

Received 20 November 2024 Accepted 27 January 2025

Edited by V. Jancik, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

**Keywords:** pharmaceutical materials; mebendazole; supramolecular synthon; singlecrystal X-ray diffraction; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 2381432

**Supporting information:** this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Eduardo L. Gutiérrez,<sup>a</sup>\* Marcos G. Russo,<sup>a</sup> Griselda E. Narda,<sup>a</sup> Elena V. Brusau,<sup>a</sup> Alejandro P. Ayala<sup>b</sup> and Javier Ellena<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones en Tecnología Química (INTEQUI, CONICET-UNSL), Área de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Bioquímica y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de San Luis (UNSL), D5700APC, San Luis, Argentina, <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC), 60.440-900, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil, and <sup>c</sup>Instituto de Física de São Carlos (IFSC), Universidade de São Paulo (USP), 13.566-590, São Carlos, SP, Brazil. \*Correspondence e-mail: egutierrez@unsl.edu.ar

A new mebendazolium dihydrogen phosphate phosphoric acid solid material was obtained and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and complementary solid-state techniques {systematic name: 5-benzoyl-2-[(meth-oxycarbonyl)amino]-1*H*-1,3-benzodiazol-3-ium dihydrogen phosphate–phosphoric acid (1/1),  $C_{16}H_{14}N_3O_3^+ H_2PO_4^- H_3PO_4$ }. Structure solution confirmed proton transfer from phosphoric acid towards the basic imidazole ring of mebendazole. The mebendazolium cation and the dihydrogen phosphate anion assemble in the solid state in a cyclic hydrogen-bond-driven supramolecular motif, as observed in all mebendazolium/oxyanions structures reported in the literature. This salt crystallizes in the monoclinic  $P_{21}/c$  (No. 14) space group. A detailed study of the crystal structure performed by atom-to-atom and global Hirshfeld surface analysis indicates that several hydrogen bonds act as the main intermolecular interactions stabillizing the material. The new material is stable up to 458 K.

### 1. Chemical context

Mebendazole [MBZ, methyl *N*-(5-benzoylbenzimidazol-2-yl) carbamate, in red in the scheme) is a synthetic broad-spectrum benzimidazole-derivative anthelmintic API (Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient) (Martins *et al.*, 2009). MBZ is used extensively in human medicine being administrated orally as tablet formulation or suspension and is included in the World Health Organization (WHO) Model List of Essential Drugs (Agatonovic-Kustrin *et al.*, 2008).

MBZ can exist as several tautomers, leading to the existence of three solid forms (*i.e.*, desmotropes) with remarkable differences in their physicochemical properties and bioavailability (Ayala *et al.*, 2008). MBZ desmotropes can undergo tautomeric interconversion in the solid state under the effect of heat and moisture. In particular, investigations have indicated that the pharmaceutically preferred form C evolves into the inactive form A in commercial formulations (Calvo *et al.*, 2016). However, our results indicate that salification of the API prevents tautomeric transformation (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2020).

Our objective is to design, on the basis of supramolecular assembly, new MBZ multicomponent systems aiming to obtain materials incorporating the API and avoiding desmotrope interconversion and exhibiting a solubility and dissolution profile similar to those of the MBZ therapeutically preferred form C. In previous works, we reported the high statistical probability of formation of an  $R_2^2(8)$  supramolecular heterosynthon (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995; Motherwell *et al.*, 2000) between protonated mebendazole molecules (*i.e.*, the mebendazolium cation, shown in red in the scheme) and polyatomic oxyanions (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2018, 2024).



In the context of screening for new mebendazole multicomponent materials, we obtained a new mebendazolium dihydrogen phosphate phosphoric acid compound. This material can be considered as an ionic cocrystal (*i.e.*, a cocrystal of a salt), since the phosphoric acid molecules are connected with the ionic components of the salt through non-covalent interactions (*i.e.*, hydrogen-bonds) (Pavlović *et al.*, 2024; Smith *et al.*, 2013). Phosphoric acid and its anions are approved coformers by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and U·S. Department of Health and Human Services (FDA, 2024), which could make this new material suitable for pharmaceutical formulations.

### 2. Structural commentary

The solution of the structure confirmed the crystallization of a new mebendazole material, which is a mebendazolium (MBZH<sup>+</sup>) dihydrogen phosphate phosphoric acid compound, MBZH·PO<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>·PO(OH)<sub>3</sub>, with 1:1:1 stoichiometry.  $\Delta pK_a$ between the MBZH<sup>+</sup> cation and phosphoric acid is 1.31 (see supporting information). This value falls in the 'grey area' for predictions on the location of the acidic proton. Around  $\Delta p K_a \sim 1$ , predicting the location of the acid proton based on aqueous  $\Delta p K_a$  data alone is not possible: the model predicts a very similar likelihood of observing salts or cocrystals (Cruz-Cabeza, 2012). This salt crystallizes in the monoclinic  $P2_1/c$ (No. 14) space group. An *ORTEP*-type view of the asymmetric unit of MBZH·PO<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>·PO(OH)<sub>3</sub> is shown in Fig. 1. The atom labeling is according to the nomenclature used in previous related works.

In the Supporting Information file we present a detailed analysis of the bond-length changes in the imidazole ring and the carbamate moiety, which confirms the protonation of the API. The MBZH<sup>+</sup> cation is found in a conformation in which the carbamate moiety is coplanar with the benzimidazole ring. This conformation is favored by (i) the conjugation of these moieties and the partial double-bond character of the bonds O2-C2, C2-N1 and N1-C3 reinforced by the positive charge of the molecule, and (ii) the intramolecular resonanceassisted hydrogen bond between N2 and O2 [H···A: 2.10 (3) Å, 122 (3)°]. The benzimidazole and the benzene rings are not coplanar: the dihedral angle between the leastsquare splanes passing through the benzene (root-meansquare deviation, r.m.s.d., of fitted atoms: 0.004 Å) and the benzimidazole (r.m.s.d.: 0.003 Å) rings is 54.50 (17)°.

### 3. Supramolecular features

Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis and energy calculations (HF/ 3-21G model) were performed using the software *Crystal Explorer 21.5* (Mackenzie *et al.*, 2017; Spackman *et al.*, 2021) with the CIF as the input file. The Hirshfeld surface for the title compound was mapped with the  $d_{norm}$  function over the range -0.025 to 0.750 a.u. (color code: from blue – distances longer than sum of van der Waals radii – through white to red – distances shorter than sum of van der Waals radii). Through HS analysis, it was confirmed that main intermolecular interactions stabilizing the crystal structure are several hydrogen bonds. Fig. 2 shows the HS of the MBZH<sup>+</sup> cation mapped with



### Figure 1

View of the asymmetric unit in the crystal of  $MBZH \cdot PO_2(OH)_2 \cdot PO(OH)_3$ , showing the atom labeling and the 50% probability ellipsoids for nonhydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms are shown as sticks of arbitrary radii (color code. C: gray; H: white; O: red; N: blue; P: orange).



#### Figure 2

Mebendazolium cation mapped with the  $d_{norm}$  function on the Hirshfeld surface (color code. C: gray; H: white; O: red; N: blue; P: orange).

the  $d_{norm}$  function (Spackman *et al.*, 2009), where the red spots are the regions in which the interatomic distances are shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii and correspond to the hydrogen bonds.

The MBZH<sup>+</sup> cations and  $PO_2(OH)_2^-$  anions form a heterosynthon described by the  $R_2^2(8)$  graph-set motif (Bernstein et al., 1995; Motherwell et al., 2000) (scheme and Fig. 3, labeled as I), which is stabilized by moderate hydrogen bonds (Steiner, 2002). N3 and N1 act as proton donors, while O4 and O5 are acceptors, establishing two hydrogen-bond schemes:  $N3-H3\cdots O4$  [1.86 (2) Å] and  $N1-H1\cdots O5$  [1.96 (2) Å]. This supramolecular motif is not planar according to the dihedral angle of 29.1 (4) $^{\circ}$  between the planes defined by the atoms N3, C3, and N1, and O4, P1, and O5, respectively. This interaction is inherently stabilizing, with a calculated value of -477.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The second most stabilizing interaction according to our calculations is a predominantly electrostatic interaction between the MBZH<sup>+</sup> cation and a second  $PO_2(OH)_2^-$  anion along the *b*-axis direction, which accounts for -393.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S1A). Three other distinct supramolecular motifs are observed in the crystal packing, involving more complex hydrogen-bonding patterns. On the one hand, a phosphoric acid molecule in involved in a cyclic arrangement adjacent to the motif previously described. This motif is labeled as II in Fig. 3 and is described by the  $R_2^2(10)$  graph-set motif. The interaction between the phosphoric acid molecule and the MBZH<sup>+</sup> cation is predominantly electrostatic and destabilizing  $(127.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ . On the other hand, two MBZH<sup>+</sup> cations are assembled by the two cyclic motifs labeled III  $[R_2^1(6)]$  and IV  $[R_2^2(8)]$  in Fig. 3, bringing together a pair of inversion-related cations. This arrangement is overall desta-

09 04 05 1 05 C6 N3 I N1 C9 N2 III 02 IV 03 C12 03 C12

#### Figure 3

Hydrogen-bonding patterns found in the crystal packing of  $MBZH \cdot PO_2(OH)_2 \cdot PO(OH)_3$  (color code. C: gray; H: white; O: red; N: blue; P: orange).

	TT 4		
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ $D - H$	$\Pi \cdots A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O5 - H5 \cdots O10^{i}$ 0.84 (2)	1.74 (2)	2.578 (3)	175 (4)
O9−H9A···O6 <sup>ii</sup> 0.85 (2	1.72 (2)	2.568 (3)	177 (5)
O8−H8···O4 0.87 (2	1.68 (2)	2.544 (3)	171 (4)
O11-H11···O10 <sup>iii</sup> 0.84 (2	1.79 (2)	2.629 (3)	178 (4)
$O7 - H7A \cdots O6^{iii}$ 0.85 (2)	1.79 (2)	2.594 (3)	157 (4)
N2-H2···O2 0.90 (2	2.10 (3)	2.680 (4)	122 (3)
N3-H3···O4 <sup>iv</sup> 0.90 (2	1.82 (2)	2.717 (3)	177 (3)
$N1 - H1 \cdots O5^{iv} \qquad 0.88 (2)$	1.96 (2)	2.831 (3)	174 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) x - 1, y, z; (iv) x + 1, y, z.

bilizing (33.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>). Finally, we also found C-H··· $\pi$  (114.1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and carbonyl··· $\pi$  interactions relating adjacent MBZH<sup>+</sup> cations (Fig. S1*B*), which are overall destabilizing. Hydrogen-bonding geometry parameters are shown in Table 1.

### 4. Database survey

A survey for the structure of the MBZH<sup>+</sup> cation in the Cambridge Structural Database [CSD version: 5.46 (November 2024); Groom *et al.*, 2016], using *ConQuest* 5.45 software (Bruno *et al.*, 2002), gave eleven matches, eight of them being anhydrous salts of oxyanions or carboxylates. All eight structures, regardless of the space group of the compound and the geometry of the anions, feature the same  $R_2^2(8)$  supramolecular motif that was found in the title compound between the MBZH<sup>+</sup> cation and the respective anion. Table S1 summarizes the relevant features of the hydrogen-bonding patterns related to the  $R_2^2(8)$  supramolecular motif found in the reported mebendazolium salts (Fig. S2) for further comparison with the compound reported here.

### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

A 15 mg (0.05 mmol) sample of MBZ desmotrope C was suspended in 30 mL of methanol at room temperature with constant magnetic stirring (1000 r.p.m.). An excess of phosphoric acid (85% w/w, purchased from UCB) was added (3 mL) and the suspension was heated up to 333 K until complete dissolution of the solid. The resulting solution was filtered and covered with a Parafilm foil with small holes to regulate the speed of the evaporation of the solvent and was left to evaporate at room temperature. After approximately twenty days, the formation of small, colorless prismatic crystals was observed. These crystals were separated by filtration and washed several times with distilled water and then with *n*-hexane. The crystalline material was then stored at room temperature for further characterization (Fig. S3).

FTIR spectroscopy and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) were used to check the identity and purity of the bulk material. Both techniques confirmed the obtention of a new material. MBZ, dihydrogen phosphate and phosphoric acid characteristic vibrational modes were observed in the FTIR spectrum (Fig. S4) of the solid. In particular, the carbamate C=O stretching mode of MBZ, which is very sensitive to the crystalline environment (Avala et al., 2008), was observed at  $1753 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This band is extensively used to identify and quantify the MBZ desmotropes even in pharmaceutical products (Calvo et al., 2016) and is observed at 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1697 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup> in MBZ A (Ferreira *et al.*, 2010), B (Bravetti et al., 2022) and C (Martins et al., 2009) spectra, respectively. The inorganic acid and the anion contribute to the broadening of the band in the range  $3000-2500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , these species also being responsible for the band centered at  $2350 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . While several vibrational modes of the inorganic moieties are overlapped with those derived from mebendazolium, the  $\nu(P-OH)$  vibration modes clearly appear as several bands in the 1200–900 cm<sup>-1</sup> zone. The  $v_{as}$  (O–P–O) vibration mode can be assigned to the bands at 553 and  $496 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The assignment of selected vibrational modes on the FTIR spectrum is shown in Table S2.

The PXRD pattern (Fig. S5) of the bulk material was compared with the calculated patterns of MBZ A, B, and C, and with that calculated for the refined structure. Calculated patterns were obtained using *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020) with the CIFs as input. This comparison confirmed both the identity and the purity of the the new material as its pattern does not match with those of MBZ desmotropes and no characteristic peaks other than those of the new solid are present in the experimental pattern. In Table S3, we present a list of the main reflexions in the experimental PXRD pattern.

The material is stable up to 458 K, when the endothermic elimination of phosphoric acid takes place (experimental mass loss, exp .: 15.90%, theoretical mass loss, theor.: 19.95%). The degradation of MBZH<sup>+</sup> molecule starts at 634 K and involves the elimination of the (methyl)formyl moiety (overall exp .: 36.91%, theor.: 31.69%). The differences of approx. 4% between the experimental and theoretical values are attributed to solvent and/or phosphoric acid residues in the sample. Thermogravimetric Analysis and Differential Scanning Calorimetry curves are shown in Fig. S6.

### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All hydrogen atoms were refined using a DFIX restraint to ensure chemically reasonable bond lengths and angles, with their  $U_{iso}(H)$  values constrained to 1.5 times the  $U_{eq}$  of their pivot atoms for terminal  $sp^3$  carbon atoms and 1.2 times for all other carbon atoms. The structure was solved as a pseudomerohedral two-component twin [0.8510 (9)/0.1490 (9)] arising from a twofold axis using the twin law (-1 0 0, 0 - 1 0, 1 0 1).

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Farm. Elbio Saidman from the *Laboratorio de Control de Calidad de Medicamentos* (UNSL) for kindly supplying the MBZ C samples.

Table	2
-------	---

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{16}H_{14}N_3O_3^+ H_2O_4P^- H_3O_4P$
$M_{\rm r}$	491.28
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	302
a, b, c (Å)	4.7217 (3), 26.167 (2), 16.7888 (12)
$\beta$ (°)	98.082 (5)
$V(Å^3)$	2053.7 (3)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Cu Kα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	2.55
Crystal size (mm)	$0.18 \times 0.07 \times 0.03$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 VENTURE dual
	wavelength Mo/Cu
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et
	al., 2015)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.647, 0.754
No. of measured, independent and	30433, 4094, 3363
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub>	0.076
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\dot{A}^{-1})$	0.618
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.044, 0.105, 1.06
No. of reflections	4094
No. of parameters	348
No. of restraints	19
H-atom treatment	Only H-atom coordinates refined
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e}  {\rm A}^{-3})$	0.29, -0.32

Computer programs: APEX4 (Bruker, 2021), SAINT (Bruker, 2018), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (scholarship to E. L. Gutiérrez; grant to G. E. Narda); Universidad Nacional de San Luis (grant to G. E. Narda); Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (grant to A. P. Ayala); Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (grant to J. Ellena).

### References

- Agatonovic-Kustrin, S., Glass, B. D., Mangan, M. & Smithson, J. (2008). Int. J. Pharm. 361, 245–250.
- Ayala, A. P., Siesler, H. W. & Cuffini, S. L. (2008). J. Raman Spectrosc. **39**, 1150–1157.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bravetti, F., Bordignon, S., Alig, E., Eisenbeil, D., Fink, L., Nervi, C., Gobetto, R., Schmidt, M. U. & Chierotti, M. R. (2022). *Chem. Eur. J.* 28, e202103589.
- Bruker (2018). SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2021). APEX4. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Edgington, P. R., Kessler, M., Macrae, C. F., McCabe, P., Pearson, J. & Taylor, R. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* B58, 389– 397.
- Calvo, N. L., Kaufman, T. S. & Maggio, R. M. (2016). J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 122, 157–165.
- Cruz-Cabeza, A. J. (2012). CrystEngComm, 14, 6362-6365.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- FDA (2024). Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Approved Drug

*Product with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations ('Orange Book')*, 44th ed. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-approvals-and-databases/approved-drug-products-therapeutic-equivalence-evaluations-orange-book.

- Ferreira, F. F., Antonio, S. G., Rosa, P. C. P. & Paiva–Santos, C. O. (2010). J. Pharm. Sci. 99, 1734–1744.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Gutiérrez, E. L., Godoy, A. A., Brusau, E. V., Vega, D., Narda, G. E., Suárez, S. & Di Salvo, F. (2024). *RSC Adv.* **14**, 181–192.
- Gutiérrez, E. L., Godoy, A. A., Narda, G. E. & Ellena, J. (2020). CrystEngComm, 22, 6559–6568.
- Gutiérrez, E. L., Souza, M. S., Diniz, L. F. & Ellena, J. (2018). J. Mol. Struct. 1161, 113–121.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Mackenzie, C. F., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *IUCrJ*, **4**, 575–587.

- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Martins, F. T., Neves, P. P., Ellena, J., Camí, G. E., Brusau, E. V. & Narda, G. E. (2009). J. Pharm. Sci. 98, 2336–2344.
- Motherwell, W. D. S., Shields, G. P. & Allen, F. H. (2000). Acta Cryst. B56, 466–473.
- Pavlović, G., Lekšić, E. & Meštrović, E. (2024). Acta Cryst. B80, 193– 200.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Smith, A. J., Kim, S.-H., Duggirala, N. K., Jin, J., Wojtas, L., Ehrhart, J., Giunta, B., Tan, J., Zaworotko, M. J. & Shytle, R. D. (2013). *Mol. Pharm.* 10, 4728–4738.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Steiner, T. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 48-76.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2025). E81 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989025000714]

Supramolecular assembly of mebendazolium and dihydrogen phosphate ions in a new anthelmintic salt

# Eduardo L. Gutiérrez, Marcos G. Russo, Griselda E. Narda, Elena V. Brusau, Alejandro P. Ayala and Javier Ellena

## **Computing details**

5-Benzoyl-2-[(methoxycarbonyl)amino]-1*H*-1,3-benzodiazol-3-ium dihydrogen phosphate-phosphoric acid (1/1)

### Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{14}N_3O_3^+ \cdot H_2O_4P^- \cdot H_3O_4P$
$M_r = 491.28$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a = 4.7217 (3)  Å
b = 26.167 (2)  Å
c = 16.7888 (12)  Å
$\beta = 98.082 \ (5)^{\circ}$
V = 2053.7 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>
Z = 4

### Data collection

Bruker D8 VENTURE dual wavelength Mo/Cu
diffractometer
Radiation source: microfocus sealed X-ray tube,
Incoatec Iµs HB
Multilayer mirrors monochromator
Detector resolution: 7.39 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS: Krause et al., 2015)

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$  $wR(F^2) = 0.105$ S = 1.064094 reflections 348 parameters 19 restraints Primary atom site location: dual Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map F(000) = 1016  $D_x = 1.589 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9651 reflections  $\theta = 3.2-72.3^{\circ}$   $\mu = 2.55 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 302 KPrism, clear light colourless  $0.18 \times 0.07 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$ 

 $T_{\min} = 0.647, T_{\max} = 0.754$ 30433 measured reflections 4094 independent reflections 3363 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.076$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 72.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.7^{\circ}$  $h = -5 \rightarrow 5$  $k = -32 \rightarrow 31$  $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$ 

Only H-atom coordinates refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0387P)^2 + 1.4065P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.29 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$   $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.32 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL-2019/1 (Sheldrick 2015b), Fc\*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.00153 (15)

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refined as a 2-component twin. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data ( $\varphi$  scans and  $\omega$  scans with  $\kappa$  and  $\theta$  offsets) were collected on a Bruker D8 Venture  $\kappa$ -geometry diffractometer equipped with a Photon II CPAD detector and a I $\mu$ S 3.0 Incoatec Cu K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å) microfocus source. A suitable crystal for the compound was chosen and mounted on a Kapton fiber with a MiTeGen MicroMount using immersion oil. The APEX 4 software was used for the unit cell determination and data collection (Bruker AXS Inc, 2021). The data reduction and global cell refinement were made using the Bruker SAINT+ software package (Bruker AXS Inc, 2019), and a multi-scan absorption correction was performed with SADABS (Krause *et al.*, 2015). Using the Olex2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009) interface program to the SHELX suite, the structure was solved by the intrinsic phasing method implemented in ShelXT (Sheldrick, 2015b), allowing the location of most of the non-hydrogen atoms. The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from difference Fourier maps calculated from successive full-matrix least-squares refinement cycles on F<sup>2</sup> with ShelXL (Sheldrick, 2015a) and refined using anisotropic displacement parameters.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
P2	0.43419 (16)	0.27652 (3)	0.23599 (4)	0.03209 (18)
P1	0.81274 (16)	0.23835 (3)	0.50120 (4)	0.03376 (19)
O5	0.2739 (5)	0.31777 (8)	0.17736 (11)	0.0365 (5)
Н5	0.205 (8)	0.3072 (13)	0.1312 (14)	0.055*
O6	0.6963 (4)	0.25750 (9)	0.20482 (12)	0.0418 (5)
O4	0.4896 (5)	0.30257 (9)	0.31664 (12)	0.0437 (5)
O10	1.0793 (4)	0.21012 (9)	0.53275 (12)	0.0411 (5)
O9	0.7150 (5)	0.27629 (8)	0.56206 (13)	0.0433 (5)
H9A	0.714 (10)	0.2646 (14)	0.6095 (14)	0.065*
O8	0.8674 (5)	0.26953 (10)	0.42774 (13)	0.0486 (6)
H8	0.726 (7)	0.2791 (15)	0.392 (2)	0.073*
O11	0.5673 (5)	0.20050 (9)	0.47561 (15)	0.0479 (6)
H11	0.412 (6)	0.2044 (16)	0.494 (2)	0.072*
O7	0.2289 (5)	0.23040 (9)	0.23605 (16)	0.0494 (6)
H7A	0.054 (5)	0.2366 (16)	0.239 (3)	0.074*
O2	0.4932 (6)	0.43652 (10)	0.20414 (14)	0.0556 (6)
01	0.7119 (6)	0.39991 (10)	0.10761 (14)	0.0548 (6)
O3	0.6908 (6)	0.49929 (9)	0.63826 (14)	0.0555 (7)
N2	0.7646 (6)	0.42602 (10)	0.35453 (15)	0.0386 (6)
H2	0.617 (6)	0.4437 (12)	0.3285 (19)	0.046*
N3	1.1169 (6)	0.37031 (10)	0.36730 (16)	0.0408 (6)
H3	1.242 (7)	0.3475 (11)	0.353 (2)	0.049*
N1	0.8972 (6)	0.38874 (10)	0.23461 (16)	0.0413 (6)
H1	1.022 (7)	0.3686 (12)	0.216 (2)	0.050*
C2	0.6798 (8)	0.41106 (12)	0.18303 (19)	0.0422 (7)
C3	0.9242 (7)	0.39500 (12)	0.31555 (19)	0.0384 (7)
C5	1.0829 (7)	0.38557 (12)	0.44514 (18)	0.0375 (7)
C4	0.8619 (7)	0.42140 (11)	0.43686 (18)	0.0373 (7)
C9	0.7723 (7)	0.44463 (12)	0.5018 (2)	0.0417 (7)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Н9	0.616 (6)	0.4676 (11)	0.501 (2)	0.050*
C7	1.1270 (8)	0.39411 (13)	0.5865 (2)	0.0431 (7)
H7	1.233 (7)	0.3861 (13)	0.6386 (14)	0.052*
C6	1.2188 (7)	0.37141 (13)	0.5200 (2)	0.0435 (7)
H6	1.365 (6)	0.3460 (11)	0.528 (2)	0.052*
C8	0.9056 (7)	0.43107 (12)	0.57789 (19)	0.0400 (7)
C11	0.8572 (7)	0.43390 (12)	0.72951 (19)	0.0420 (7)
C10	0.8103 (7)	0.45728 (12)	0.64807 (19)	0.0418 (7)
C16	0.8251 (10)	0.38162 (13)	0.7409 (2)	0.0563 (10)
H16	0.761 (9)	0.3601 (13)	0.6951 (17)	0.068*
C13	0.9560 (12)	0.44489 (16)	0.8727 (2)	0.0694 (12)
H13	0.994 (10)	0.4680 (14)	0.9179 (19)	0.083*
C15	0.8548 (12)	0.36190 (15)	0.8181 (2)	0.0670 (12)
H15	0.821 (10)	0.3261 (8)	0.827 (3)	0.080*
C12	0.9165 (9)	0.46542 (14)	0.7965 (2)	0.0556 (10)
H12	0.930 (9)	0.5011 (8)	0.787 (2)	0.067*
C14	0.9251 (11)	0.39306 (16)	0.8836 (2)	0.0652 (12)
H14	0.944 (10)	0.3788 (15)	0.9370 (15)	0.078*
C1	0.4836 (12)	0.4184 (2)	0.0479 (3)	0.0725 (13)
H1A	0.296 (7)	0.408 (2)	0.061 (3)	0.109*
H1B	0.523 (12)	0.4067 (19)	-0.0048 (18)	0.109*
H1C	0.495 (12)	0.4556 (8)	0.054 (3)	0.109*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
P2	0.0239 (4)	0.0416 (4)	0.0303 (4)	0.0020 (3)	0.0024 (3)	0.0008 (3)
P1	0.0265 (4)	0.0441 (4)	0.0302 (4)	0.0004 (3)	0.0022 (3)	0.0038 (3)
05	0.0369 (11)	0.0417 (11)	0.0290 (10)	0.0030 (9)	-0.0014 (9)	-0.0010 (8)
06	0.0257 (11)	0.0621 (14)	0.0380 (11)	0.0064 (10)	0.0057 (9)	-0.0029 (10)
O4	0.0454 (13)	0.0566 (13)	0.0272 (10)	0.0116 (11)	-0.0019 (9)	-0.0038 (9)
O10	0.0295 (11)	0.0587 (13)	0.0348 (11)	0.0086 (10)	0.0029 (9)	0.0083 (9)
09	0.0470 (13)	0.0449 (12)	0.0387 (11)	0.0064 (10)	0.0082 (10)	-0.0002 (9)
08	0.0385 (13)	0.0701 (15)	0.0361 (12)	-0.0018 (12)	0.0013 (9)	0.0203 (11)
011	0.0290 (12)	0.0548 (14)	0.0613 (15)	-0.0072 (10)	0.0111 (11)	-0.0141 (11)
07	0.0294 (12)	0.0437 (12)	0.0744 (16)	-0.0031 (10)	0.0047 (11)	0.0111 (11)
O2	0.0539 (16)	0.0607 (15)	0.0509 (14)	0.0188 (13)	0.0026 (12)	-0.0070 (12)
01	0.0538 (15)	0.0708 (16)	0.0403 (13)	0.0102 (13)	0.0080 (11)	0.0001 (11)
03	0.0720 (18)	0.0470 (13)	0.0474 (13)	0.0184 (13)	0.0077 (13)	-0.0015 (10)
N2	0.0352 (15)	0.0418 (14)	0.0391 (14)	0.0089 (11)	0.0061 (11)	-0.0013 (11)
N3	0.0354 (15)	0.0442 (15)	0.0434 (15)	0.0087 (12)	0.0077 (12)	-0.0018 (12)
N1	0.0404 (16)	0.0458 (15)	0.0388 (14)	0.0064 (12)	0.0096 (12)	-0.0027 (11)
C2	0.0442 (19)	0.0423 (17)	0.0412 (17)	0.0010 (15)	0.0098 (15)	-0.0005 (13)
C3	0.0347 (17)	0.0396 (16)	0.0419 (17)	-0.0012 (13)	0.0081 (13)	-0.0035 (13)
C5	0.0353 (17)	0.0396 (16)	0.0387 (16)	0.0023 (13)	0.0092 (13)	-0.0013 (12)
C4	0.0368 (17)	0.0372 (15)	0.0376 (16)	0.0037 (13)	0.0040 (13)	0.0000 (12)
C9	0.0429 (19)	0.0389 (16)	0.0438 (17)	0.0101 (14)	0.0076 (14)	-0.0011 (14)
C7	0.0413 (19)	0.0462 (17)	0.0408 (17)	0.0070 (15)	0.0021 (14)	0.0022 (14)

# supporting information

C6	0.0366 (18)	0.0449 (17)	0.0487 (19)	0.0088 (14)	0.0050 (15)	0.0017 (14)
C8	0.0416 (19)	0.0366 (15)	0.0423 (17)	0.0032 (13)	0.0071 (14)	-0.0019 (13)
C11	0.047 (2)	0.0396 (16)	0.0391 (17)	0.0048 (14)	0.0068 (14)	0.0006 (13)
C10	0.046 (2)	0.0373 (16)	0.0417 (17)	0.0057 (14)	0.0038 (14)	-0.0026 (13)
C16	0.077 (3)	0.0429 (19)	0.049 (2)	-0.0025 (18)	0.011 (2)	0.0005 (15)
C13	0.102 (4)	0.058 (2)	0.046 (2)	-0.002 (2)	0.002 (2)	-0.0045 (18)
C15	0.100 (4)	0.050 (2)	0.055 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.0097 (18)
C12	0.072 (3)	0.0444 (19)	0.048 (2)	0.0001 (18)	0.0008 (18)	-0.0010 (16)
C14	0.090 (3)	0.062 (2)	0.045 (2)	0.009 (2)	0.012 (2)	0.0082 (18)
C1	0.079 (3)	0.089 (3)	0.046 (2)	0.021 (3)	0.000(2)	0.004 (2)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

P2—05	1.580(2)	C5—C4	1.395 (4)
P2—O6	1.495 (2)	C5—C6	1.379 (4)
P2—O4	1.506 (2)	C4—C9	1.366 (4)
P2—O7	1.548 (2)	С9—Н9	0.950 (19)
P1—O10	1.491 (2)	C9—C8	1.389 (4)
P1—O9	1.541 (2)	С7—Н7	0.968 (18)
P1—O8	1.531 (2)	C7—C6	1.386 (5)
P1—O11	1.539 (2)	C7—C8	1.417 (4)
O5—H5	0.843 (18)	С6—Н6	0.956 (19)
O9—H9A	0.854 (19)	C8—C10	1.487 (4)
O8—H8	0.869 (19)	C11—C10	1.486 (4)
O11—H11	0.842 (19)	C11—C16	1.393 (5)
O7—H7A	0.851 (19)	C11—C12	1.391 (5)
O2—C2	1.197 (4)	C16—H16	0.966 (19)
O1—C2	1.329 (4)	C16—C15	1.384 (5)
O1—C1	1.448 (5)	C13—H13	0.966 (19)
O3—C10	1.236 (4)	C13—C12	1.376 (5)
N2—H2	0.899 (18)	C13—C14	1.379 (6)
N2—C3	1.339 (4)	C15—H15	0.965 (19)
N2—C4	1.399 (4)	C15—C14	1.371 (6)
N3—H3	0.897 (19)	C12—H12	0.952 (19)
N3—C3	1.333 (4)	C14—H14	0.964 (19)
N3—C5	1.397 (4)	C1—H1A	0.98 (2)
N1—H1	0.879 (19)	C1—H1B	0.98 (2)
N1—C2	1.377 (4)	C1—H1C	0.98 (2)
N1—C3	1.357 (4)		
0( 0)	110.01 (12)	C4 C0 110	127 (2)
06—P2—05	110.91 (12)	С4—С9—Н9	127 (2)
06—P2—04	114.70 (13)	C4—C9—C8	118.0 (3)
06—P2—07	106.86 (13)	С8—С9—Н9	115 (2)
O4—P2—O5	104.97 (12)	С6—С7—Н7	117 (2)
O4—P2—O7	112.45 (14)	C6—C7—C8	121.4 (3)
07—P2—O5	106.72 (12)	С8—С7—Н7	121 (2)
O10—P1—O9	113.59 (13)	C5—C6—C7	117.4 (3)
O10—P1—O8	108.71 (13)	С5—С6—Н6	123 (2)

O10—P1—O11	110.19 (14)	С7—С6—Н6	119 (2)
O8—P1—O9	106.93 (14)	C9—C8—C7	120.0 (3)
O8—P1—O11	108.89 (14)	C9—C8—C10	117.6 (3)
O11—P1—O9	108.40 (13)	C7—C8—C10	122.4 (3)
Р2—О5—Н5	116 (3)	C16—C11—C10	121.7 (3)
Р1—О9—Н9А	115 (3)	C12—C11—C10	119.1 (3)
Р1—О8—Н8	121 (3)	C12—C11—C16	119.0 (3)
P1—O11—H11	118 (3)	O3—C10—C8	119.2 (3)
Р2—О7—Н7А	118 (3)	O3—C10—C11	119.7 (3)
C2-01-C1	114.4 (3)	C11—C10—C8	121.1 (3)
C3—N2—H2	122 (2)	C11—C16—H16	119 (2)
C3—N2—C4	107.5 (3)	C15—C16—C11	119.7 (4)
C4—N2—H2	130 (2)	C15—C16—H16	120 (2)
C3—N3—H3	124 (2)	С12—С13—Н13	118 (3)
C3—N3—C5	108.3 (3)	C12—C13—C14	120.3 (4)
C5—N3—H3	128 (2)	C14—C13—H13	121 (3)
C2—N1—H1	121 (2)	С16—С15—Н15	120 (3)
C3—N1—H1	117 (2)	C14-C15-C16	120.7(4)
$C_3$ —N1—C2	122.4 (3)	C14—C15—H15	119 (3)
02-C2-01	126.3 (3)	C11—C12—H12	117 (2)
02-02-N1	124.4 (3)	C13 - C12 - C11	120.4(3)
01-C2-N1	109.3 (3)	С13—С12—Н12	123 (2)
N2-C3-N1	125.6 (3)	C13—C14—H14	120 (3)
N3-C3-N2	110.8 (3)	C15—C14—C13	119.8 (4)
N3-C3-N1	123.6 (3)	C15—C14—H14	120 (3)
C4—C5—N3	106.4 (3)	O1—C1—H1A	111 (3)
C6—C5—N3	132.5 (3)	01—C1—H1B	108 (3)
C6—C5—C4	121.1 (3)	01—C1—H1C	104 (3)
C5—C4—N2	107.1 (3)	H1A—C1—H1B	114 (5)
C9—C4—N2	130.9 (3)	H1A—C1—H1C	107 (5)
C9—C4—C5	122.1 (3)	H1B-C1-H1C	113 (4)
	(-)		(-)
N2—C4—C9—C8	177.9 (3)	C7—C8—C10—O3	156.5 (3)
N3—C5—C4—N2	1.2 (3)	C7—C8—C10—C11	-23.3(5)
N3—C5—C4—C9	-179.7 (3)	C6—C5—C4—N2	-178.1 (3)
N3—C5—C6—C7	-179.0 (3)	C6—C5—C4—C9	1.0 (5)
C2—N1—C3—N2	-5.9 (5)	C6—C7—C8—C9	1.1 (5)
C2—N1—C3—N3	173.8 (3)	C6—C7—C8—C10	-177.8 (3)
C3—N2—C4—C5	-1.1 (3)	C8—C7—C6—C5	-1.1 (5)
C3—N2—C4—C9	180.0 (3)	C11—C16—C15—C14	-2.2 (8)
C3—N3—C5—C4	-0.9 (4)	C10-C11-C16-C15	-176.3(4)
C3—N3—C5—C6	178.3 (4)	C10-C11-C12-C13	178.9 (4)
C3—N1—C2—O2	-2.0 (5)	C16—C11—C10—O3	141.1 (4)
C3—N1—C2—O1	177.9 (3)	C16—C11—C10—C8	-39.1 (5)
C5—N3—C3—N2	0.3 (4)	C16—C11—C12—C13	3.0 (7)
C5—N3—C3—N1	-179.5 (3)	C16—C15—C14—C13	2.5 (8)
C5—C4—C9—C8	-0.9 (5)	C12—C11—C10—O3	-34.6 (5)
C4—N2—C3—N3	0.5 (4)	C12—C11—C10—C8	145.2 (4)

# supporting information

C4—N2—C3—N1	-179.7 (3)	C12—C11—C16—C15	-0.6 (6)
C4—C5—C6—C7	0.1 (5)	C12—C13—C14—C15	0.0 (8)
C4—C9—C8—C7	-0.1 (5)	C14—C13—C12—C11	-2.8 (8)
C4—C9—C8—C10	178.9 (3)	C1—O1—C2—O2	-4.3 (6)
C9—C8—C10—O3	-22.4 (5)	C1-01-C2-N1	175.9 (3)
C9—C8—C10—C11	157.8 (3)		

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
05—H5…O10 <sup>i</sup>	0.84 (2)	1.74 (2)	2.578 (3)	175 (4)
O9—H9A…O6 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85 (2)	1.72 (2)	2.568 (3)	177 (5)
O8—H8…O4	0.87 (2)	1.68 (2)	2.544 (3)	171 (4)
O11—H11…O10 <sup>iii</sup>	0.84 (2)	1.79 (2)	2.629 (3)	178 (4)
O7—H7A····O6 <sup>iii</sup>	0.85 (2)	1.79 (2)	2.594 (3)	157 (4)
N2—H2…O2	0.90 (2)	2.10 (3)	2.680 (4)	122 (3)
N3—H3…O4 <sup>iv</sup>	0.90(2)	1.82 (2)	2.717 (3)	177 (3)
N1— $H1$ ···O5 <sup>iv</sup>	0.88 (2)	1.96 (2)	2.831 (3)	174 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, -*y*+1/2, *z*-1/2; (ii) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (iii) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (iv) *x*+1, *y*, *z*.