Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

ACCESS

🖸 🛈 OPEN 🧑

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis, and calculations of intermolecular interaction energies and energy frameworks of 1-[(1-hexyl-1H-1,2,3triazol-4-yl)methyl]-3-(1-methylethenyl)benzimidazol-2-one

Zakaria El Atrassi,^a Zakaria Benzekri,^a* Olivier Blacque,^b Tuncer Hökelek,^c Ahmed Mazzah,^d Hassan Cherkaoui^a and Nada Kheira Sebbar^{e,f}

^aLaboratory of Heterocyclic Organic Chemistry, Medicines Science Research, Center, Pharmacochemistry Competence Center, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Faculté des Sciences, Av. Ibn Battouta, BP 1014, Rabat, Morocco, ^bUniversity of Zurich, Department of Chemistry B, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland, ^cDepartment of Physics, Hacettepe University, 06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Türkiye, ^dScience and Technology of Lille USR 3290, Villeneuve d'ascq cedex, France, ^eLaboratory of Organic and Physical Chemistry, Applied Bioorganic Chemistry Team, Faculty of Sciences, Ibnou Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco, and ^fLaboratory of Plant Chemistry, Organic and Bioorganic Synthesis, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University in Rabat, 4 Avenue Ibn Battouta BP 1014 RP, Rabat, Morocco. *Correspondence e-mail: z benzekri@um5r ac ma

The benzimidazole moiety in the title molecule, C19H25N5O, is almost planar and oriented nearly perpendicular to the triazole ring. In the crystal, C-H···O hydrogen bonds link the molecules into a network structure. There are no $\pi - \pi$ interactions present but two weak $C-H \cdot \cdot \pi$ (ring) interactions are observed. A Hirshfeld surface analysis of the crystal structure indicates that the most important contributions for the crystal packing are from $H \cdots H$ (62.0%), $H \cdots C$ / $C \cdots H$ (16.1%), $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$ (13.7%) and $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ (7.5%) interactions. Evaluation of the electrostatic, dispersion and total energy frameworks indicate that the stabilization is dominated via the dispersion energy contributions in the title compound.

1. Chemical context

Research into the properties of heterocyclic compounds, in particular benzimidazolones, has become increasingly important. These compounds possess unique structural features and have shown a wide range of biological activities, including antiproliferative (Guillon et al., 2022), antibacterial (Al-Ghulikah et al., 2023; Saber et al., 2020; Ibrahim et al., 2021), anticancer (Dimov et al., 2021), antiviral (Ferro et al., 2017) and antidepressant (Clayton et al., 2020) properties, and activities related to Alzheimer's disease (Mo et al., 2020). Our research group recently made significant advances in synthesizing compounds that combine the 1,2,3-triazole moiety with benzimidazol-2-one derivatives.

Here we provide details of the synthesis and the molecular and crystal structures of 1-[(1-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-



Figure 1 Reaction scheme for the synthesis of benzimidazole derivatives using the CuAAC method.







Received 12 August 2024 Accepted 4 September 2024

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Keywords: crystal structure; benzimidazol-2one; triazole; C—H··· π (ring) interaction; hydrogen bond.

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. For clarity, only the major occupancy component of the disordered terminal propyl moiety of the hexyl chain is shown.

methyl]-3-(1-methylethenyl)benzimidazol-2-one, $C_{19}H_{25}N_5O$. We have synthesized this compound using click chemistry, in particular by applying copper-catalysed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC). This approach not only ensures efficiency in the synthesis process but also facilitates the formation of complex molecular structures (Fig. 1). We also carried out Hirshfeld surface analysis and calculations of the intermolecular interaction energies and energy frameworks.



2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 2. The benzimidazole moiety is almost planar, the planar A (C1–C6) and B (N1/N2/C1/C2/C7) rings being oriented at a dihedral angle of 0.86 (5)°. Atoms O1 and C8 are 0.370 (10) Å and -0.0404 (16) Å, respectively, away from the least-squares plane of ring B. The planar triazole ring, C (N3–N5/C12/C13), is oriented almost perpendicular with respect to the benz-imidazole moiety at a dihedral angle of 87.57 (4)°, with atoms C11 and C14 lying 0.0044 (14) and 0.0463 (18) Å, respectively, from the least-squares plane of ring C. Bond lengths and angles in the whole molecule are in characteristic ranges.

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Cg1 is the centroid of the C1–C6 ring.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C10-H10B\cdotsO1^{iv}$	0.99 (2)	2.31 (2)	3.284 (2)	168.8 (18)
$C11-H11A\cdots O1^{ii}$	0.99	2.37	3.3577 (17)	173
$C11 - H11B \cdots Cg1^{i}$	0.99	2.76	3.5082 (18)	135
$C15 - H15B \cdots Cg1^{ii}$	0.99	2.88	3.7599 (19)	152

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) x + 1, y, z.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into a network structure (Table 1, Fig. 3). There are no significant $\pi-\pi$ interactions present, but two weak $C-H\cdots\pi$ (ring) interactions (Table 1) are observed.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

In order to quantify the intermolecular interactions in the crystal of the title compound, a Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) was



A partial packing diagram. Intermolecular $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.







Figure 5

View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title compound plotted over electrostatic potential energy using the STO-3 G basis set at the Hartree–Fock level of theory. Hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors are shown as blue and red regions, respectively, around the atoms corresponding to positive and negative potentials.

carried out using CrystalExplorer (Spackman et al., 2021). It is noted that only the major occupancy component of the disordered atoms at the terminal propyl moiety of the hexyl chain were taken into account for the analysis. In the HS plotted over d_{norm} (Fig. 4), the white surface indicates contacts with distances equal to the sum of van der Waals radii, and the red and blue colours indicate distances shorter (in close contact) or longer (distant contact) than the van der Waals radii, respectively (Venkatesan et al., 2016). The bright-red spots indicate their roles as the respective donors and/or acceptors; they also appear as blue and red regions corresponding to positive and negative potentials on the HS mapped over electrostatic potential (Spackman et al., 2008; Javatilaka et al., 2005), as shown in Fig. 5. The blue regions indicate the positive electrostatic potential (hydrogen-bond donors), while the red regions indicate the negative electro-



Hirshfeld surface of the title compound plotted over shape-index.

Selected interator			
O1···C9	3.185 (2)	C7···H9C	2.85
$O1 \cdot \cdot \cdot H10B^{i}$	2.31 (2)	H3···C7 ⁱⁱ	2.80
$O1 \cdot \cdot \cdot H11B$	2.72	$C10 \cdot \cdot \cdot H9C^{iii}$	2.80
O1· · ·H9 <i>C</i>	2.60	H16B···H19A	2.41
H3· · ·O1 ⁱⁱ	2.69	$H17A \cdot \cdot \cdot H19A^{i}$	2.36
H11A···O1 ⁱⁱ	2.37	H17 <i>B</i> ···H19 <i>C</i>	2.41
$C3 \cdot \cdot \cdot H11A$	2.87		

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) x + 1, y, z.

static potential (hydrogen-bond acceptors). Possible π - π stacking and $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions can also be visualized using the shape-index surface, which can be used to identify characteristic packing modes, in particular, planar stacking arrangements and the presence of aromatic stacking interactions. The shape-index surface represents the $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions as red *p*-holes, which are related to the electron ring interactions between the CH groups with the centroid of the aromatic rings of neighbouring molecules. Fig. 6 clearly shows that there are $C-H \cdots \pi$ interactions present in the crystal packing of the title compound. On the other hand, the shape-index of the HS is a tool to visualize $\pi - \pi$ stacking by the presence of adjacent red and blue triangles. If there are no adjacent red and/or blue triangles, then there are no $\pi - \pi$ interactions, as Fig. 6 clearly suggests. The overall twodimensional fingerprint plot, Fig. 7a, and those delineated into $H \cdots H, \ H \cdots C/C \cdots H, \ H \cdots N/N \cdots H, \ H \cdots O/O \cdots H, \ C \cdots N/$ N···C, C···C and C···O/O···C (McKinnon et al., 2007) are



Figure 7

The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title compound, showing (a) all interactions, and delineated into (b) $H \cdots H$, (c) $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, (d) $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$, (e) $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$, (f) $C \cdots N/N \cdots C$, (g) $C \cdots C$ and (h) $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$ interactions. The d_i and d_e values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from given points on the Hirshfeld surface.



Figure 8

The Hirshfeld surface representation of contact patches plotted onto the surface for (a) $H \cdots H$, (b) $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, (c) $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$ and $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ interactions.

illustrated in Fig. 7*b*–*h*, respectively, together with their relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface. The most important interaction is H···H (Table 2) contributing 62.0% to the overall crystal packing, which is reflected in Fig. 7*b* as widely scattered points of high density due to the large hydrogen content of the molecule. As a result of the presence of C–H··· π interactions (Table 1, Fig. 6), the H···C/C···H contacts (Table 2) contribute 16.1% to the overall crystal packing. H···N/N···H contacts (Fig. 7*d*) make a 13.7% contribution to the HS, and the H···O/O···H contacts (Table 3 and Fig. 7*e*) amount to 7.5% of the overall crystal packing. Finally, the C···N/N···C (Fig. 7*f*), C···C (Fig. 7*g*) and C···O/O···C (Fig. 7*h*) contacts with 0.4%, 0.3% and 0.1% contributions, respectively, to the HS play a minor role.

The nearest neighbour environment of a molecule can be determined from the colour patches on the HS based on how close to other molecules they are. The Hirshfeld surface representations of contact patches plotted onto the surface are shown for the $H \cdots H, H \cdots C/C \cdots H, H \cdots N/N \cdots H$ and $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ interactions in Fig. 8a-d, respectively.

The Hirshfeld surface analysis confirms the importance of H-atom contacts in establishing the crystal packing, as shown by the large number of $H \cdots H$, $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, $H \cdots N/N \cdots H$ and $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ interactions (Hathwar *et al.*, 2015).

5. Interaction energy calculations and energy frameworks

The intermolecular interaction energies were calculated using the CE–B3LYP/6–31G(d,p) energy model available in *CrystalExplorer* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021), where a cluster of molecules is generated by applying crystallographic symmetry operations with respect to a selected central molecule within the radius of 3.8 Å by default (Turner *et al.*, 2014). The total intermolecular energy (E_{tot}) is the sum of electrostatic (E_{ele}), polarization (E_{pol}), dispersion (E_{dis}) and exchange-repulsion (E_{rep}) energies (Turner *et al.*, 2015) with scale factors of 1.057,



Figure 9

The energy frameworks for a cluster of molecules of title compound viewed down the *c*-axis direction showing (*a*) electrostatic energy, (*b*) dispersion energy and (*c*) total energy diagrams. The cylindrical radius is proportional to the relative strength of the corresponding energies and they were adjusted to the same scale factor of 80 with cut-off value of 5 kJ mol⁻¹ within $2 \times 2 \times 2$ unit cells.

0.740, 0.871 and 0.618, respectively (Mackenzie et al., 2017). Hydrogen-bonding interaction energies (in kJ mol⁻¹) were calculated to be -32.5 (E_{ele}), -9.2 (E_{pol}), -60.5 (E_{dis}), 54.9(E_{rep}) and 59.9 (E_{tot}) for the C10-H10B···O1, and -19.8 (E_{ele}), -7.5 (E_{pol}), -72.3 (E_{dis}), 50.3 (E_{rep}) and -58.3 (E_{tot}) for the C11-H11A···O1 hydrogen-bonding interactions. Energy frameworks combine the calculation of intermolecular interaction energies with a graphical representation of their magnitude (Turner et al., 2015). Energies between molecular pairs are represented as cylinders joining the centroids of pairs of molecules with the cylinder radius proportional to the relative strength of the corresponding interaction energy. Energy frameworks were constructed for E_{ele} (red cylinders), E_{dis} (green cylinders) and E_{tot} (blue cylinders) (Fig. 9*a*–*c*). The evaluation of the electrostatic, dispersion and total energy frameworks indicate that the stabilization is dominated by the dispersion energy contributions in the crystal structure of the title compound.

6. Database survey

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, updated July 2024; Groom *et al.*, 2016) found several molecules that are similar to the title compound. These include: formula I in Fig. 10 (CSD refcode YIVWUZ; Zouhair *et al.*,





2023), formula II with $R1 = -C(CH_3) = CH_2$, $R2 = -C_6H_9$, and R3 = -H (CSD refcode ROPKOA; El Atrassi *et al.*, 2024), formula III with $R_1 = -C(CH_3) = CH_2$, $R_2 = -C_{10}H_{22}$, and $R_3 = -H$ (CSD refcode ETAJOB; Saber *et al.*, 2021), formula IV with $R_1 = -CH_2C_6H_5$, $R_2 = -C_{12}H_{26}$, and $R_3 = -H$ (CSD) refcode ETAKAO; Saber *et al.*, 2021) and formula V with $R_1 =$ $-C_6H_9$, $R_2 = -C_6H_5$, and $R_3 = -H$ (CSD refcode PAZFOO; Adardour et al., 2017). Most of the identified compounds exhibit an almost planar benzimidazol-2-one ring system, with the dihedral angle between the constituent rings being less than 1°, or the nitrogen atom bearing the exocyclic substituent being less than 0.03 Å from the mean plane of the remaining nine atoms.

7. Synthesis and crystallization

2.87 mmol of compound 1 (Fig. 1) and 0.45 mmol of 1-azidohexane were dissolved in 10 ml of ethanol. This solution was added into 1.64 mmol of CuSO₄ and 3.73 mmol of sodium ascorbate, dissolved in 10 ml of distilled water. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h at room temperature. After filtration and concentration of the solution under reduced pressure, the obtained residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column using ethyl acetate/hexane (3/1 v/v) as the eluent. The resulting solid was filtered, washed with water, dried, and recrystallized from ethanol. The title compound 2 was obtained in a yield of 87%.

8. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. The H10A and H10B hydrogen atoms were located in a difference-Fourier map, and were refined isotropically. The other C-bound hydrogen-atom positions were calculated geometrically at distances of 0.95 Å (for aromatic CH), 0.99 Å (for CH₂) and 0.98 Å (for CH₃) and refined using a riding model by applying the constraints

Ciystai data	
Chemical formula	$C_{19}H_{25}N_5O$
M _r	339.44
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	160
a, b, c (Å)	5.7820 (3), 26.5057 (14), 11.7704 (5)
β (°)	90.407 (4)
$V(Å^3)$	1803.84 (15)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.64
Crystal size (mm)	$0.25 \times 0.14 \times 0.09$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	SuperNova, Dual, Cu at home/ near Atlas
Absorption correction	Analytical [<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> (Rigaku OD, 2023) based on expression: derived by Clark & Reid (1995)
Turin Turin	0.901_0.951
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	21177, 3808, 3160
R _{int}	0.052
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.632
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.045, 0.127, 1.03
No. of reflections	3808
No. of parameters	257
No. of restraints	42
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Vestrip.

 $U_{iso}(H) = k \times U_{eq}(C)$, where k = 1.2 for CH and CH₂ and k =1.5 for CH₃. The terminal propyl moiety of the hexyl chain is disordered over two positions (H17A, H17B, C18A, H18A, H18B, C19A, H19A, H19B, H19C, H17C, H17D, C18B, H18C, H18D, C19B, H19D, H19E, H19F) with a refined occupancy ratio of 0.821 (5):0.179 (5).

Funding information

TH is grateful to Hacettepe University Scientific Research Project Unit (grant No. 013 D04 602 004).

References

Table 3

Experimental details.

- Adardour, M., Loughzail, M., Dahaoui, S., Baouid, A. & Berraho, M. (2017). IUCrData, 2, x170907.
- Al-Ghulikah, H., Ghabi, A., Mtiraoui, H., Jeanneau, E. & Msaddek, M. (2023). Arab. J. Chem. 104566.
- Clark, R. C. & Reid, J. S. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 887-897.
- Clayton, A. H., Brown, L. & Kim, N. N. (2020). Opinion d'expert sur l'innocuité des Médicaments, 1-8.
- Dimov, S., Mavrova, A. T., Yancheva, D., Nikolova, B. & Tsoneva, I. (2021). Anticancer Agents Med. Chem. 21, 1441-1450.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339-341.

research communications

- El Atrassi, Z., Zouhair, M., Blacque, O., Hökelek, T., Haoudi, A., Mazzah, A., Cherkaoui, H. & Sebbar, N. K. (2024). *Acta Cryst.* E80, 601–606.
- Ferro, S., Buemi, M. R., De Luca, L., Agharbaoui, F. E., Pannecouque, C. & Monforte, A. M. (2017). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 25, 3861–3870.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Guillon, J., Savrimoutou, S., Albenque-Rubio, S., Pinaud, N., Moreau, S. & Desplat, V. (2022). *Molbank*, 2022, M1333.
- Hathwar, V. R., Sist, M., Jørgensen, M. R. V., Mamakhel, A. H., Wang, X., Hoffmann, C. M., Sugimoto, K., Overgaard, J. & Iversen, B. B. (2015). *IUCrJ*, 2, 563–574.
- Hirshfeld, H. L. (1977). Theor. Chim. Acta, 44, 129-138.
- Ibrahim, S., Ghabi, A., Amiri, N., Mtiraoui, H., Hajji, M., Bel-Hadj-Tahar, R. & Msaddek, M. (2021). *Monatsh. Chem.* 152, 523–535.
- Jayatilaka, D., Grimwood, D. J., Lee, A., Lemay, A., Russel, A. J., Taylor, C., Wolff, S. K., Cassam-Chenai, P. & Whitton, A. (2005). *TONTO* - A System for Computational Chemistry. Available at: http://hirshfeldsurface.net/
- Mackenzie, C. F., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *IUCrJ*, **4**, 575–587.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Mo, J., Chen, T., Yang, H., Guo, Y., Li, Q., Qiao, Y., Lin, H., Feng, F., Liu, W., Chen, Y., Liu, Z. & Sun, H. (2020). J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 35, 330–343.

- Rigaku OD (2023). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton, England.
- Saber, A., Anouar, E. H., Sebbar, G., Ibrahimi, B. E., Srhir, M., Hökelek, T., Mague, J. T., Ghayati, L. E., Sebbar, N. K. & Essassi, E. M. (2021). *J. Mol. Struct.* **1242**, 130719.
- Saber, A., Sebbar, N. K., Sert, Y., Alzaqri, N., Hökelek, T., El Ghayati, L., Talbaoui, A., Mague, J. T., Baba, Y., Urrutigoîty, M. & Essassi, E. M. (2020). J. Mol. Struct. 1200, 127174.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19–32.
- Spackman, M. A., McKinnon, J. J. & Jayatilaka, D. (2008). CrystEngComm, **10**, 377–388.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Turner, M. J., Grabowsky, S., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2014). J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 5, 4249–4255.
- Turner, M. J., Thomas, S. P., Shi, M. W., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2015). *Chem. Commun.* 51, 3735–3738.
- Venkatesan, P., Thamotharan, S., Ilangovan, A., Liang, H. & Sundius, T. (2016). Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 153, 625– 636.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zouhair, M., El Ghayati, L., El Monfalouti, H., Abchihi, H., Hökelek, T., Ahmed, M., Mague, J. T. & Sebbar, N. K. (2023). *Acta Cryst.* E**79**, 1179–1182.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80, 1075-1080 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989024008703]

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis, and calculations of intermolecular interaction energies and energy frameworks of 1-[(1-hexyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]-3-(1-methylethenyl)-benzimidazol-2-one

Zakaria El Atrassi, Zakaria Benzekri, Olivier Blacque, Tuncer Hökelek, Ahmed Mazzah, Hassan Cherkaoui and Nada Kheira Sebbar

Computing details

1-[(1-Hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]-3-(1-methylethenyl)benzimidazol-2-one

Crystal data

C₁₉H₂₅N₅O $M_r = 339.44$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 5.7820 (3) Å b = 26.5057 (14) Å c = 11.7704 (5) Å $\beta = 90.407$ (4)° V = 1803.84 (15) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

SuperNova, Dual, Cu at home/near, Atlas diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, SuperNova (Cu) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.3801 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: analytical [CrysAlisPro (Rigaku OD, 2023) based on expressions derived by Clark & Reid (1995)]

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$ $wR(F^2) = 0.127$ S = 1.033808 reflections 257 parameters 42 restraints Primary atom site location: dual Hydrogen site location: mixed F(000) = 728 $D_x = 1.250 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 1.54184 \mathbf{Å} Cell parameters from 8532 reflections $\theta = 3.3-76.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.64 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 160 KPlate, colourless $0.25 \times 0.14 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.901, T_{\max} = 0.951$ 21177 measured reflections
3808 independent reflections
3160 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.052$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 77.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.3^{\circ}$ $h = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $k = -33 \rightarrow 29$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 10$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0636P)^2 + 0.5249P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.25 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), Fc*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.0036 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. 1. Fixed Uiso At 1.2 times of: All C(H) groups, All C(H,H) groups, All C(H,H,H,H) groups At 1.5 times of: All C(H,H,H) groups 2. Restrained distances C18B-C19B ~ C19A-C18A ~ C18B-C17 ~ C18A-C17 with sigma of 0.005 3. Uiso/Uaniso restraints and constraints C18A ~ C19A ~ C18B ~ C19B: within 3A with sigma of 0.01 and sigma for terminal atoms of 0.02 within 3A 4. Others

Sof(H17C)=Sof(H17D)=Sof(C18B)=Sof(H18C)=Sof(H18D)=Sof(C19B)=Sof(H19D)= Sof(H19E)=Sof(H19F)=1-FVAR(1) Sof(H17A)=Sof(H17B)=Sof(C18A)=Sof(H18A)=Sof(H18B)=Sof(C19A)=Sof(H19A)= Sof(H19B)=Sof(H19C)=FVAR(1) 5.a Secondary CH2 refined with riding coordinates: C11(H11A,H11B), C14(H14A,H14B), C15(H15A,H15B), C16(H16A,H16B), C17(H17A, H17B), C17(H17C,H17D), C18A(H18A,H18B), C18B(H18C,H18D) 5.b Aromatic/amide H refined with riding coordinates: C3(H3), C4(H4), C5(H5), C6(H6), C13(H13) 5.c Idealised Me refined as rotating group: C9(H9A,H9B,H9C), C19A(H19A,H19B,H19C), C19B(H19D,H19E,H19F)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
C1	0.7940 (2)	0.17577 (5)	0.68604 (12)	0.0308 (3)	
01	0.33103 (18)	0.21779 (4)	0.52367 (8)	0.0364 (3)	
N1	0.6614 (2)	0.17482 (5)	0.58607 (10)	0.0326 (3)	
N2	0.4944 (2)	0.22890 (5)	0.70261 (9)	0.0296 (3)	
C2	0.6863 (2)	0.20966 (5)	0.75975 (11)	0.0291 (3)	
N3	0.2200 (2)	0.35163 (5)	0.66222 (11)	0.0374 (3)	
C3	0.7721 (3)	0.21852 (6)	0.86806 (12)	0.0352 (3)	
H3	0.698298	0.241366	0.918318	0.042*	
N4	0.3129 (2)	0.39205 (5)	0.61517 (13)	0.0424 (3)	
C4	0.9712 (3)	0.19248 (7)	0.90008 (13)	0.0393 (4)	
H4	1.035084	0.197730	0.973712	0.047*	
C5	1.0783 (3)	0.15899 (6)	0.82652 (14)	0.0399 (4)	
H5	1.213610	0.141701	0.851127	0.048*	
N5	0.5415 (2)	0.38392 (5)	0.60927 (11)	0.0357 (3)	
C6	0.9922 (3)	0.15015 (6)	0.71767 (13)	0.0356 (3)	
H6	1.066630	0.127466	0.667251	0.043*	
C7	0.4775 (2)	0.20804 (6)	0.59617 (12)	0.0303 (3)	
C8	0.7004 (3)	0.14433 (6)	0.48692 (12)	0.0352 (3)	
C9	0.5113 (3)	0.10808 (7)	0.45822 (17)	0.0492 (4)	
H9A	0.493585	0.083842	0.520422	0.074*	
H9B	0.550058	0.090019	0.388245	0.074*	
H9C	0.366145	0.126581	0.447146	0.074*	
C10	0.8948 (3)	0.14965 (7)	0.43056 (15)	0.0442 (4)	
H10A	0.931 (4)	0.1277 (8)	0.3654 (18)	0.056 (6)*	
H10B	1.012 (4)	0.1741 (9)	0.4565 (18)	0.058 (6)*	
C11	0.3375 (3)	0.26838 (6)	0.74017 (12)	0.0323 (3)	
H11A	0.349085	0.271778	0.823779	0.039*	
H11B	0.176646	0.258618	0.720965	0.039*	
C12	0.3908 (2)	0.31811 (6)	0.68620 (11)	0.0301 (3)	
C13	0.5969 (3)	0.33859 (6)	0.65264 (13)	0.0340 (3)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

				0.0444	
H13	0.746367	0.323922	0.658726	0.041*	
C14	0.6934 (3)	0.42141 (6)	0.55722 (15)	0.0424 (4)	
H14A	0.855524	0.409626	0.563088	0.051*	
H14B	0.681253	0.453526	0.599697	0.051*	
C15	0.6340 (3)	0.43082 (6)	0.43358 (15)	0.0421 (4)	
H15A	0.475025	0.444526	0.427921	0.051*	
H15B	0.637541	0.398416	0.391783	0.051*	
C16	0.8018 (3)	0.46769 (7)	0.37858 (17)	0.0480 (4)	
H16A	0.807003	0.499065	0.424150	0.058*	
H16B	0.958743	0.452764	0.379478	0.058*	
C17	0.7356 (4)	0.48077 (8)	0.25675 (17)	0.0586 (5)	
H17A	0.581533	0.497062	0.257699	0.070*	0.821 (5)
H17B	0.719383	0.448852	0.213761	0.070*	0.821 (5)
H17C	0.613356	0.506877	0.252606	0.070*	0.179 (5)
H17D	0.688090	0.450729	0.212404	0.070*	0.179 (5)
C18A	0.9007 (5)	0.51525 (10)	0.1912 (3)	0.0583 (8)	0.821 (5)
H18A	0.819476	0.529284	0.123958	0.070*	0.821 (5)
H18B	0.948434	0.543763	0.240390	0.070*	0.821 (5)
C19A	1.1136 (5)	0.48654 (11)	0.1530 (3)	0.0744 (10)	0.821 (5)
H19A	1.195578	0.473157	0.219640	0.112*	0.821 (5)
H19B	1.215944	0.509327	0.111307	0.112*	0.821 (5)
H19C	1.066583	0.458600	0.103448	0.112*	0.821 (5)
C18B	0.9733 (13)	0.5011 (6)	0.2206 (8)	0.058 (3)	0.179 (5)
H18C	1.005039	0.534040	0.257090	0.070*	0.179 (5)
H18D	1.097440	0.477164	0.242305	0.070*	0.179 (5)
C19B	0.960 (2)	0.5068 (5)	0.0915 (8)	0.061 (3)	0.179 (5)
H19D	0.966338	0.473423	0.055916	0.092*	0.179 (5)
H19E	1.090519	0.527234	0.065372	0.092*	0.179 (5)
H19F	0.814545	0.523467	0.070434	0.092*	0.179 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0308 (7)	0.0297 (7)	0.0318 (7)	-0.0014 (5)	0.0010 (5)	0.0029 (5)
01	0.0368 (6)	0.0420 (6)	0.0302 (5)	0.0042 (5)	-0.0033 (4)	0.0014 (4)
N1	0.0334 (6)	0.0346 (7)	0.0297 (6)	0.0030 (5)	0.0000 (5)	-0.0028 (5)
N2	0.0316 (6)	0.0307 (6)	0.0266 (5)	0.0029 (5)	0.0013 (4)	0.0008 (5)
C2	0.0296 (7)	0.0292 (7)	0.0285 (6)	-0.0014 (5)	0.0013 (5)	0.0035 (5)
N3	0.0307 (6)	0.0369 (7)	0.0446 (7)	0.0047 (5)	0.0007 (5)	0.0037 (5)
C3	0.0370 (8)	0.0387 (8)	0.0300 (7)	-0.0022 (6)	0.0002 (6)	0.0017 (6)
N4	0.0321 (7)	0.0360 (7)	0.0590 (8)	0.0054 (5)	0.0015 (6)	0.0074 (6)
C4	0.0387 (8)	0.0443 (9)	0.0347 (7)	-0.0047 (7)	-0.0056 (6)	0.0082 (6)
C5	0.0324 (7)	0.0404 (9)	0.0468 (9)	0.0000 (6)	-0.0054 (6)	0.0115 (7)
N5	0.0300 (6)	0.0326 (7)	0.0445 (7)	0.0013 (5)	-0.0004 (5)	0.0008 (5)
C6	0.0330 (7)	0.0318 (8)	0.0420 (8)	0.0015 (6)	0.0005 (6)	0.0024 (6)
C7	0.0316 (7)	0.0314 (7)	0.0280 (6)	-0.0004 (6)	0.0021 (5)	0.0029 (5)
C8	0.0366 (8)	0.0344 (8)	0.0346 (7)	0.0044 (6)	-0.0017 (6)	-0.0050 (6)
C9	0.0455 (9)	0.0438 (10)	0.0582 (10)	-0.0026 (8)	-0.0012 (8)	-0.0141 (8)

supporting information

C10	0.0405 (9)	0.0512 (10)	0.0411 (8)	0.0039 (7)	0.0032 (7)	-0.0106 (7)	
C11	0.0324 (7)	0.0350 (8)	0.0296 (6)	0.0050 (6)	0.0052 (5)	0.0008 (6)	
C12	0.0304 (7)	0.0326 (7)	0.0275 (6)	0.0039 (5)	-0.0004 (5)	-0.0026 (5)	
C13	0.0290 (7)	0.0346 (8)	0.0385 (7)	0.0045 (6)	-0.0012 (6)	0.0013 (6)	
C14	0.0358 (8)	0.0348 (8)	0.0567 (10)	-0.0045 (6)	-0.0001 (7)	0.0035 (7)	
C15	0.0354 (8)	0.0372 (8)	0.0538 (9)	0.0006 (6)	0.0048 (7)	0.0046 (7)	
C16	0.0436 (9)	0.0369 (9)	0.0636 (11)	-0.0008 (7)	0.0124 (8)	0.0025 (8)	
C17	0.0648 (12)	0.0539 (12)	0.0574 (11)	-0.0017 (10)	0.0196 (9)	0.0042 (9)	
C18A	0.0807 (19)	0.0386 (15)	0.0561 (17)	0.0058 (12)	0.0190 (14)	0.0133 (11)	
C19A	0.0639 (17)	0.0589 (17)	0.101 (2)	-0.0032 (13)	0.0307 (16)	0.0218 (15)	
C18B	0.093 (7)	0.039 (6)	0.042 (5)	-0.009 (5)	0.012 (5)	0.009 (4)	
C19B	0.077 (7)	0.059 (6)	0.047 (5)	-0.002 (5)	0.011 (5)	0.012 (5)	

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N1	1.4000 (18)	C11—C12	1.496 (2)
C1—C2	1.398 (2)	C12—C13	1.370 (2)
C1—C6	1.381 (2)	C13—H13	0.9500
O1—C7	1.2257 (18)	C14—H14A	0.9900
N1—C7	1.3862 (18)	C14—H14B	0.9900
N1—C8	1.4386 (18)	C14—C15	1.514 (2)
N2—C2	1.3901 (18)	C15—H15A	0.9900
N2—C7	1.3723 (18)	C15—H15B	0.9900
N2	1.4560 (18)	C15—C16	1.525 (2)
C2—C3	1.385 (2)	C16—H16A	0.9900
N3—N4	1.3219 (19)	C16—H16B	0.9900
N3—C12	1.3567 (18)	C16—C17	1.522 (3)
С3—Н3	0.9500	C17—H17A	0.9900
C3—C4	1.392 (2)	C17—H17B	0.9900
N4—N5	1.3414 (18)	C17—H17C	0.9900
C4—H4	0.9500	C17—H17D	0.9900
C4—C5	1.389 (2)	C17—C18A	1.534 (3)
С5—Н5	0.9500	C17—C18B	1.539 (5)
C5—C6	1.391 (2)	C18A—H18A	0.9900
N5-C13	1.343 (2)	C18A—H18B	0.9900
N5-C14	1.464 (2)	C18A—C19A	1.518 (3)
С6—Н6	0.9500	C19A—H19A	0.9800
C8—C9	1.492 (2)	C19A—H19B	0.9800
C8—C10	1.317 (2)	C19A—H19C	0.9800
С9—Н9А	0.9800	C18B—H18C	0.9900
С9—Н9В	0.9800	C18B—H18D	0.9900
С9—Н9С	0.9800	C18B—C19B	1.528 (5)
C10—H10A	0.99 (2)	C19B—H19D	0.9800
C10—H10B	0.99 (2)	C19B—H19E	0.9800
C11—H11A	0.9900	C19B—H19F	0.9800
C11—H11B	0.9900		
O1…C9	3.185 (2)	С7…Н9С	2.85

$O1 \cdots H10B^{i}$	231(2)	H3C7 ⁱⁱ	2.80
01H11B	2.31 (2)		2.80
$01 \dots H0C$	2.72	H16BH10A	2.00
	2.60		2.41
	2.09		2.30
	2.37	ні/в…ніэс	2.41
C3···HIIA	2.87		
C2—C1—N1	106.82 (12)	C12—C13—H13	127.5
C6-C1-N1	131.78 (14)	N5—C14—H14A	109.1
C6—C1—C2	121.40 (14)	N5—C14—H14B	109.1
C1—N1—C8	127.15 (12)	N5—C14—C15	112.42 (14)
C7—N1—C1	109.41 (12)	H14A—C14—H14B	107.9
C7—N1—C8	123.43 (12)	C15—C14—H14A	109.1
C2—N2—C11	127.86 (12)	C15—C14—H14B	109.1
C7—N2—C2	110.20 (12)	C14—C15—H15A	109.2
C7—N2—C11	121.76 (12)	C14—C15—H15B	109.2
N2-C2-C1	107.03 (12)	C14-C15-C16	111.90 (15)
$C_{3}-C_{2}-C_{1}$	121 46 (14)	H15A-C15-H15B	107.9
$C_3 - C_2 - N_2$	131 51 (14)	C_{16} C_{15} H_{15A}	109.2
N4—N3—C12	108.69(12)	C16—C15—H15B	109.2
C2-C3-H3	121.5	C_{15} C_{16} H_{16A}	109.0
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	117.09(14)	C_{15} C_{16} H_{16B}	109.0
C4-C3-H3	121 5	H_{16A} $-C_{16}$ $-H_{16B}$	107.8
N3_N4_N5	107 16 (12)	C_{17} C_{16} C_{15}	112.93 (16)
C3-C4-H4	119.3	C_{17} C_{16} H_{16A}	109.0
C_{5} C_{4} C_{3}	121 32 (14)	C17 - C16 - H16B	109.0
$C_5 = C_4 = C_5$	110.3	C_{16} C_{17} H_{17A}	109.0
C4—C5—H5	119.5	$C_{10} - C_{17} - H_{17}$	108.0
$C_4 C_5 C_6$	119.2	C_{16} C_{17} H_{17C}	112 4
C6 C5 H5	110.2	$C_{16} = C_{17} = H_{17}C_{17}$	112.4
N4 N5 C13	119.2	$C_{16} = C_{17} = C_{18A}$	112.4
N4 N5 C14	110.09(13) 120.47(13)	$C_{10} - C_{17} - C_{18A}$	117.2(2)
N4 - N5 - C14	120.47(13) 128.61(12)	10 - 17 - 180	97.0 (4)
$C_{13} - C_{13} - C_{14}$	120.01(13) 117.16(14)	$\Pi / A - C I / - \Pi / B$	107.2
C1 - C0 - C3	117.10 (14)	H1/C - C1/-H1/D	109.9
$C_1 = C_0 = H_0$	121.4	C18A - C17 - H17A	108.0
C_{3} C_{7} N_{1}	121.4	$C_{18} = C_{17} = H_{17}$	108.0
O1 = C7 = N2	120.94(13)	$C_{18}D = C_{17} = H_{17}C$	112.4
$V_1 = C_7 = N_2$	120.51(14) 106.52(12)	C18B - C17 - H17D	112.4
$N_2 - C_1 - N_1$	100.55(12)	C17 = C18A = H18A	109.4
NI	115.25 (13)	C1/-C18A-H18B	109.4
C10—C8—N1	119.20 (15)	H18A - C18A - H18B	108.0
C10 - C8 - C9	125.55 (15)	C19A - C18A - C17	111.0 (2)
C° Co HOD	109.5	$C_{10A} = C_{10A} = H_{10B}$	109.4
	109.5	C19A - C18A - H18B	109.4
	109.5	C18A - C19A - H19A	109.5
нуа—Су—Нув	109.5	C18A - C19A - H19B	109.5
нуа—Су—НуС	109.5	C18A—C19A—H19C	109.5
H9B—C9—H9C	109.5	H19A—C19A—H19B	109.5

C8—C10—H10A	120.9 (13)	H19A—C19A—H19C	109.5
C8—C10—H10B	120.1 (13)	H19B—C19A—H19C	109.5
H10A—C10—H10B	118.8 (17)	C17—C18B—H18C	110.6
N2—C11—H11A	109.2	C17—C18B—H18D	110.6
N2—C11—H11B	109.2	H18C—C18B—H18D	108.7
N2—C11—C12	111.94 (11)	C19B—C18B—C17	105.7 (7)
H11A—C11—H11B	107.9	C19B—C18B—H18C	110.6
C12—C11—H11A	109.2	C19B—C18B—H18D	110.6
C12—C11—H11B	109.2	C18B—C19B—H19D	109.5
N3—C12—C11	120.90 (13)	C18B—C19B—H19E	109.5
N3—C12—C13	108.30 (13)	C18B—C19B—H19F	109.5
C13—C12—C11	130.80 (13)	H19D—C19B—H19E	109.5
N5-C13-C12	104.97 (13)	H19D—C19B—H19F	109.5
N5—C13—H13	127.5	H19E—C19B—H19F	109.5
C1—N1—C7—O1	177.74 (14)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.6 (2)
C1—N1—C7—N2	-0.87 (16)	N5-C14-C15-C16	-176.78 (13)
C1—N1—C8—C9	118.16 (17)	C6—C1—N1—C7	-179.65 (15)
C1—N1—C8—C10	-61.0(2)	C6—C1—N1—C8	1.2 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.4 (2)	C6—C1—C2—N2	179.77 (13)
N1-C1-C2-N2	-0.88 (15)	C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.8 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C3	178.54 (13)	C7—N1—C8—C9	-60.8 (2)
N1-C1-C6-C5	-178.31 (15)	C7—N1—C8—C10	120.01 (18)
N2—C2—C3—C4	179.71 (15)	C7—N2—C2—C1	0.37 (16)
N2-C11-C12-N3	147.34 (13)	C7—N2—C2—C3	-178.97 (15)
N2-C11-C12-C13	-32.5 (2)	C7—N2—C11—C12	-74.12 (17)
C2-C1-N1-C7	1.10 (16)	C8—N1—C7—O1	-3.1 (2)
C2-C1-N1-C8	-178.02 (14)	C8—N1—C7—N2	178.29 (13)
C2-C1-C6-C5	0.9 (2)	C11—N2—C2—C1	-174.74 (13)
C2—N2—C7—O1	-178.31 (14)	C11—N2—C2—C3	5.9 (2)
C2—N2—C7—N1	0.30 (16)	C11—N2—C7—O1	-2.8 (2)
C2-N2-C11-C12	100.48 (16)	C11—N2—C7—N1	175.77 (12)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.2 (2)	C11—C12—C13—N5	179.73 (14)
N3—N4—N5—C13	-0.26 (18)	C12—N3—N4—N5	0.17 (17)
N3—N4—N5—C14	177.91 (14)	C13—N5—C14—C15	116.89 (18)
N3—C12—C13—N5	-0.12 (16)	C14—N5—C13—C12	-177.75 (15)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.3 (2)	C14—C15—C16—C17	-175.78 (15)
N4—N3—C12—C11	-179.90 (13)	C15—C16—C17—C18A	-176.58 (17)
N4—N3—C12—C13	-0.03 (17)	C15—C16—C17—C18B	-161.5 (6)
N4—N5—C13—C12	0.23 (17)	C16—C17—C18A—C19A	76.2 (3)
N4—N5—C14—C15	-60.9 (2)	C16—C17—C18B—C19B	168.8 (9)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (ii) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (iii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

*Cg*1 is the centroid of the C1–C6 ring.

	D—H	H····A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C10—H10 <i>B</i> …O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99 (2)	2.31 (2)	3.284 (2)	168.8 (18)

supporting information

C11—H11A····O1 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.37	3.3577 (17)	173
C11—H11 B ···· $Cg1^i$	0.99	2.76	3.5082 (18)	135
C15—H15 <i>B</i> ··· <i>Cg</i> 1 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.88	3.7599 (19)	152

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (ii) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (iii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*.