)	C15—C16—C17—C12	-0.3 (7)
N1—N2—C8—C10	179.5 (4)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
02—H2…O1W	0.82	1.79	2.606 (6)	171
N1—H1…O2	0.86	1.94	2.597 (5)	132
N3—H3…O4	0.86	1.96	2.612 (5)	132
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>WA</i> ···O3 ⁱ	0.85	2.53	3.375 (6)	170
$O1W$ — $H1WA$ ···· $N3^{i}$	0.85	2.59	3.303 (7)	142
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>WB</i> ···Cl3 ⁱⁱ	0.85	2.38	3.195 (6)	160
O4—H4····Cl2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82	2.40	3.215 (3)	175
C7—H7…O1	0.93	2.44	2.762 (5)	1
C10—H10C····O3	0.96	2.35	3.099 (6)	135
С17—Н17…ОЗ	0.93	2.43	2.760 (5)	101
C20—H20C…O1	0.96	2.41	3.043 (5)	123
C10—H10 <i>C</i> ···O3 C17—H17···O3 C20—H20 <i>C</i> ···O1	0.96 0.93 0.96	2.35 2.43 2.41	3.099 (6) 2.760 (5) 3.043 (5)	135 101 123

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (iii) *x*+1/2, -*y*+3/2, *z*-1/2.