

Received 26 July 2024 Accepted 20 September 2024

Edited by J. Reibenspies, Texas A & M University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; imidazolidine; C— $H \cdots \pi$ (ring) interaction; hydrogen bond; Hirshfeld surface.

CCDC reference: 2385417

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analyses, crystal voids, intermolecular interaction energies and energy frameworks of 3-benzyl-1-(3-bromopropyl)-5,5-diphenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione

Houda Lamssane,^a* Amal Haoudi,^a Badr Eddine Kartah,^b Ahmed Mazzah,^c Joel T. Mague,^d Tuncer Hökelek,^e Youssef Kandri Rodi^a and Nada Kheira Sebbar^{f,b}

^aLaboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty Of Science And Technology, Road Immouzer, BP 2202 Fez, Morocco, ^bLaboratory of Plant Chemistry, Organic and Bioorganic Synthesis, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University in Rabat, 4 Avenue Ibn Battouta BP 1014 RP, Morocco, ^cScience and Technology of Lille USR 3290, Villeneuve d'ascq cedex, France, ^dDepartment of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA, ^eDepartment of Physics, Hacettepe University, 06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Türkiye, and ^fLaboratory of Organic and Physical Chemistry, Applied Bioorganic Chemistry Team, Faculty of Sciences, Ibnou Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco. *Correspondence e-mail: houda.lamssane@usmba.ac.ma

The title molecule, $C_{25}H_{23}BrN_2O_2$, adopts a cup shaped conformation with the distinctly ruffled imidazolidine ring as the base. In the crystal, weak $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots\pi(ring)$ interactions form helical chains of molecules extending along the *b*-axis direction that are linked by additional weak $C-H\cdots\pi(ring)$ interactions across inversion centres. The Hirshfeld surface analysis of the crystal structure indicates that the most important contributions for the crystal packing are from $H\cdots H$ (51.0%), $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$ (21.3%), $Br\cdots H/H\cdots Br$ (12.8%) and $O\cdots H/H\cdots O$ (12.4%) interactions. The volume of the crystal voids and the percentage of free space were calculated to be 251.24 Å³ and 11.71%, respectively, showing that there is no large cavity in the crystal packing. Evaluation of the electrostatic, dispersion and total energy frameworks indicate that the stabilization is dominated by the dispersion energy.

1. Chemical context

Heterocyclic compounds are essential in medicinal chemistry as they serve as the basic building blocks for many biologically active molecules, and thus are crucial for medication research and development (Negi et al., 2020; Pradeep et al., 2023). Hydantoins are a class of heterocyclic organic compounds that have piqued the interest of researchers due to their diverse biological applications (Ageel et al., 2023). These substances have a variety of pharmacological characteristics, such as anticonvulsant (Emami et al., 2021), antibacterial (Pandeya et al., 2000; Sangeetha et al., 2016), antidiabetic (Salem et al., 2018), antitumor (Żesławska et al., 2021), antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities (Abdel-Aziz et al., 2016; Da Silva Guerra et al., 2011). Phenytoin is a widely recognized pharmaceutical drug belonging to the hydantoin class and is recognized in the treatment of epileptic seizures. However, it can also be used to treat heart rhythm disorders resulting from digitalis glucoside intoxication (Dylag et al. 2004; Thenmozhiyal et al., 2004). Continuing our research in this field, we have synthesized the compound 3-benzyl-1-(3-bromopropyl)-5,5-diphenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione by reacting 1,3-dibromopropane with 3-benzyl-5,5-diphenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione under phase-transfer catalysis conditions. We determined its molecular and crystal structures, performed a Hirshfeld



surface analysis, and investigated its crystal voids, intermolecular interaction energies and energy frameworks.

C

2. Structural commentary

R

The molecule adopts a cup-shaped conformation with the imidazolidine ring as the bottom and the benzyl, the C4–C9 phenyl and the 3-bromopropyl groups forming the sides (Fig. 1). The imidazolidine ring is quite ruffled and a puckering analysis of its conformation (Cremer & Pople, 1975) gave the parameters Q(2) = 0.0937 (13) Å and $\varphi(2) = 232.0 (8)^{\circ}$. The best descriptor is a twist on C2–C1. The dihedral angles between the mean planes of the C4···C9 and C10···C15 rings and that of the imidazolidine ring are 78.40 (5) and 82.90 (4)°, respectively. The mean plane of the C17–C22 ring is inclined to that of the imidazolidine ring by 85.94 (4)°. All bond distances and interbond angles appear as expected for the formulation given.



Figure 1

The title molecule with labelling scheme and 50% probability ellipsoids.

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Cg4 is the centroid of the C17-C22 benzene ring.

$D-H\cdots A$ $D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ D	$-\mathrm{H}\cdot\cdot A$
$C12 - H12 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{i}$ 0.95 2.49 3.2158 (17) 13	33
C16-H16 $A \cdots Cg4^{v}$ 0.99 2.66 3.5901 (14) 15	57
$C25 - H25B \cdots Cg4^{vi}$ 0.99 2.89 3.7621 (17) 14	8

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (v) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vi) x, y + 1, z.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, weak C12-H12···O1 hydrogen bonds and C25-H25B···Cg4 interactions (Table 1) form helical chains of molecules extending along the *b*-axis direction (Fig. 2). The chains are connected by weak C16-H16A···Cg4 interactions across inversion centres (Table 1) to form the full 3-D structure (Fig. 3). Although the D-H···A angles in these interactions are noticeably less than 180°, for both C-H···O and C-H··· π interactions, angles down to 130° have been identified as being consistent with hydrogen bond-like character for them (Steiner & Desiraju, 1998; Takahashi *et al.*, 2001).



Figure 2

A portion of one chain viewed along the *a*-axis direction with $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots \pi$ (ring) interactions depicted, respectively, by black and green dashed lines. Hydrogen atoms not involved in these interactions are omitted for clarity.



Figure 3

Packing viewed along the *a*-axis direction with $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots \pi(ring)$ interactions depicted, respectively, by black and green dashed lines. Hydrogen atoms not involved in these interactions are omitted for clarity.

Table 2			
Selected	interatomic	distances	(Å).

01···C5	3.2074 (16)	$C2 \cdot \cdot \cdot H5$	2.57
O1···C15	3.2170 (16)	C4···H15	2.76
$H12 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{i}$	2.49	$C4 \cdot \cdot \cdot H24A$	2.90
H8· · ·O1 ⁱⁱ	2.62	C9···H15	2.80
$H21 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{iii}$	2.63	C10· · · H9	2.65
$O2 \cdot \cdot \cdot H23B$	2.66	$C12 \cdot \cdot \cdot H8^{iv}$	2.88
$O2 \cdot \cdot \cdot H16A$	2.56	C15···H9	2.73
$N1 \cdot \cdot \cdot H18$	2.75	$C17 \cdot \cdot \cdot H16A^{v}$	2.90
$N2 \cdot \cdot \cdot H11$	2.45	C23···H11	2.87
$C9 \cdot \cdot \cdot C15$	3.1165 (19)	H16 <i>B</i> ···H22	2.36
C11···C23	3.3928 (19)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) x, y - 1, z; (iv) x - 1, y, z; (v) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

To visualize the intermolecular interactions in the crystal, a Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) was carried out by using *Crystal Explorer 17.5* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021). In the HS plotted over d_{norm} (Fig. 4), the white surface indicates contacts with distances equal to the sum of van der Waals radii, and the red and blue colours indicate distances shorter (in close contact) or longer (distant contact) than this sum, respectively (Venkatesan *et al.*, 2016). The bright-red spots indicate their roles as the respective donors and/or acceptors and they also appear as blue and red regions corresponding to positive (hydrogen-bond donors) and negative (hydrogen-bond acceptors) potentials on electrostatic potential plot (Spackman *et al.*, 2008; Jayatilaka *et al.*, 2005) as shown in Fig. 5.

Possible $\pi - \pi$ stacking and $C - H \cdots \pi$ interactions were further visualized by plotting the surface over the shape-index. The shape-index represents the $C - H \cdots \pi$ interactions as 'red *p*-holes', which are related to the electron-ring interactions between the CH groups with the centroids of the aromatic





View of the ttitle compound plotted over electrostatic potential energy using the STO-3 G basis set at the Hartree–Fock level of theory. Hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors are shown as blue and red regions around the atoms corresponding to positive and negative potentials, respectively.

rings of neighbouring molecules. Fig. 6 clearly suggests that there are C-H··· π interactions present. The presence of π - π stacking is indicated by adjacent red and blue triangles on the shape-index surface and as these are absent in Fig. 6 there are no π - π interactions. The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot, Fig. 7*a*, and those delineated into H···H, C···H/H···C, Br···H/H···Br, O···H/H···O, C···C, N···H/H···N, C···O/ O···C and C···Br/Br···C (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) are illu-



Figure 4

View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title compound plotted over $d_{\rm norm}$



Figure 6 Hirshfeld surface of the title compound plotted over shape-index.



Figure 7

The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title compound, showing (a) all interactions, and delineated into (b) $H \cdots H$, (c) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ (d) $Br \cdots H/H \cdots Br$ (e) $O \cdots H/H \cdots OH \cdots H$, $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, $Br \cdots H/H \cdots Br$, $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$, (f) $C \cdots C$, (g) $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$, (h) $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$ and (i) $C \cdots Br/Br \cdots C$ interactions. The d_i and d_e values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from given points on the Hirshfeld surface.

strated in Fig. 7b-i respectively, together with their relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface. The most important interaction is $H \cdots H$ contributing 51.0% to the overall crystal packing, which is shown in Fig. 7b as widely scattered points of high density due to the large hydrogen content of the molecule with the tip at $d_e = d_i = 1.15$ Å. The C···H/H···C contacts, contributing 21.3% to the overall crystal packing and shown in Fig. 7*c* with the tips at $d_e + d_i = 2.66$ Å, are mainly due to the $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions (Table 1 and Fig. 5). The symmetrical pair of wings in the fingerprint plot delineated into Br...H/ H...Br contacts (Fig. 7d) with the tips at $d_e + d_i = 3.05$ Å contributes 12.8% to the intermolecular interactions. The O···H/H···O contacts, appearing as a symmetrical pair of spikes with the tips at $d_e + d_i = 2.38$ Å (Table 1 and Fig. 7e), contribute 12.4% to the total while the $C \cdots C$ (Table 2 and Fig. 7f), $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ (Table 3 and Fig. 7g), $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$ (Table 2 and Fig. 7*h*) and $C \cdots Br/Br \cdots C$ contacts contribute less than 1% each.

The nearest neighbour coordination environment of a molecule can be determined from the colour patches on the HS based on how close to other molecules they are. The Hirshfeld surface representations of contact patches plotted onto the surface are shown for the $H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$, $C \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot C$, $Br \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$, $O \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ interactions in Fig. 8a-d, respectively. These results confirm the importance of H-atom contacts in establishing the packing. The large number of $H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$, $C \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot C$, $Br \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$, $O \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ interactions suggest that van der Waals interactions and hydrogen



Figure 8 The Hirshfeld surface representations of contact patches plotted onto the surface for (a) $H \cdots H$, (b) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, (c) $Br \cdots H/H \cdots Br$ and (d) $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ interactions.

bonding play the major roles in the crystal packing (Hathwar et al., 2015).

5. Crystal voids

The strength of the crystal packing is important for determining the response to an applied mechanical force. If the crystal packing results in significant voids, the molecules are not tightly packed and a small amount of applied external mechanical force may easily break the crystal. To check the mechanical stability of the crystal, a void analysis was performed by adding up the electron densities of the spherically symmetric atoms contained in the asymmetric unit (Turner et al., 2011). The void surface is defined as an isosurface of the procrystal electron density and is calculated for the whole unit cell where the void surface meets the boundary of the unit cell and capping faces are generated to create an enclosed volume. The volume of the crystal voids (Fig. 9a and b) and the percentage of free space in the unit cell are calculated as 251.24 Å³ and 11.71%, respectively. Thus, the crystal packing appears compact and the mechanical stability should be substantial.







Figure 10

The energy frameworks for a cluster of molecules of the title compound viewed down the *a*-axis direction showing (*a*) electrostatic energy, (*b*) dispersion energy and (*c*) total energy diagrams. The cylindrical radius is proportional to the relative strength of the corresponding energies and they were adjusted to the same scale factor of 80 with a cut-off value of 5 kJ mol⁻¹ within $2 \times 2 \times 2$ unit cells.

6. Interaction energy calculations and energy frameworks

The intermolecular interaction energies were calculated using the CE-HF/3-21G energy model available in Crystal Explorer 17.5 (Spackman et al., 2021), where a cluster of molecules is generated by applying crystallographic symmetry operations with respect to a selected central molecule within the radius of 3.8 Å (Turner et al., 2014). The total intermolecular energy (E_{tot}) is the sum of electrostatic (E_{ele}) , polarization (E_{pol}) , dispersion (E_{dis}) and exchange-repulsion (E_{rep}) energies (Turner et al., 2015) with scale factors of 1.019, 0.651, 0.901 and 0.811, respectively (Mackenzie et al., 2017). Hydrogenbonding interaction energies (in kJ mol⁻¹) were calculated to be -22.9 (E_{ele}), -7.5 (E_{pol}), -42.9 (E_{dis}), 18.5 (E_{rep}) and -54.8 (E_{tot}) for the C12-H12···O1 hydrogen-bonding interaction. Energy frameworks combine the calculation of intermolecular interaction energies with a graphical representation of their magnitude (Turner et al., 2015). Energies between molecular pairs are represented as cylinders joining the centroids of pairs of molecules with the cylinder radius proportional to the relative strength of the corresponding interaction energy. Energy frameworks were constructed for E_{ele} (red cylinders), E_{dis} (green cylinders) and E_{tot} (blue cylinders) (Fig. 10a, b and c). The evaluation of the electrostatic, dispersion and total energy frameworks indicate that the stabilization is dominated by the dispersion energy contributions in the crystal structure.

7. Database survey

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, updated to June 2024; Groom *et al.*, 2016) with the search fragment shown in Fig. 11 (R = R' = nothing) yielded 37 hits, of which 15 were considered not similar to the title molecule as they were either ionic or were spiro(fluorene-9,5-imidazo-

lidin)-2,4-dione derivatives instead of having two independent phenyl groups. Of the remaining 22, eighteen had R = H with R' = allyl (BUCDEL; Guerrab *et al.*, 2020*a*), CH₂CH(OH)-CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)₂NPh (EKANOT; Kieć-Kononowicz et al., 2003), Et (Guerrab et al., 2017a), n-pentyl (GEMSOJ; Guerrab et al., 2017b), $CH_2C(=O)(4-FC_6H_4)$ (GITSOT; Mague et al., 2014, GITSOT01; Alnazi et al., 2013), CH₂COOEt (JALGEL; Ramli, et al., 2017), benzyl (MESSAH; Guerrab et al., 2018), CH₂CH2Br (NIBMOE; Guerrab et al., 2023), n-decyl (PAJMAS; Guerrab et al., 2021), Me (PEPDUM; Guerrab et al., 2017c), n-octvl (QAGPAT; Guerrab et al., 2020b), n-butyl (QUNBET; Guerrab et al., 2018b), n-hexyl (QENBOD; Guerrab et al., 2018c), n-propyl (WEMQUD; Guerrab et al., 2017d. WEMQUD01; Trišović et al., 2019), i-butyl TEDYOZ; Guerrab et al., 2022) and CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)₂O (LOKXAO; Lamssane et al., 2024). In most of these, the five-membered rings are somewhat ruffled with deviations of atoms from the mean plane of up to 0.053 (2) A except for FEHPUG and QENBET where the largest deviations were only 0.006 (1) and 0.005 (1) Å, respectively. The minimum and maximum dihedral angles between the mean plane of the five-membered ring and an attached phenyl ring are 53.21 (1) and 84.94 (16) $^{\circ}$, respectively, and the difference between these dihedral angles in a given molecule ranged from essentially 0° (MESSAH) to about 24° (EKANOT). The main determinant of the supramolecular structures is $N-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, which either form chains of molecules or inversion dimers. These are further connected by $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots\pi(ring)$ interactions with the detailed, 3-D structures influenced by the nature and bulk of R'. The four molecules with substituents on both nitrogen atoms of the fivemembered ring have $R = CH_2CH(OH)CH_2NH^iPr$ and R' =CH₂COOMe (EKANIN; Kieć-Kononowicz *et al.*, 2003), R =benzyl and $R' = CH_2COOH$ (HAVLOF; Ciechanowicz-Rutkowska et al., 1994), $R = CH_2COOH$ and $R' = CH_2(2,4-$ Cl₂C₆H₃) (HAVLUL; Ciechanowicz-Rutkowska et al., 1994) and $R = R' = CH_2C \equiv CH$ (XOLLUI; Ghandour *et al.*, 2019). In these, the five-membered rings are somewhat more ruffled than in the previous group and the dihedral angles between the five-membered ring and the attached phenyl groups range from 64.30 (17)° (HAVLUL) to 82.8 (4)° (EKANIN). The first three contain OH groups in the side chain so $O-H \cdots O$



hydrogen bonds are the dominant packing interaction and, again, either chains or dimers are formed from these. These units are further linked by $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots \pi(\text{ring})$ interactions. In XOLLUI, $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and weak $C-H\cdots \pi(\text{ring})$ interactions generate the supramolecular structure.

8. Synthesis and crystallization

In a flask, 3-benzyl-5.5-diphenylimidazolidine-2.4-dione (0.5 g. 1.46 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of acetone. Potassium carbonate, (K₂CO₃; 0.3 g, 2.17 mmol) and tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (BTBA; 0.05 g, 0.14 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. After that, 1,3-dibromopropane (0.35 g, 1.73 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 48 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the salts removed by liquid-liquid extraction with water and dichloromethane. The resulting residue was purified using silica column chromatography with an ethyl acetate/hexane (1/6) solvent system and recrystallized from ethanol. Yield 68%. Colourless crystals. Rf: 0.54 (ethyl acetate/hexane: 1/4), m.p. 378-380 K. LCMS (ESI): 463.10147 $[M + H^+]$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600.13 MHz): δ (ppm) 7.22-7.42 (m, 15H, HAr); 4.76 (s, 1H, CH₂), 3.53 (t, 2H, CH₂, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6$ Hz), 3.10 (t, 2H, CH₂, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6$ Hz), 1.45 (qt, 2H, CH₂, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6$ Hz). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.62 MHz): δ (ppm) 173.35, 155.74 (C=O); 136.90, 136.07, 74.93 (Cq); 127.95-129.08 (CHAr); 42.92, 41.00, 30.57, 30.48 (CH₂).

9. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. H atoms attached to carbon were placed in calculated positions (C–H = 0.95-0.99 Å) and included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2–1.5 times those of the parent atoms.

Funding information

The support of NSF–MRI grant No. 1228232 for the purchase of the diffractometer and Tulane University for support of the Tulane Crystallography Laboratory are gratefully acknowledged. TH is grateful to Hacettepe University Scientific Research Project Unit (grant No. 013 D04 602 004).

References

- Abdel-Aziz, A. A. M., El-Azab, A. S., Abou-Zeid, L. A., ElTahir, K. E. H., Abdel-Aziz, N. I., Ayyad, R. R. & Al-Obaid, A. M. (2016). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **115**, 121–131.
- Alanazi, A. M., El-Azab, A. S., Al-Swaidan, I. A., Maarouf, A. R., El-Bendary, E. R., Abu El-Enin, M. A. & Abdel-Aziz, A. A. (2013). *Med Chem Res.* 22, 6129–6142.
- Aqeel, A. W., Al-Shaer, M. A., Ayoub, R., Jarrar, Q. & Alelaimat, M. A. (2023). *Res. Chemi.* 6, 101118.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2012). *DIAMOND*, Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

Table 3	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{25}H_{23}BrN_2O_2$
M _r	463.36
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	150
a, b, c (Å)	9.4306 (3), 8.5084 (3), 26.7891 (10)
β (°)	93.270 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	2146.04 (13)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	2.80
Crystal size (mm)	$0.13\times0.11\times0.11$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 VENTURE PHOTON 3 CPAD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.69, 0.75
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	87214, 4348, 4262
R _{int}	0.028
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.625
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.024, 0.060, 1.05
No. of reflections	4348
No. of parameters	271
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.35, -0.39

Computer programs: APEX4 and SAINT (Bruker, 2021), SHELXT2015 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 2012) and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

- Bruker (2021). APEX4 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ciechanowicz-Rutkowska, M., Kieć-Kononowicz, K., Howard, S. T., Lieberman, H. & Hursthouse, M. B. (1994). Acta Cryst. B50, 86–96.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Dylag, T., Zygmunt, M., Macia\,g, D., Handzlik, J., Bednarski, M., Filipek, B. & Kieć-Kononowicz, K. (2004). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 39, 1013–1027.
- Emami, S., Valipour, M., Kazemi Komishani, F., Sadati-Ashrafi, F., Rasoulian, M., Ghasemian, M., Tajbakhsh, M., Honarchian Masihi, P., Shakiba, A., Irannejad, H. & Ahangar, N. (2021). *Bioorg. Chem.* **112**, 104943.
- Ghandour, I., Bouayad, A., Hökelek, T., Haoudi, A., Capet, F., Renard, C. & Kandri Rodi, Y. (2019). *Acta Cryst.* E75, 951–956.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Guerrab, W., Akrad, R., Ansar, M., Taoufik, J., Mague, J. T. & Ramli, Y. (2017a). *IUCrData*, **2**, x171591.
- Guerrab, W., Akrad, R., Ansar, M., Taoufik, J., Mague, J. T. & Ramli, Y. (2017b). *IUCrData*, **2**, x171693.
- Guerrab, W., Akrad, R., Ansar, M., Taoufik, J., Mague, J. T. & Ramli, Y. (2018). *IUCrData*, **3**, x171832.
- Guerrab, W., El Jemli, M., Akachar, J., Demirtaş, G., Mague, J. T., Taoufik, J., Ibrahimi, A., Ansar, M., Alaoui, K. & Ramli, Y. (2021). *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **40**, 8766–8782.
- Guerrab, W., El Moutaouakil Ala Allah, A., Alsubari, A., Mague, J. T. & Ramli, Y. (2022). *IUCrData*, **7**, x220598.
- Guerrab, W., El Moutaouakil Ala Allah, A., Alsubari, A., Mague, J. T. & Ramli, Y. (2023). *IUCrData*, **8**, x230060.
- Guerrab, W., Lgaz, H., Kansiz, S., Mague, J. T., Dege, N., Ansar, M., Marzouki, R., Taoufik, J., Ali, I. H., Chung, I. & Ramli, Y. (2020a). J. Mol. Struct. 1205, 127630.

research communications

- Guerrab, W., Mague, J. T. & Ramli, Y. (2020b). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 235, 1425–1427.
- Guerrab, W., Mague, J. T., Taoufik, J. & Ramli, Y. (2018c). *IUCrData*, **3**, x180057.
- Guerrab, W., Mague, J. T., Akrad, R., Ansar, M., Taoufik, J. & Ramli, Y. (2017*d*). *IUCrData*, **2**, x171808.
- Guerrab, W., Mague, J. T., Akrad, R., Ansar, M., Taoufik, J. & Ramli, Y. (2018b). *IUCrData*, **3**, x180050.
- Guerrab, W., Akrad, R., Ansar, M., Taoufik, J., Mague, J. T. & Ramli, Y. (2017c). *IUCrData* **3**, x171534.
- Hathwar, V. R., Sist, M., Jørgensen, M. R. V., Mamakhel, A. H., Wang, X., Hoffmann, C. M., Sugimoto, K., Overgaard, J. & Iversen, B. B. (2015). *IUCrJ*, 2, 563–574.
- Hirshfeld, H. L. (1977). Theor. Chim. Acta, 44, 129-138.
- Jayatilaka, D., Grimwood, D. J., Lee, A., Lemay, A., Russel, A. J., Taylor, C., Wolff, S. K., Cassam-Chenai, P. & Whitton, A. (2005). *TONTO*. https://hirshfeldsurface.net/
- Kieć-Kononowicz, K., Stadnicka, K., Mitka, A., Pękala, E., Filipek, B., Sapa, J. & Zygmunt, M. (2003). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 38, 555–566.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Lamssane, H., Haoudi, A., Kartah, B. E., Mazzah, A., Mague, J. T., Hökelek, T., Kandri Rodi, Y. & Sebbar, N. K. (2024). *Acta Cryst.* E80, 423–429.
- Mackenzie, C. F., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *IUCrJ*, **4**, 575–587.
- Mague, J. T., Abdel-Aziz, A. A.-M. & El-Azab, A. S. (2014). Acta Cryst. E70, 0226–0227.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. 3814–3816.
- Negi, M., Chawla, P. A., Faruk, A. & Chawla, V. (2020). Bioorg. Chem. 104, 104315.
- Pandeya, S. N., Sriram, D., Nath, G. & De Clercq, E. (2000). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 35, 249–255.
- Pradeep, S. D., Gopalakrishnan, A. K., Manoharan, D. K., Soumya, R. S., Gopalan, R. K. & Mohanan, P. V. (2023). *J. Mol. Struct.* **1271**, 134121.
- Ramli, Y., Akrad, R., Guerrab, W., Taoufik, J., Ansar, M. & Mague, J. T. (2017). *IUCrData*, **2**, x170098.

- Salem, M. G., Abdel Aziz, Y. M., Elewa, M., Elshihawy, H. A. & Said, M. M. (2018). *Bioorg. Chem.* 79, 131–144.
- Sangeetha, P., Siva, T., Balaji, R. & Tharini, K. (2016). World J. Sci. and Res. 1, 26–30.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Silva Guerra, A. S. H. da, do Nascimento Malta, D. J., Morais Laranjeira, L. P., Souza Maia, M. B., Cavalcanti Colaço, N., do Carmo Alves de Lima, M., Galdino, S. L., da Rocha Pitta, I. & Gonçalves-Silva, T. (2011). *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **11**, 1816–1822.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, M. A., McKinnon, J. J. & Jayatilaka, D. (2008). CrystEngComm, **10**, 377–388.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Steiner, T. & Desiraju, G. R. (1998). Chem. Commun. pp. 891-892.
- Takahashi, O., Kohno, Y., Iwasaki, S., Saito, K., Iwaoka, M., Tomoda, S., Umezawa, Y., Tsuboyama, S. & Nishio, M. (2001). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn*, **74**, 2421–2430.
- Thenmozhiyal, J. C., Wong, P. T. H. & Chui, W. K. (2004). J. Med. Chem. 47, 1527–1535.
- Trišović, N., Radovanović, L., Janjić, G. V., Jelić, S. T. & Rogan, J. (2019). Cryst. Growth Des. 19, 2163–2174.
- Turner, M. J., Grabowsky, S., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2014). J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 5, 4249–4255.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2011). CrystEngComm, 13, 1804–1813.
- Turner, M. J., Thomas, S. P., Shi, M. W., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2015). Chem. Commun. 51, 3735–3738.
- Venkatesan, P., Thamotharan, S., Ilangovan, A., Liang, H. & Sundius, T. (2016). Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 153, 625– 636.
- Žesławska, E., Kucwaj-Brysz, K., Kincses, A., Spengler, G., Szymańska, E., Czopek, A., Marć, M. A., Kaczor, A., Nitek, W., Domínguez-Álvarez, E., Latacz, G., Kieć-Kononowicz, K. & Handzlik, J. (2021). *Bioorg. Chem.* **109**, 104735.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80, 1118-1124 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989024009228]

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analyses, crystal voids, intermolecular interaction energies and energy frameworks of 3-benzyl-1-(3-bromopropyl)-5,5-diphenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione

Houda Lamssane, Amal Haoudi, Badr Eddine Kartah, Ahmed Mazzah, Joel T. Mague, Tuncer Hökelek, Youssef Kandri Rodi and Nada Kheira Sebbar

Computing details

3-Benzyl-1-(3-bromopropyl)-5,5-diphenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione

Crystal data

 $C_{25}H_{23}BrN_{2}O_{2}$ $M_{r} = 463.36$ Monoclinic, $P2_{1}/c$ a = 9.4306 (3) Å b = 8.5084 (3) Å c = 26.7891 (10) Å $\beta = 93.270$ (1)° V = 2146.04 (13) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ $wR(F^2) = 0.060$ S = 1.054348 reflections 271 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: dual F(000) = 952 $D_x = 1.434 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu Ka radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9871 reflections $\theta = 3.3-74.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.80 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 KBlock, colourless $0.13 \times 0.11 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.69, T_{\max} = 0.75$ 87214 measured reflections 4348 independent reflections 4262 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.028$ $\theta_{max} = 74.6^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.3^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -33 \rightarrow 33$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0239P)^2 + 1.2411P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.004$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.35$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.39$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Experimental. The diffraction data were obtained from 30 sets of frames, each of width 0.5° in ω or φ , collected with scan parameters determined by the "strategy" routine in *APEX4*. The scan time was θ -dependent and ranged from 2 to 10 sec/frame.

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F² against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F², conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F². The threshold expression of F² > 2sigma(F²) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F² are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger. H-atoms attached to carbon were placed in calculated positions (C—H = 0.95 - 0.99 Å). All were included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2 - 1.5 times those of the attached atoms.

	X	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Br1	0.63895 (2)	1.19589 (2)	0.56429 (2)	0.03559 (6)
01	0.23072 (9)	0.41429 (10)	0.66257 (3)	0.02231 (18)
O2	0.10937 (12)	0.85648 (13)	0.57357 (4)	0.0371 (2)
N1	0.13721 (11)	0.61386 (13)	0.61309 (4)	0.0222 (2)
N2	0.25962 (11)	0.81958 (12)	0.64354 (4)	0.0218 (2)
C1	0.29725 (13)	0.69120 (14)	0.67837 (4)	0.0189 (2)
C2	0.22121 (12)	0.55173 (15)	0.65126 (4)	0.0189 (2)
C3	0.16363 (14)	0.77601 (16)	0.60666 (5)	0.0249 (3)
C4	0.45740 (13)	0.66583 (14)	0.68382 (5)	0.0198 (2)
C5	0.52555 (14)	0.58925 (16)	0.64591 (5)	0.0256 (3)
Н5	0.471139	0.548166	0.617953	0.031*
C6	0.67213 (15)	0.57249 (18)	0.64864 (6)	0.0320 (3)
H6	0.717723	0.520761	0.622523	0.038*
C7	0.75206 (14)	0.63127 (17)	0.68951 (6)	0.0314 (3)
H7	0.852430	0.619850	0.691417	0.038*
C8	0.68546 (15)	0.70657 (16)	0.72751 (5)	0.0286 (3)
H8	0.740099	0.745919	0.755670	0.034*
C9	0.53839 (14)	0.72480 (16)	0.72455 (5)	0.0243 (3)
Н9	0.493245	0.777830	0.750515	0.029*
C10	0.22387 (13)	0.70770 (14)	0.72776 (5)	0.0206 (2)
C11	0.11328 (14)	0.81385 (16)	0.73237 (5)	0.0260 (3)
H11	0.089411	0.885625	0.706059	0.031*
C12	0.03746 (15)	0.81517 (18)	0.77547 (6)	0.0315 (3)
H12	-0.037298	0.888758	0.778555	0.038*
C13	0.07011 (16)	0.71037 (18)	0.81373 (5)	0.0331 (3)
H13	0.017278	0.710944	0.842878	0.040*
C14	0.18033 (16)	0.60422 (18)	0.80946 (5)	0.0312 (3)
H14	0.203268	0.532104	0.835765	0.037*
C15	0.25732 (14)	0.60323 (16)	0.76670 (5)	0.0252 (3)
H15	0.333213	0.530848	0.764033	0.030*
C16	0.04615 (13)	0.52076 (16)	0.57837 (5)	0.0249 (3)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

H16A	-0.014958	0.592296	0.557440	0.030*
H16B	-0.016421	0.453160	0.597633	0.030*
C17	0.13128 (14)	0.41896 (16)	0.54494 (5)	0.0235 (3)
C18	0.24295 (15)	0.48328 (17)	0.51974 (5)	0.0276 (3)
H18	0.265958	0.591359	0.523930	0.033*
C19	0.32050 (16)	0.39077 (19)	0.48868 (5)	0.0323 (3)
H19	0.396777	0.435428	0.471840	0.039*
C20	0.28716 (17)	0.23303 (19)	0.48208 (6)	0.0346 (3)
H20	0.340292	0.169796	0.460658	0.042*
C21	0.17633 (18)	0.16807 (18)	0.50677 (5)	0.0342 (3)
H21	0.153035	0.060211	0.502189	0.041*
C22	0.09907 (15)	0.26050 (17)	0.53826 (5)	0.0292 (3)
H22	0.023634	0.215106	0.555364	0.035*
C23	0.31763 (14)	0.97844 (15)	0.64620 (5)	0.0250 (3)
H23A	0.354179	1.000408	0.680878	0.030*
H23B	0.241029	1.054855	0.637461	0.030*
C24	0.43793 (14)	0.99988 (15)	0.61061 (5)	0.0269 (3)
H24A	0.520223	0.934448	0.622133	0.032*
H24B	0.405496	0.964347	0.576670	0.032*
C25	0.48288 (16)	1.17047 (16)	0.60868 (6)	0.0298 (3)
H25A	0.513553	1.206741	0.642713	0.036*
H25B	0.401208	1.235731	0.596448	0.036*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.03717 (10)	0.03173 (10)	0.03858 (10)	-0.00687 (6)	0.00825 (7)	0.00692 (6)
O1	0.0252 (4)	0.0202 (4)	0.0217 (4)	-0.0023 (3)	0.0024 (3)	0.0009 (3)
O2	0.0411 (6)	0.0316 (5)	0.0369 (6)	-0.0024 (5)	-0.0134 (5)	0.0119 (5)
N1	0.0225 (5)	0.0231 (5)	0.0205 (5)	-0.0024 (4)	-0.0028 (4)	0.0013 (4)
N2	0.0230 (5)	0.0189 (5)	0.0232 (5)	-0.0012 (4)	-0.0008(4)	0.0031 (4)
C1	0.0193 (6)	0.0180 (6)	0.0193 (6)	-0.0002 (4)	0.0007 (4)	0.0001 (4)
C2	0.0174 (5)	0.0225 (6)	0.0171 (5)	-0.0013 (5)	0.0039 (4)	-0.0006 (5)
C3	0.0243 (6)	0.0246 (6)	0.0257 (6)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0004 (5)	0.0029 (5)
C4	0.0194 (6)	0.0178 (5)	0.0223 (6)	-0.0008 (4)	0.0012 (4)	0.0022 (5)
C5	0.0226 (6)	0.0276 (7)	0.0264 (6)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0010 (5)	-0.0044 (5)
C6	0.0242 (7)	0.0330 (7)	0.0391 (8)	0.0035 (6)	0.0060 (6)	-0.0065 (6)
C7	0.0195 (6)	0.0284 (7)	0.0459 (8)	0.0013 (5)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0017 (6)
C8	0.0245 (7)	0.0278 (7)	0.0328 (7)	-0.0044 (5)	-0.0061 (5)	0.0016 (5)
C9	0.0244 (6)	0.0232 (6)	0.0253 (6)	-0.0023 (5)	0.0004 (5)	-0.0016 (5)
C10	0.0192 (6)	0.0218 (6)	0.0209 (6)	-0.0030 (5)	0.0012 (5)	-0.0045 (5)
C11	0.0212 (6)	0.0270 (7)	0.0297 (7)	-0.0002 (5)	0.0009 (5)	-0.0047 (5)
C12	0.0213 (6)	0.0356 (8)	0.0381 (8)	-0.0021 (5)	0.0067 (6)	-0.0137 (6)
C13	0.0302 (7)	0.0425 (8)	0.0277 (7)	-0.0122 (6)	0.0106 (6)	-0.0134 (6)
C14	0.0364 (7)	0.0369 (8)	0.0207 (6)	-0.0091 (6)	0.0030 (5)	-0.0016 (6)
C15	0.0262 (6)	0.0265 (7)	0.0231 (6)	-0.0011 (5)	0.0017 (5)	-0.0017 (5)
C16	0.0224 (6)	0.0296 (7)	0.0223 (6)	-0.0056 (5)	-0.0037 (5)	0.0000 (5)
C17	0.0250 (6)	0.0273 (7)	0.0177 (6)	-0.0035 (5)	-0.0050 (5)	0.0026 (5)

supporting information

C18	0.0311 (7)	0.0268 (7)	0.0247 (6)	-0.0063 (5)	-0.0002 (5)	0.0011 (5)
C19	0.0314 (7)	0.0376 (8)	0.0280 (7)	-0.0041 (6)	0.0035 (5)	0.0012 (6)
C20	0.0405 (8)	0.0342 (8)	0.0290 (7)	0.0056 (6)	0.0001 (6)	-0.0024 (6)
C21 C22	0.04/2(9) 0.0346(7)	0.0244(7)	0.0302(7)	-0.0019(6) -0.0077(6)	-0.0040(6) -0.0020(5)	0.0010(6)
C22 C23	0.0340(7) 0.0270(6)	0.0181 (6)	0.0240 (0)	-0.0008(5)	-0.0029(3) 0.0021(5)	-0.0001(5)
C24	0.0290 (7)	0.0205 (6)	0.0316 (7)	-0.0018(5)	0.0048 (5)	0.0009 (5)
C25	0.0322 (7)	0.0231 (7)	0.0346 (7)	-0.0029 (5)	0.0063 (6)	0.0024 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1-C25	1.9562 (14)	C12—H12	0.9500	
O1—C2	1.2100 (15)	C13—C14	1.387 (2)	
O2—C3	1.2103 (17)	C13—H13	0.9500	
N1-C2	1.3639 (16)	C14—C15	1.3908 (18)	
N1—C3	1.4142 (17)	C14—H14	0.9500	
N1-C16	1.4626 (16)	C15—H15	0.9500	
N2—C3	1.3530 (17)	C16—C17	1.5095 (19)	
N2—C23	1.4584 (16)	C16—H16A	0.9900	
N2-C1	1.4669 (15)	C16—H16B	0.9900	
C1—C4	1.5244 (16)	C17—C22	1.3914 (19)	
C1-C10	1.5343 (17)	C17—C18	1.3947 (19)	
C1—C2	1.5461 (16)	C18—C19	1.384 (2)	
C4—C9	1.3895 (18)	C18—H18	0.9500	
C4—C5	1.3940 (18)	C19—C20	1.388 (2)	
C5—C6	1.3874 (19)	C19—H19	0.9500	
С5—Н5	0.9500	C20—C21	1.384 (2)	
C6—C7	1.387 (2)	C20—H20	0.9500	
С6—Н6	0.9500	C21—C22	1.390 (2)	
С7—С8	1.383 (2)	C21—H21	0.9500	
С7—Н7	0.9500	C22—H22	0.9500	
С8—С9	1.3934 (19)	C23—C24	1.5339 (18)	
С8—Н8	0.9500	C23—H23A	0.9900	
С9—Н9	0.9500	C23—H23B	0.9900	
C10-C11	1.3904 (18)	C24—C25	1.5138 (18)	
C10—C15	1.3928 (18)	C24—H24A	0.9900	
C11—C12	1.392 (2)	C24—H24B	0.9900	
C11—H11	0.9500	C25—H25A	0.9900	
C12—C13	1.380 (2)	C25—H25B	0.9900	
O1…C5	3.2074 (16)	С2…Н5	2.57	
01…C15	3.2170 (16)	C4…H15	2.76	
H12····O1 ⁱ	2.49	C4…H24A	2.90	
H8…O1 ⁱⁱ	2.62	С9…Н15	2.80	
H21···O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.63	С10…Н9	2.65	
O2…H23B	2.66	C12···H8 ^{iv}	2.88	
O2…H16A	2.56	С15…Н9	2.73	
N1…H18	2.75	C17…H16A ^v	2.90	

supporting information

N2…H11	2.45	C23…H11	2.87
C9…C15	3.1165 (19)	H16B…H22	2.36
C11…C23	3.3928 (19)		
C2—N1—C3	111.75 (10)	C13—C14—C15	120.06 (14)
C2—N1—C16	124.18 (11)	C13—C14—H14	120.0
C3—N1—C16	123.51 (11)	C15—C14—H14	120.0
C3—N2—C23	121.54 (11)	C14—C15—C10	120.42 (13)
C3—N2—C1	112.83 (10)	C14—C15—H15	119.8
C23—N2—C1	125.63 (10)	C10-C15-H15	119.8
N2—C1—C4	111.64 (10)	N1—C16—C17	112.04 (10)
N2-C1-C10	112.08 (10)	N1—C16—H16A	109.2
C4—C1—C10	115.06 (10)	C17—C16—H16A	109.2
N2—C1—C2	100.59 (9)	N1—C16—H16B	109.2
C4—C1—C2	111.51 (10)	C17—C16—H16B	109.2
C10—C1—C2	104.80 (9)	H16A—C16—H16B	107.9
O1—C2—N1	126.43 (11)	C22—C17—C18	118.90 (13)
O1—C2—C1	126.76 (11)	C22—C17—C16	120.85 (12)
N1—C2—C1	106.78 (10)	C18—C17—C16	120.25 (12)
O2—C3—N2	128.11 (13)	C19—C18—C17	120.48 (13)
O2—C3—N1	124.82 (12)	C19—C18—H18	119.8
N2—C3—N1	107.07 (11)	C17—C18—H18	119.8
C9—C4—C5	119.03 (12)	C18—C19—C20	120.20 (14)
C9—C4—C1	121.41 (11)	С18—С19—Н19	119.9
C5-C4-C1	119.47 (11)	С20—С19—Н19	119.9
C6-C5-C4	120.59 (12)	C_{21} C_{20} C_{19} C	119.82 (14)
С6—С5—Н5	1197	$C_{21} = C_{20} = H_{20}$	120.1
C4—C5—H5	119.7	C19 - C20 - H20	120.1
C7-C6-C5	119.98 (13)	$C_{20} = C_{21} = C_{22}$	120.05 (14)
C7—C6—H6	120.0	$C_{20} = C_{21} = H_{21}$	120.05 (11)
C5-C6-H6	120.0	$C_{22} = C_{21} = H_{21}$	120.0
C_{8} C_{7} C_{6}	119.92 (13)	C_{21} C_{22} C_{22} C_{12}	120.0 120.55(13)
C8-C7-H7	120.0	$C_{21} = C_{22} = C_{17}$	119.7
C6-C7-H7	120.0	C17 - C22 - H22	119.7
C7 - C8 - C9	120.0	N_{2} C_{23} C_{24}	111.62 (11)
C7 - C8 - H8	110.0	$N_{2} = C_{23} = H_{23} \Delta$	109.3
C9-C8-H8	119.9	C_{24} C_{23} H_{23A}	109.3
C4 - C9 - C8	120.35(12)	N2_C23_H23R	109.3
C4 - C9 - H9	110.8	C_{24} C_{23} H_{23B}	109.3
$C_{4} = C_{5} = H_{5}$	110.8	H23A C23 H23B	109.5
$C_{0} = C_{0} = C_{10}$	119.0 110.14(12)	1125A - C25 - 1125B	100.0 110.72(11)
$C_{11} = C_{10} = C_{13}$	119.14(12) 120.00(11)	$C_{23} = C_{24} = C_{23}$	100.72 (11)
$C_{11} = C_{10} = C_{1}$	120.90(11) 110.57(11)	$C_{23} = C_{24} = H_{24A}$	109.5
$C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{11}$	119.37(11) 120.15(12)	$C_{23} = C_{24} = H_{24} = H_{24}$	109.5
$C_{10} = C_{11} = C_{12}$	120.15 (15)	$C_{23} = C_{24} = \Pi_{24} = \Pi$	109.5
	119.9	$U_{23} = U_{24} = \Pi_{24} B$	109.5
$C_{12} = C_{11} = C_{11}$	117.7	$\Pi_{24} = 0.24 = \Pi_{24} = 0.24$	100.1
C12 - C12 - C11	120.46 (13)	C_{24} C_{25} D_{11}	110.41 (9)
U13-U12-H12	117.0	U24—U23—H23A	109.0

C11—C12—H12	119.8	Br1—C25—H25A	109.6
C12—C13—C14	119.75 (13)	C24—C25—H25B	109.6
C12—C13—H13	120.1	Br1—C25—H25B	109.6
C14—C13—H13	120.1	H25A—C25—H25B	108.1
C3—N2—C1—C4	126.19 (12)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-0.6 (2)
C23—N2—C1—C4	-52.97 (16)	C5—C4—C9—C8	-0.41 (19)
C3—N2—C1—C10	-103.07 (12)	C1—C4—C9—C8	-176.93 (12)
C23—N2—C1—C10	77.77 (15)	C7—C8—C9—C4	0.8 (2)
C3—N2—C1—C2	7.80 (13)	N2-C1-C10-C11	12.73 (16)
C23—N2—C1—C2	-171.36 (11)	C4—C1—C10—C11	141.71 (12)
C3—N1—C2—O1	-173.26 (12)	C2-C1-C10-C11	-95.46 (13)
C16—N1—C2—O1	-1.6 (2)	N2-C1-C10-C15	-174.51 (11)
C3—N1—C2—C1	8.82 (13)	C4-C1-C10-C15	-45.53 (15)
C16—N1—C2—C1	-179.55 (11)	C2-C1-C10-C15	77.30 (13)
N2-C1-C2-O1	172.39 (12)	C15—C10—C11—C12	0.05 (19)
C4—C1—C2—O1	53.90 (16)	C1—C10—C11—C12	172.84 (12)
C10-C1-C2-O1	-71.20 (15)	C10-C11-C12-C13	-0.7 (2)
N2-C1-C2-N1	-9.70 (12)	C11—C12—C13—C14	0.8 (2)
C4—C1—C2—N1	-128.19 (10)	C12—C13—C14—C15	-0.2 (2)
C10-C1-C2-N1	106.71 (11)	C13—C14—C15—C10	-0.5 (2)
C23—N2—C3—O2	-3.2 (2)	C11—C10—C15—C14	0.53 (19)
C1—N2—C3—O2	177.56 (14)	C1-C10-C15-C14	-172.36 (12)
C23—N2—C3—N1	176.11 (11)	C2-N1-C16-C17	-67.44 (15)
C1—N2—C3—N1	-3.09 (15)	C3—N1—C16—C17	103.23 (14)
C2—N1—C3—O2	175.42 (13)	N1-C16-C17-C22	131.78 (13)
C16—N1—C3—O2	3.7 (2)	N1-C16-C17-C18	-48.94 (16)
C2—N1—C3—N2	-3.96 (14)	C22-C17-C18-C19	-0.11 (19)
C16—N1—C3—N2	-175.66 (11)	C16—C17—C18—C19	-179.40 (12)
N2—C1—C4—C9	100.21 (13)	C17—C18—C19—C20	0.4 (2)
C10—C1—C4—C9	-28.97 (16)	C18—C19—C20—C21	-0.2 (2)
C2-C1-C4-C9	-148.14 (12)	C19—C20—C21—C22	-0.3 (2)
N2—C1—C4—C5	-76.28 (14)	C20-C21-C22-C17	0.6 (2)
C10—C1—C4—C5	154.53 (12)	C18—C17—C22—C21	-0.4 (2)
C2-C1-C4-C5	35.37 (15)	C16—C17—C22—C21	178.90 (12)
C9—C4—C5—C6	-0.2 (2)	C3—N2—C23—C24	-80.83 (15)
C1—C4—C5—C6	176.36 (12)	C1—N2—C23—C24	98.26 (14)
C4—C5—C6—C7	0.4 (2)	N2-C23-C24-C25	172.26 (11)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.0 (2)	C23—C24—C25—Br1	178.82 (9)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (iii) x, y-1, z; (iv) x-1, y, z; (v) -x, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg4 is the centroid of the C17–C22 benzene ring.

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C12—H12…O1 ⁱ	0.95	2.49	3.2158 (17)	133

C16—H16A···Cg4v 0.99 2.66 3.5901 (14) 157 C25—H25B···Cg4vi 0.99 2.89 3.7621 (17) 148

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*, *y*+1/2, -*z*+3/2; (v) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (vi) *x*, *y*+1, *z*.