

Received 31 May 2024 Accepted 1 August 2024

Edited by J. Reibenspies, Texas A & M University, USA

Keywords: metal–organic compound; iodoplumbate; crystal structure; tetrel bond; dimeric cation.

CCDC reference: 2368898

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

Crystal structure of hexaglycinium dodecaiodotriplumbate

Gayane S. Tonoyan,^a* Gerald Giester,^b Vahram V. Ghazaryan,^a Ruben Yu. Chilingaryan,^a Arthur A. Margaryan,^a Artak H. Mkrtchyan^a and Aram M. Petrosyan^a

^aInstitute of Applied Problems of Physics, NAS of Armenia, 25 Nersessyan Str., 0014 Yerevan, Armenia, and ^bInstitute of Mineralogy and Crystallography, University of Vienna, Josef-Holaubek-Platz 2, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. *Correspondence e-mail: itonoyan1@gmail.com

The crystal structure of hexaglycinium tetra- μ -iodido-octaiodidotriplumbate, $(C_2H_6NO_2)_6[Pb_3I_{12}]$ or $(GlyH)_6[Pb_3I_{12}]$, is reported. The compound crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$. The $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion is discrete and located around a special position: the central Pb ion located on the inversion center is holodirected, while the other two are hemidirected. The supramolecular nature is mainly based on C-H···I, N-H···I, O-H···I and N-H···O hydrogen bonds. Dimeric cations of type $(A^+\cdots A^+)$ for the amino acid glycine are observed for the first time.

1. Chemical context

Various inorganic and organic–inorganic hybrid materials are used in third-generation photovoltaic devices as solar energy converters (Peng *et al.*, 2015; Ahmed *et al.*, 2015; Zhou *et al.*, 2018).

Haloplumbates were also considered to be 'solar' materials (Kojima *et al.*, 2009; Nazarenko *et al.*, 2018), but it turned out that plumbates have unfavorable properties, such as instability and toxicity. However, these compounds may have applications in other interesting areas: white–light emitting materials (Peng *et al.*, 2018), luminescent sensing (Wang *et al.*, 2019; Wang, 2020; Martínez Casado *et al.*, 2012), ferroelectric materials (Gao *et al.*, 2017), non-linear optical materials (Chen *et al.*, 2020) and semiconductors (Terpstra *et al.*, 1997).

Our research group has been studying various amino acid salts for a long time (Fleck & Petrosyan, 2014), and we assumed that amino acids could be used to synthesize organic-inorganic hybrid materials. After the successful synthesis of (GlyH)PbBr₃ (Tonoyan *et al.*, 2024), efforts were focused on obtaining (GlyH)PbI₃.

These compounds are also interesting for lead chemistry. Pb^{2+} has an electronic configuration of $[Xe]6s^2 4f^{14} 5d^{10}$. The $6s^2$ electrons determine the stereochemistry of Pb^{II} . Upon hybridization of the *s* and *p* orbitals, the stereochemically active $6s^2$ electron pair occupies a position in the coordination sphere of the metal (hemidirected coordination). In this case, such hybridization does not occur, the $6s^2$ electron pair has only *s* character and is stereochemically inactive (holodirected coordination) (Casas *et al.*, 2006; Seth *et al.*, 2018). As the lead ion has released its two $6p^2$ electrons, σ -hole interactions are possible. These interactions are known among elements of group IV and usually include the tetrel bonding interaction. In other words, the hemidirectional nature of lead(II) centers is the basic reason for different tetrel bonding interactions such

as $Pb \cdots O$ (S, N, Cl, Br, I), which lead to the formation of supramolecular assemblies.



Instead of (GlyH)PbI₃ crystals, those of (GlyH)₆(Pb₃I₁₂) were formed unexpectedly. The $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion is already known (Wang *et al.*, 2015, 2017; Lemmerer & Billing, 2012); it has three lead centers, which can be stereochemically different. In the $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion of $\{(tbp)_2[Pb_3I_{12}]\}_n$ obtained by Wang *et al.* (2015), the lead centers are holodirected, coordinated by six iodine atoms, and have an octahedral geometry. In (GlyH)₆(Pb₃I₁₂), the Pb1 center has a holodirected coordination and is bound to six I atoms, while the Pb2 centers with hemidirected coordination are linked to five I atoms. The anion described by Lemmerer & Billing (2012), as well as that reported by Wang *et al.* (2017) both correspond to our case considering the long Pb1–I6 distance [3.482 (1) Å]; however, these authors misinterpreted the coordination as holodirected or six-coordinate.

2. Structural commentary

The title salt $(GlyH)_6(Pb_3I_{12})$ crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with the asymmetric unit containing half of the formula unit. Selected bond lengths are given in Table 1 and the molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1. In $(GlyH)_6(Pb_3I_{12})$ the $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion is discrete. The Pb1 center has a holodirected coordination with six I atoms, thus forming an octahedron. The two Pb2 centers have hemidirected coordinations with five I atoms, forming distorted tetragonal pyramids. These hemidirected lead ions have stereochemically active lone pairs. Despite this, any donor–acceptor, covalent or tetrel



Figure 1 Molecular structure of $(GlyH)_6(Pb_3I_{12})$. Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

	0 ()				
C1A-01A	1.304 (4)	C1B - O1B	1.302 (4)	C1 <i>C</i> -O1 <i>C</i>	1.322 (4)
C1A - O2A	1.209 (4)	C1B - O2B	1.210 (4)	C1C - O2C	1.196 (4)
C1A - C2A	1.502 (4)	C1B-C2B	1.499 (4)	C1C - C2C	1.507 (5)
C2A - N1A	1.474 (4)	C2B-N1B	1.476 (4)	C2C-N1C	1.477 (4)
Pb1-I1	3.1575 (3) 2×	Pb2-I1	3.4049 (3)	Pb2-I4	3.0213 (3)
Pb1-I2	3.1988 (2) 2×	Pb2-I2	3.4063 (3)	Pb2-I5	3.0926 (3)
Pb1-I3	3.2432 (3) 2×			Pb2-I6	3.0663 (3)

bonds are missing. The lead centers are connected with each other *via* Pb-I-Pb covalent bonds (Fig. 2). The anions are located parallel to each other, and the glycinium cations cross-link the entire structure through C $-H\cdots I$, N $-H\cdots I$ and O $-H\cdots I$ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3).

3. Supramolecular features

The crystal structure is consolidated *via* $O-H\cdots O$, $O-H\cdots I$, $N-H\cdots O$, $N-H\cdots I$ and $C-H\cdots I$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2). The carboxyl group of the glycinium cation A forms a hydrogen bond $[O1A-H1A\cdots O2A, 2.637 (3) Å]$ with a symmetry-related glycinium A cation; the same is the case for the cation B: $O1B-H1B\cdots O2B$ [2.667 (3) Å]. However, the carboxyl group of the glycinium cation C establishes a hydrogen bond $O1C-H1C\cdots I5$ [3.445 (3) Å] with the anion. Thus, the A and B glycinium cations form centrosymmetric $(A^+\cdots A^+)$ type dimeric cations, which so far have not been reported for glycine (Fleck & Petrosyan, 2014).

The NH₃⁺ groups form rather strong: N1A-H11A···I4 [3.549 (3) Å], N1A-H12A···I5 [3.588 (3) Å], N1B-H11B··· I3 [3.648 (3) Å], N1B-H12B···I1 [3.647 (3) Å], N1C-



The packing of $(GlyH)_6(Pb_3I_{12})$.

research communications



Figure 3

Parallel anions in the packing of $(GlyH)_6(Pb_3I_{12})$ viewed along the *a* axis.

H13C···I3 [3.628 (3) Å] and weak: N1A-H11A···I6 [3.655 (3) Å], N1A-H13A···I4 [3.605 (3) Å], N1B-H12B··· I1 [3.647 (3) Å], N1C-H11C···I1 [3.711 (3) Å], N1C-H11C···I2 [3.640 (3) Å], N1C-H12C···I2 [3.779 (3) Å] hydrogen bonds with the anions. There are also C-H···I-type contacts: C2A-H21A···I1 [3.669 (3) Å], C2B-H21B···I2 [3.976 (3) Å], and C2C-H21C···I3 [4.068 (3) Å], which can be considered as very weak hydrogen bonds. Thus, these glycinium cations cross-link the entire structure and consolidate it.

4. Database survey

918

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD2023.2.0, version 5.45, November update; Groom *et al.*, 2016) revealed several similar structures. Currently, the Cambridge Structural Database contains 23 entries for the $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion, which can exist in both discrete and polymeric forms that also have different subtypes. In particular, the discrete type has three subtypes: when the middle lead atom of the trinuclear $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion has one (Leng *et al.*, 2023), two, or three (Wang *et al.*, 2015; Yue *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2022) bridging iodine atoms. When there are one or two bridging iodine atoms, the central lead center has a holodirected coordination and the outer lead atoms have a hemi-directed coordination. The anions presented in these works

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

	5			
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1A - H1A \cdots O2A^{i}$	0.79 (5)	1.85 (3)	2.638 (3)	171 (4)
$C2A - H21A \cdots I1^{ii}$	0.99	3.10	3.669 (3)	118
$C2A - H22A \cdots I2$	0.99	3.33	4.271 (4)	160
$N1A - H11A \cdots I4^{iii}$	0.91	3.05	3.549 (3)	116
$N1A - H11A \cdot \cdot \cdot I5^{ii}$	0.91	3.27	3.983 (3)	137
$N1A - H11A \cdot \cdot \cdot I6$	0.91	3.04	3.655 (3)	126
$N1A - H12A \cdot \cdot \cdot I5^{iii}$	0.91	2.69	3.588 (3)	170
$N1A - H13A \cdot \cdot \cdot I4$	0.91	2.75	3.605 (3)	156
$O1B - H1B \cdot \cdot \cdot O2B^{iv}$	0.82 (4)	1.85 (4)	2.667 (3)	176 (4)
$C2B - H21B \cdot \cdot \cdot I2$	0.99	3.03	3.976 (3)	161
$C2B - H22B \cdot \cdot \cdot I6^{v}$	0.99	3.18	3.775 (3)	120
$N1B - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot I3^{vi}$	0.91	2.82	3.648 (3)	151
$N1B - H12B \cdot \cdot \cdot I1$	0.91	3.11	3.647 (3)	119
$N1B - H12B \cdots O1A^{vii}$	0.91	2.49	3.320 (4)	151
$N1B - H13B \cdot \cdot \cdot I6^{v}$	0.91	2.79	3.528 (3)	139
$O1C - H1C \cdot \cdot \cdot I5^{\text{viii}}$	0.90(5)	2.57 (3)	3.445 (3)	164 (4)
$N1C - H11C \cdot \cdot \cdot I1^{ii}$	0.91	3.09	3.711 (3)	127
$N1C - H11C \cdot \cdot \cdot I2$	0.91	3.13	3.640 (3)	117
$N1C - H11C \cdot \cdot \cdot I6$	0.91	3.31	3.868 (3)	122
$N1C - H12C \cdot \cdot \cdot I2^{ix}$	0.91	3.00	3.779 (3)	145
$N1C-H13C\cdots I3$	0.91	2.73	3.628 (3)	170
$C2C - H21C \cdot \cdot \cdot I3^{ii}$	0.99	3.14	4.068 (3)	156

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z; (ii) x + 1, y, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (v) x, y + 1, z; (vi) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vii) x - 1, y, z; (viii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ix) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.

(Lemmerer & Billing, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2017; Cheng *et al.*, 2023) correspond to our case, where the central lead atom has two bridging iodine atoms and the lead centers have different stereochemistry: holodirected (six-coordinate) and hemidirected (five-coordinate). The polymeric $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion can be linear (Liang *et al.*, 2023) or cross-linked (Michael & Harald, 2018; Nazarenko *et al.*, 2018; Passarelli *et al.*, 2020). In summary, 15 $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anions from the 23 entries in the CSD are discrete, 7 are polymeric and one case is remarkable (Yao *et al.*, 2022) with both a polymer and a discrete $[Pb_3I_{12}]^{6-}$ anion being present in the crystal structure.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

As initial reagents we used amino acid glycine (99%) and hydriodic acid (57% w/w, distilled, stabilized with <1.5% hypophosphorous acid, 99.95%). Initially, lead and hydriodic acid were taken in a 1:3 stoichiometric ratio. When the amount of acid in the solution decreases, the reaction between metal and acid slows and eventually almost stops (when no H₂ gas is released). At this point, the amount of obtained lead(II) iodide (PbI₂) and remaining acid (HI) was calculated (1:6 stoichiometric ratio). Next, the appropriate amount of glycine was added and mixed. The final stoichiometric ratio of Gly, PbI₂ and HI was 1:1:6. Instead of the desired compound (GlyH)PbI₃, only (GlyH)₆(Pb₃I₁₂) was obtained. Light-red, needle-shaped crystals were obtained by solvent evaporation in a closed container, using silica gel as an absorber. (GlyH)₆(Pb₃I₁₂) is very hygroscopic: in the IR spectrum the absorption band at 3524 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the ν (OH) stretching modes of the hygroscopic water molecules. The band with a peak at 3036 cm⁻¹ is caused by ν (NH) of the NH₃⁺ groups of glycinium cations. The peaks at 2916 cm^{-1} and



Figure 4 FTIR spectrum of the title compound.

2854 cm⁻¹ are assigned to ν (CH) of the CH₂ groups, and the strong band at 1716 cm⁻¹ to ν (C=O) of the carboxyl groups.

An attenuated total reflection Fourier-transform infrared spectrum (ATR-FTIR) was recorded on an Agilent Cary 630 spectrometer using a germanium (Ge) ATR sampling module (Ge crystal, Happ–Genzel apodization, ATR distortion corrected, 64 scans, 4 cm⁻¹ resolution). The IR spectrum is shown in Fig. 4.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Hydrogen atoms were treated as riding on their parent atoms [C-H = 0.99 Å, N-H = 0.91 Å; $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(N)]$ except those of the carboxyl group, which were refined with the restraint $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$.

Funding information

The work was supported by the Science Committee of RA, in the frame of research project No. 21AG-1D015.

References

- Ahmed, M. I., Habib, A. & Javaid, S. S. (2015). Int. J. Photoenergy, 92308. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/592308
- Bruker (2024). APEX5. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Casas, J. S., Sordo, J. & Vidarte, M. J. (2006). Lead(II) coordination chemistry in the solid state. In Lead: Chemistry, Analytical Aspects, Environmental Impact and Health Effects, 1st ed., edited by J. S. Casas & J. Sordo, pp. 41–72. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Chen, X., Jo, H. & Ok, K. M. (2020). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59, 7514–7520.
- Cheng, X., Han, Y., Cheng, T., Xie, Y., Chang, X., Lin, Y., Lv, L., Li, J., Yin, J. & Cui, B.-B. (2023). *Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **15**, 32506–32514.
- Fleck, M. & Petrosyan, A. M. (2014). Salts of Amino Acids: Crystallization, Structure and Properties. Dordrecht, Springer.
- Gao, R., Reyes-Lillo, S. E., Xu, R., Dasgupta, A., Dong, Y., Dedon,
 L. R., Kim, J., Saremi, S., Chen, Z., Serrao, C. R., Zhou, H., Neaton,
 J. B. & Martin, L. W. (2017). *Chem. Mater.* 29, 6544–6551.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hübschle, C. B., Sheldrick, G. M. & Dittrich, B. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1281–1284.
- Kojima, A., Teshima, K., Shirai, Y. & Miyasaka, T. (2009). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 6050–6051.

 Table 3

 Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$(C_2H_6NO_2)_6[Pb_3I_{12}]$
M _r	2600.84
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	200
a, b, c (Å)	8.5437 (5), 11.2672 (7), 14.8534 (9)
α, β, γ (°)	105.900 (2), 92.647 (2), 111.477 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	1262.40 (13)
Z	1
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	17.36
Crystal size (mm)	$0.1\times0.08\times0.06$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.548, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	54402, 9640, 8389
R _{int}	0.029
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.771
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.022, 0.039, 1.03
No. of reflections	9640
No. of parameters	215
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained
	refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	2.29, -2.49

Computer programs: *APEX5* Bruker (2024), *SHELXT2018/2* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2019/2* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020) and *ShelXle* (Hübschle *et al.*, 2011).

- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Lemmerer, A. & Billing, D. G. (2012). CrystEngComm, 14, 1954–1966.
- Leng, F., Zhang, X. & Yu, J.-H. (2023). Dalton Trans. 52, 5127-5140.
- Liang, B.-D., Fan, C.-C., Liu, C.-D., Ju, T.-Y., Chai, C.-Y., Han, X.-B. & Zhang, W. (2023). *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **10**, 5035–5043.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 53, 226–235.
- Martínez Casado, F. J., Cañadillas-Delgado, L., Cucinotta, F., Guerrero-Martínez, A., Ramos Riesco, M., Marchese, L. & Rodríguez Cheda, J. A. (2012). *CrystEngComm*, 14, 2660–2668.
- Michael, D. & Harald, H. (2018). Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 233, 555-564.
- Nazarenko, O., Kotyrba, M. R., Yakunin, S., Aebli, M., Rainò, G., Benin, B. M., Wörle, M. & Kovalenko, M. V. (2018). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 3850–3853.
- Passarelli, J. V., Mauck, C. M., Winslow, S. W., Perkinson, C. F., Bard, J. C., Sai, H., Williams, K. W., Narayanan, A., Fairfield, D. J., Hendricks, M. P., Tisdale, W. A. & Stupp, S. I. (2020). *Nat. Chem.* 12, 672–682.
- Peng, Ch., Zhuang, Z., Yang, H., Zhang, G. & Fei, H. (2018). Chem. Sci. 9, 1627–1633.
- Peng, G., Xu, X. & Xu, G. (2015). J. Nanomater., 41853.
- Seth, S. K., Bauzá, A., Mahmoudi, Gh., Stilinović, V., López-Torres, E., Zaragoza, G., Keramidas, A. D. & Frontera, A. (2018). *CrystEngComm*, **20**, 5033–5044.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Terpstra, H. J., De Groot, R. A. & Haas, C. (1997). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 58, 561–566.

research communications

- Tonoyan, G. S., Giester, G., Ghazaryan, V. V., Badalyan, A. Y., Chilingaryan, R. Yu., Margaryan, A. A., Mkrtchyan, A. H. & Petrosyan, A. M. (2024). *III Intern. Scientific School-Conference on Acoustophysics named after Academician A. R. Mkrtchyan*, Book of Abstracts, p. 23., Yerevan-Sevan, Armenia. https://school. iapp. am/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/Book-of-Abstract-Sevan-2024.pdf
- Wang, C.-H., Du, H.-J., Li, Y., Niu, Y.-Y. & Hou, H.-W. (2015). New J. Chem. **39**, 7372–7378.
- Wang, J., Gao, L., Zhang, J., Zhao, L., Wang, X., Niu, X., Fan, L. & Hu, T. (2019). Cryst. Growth Des. 19, 630–637.

Wang, L. (2020). J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 30, 291-298.

- Wang, R.-Y., Zhang, X., Huo, Q.-S., Yu, J.-H. & Xu, J.-Q. (2017). *RSC Adv.* **7**, 19073–19080.
- Yao, G., Zhao, L., Zeng, T. & Yang, Z. (2022). Nanotechnology, 33, 355701.
- Yue, C.-Y., Sun, H.-X., Liu, Q.-X., Wang, X.-M., Yuan, Z.-S., Wang, J., Wu, J.-H., Hu, B. & Lei, X.-W. (2019). *Inorg. Chem. Front.* 6, 2709– 2717.
- Zhang, Sh., Xiao, T., Fadaei Tirani, F., Scopelliti, R., Nazeeruddin, M. K., Zhu, D., Dyson, P. J. & Fei, Z. (2022). *Inorg. Chem.* **61**, 5010–5016.
- Zhou, D., Zhou, T., Tian, Y., Zhu, X. & Tu, Y. (2018). J. Nanomaterials, 8148072.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80, 916-920 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989024007606]

Crystal structure of hexaglycinium dodecaiodotriplumbate

Gayane S. Tonoyan, Gerald Giester, Vahram V. Ghazaryan, Ruben Yu. Chilingaryan, Arthur A. Margaryan, Artak H. Mkrtchyan and Aram M. Petrosyan

Computing details

Hexaglycinium tetra-µ-iodido-octaiodidotriplumbate

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} (C_2H_6NO_2)_6[Pb_3I_{12}]\\ M_r = 2600.84\\ Triclinic, P\overline{1}\\ a = 8.5437~(5) \text{ Å}\\ b = 11.2672~(7) \text{ Å}\\ c = 14.8534~(9) \text{ Å}\\ a = 105.900~(2)^\circ\\ \beta = 92.647~(2)^\circ\\ \gamma = 111.477~(2)^\circ\\ V = 1262.40~(13) \text{ Å}^3 \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.548, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$
54402 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$ $wR(F^2) = 0.039$ S = 1.039640 reflections 215 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Z = 1 F(000) = 1128 $D_x = 3.421 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9918 reflections $\theta = 2.6-32.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 17.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 200 K Block, yellow $0.1 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

9640 independent reflections 8389 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.029$ $\theta_{max} = 33.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.1^{\circ}$ $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $k = -17 \rightarrow 17$ $l = -22 \rightarrow 22$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0087P)^2 + 2.5693P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 2.29$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -2.48$ e Å⁻³ Extinction correction: *SHELXL2019/2* (Sheldrick 2015b), Fc*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.00049 (2)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Pb1	0.500000	0.500000	0.500000	0.02041 (3)
Pb2	0.38342 (2)	0.18885 (2)	0.25654 (2)	0.02316 (3)
I1	0.19064 (2)	0.40522 (2)	0.33606 (2)	0.02537 (4)
I2	0.74935 (2)	0.46827 (2)	0.34936 (2)	0.02390 (4)
I3	0.41471 (2)	0.19237 (2)	0.49364 (2)	0.02463 (4)
I4	0.42551 (3)	0.22381 (2)	0.06337 (2)	0.03591 (5)
15	0.03007 (3)	-0.03864 (2)	0.16590 (2)	0.03305 (5)
I6	0.59810 (3)	0.01929 (2)	0.23522 (2)	0.03749 (6)
O1A	1.0289 (4)	0.4863 (2)	0.11937 (18)	0.0392 (6)
H1A	1.044 (5)	0.540 (3)	0.0923 (19)	0.059*
O2A	0.8915 (4)	0.3408 (2)	-0.02294 (17)	0.0389 (6)
C1A	0.9400 (4)	0.3667 (3)	0.0605 (2)	0.0263 (6)
C2A	0.9034 (5)	0.2593 (3)	0.1076 (2)	0.0330 (7)
H21A	1.011081	0.252552	0.127759	0.040*
H22A	0.852011	0.282924	0.164719	0.040*
N1A	0.7854 (4)	0.1293 (3)	0.0409 (2)	0.0366 (7)
H11A	0.774867	0.062872	0.066806	0.055*
H12A	0.826984	0.112846	-0.014398	0.055*
H13A	0.681464	0.132077	0.029277	0.055*
O1B	0.6686 (3)	0.5891 (3)	0.10354 (18)	0.0352 (6)
H1B	0.6483 (17)	0.542 (5)	0.048 (3)	0.053*
O2B	0.3916 (3)	0.5535 (3)	0.07956 (16)	0.0347 (5)
C1B	0.5289 (4)	0.5982 (3)	0.1296 (2)	0.0270 (6)
C2B	0.5538 (5)	0.6726 (4)	0.2331 (2)	0.0316 (7)
H21B	0.578315	0.619973	0.271200	0.038*
H22B	0.652301	0.759908	0.248893	0.038*
N1B	0.3990 (4)	0.6954 (3)	0.2566 (2)	0.0316 (6)
H11B	0.404495	0.721763	0.320722	0.047*
H12B	0.305401	0.618023	0.229916	0.047*
H13B	0.391713	0.760631	0.233660	0.047*
O1C	1.0019 (3)	0.1093 (3)	0.6226 (2)	0.0377 (6)
H1C	0.985 (5)	0.104 (4)	0.681 (3)	0.057*
O2C	0.8283 (3)	0.2202 (3)	0.64327 (18)	0.0373 (6)
N1C	0.8615 (4)	0.2696 (3)	0.4769 (2)	0.0352 (7)
H11C	0.867623	0.269306	0.415860	0.053*
H12C	0.923265	0.353762	0.517012	0.053*
H13C	0.750789	0.243678	0.485806	0.053*
C1C	0.9138 (4)	0.1728 (3)	0.5965 (2)	0.0268 (6)
C2C	0.9311 (4)	0.1753 (4)	0.4965 (2)	0.0304 (7)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

supporting information

H21C	1.052603	0.204456	0.488863	0.037*
H22C	0.867896	0.084242	0.451120	0.037*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Pb1	0.01827 (7)	0.02247 (7)	0.01990 (7)	0.00920 (6)	0.00231 (5)	0.00423 (6)
Pb2	0.02567 (6)	0.02343 (6)	0.02006 (5)	0.01115 (4)	0.00307 (4)	0.00437 (4)
I1	0.02081 (9)	0.02978 (10)	0.02544 (9)	0.01089 (8)	-0.00022 (7)	0.00788 (8)
I2	0.02197 (9)	0.02590 (10)	0.02631 (9)	0.01158 (7)	0.00746 (7)	0.00847 (7)
I3	0.02360 (9)	0.02525 (10)	0.02430 (9)	0.00811 (7)	0.00517 (7)	0.00853 (7)
I4	0.04911 (14)	0.02858 (11)	0.02416 (10)	0.00690 (10)	0.00171 (9)	0.01154 (8)
15	0.02567 (10)	0.03563 (12)	0.03222 (11)	0.00594 (9)	0.00550 (8)	0.01039 (9)
I6	0.04793 (14)	0.03453 (12)	0.04935 (14)	0.02767 (11)	0.02635 (11)	0.02394 (11)
O1A	0.0489 (16)	0.0251 (12)	0.0306 (13)	0.0009 (11)	-0.0040 (11)	0.0096 (10)
O2A	0.0541 (16)	0.0256 (12)	0.0280 (12)	0.0052 (11)	-0.0012 (11)	0.0104 (10)
C1A	0.0240 (14)	0.0240 (15)	0.0280 (15)	0.0063 (12)	0.0050 (12)	0.0083 (12)
C2A	0.0415 (19)	0.0234 (16)	0.0288 (16)	0.0057 (14)	0.0033 (14)	0.0099 (13)
N1A	0.0476 (18)	0.0240 (14)	0.0322 (15)	0.0063 (13)	0.0139 (13)	0.0092 (12)
O1B	0.0322 (13)	0.0411 (15)	0.0307 (12)	0.0164 (11)	0.0089 (10)	0.0055 (11)
O2B	0.0351 (13)	0.0478 (15)	0.0253 (11)	0.0217 (12)	0.0083 (10)	0.0096 (11)
C1B	0.0346 (17)	0.0278 (16)	0.0269 (15)	0.0170 (14)	0.0112 (13)	0.0137 (13)
C2B	0.0414 (19)	0.0333 (18)	0.0260 (15)	0.0214 (15)	0.0051 (13)	0.0093 (13)
N1B	0.0427 (17)	0.0304 (15)	0.0267 (13)	0.0176 (13)	0.0148 (12)	0.0107 (12)
O1C	0.0382 (14)	0.0470 (16)	0.0442 (15)	0.0249 (12)	0.0123 (11)	0.0273 (13)
O2C	0.0384 (14)	0.0449 (15)	0.0391 (14)	0.0247 (12)	0.0158 (11)	0.0166 (12)
N1C	0.0302 (15)	0.0430 (17)	0.0437 (17)	0.0180 (13)	0.0108 (13)	0.0254 (14)
C1C	0.0216 (14)	0.0259 (15)	0.0329 (16)	0.0064 (12)	0.0034 (12)	0.0134 (13)
C2C	0.0298 (16)	0.0336 (18)	0.0345 (17)	0.0163 (14)	0.0081 (13)	0.0151 (14)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pb1—I1 ⁱ	3.1575 (3)	O1B—C1B	1.302 (4)
Pb1—I1	3.1575 (2)	O1B—H1B	0.82 (5)
Pb1—I2 ⁱ	3.1988 (2)	O2B—C1B	1.210 (4)
Pb1—I2	3.1988 (2)	C1B—C2B	1.499 (4)
Pb1—I3	3.2432 (3)	C2B—N1B	1.476 (4)
Pb1—I3 ⁱ	3.2432 (3)	C2B—H21B	0.9900
Pb2—I4	3.0213 (3)	C2B—H22B	0.9900
Pb2—I6	3.0663 (3)	N1B—H11B	0.9100
Pb2—I5	3.0926 (3)	N1B—H12B	0.9100
Pb2—I1	3.4049 (3)	N1B—H13B	0.9100
Pb2—I2	3.4063 (3)	O1C—C1C	1.322 (4)
O1A—C1A	1.304 (4)	O1C—H1C	0.90 (5)
O1A—H1A	0.79 (5)	O2C—C1C	1.196 (4)
O2A—C1A	1.209 (4)	N1C—C2C	1.477 (4)
C1A—C2A	1.502 (4)	N1C—H11C	0.9100
C2A—N1A	1.474 (4)	N1C—H12C	0.9100

supporting information

C2A—H21A	0.9900	N1C—H13C	0.9100
C2A—H22A	0.9900	C1C—C2C	1.507 (5)
N1A—H11A	0.9100	C2C—H21C	0.9900
N1A—H12A	0.9100	C2C—H22C	0.9900
N1A—H13A	0.9100		
I1 ⁱ —Pb1—I1	180.0	C2A—N1A—H12A	109.5
$I1^{i}$ —Pb1—I2 ⁱ	91.363 (7)	H11A—N1A—H12A	109.5
I1—Pb1—I2 ⁱ	88.637 (8)	C2A—N1A—H13A	109.5
I1 ⁱ —Pb1—I2	88.637 (7)	H11A—N1A—H13A	109.5
I1—Pb1—I2	91.363 (7)	H12A—N1A—H13A	109.5
I2 ⁱ —Pb1—I2	180.0	C1B—O1B—H1B	109.5
I1 ⁱ —Pb1—I3	88.797 (5)	O2B—C1B—O1B	126.6 (3)
I1—Pb1—I3	91.203 (5)	O2B—C1B—C2B	121.3 (3)
I2 ⁱ —Pb1—I3	91.693 (5)	O1B—C1B—C2B	112.1 (3)
I2—Pb1—I3	88.307 (5)	N1B—C2B—C1B	110.1 (3)
$I1^{i}$ —Pb1—I3 ⁱ	91.203 (5)	N1B—C2B—H21B	109.6
I1—Pb1—I3 ⁱ	88.797 (6)	C1B—C2B—H21B	109.6
$I2^{i}$ —Pb1—I3 ⁱ	88.307 (5)	N1B—C2B—H22B	109.6
I2—Pb1—I3 ⁱ	91.693 (5)	C1B—C2B—H22B	109.6
I3—Pb1—I3 ⁱ	180.0	H21B—C2B—H22B	108.2
I4—Pb2—I6	92.576 (7)	C2B—N1B—H11B	109.5
I4—Pb2—I5	88.198 (8)	C2B—N1B—H12B	109.5
I6—Pb2—I5	98.865 (9)	H11B—N1B—H12B	109.5
I4—Pb2—I1	98.685 (7)	C2B—N1B—H13B	109.5
I6—Pb2—I1	166.133 (7)	H11B—N1B—H13B	109.5
I5—Pb2—I1	89.602 (8)	H12B—N1B—H13B	109.5
I4—Pb2—I2	88.550 (7)	C1C—O1C—H1C	109.5
I6—Pb2—I2	88.468 (8)	C2C—N1C—H11C	109.5
I5—Pb2—I2	172.104 (7)	C2C—N1C—H12C	109.5
I1—Pb2—I2	83.779 (8)	H11C—N1C—H12C	109.5
Pb1—I1—Pb2	76.444 (6)	C2C—N1C—H13C	109.5
Pb1—I2—Pb2	75.892 (6)	H11C—N1C—H13C	109.5
C1A—O1A—H1A	109.5	H12C—N1C—H13C	109.5
O2A—C1A—O1A	125.6 (3)	O2C—C1C—O1C	126.1 (3)
O2A—C1A—C2A	122.0 (3)	O2C—C1C—C2C	123.3 (3)
O1A—C1A—C2A	112.4 (3)	01C—C1C—C2C	110.6 (3)
N1A—C2A—C1A	109.8 (3)	N1C—C2C—C1C	108.8 (3)
N1A—C2A—H21A	109.7	N1C—C2C—H21C	109.9
C1A—C2A—H21A	109.7	C1C—C2C—H21C	109.9
N1A—C2A—H22A	109.7	N1C—C2C—H22C	109.9
C1A—C2A—H22A	109.7	C1C—C2C—H22C	109.9
H21A—C2A—H22A	108.2	H21C—C2C—H22C	108.3
C2A—N1A—H11A	109.5		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O1A— $H1A$ ··· $O2A$ ⁱⁱ	0.79 (5)	1.85 (3)	2.638 (4)	171 (4)
C2 <i>A</i> —H21 <i>A</i> …I1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99	3.10	3.669 (3)	118
C2A—H22A…I2	0.99	3.33	4.271 (4)	160
$N1A$ — $H11A$ ··· $I4^{iv}$	0.91	3.05	3.549 (3)	116
N1 <i>A</i> —H11 <i>A</i> …I5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.91	3.27	3.983 (3)	137
N1 <i>A</i> —H11 <i>A</i> …I6	0.91	3.04	3.655 (3)	126
$N1A$ — $H12A$ ···· $I5^{iv}$	0.91	2.69	3.588 (3)	170
N1 <i>A</i> —H13 <i>A</i> …I4	0.91	2.75	3.605 (3)	156
$O1B$ — $H1B$ ··· $O2B^{v}$	0.82 (4)	1.85 (4)	2.667 (3)	176 (4)
C2 <i>B</i> —H21 <i>B</i> ···I2	0.99	3.03	3.976 (3)	161
C2 <i>B</i> —H22 <i>B</i> ····I6 ^{vi}	0.99	3.18	3.775 (3)	120
$N1B$ — $H11B$ ···· $I3^{i}$	0.91	2.82	3.648 (3)	151
N1 <i>B</i> —H12 <i>B</i> …I1	0.91	3.11	3.647 (3)	119
N1B—H12B····O1 A^{vii}	0.91	2.49	3.320 (4)	151
$N1B$ — $H13B$ ···· $I6^{vi}$	0.91	2.79	3.528 (3)	139
O1C—H1C···I5 ^{viii}	0.90 (5)	2.57 (3)	3.445 (3)	164 (4)
N1C—H11C····I1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.91	3.09	3.711 (3)	127
N1 <i>C</i> —H11 <i>C</i> ···I2	0.91	3.13	3.640 (3)	117
N1 <i>C</i> —H11 <i>C</i> ···I6	0.91	3.31	3.868 (3)	122
$N1C$ — $H12C$ ··· $I2^{ix}$	0.91	3.00	3.779 (3)	145
N1C—H13C…I3	0.91	2.73	3.628 (3)	170
C2C—H21C···I3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99	3.14	4.068 (3)	156

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*; (iii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (v) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*; (vi) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (vii) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (ix) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.