



Received 14 February 2025 Accepted 8 April 2025

Edited by J. Reibenspies, Texas A & M University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; fluoroborane,.

CCDC reference: 2441918

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure of bis(diisopropylamino)fluoroborane

#### Tabea Lenz and Marian Hebenbrock\*

University of Münster, Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Corrensstrasse 30, 48149 Münster, Germany. \*Correspondence e-mail: hebenbro@uni-muenster.de

The predominantly planar structure of a fluoro-substituted bis(dialkylamino)borane, C12H28BFN2, was obtained from the reaction of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate with lithium diisopropylamide and its structure is presented here. While the B-F bond length is in the typical range of single B-F bonds, the B–N bond length indicates a partial double-bond character. The sterically demanding isopropyl groups on both amides increase the N-B-N angle and enable intermolecular van der Waals interactions.

#### 1. Chemical context

Bis(dialkylamino)boranes and their derivatives have emerged as prominent starting materials in the field of boron chemistry due to their ease of functionalization and enhanced stability, attributable to the double-bond character of the B-N bond. The fact that these substituents can also be used to realize unusual coordination patterns on the B atom was first demonstrated in 1982 by Nöth and Parry through the formation of the two-coordinate borinium cation (Nöth et al., 1982; Higashi et al., 1982). Nöth generated the borinium cations from bis(dialkylamino)bromoboranes by reaction with Lewis acids, while Parry used analogous chloroboranes. This pioneering work was further expanded, exploring the use of a variety of amines, Lewis acids and boranes (Nöth et al., 1984, 1986; Kölle et al., 1986). More recently, Major et al. (2019) have demonstrated an alternative approach based on analogous fluorinated compounds, wherein a silvlium cation functions as a fluoride abstractor. They also showed that these borinium cations are versatile reagents in hyroboration reactions. Here we report the structure of the starting material, bis(diisopropylamino)fluoroborane, 1, which was also previously identified as a product of reactions of (diisopropylamino)difluoroboranes in the presence of Na/K alloy (Maringgele et al., 1992, 1993), but its structure was never elucidated. The structural investigation of such a simple compound will contribute to the understanding of the basic binding situation and properties, and will provide a more complete picture when compared with analogous structures.

BF<sub>3</sub> · OEt<sub>2</sub> + 2 LiN(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>





#### Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of the solid-state structure of compound 1, with the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

### 2. Structural commentary

Compound 1 crystallizes in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$  and has one molecule in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). The bond length between boron and fluorine [1.3650 (9) Å] falls within the typical range of bond lengths observed for B-F bonds. The B–N bond lengths [1.4227 (10) and 1.4206 (10) Å] not only correspond to typical boron alkylamides, but also show a bond length resulting from the partial formation of a B-N double bond. The N-B-N angle  $[128.91 (7)^{\circ}]$  exhibits a slight increase compared to analogous compounds. This is attributed to the sterically demanding substituents present on both amines, along with the fluorine substituent, which exhibits a smaller steric bulkiness, thereby enabling the widening of the bond angle. The overall structure of the compound is predominantly planar, which is consistent with the expected geometry of a trigonal-substituted B atom with partial double bonds to the substituents.

#### 3. Supramolecular features

Compound 1 does not show any significant intermolecular interactions. The formation of four-membered rings, as found for example in analogous aminoboron difluorides (Hazell,



Figure 2

Decomposed two-dimensional fingerprint plots of the interactions of compound **1** devided in reciprocal  $H \cdots H$  (left) and  $H \cdots F$  (right) contacts along with their contributions (*CrystalExplorer*; Spackman *et al.*, 2021).

1966; Edwards *et al.*, 1970; Jones, 1984), is not possible in the case of compound **1** due to the steric demand of the isopropyl side groups. The isopropyl groups themselves are capable of significant van der Waals interactions. These interactions were evaluated using the *CrystalExplorer* program (Spackman *et al.*, 2021). It was found that the interactions occur almost exclusively through  $H \cdots H$  contacts, as is typical for van der Waals interactions. Interactions (Fig. 2). A small fraction is also due to  $H \cdots F$  interactions. Interactions *via* the N atoms or the B atom do not take place. A decomposition of the interaction is predominantly mediated by dispersion forces, with electrostatic or polarization components being negligible (Fig. 3).

#### 4. Database survey

A database search [Cambridge Structural Database (CSD), Version 5.45, update June 2024; Groom et al., 2016] for analogous compounds reveals only two diaminofluoroboranes with acyclic amines (CSD refcodes YUBMUE and YUBNEP; Ott et al., 2009). The major difference between these compounds and compound 1 is that only one of the amines has two isopropyl substituents, while the other amine is substituted with an aromatic substituent and a proton. The B-F bond lengths in both structures range from 1.363 to 1.368 Å, the B-N bonds to the isopropyl-substituted amines range from 1.395 to 1.410 Å and the N-B-N bond angles range from 126.90 to 128.21°. Furthermore, an expanded search, encompassing diisopropylamine-substituted trigonal-planar boranes in general, yields 351 entries, with the B-N bond lengths ranging from 1.316 to 1.501 Å, contingent on the specific substituents on the B atom. Subsequent to quaternization of the N atom by protonation, a substantial elongation of the B-N bond is observed (1.571 Å in YUBNOZ; Ott et al., 2009), attributable to the elimination of the partial doublebond character.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

#### 5.1. General considerations

All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Pentane was dried using lithium aluminium hydride and distilled before use. Reactions of the air-sensitive compounds were carried out under an inert argon atmosphere using the Schlenk line technique. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance (Neo) 500 instruments. NMR spectra were referenced to residual solvent peaks (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>). Mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Impact II instrument. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SC-XRD) data were collected on a Bruker Venture with a Photon III CMOS detector with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The experimental procedure was adapted from Major *et al.* (2019).

#### 5.2. Experimental procedure

Boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (1.63 ml, 13.0 mmol) was dissolved in pentane (50 ml) and the solution cooled to 195 K.

Table 1	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{12}H_{28}BFN_2$
M <sub>r</sub>	230.17
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	6.3603 (2), 7.6440 (3), 16.4098 (6)
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	84.334 (1), 84.820 (1), 67.518 (1)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	732.38 (5)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.07
Crystal size (mm)	$0.50 \times 0.50 \times 0.10$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 VENTURE with a PHOTON III CMOS detector
Absorption correction	Empirical (using intensity measurements) ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2021)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.514, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	44165, 4103, 3776
Rint	0.043
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text{max}} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.695
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.042, 0.110, 1.08
No. of reflections	4103
No. of parameters	153
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.51, -0.27

Computer programs: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2021), SHELXT2018 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2019 (Lübben et al., 2019; Sheldrick, 2015b), SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), APEX4 (Bruker, 2021) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

A solution of lithium diisopropylamide in THF (13.0 ml, 26.0 mmol, 2 M) was then added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 7 h at 195 K and then for 14 h at room temperature. A precipitated orange solid was separated by filtration and the resulting solution was concentrated *in vacuo* at 273 K. The residue was redissolved in *n*-pentane (7 ml) and stored at 247 K for 2 d. The suspension was then cooled to 195 K and the precipitated yellowish solid was separated by filtration. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the uptake in pentane and subsequent filtration were repeated as described

above. Compound **1** was obtained as a yellowish crystalline solid (yield: 1.13 g, 4.91 mmol). Colourless crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from the solid by sublimation (yield 38%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 3.20 [hept, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.7 Hz, 4H, NCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 1.18 [d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.9 Hz, 24H, NCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (101 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 45.3 [NCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 23.9 [d, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 2.5 Hz, NCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. <sup>11</sup>B {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (160 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 25.0 (s). <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (471 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) -108.9 (s).

## 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. H atoms were placed at ideal calculated positions and refined using a riding model.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Professor Jens Müller for financial and non-material support, as well as for providing access to laboratories and chemicals. MH would like to thank the funds of the chemical industry (VCI) for their support.

### References

- Bruker (2021). APEX4, SAINT-Plus and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Edwards, I. A. S. & Stadler, H. P. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 1905-1908.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hazell, A. C. (1966). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1392-1394.
- Higashi, J., Eastman, A. D. & Parry, R. W. (1982). Inorg. Chem. 21, 716–720.
- Jones, P. G. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1465-1466.
- Kölle, P. & Nöth, H. (1986). Chem. Ber. 119, 313-324.
- Lübben, J., Wandtke, C. M., Hübschle, C. B., Ruf, M., Sheldrick, G. M. & Dittrich, B. (2019). Acta Cryst. A75, 50–62.
- Major, C. J., Bamford, K. L., Qu, Z.-W. & Stephan, D. W. (2019). *Chem. Commun.* 55, 5155–5158.
- Maringgele, W., Meller, A., Dielkus, S. & Herbst-Irmer, R. (1993). Z. *Naturforsch. B*, **48**, 561–570.



Ν	Symop	R	Electron Density	E_ele	E_pol	E_dis	E_rep	E_tot
2	x, y, z	6.36	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-3.8	-0.2	-35.7	19.9	-23.0
2	x, y, z	7.86	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-3.8	-0.6	-21.7	11.2	-16.4
1	-x, -y, -z	9.08	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-3.5	-0.3	-26.2	14.6	-17.7
2	x, y, z	7.64	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-2.5	-0.3	-20.0	9.5	-14.4
1	-x, -y, -z	9.41	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-1.2	-0.2	-11.4	5.2	-8.1
1	-x, -y, -z	9.12	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-3.1	-0.2	-26.4	14.6	-17.4
1	-x, -y, -z	10.27	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-0.2	-0.0	-2.9	0.2	-2.6
1	-x, -y, -z	8.86	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-1.8	-0.1	-14.9	6.6	-10.8
1	-x, -y, -z	9.12	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-0.8	-0.0	-10.0	4.4	-6.9
1	-x, -y, -z	9.90	B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)	-0.3	-0.1	-11.3	4.4	-7.5

#### Figure 3

Interaction energies (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for different contacts within the structure of **1**, divided into electrostatic, polarization, dispersion and repulsive contributions, together with the total interaction energy. In the partial packing diagram (left), the interacting molecules are colour-coded to correlate with the tabulated energies (*CrystalExplorer*; Spackman *et al.*, 2021).

- Maringgele, W., Seebold, U., Meller, A., Dielkus, S., Pohl, E., Herbst-Irmer, R. & Sheldrick, G. M. (1992). *Chem. Ber.* **125**, 1559–1564.
- Nöth, H. & Rasthofer, B. (1986). *Chem. Ber.* 119, 2075–2079.
  Nöth, H., Rasthofer, B. & Weber, S. (1984). *Z. Naturforsch B*, 39, 1058–1068.
- Nöth, H., Staudigl, R. & Wagner, H.-U. (1982). Inorg. Chem. 21, 706–716.
- Ott, H., Matthes, C., Ringe, A., Magull, J., Stalke, D. & Klingebiel, U. (2009). *Chem. A Eur. J.* **15**, 4602–4609.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). J. Appl. Cryst. 54, 1006–1011.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

Acta Cryst. (2025). E81 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989025003160]

# Crystal structure of bis(diisopropylamino)fluoroborane

# Tabea Lenz and Marian Hebenbrock

**Computing details** 

Bis(diisopropylamino)fluoroborane

Crystal data

C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>28</sub>BFN<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 230.17$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$  a = 6.3603 (2) Å b = 7.6440 (3) Å c = 16.4098 (6) Å  $a = 84.334 (1)^{\circ}$   $\beta = 84.820 (1)^{\circ}$   $\gamma = 67.518 (1)^{\circ}$  $V = 732.38 (5) Å^{3}$ 

## Data collection

Bruker D8 VENTURE with a PHOTON III CMOS detector diffractometer Radiation source: microsource  $f \mid and w \mid scans$ Absorption correction: empirical (using intensity measurements) (SADABS; Bruker, 2021)  $T_{min} = 0.514, T_{max} = 0.746$ 

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$  $wR(F^2) = 0.110$ S = 1.084103 reflections 153 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: intrinsic phasing Z = 2 F(000) = 256  $D_x = 1.044 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9941 reflections  $\theta = 2.5-29.6^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 100 KPlate, colourless  $0.50 \times 0.50 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

44165 measured reflections 4103 independent reflections 3776 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.043$  $\theta_{max} = 29.6^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.5^{\circ}$  $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$  $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$  $l = -22 \rightarrow 22$ 

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0628P)^2 + 0.1203P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.51$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.27$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

## Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	y	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
F1	0.93904 (9)	0.04267 (7)	0.71623 (3)	0.02497 (13)
N1	0.88667 (11)	0.25106 (9)	0.81925 (4)	0.01548 (13)
N2	0.72717 (11)	0.36432 (9)	0.67705 (4)	0.01613 (14)
C1	0.94682 (13)	0.09107 (10)	0.88255 (4)	0.01678 (15)
H1	0.948918	0.145510	0.935300	0.020*
C2	1.18385 (14)	-0.06119 (12)	0.86768 (5)	0.02304 (17)
H2A	1.224662	-0.148577	0.916731	0.035*
H2B	1.295300	-0.000875	0.855770	0.035*
H2C	1.183618	-0.131787	0.821000	0.035*
C3	0.76418 (15)	0.00544 (12)	0.89528 (5)	0.02429 (17)
H3A	0.803276	-0.093603	0.940334	0.036*
H3B	0.755731	-0.049951	0.844889	0.036*
H3C	0.616325	0.104925	0.908771	0.036*
C4	0.88051 (12)	0.43069 (10)	0.84597 (4)	0.01606 (15)
H4	0.845794	0.524505	0.797241	0.019*
C5	0.69137 (14)	0.51110 (11)	0.91219 (5)	0.02059 (16)
H5A	0.679720	0.638557	0.922731	0.031*
H5B	0.726963	0.428370	0.962753	0.031*
H5C	0.546150	0.517926	0.893549	0.031*
C6	1.11067 (14)	0.41371 (12)	0.87467 (5)	0.02167 (16)
H6A	1.103194	0.539362	0.886261	0.033*
H6B	1.229965	0.362372	0.831561	0.033*
H6C	1.146032	0.328549	0.924554	0.033*
C7	0.54639 (12)	0.54721 (10)	0.69565 (4)	0.01650 (15)
H7	0.528695	0.550183	0.756731	0.020*
C8	0.60564 (14)	0.71800 (11)	0.66229 (5)	0.02176 (16)
H8A	0.486698	0.834686	0.681208	0.033*
H8B	0.615843	0.724314	0.602201	0.033*
H8C	0.752295	0.704329	0.682121	0.033*
C9	0.31532 (13)	0.56770 (12)	0.66626 (5)	0.02146 (16)
H9A	0.197235	0.685037	0.684966	0.032*
H9B	0.277831	0.458913	0.688826	0.032*
H9C	0.323321	0.572230	0.606207	0.032*
C10	0.76673 (13)	0.32376 (11)	0.58934 (4)	0.01805 (15)
H10	0.680044	0.444801	0.557470	0.022*
C11	1.01744 (15)	0.26992 (13)	0.56098 (5)	0.02464 (17)
H11A	1.036191	0.253792	0.501909	0.037*
H11B	1.108985	0.150774	0.590426	0.037*
H11C	1.068066	0.370637	0.572384	0.037*
C12	0.67586 (15)	0.17610 (13)	0.56824 (5)	0.02438 (17)
H12A	0.687330	0.168847	0.508612	0.037*
H12B	0.516015	0.212989	0.588332	0.037*
H12C	0.766010	0.051867	0.594240	0.037*
B1	0.84742 (14)	0.22573 (12)	0.73804 (5)	0.01686 (16)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
F1	0.0343 (3)	0.0151 (2)	0.0207 (2)	-0.0022 (2)	-0.00725 (19)	-0.00367 (17)
N1	0.0176 (3)	0.0128 (3)	0.0152 (3)	-0.0044 (2)	-0.0035 (2)	0.0002 (2)
N2	0.0178 (3)	0.0154 (3)	0.0136 (3)	-0.0040 (2)	-0.0021 (2)	-0.0016 (2)
C1	0.0178 (3)	0.0150 (3)	0.0164 (3)	-0.0050 (3)	-0.0036 (2)	0.0015 (2)
C2	0.0202 (4)	0.0188 (4)	0.0247 (4)	-0.0013 (3)	-0.0048 (3)	0.0011 (3)
C3	0.0249 (4)	0.0237 (4)	0.0270 (4)	-0.0130 (3)	-0.0048 (3)	0.0047 (3)
C4	0.0170 (3)	0.0150 (3)	0.0168 (3)	-0.0064 (3)	-0.0035 (2)	-0.0003 (2)
C5	0.0210 (4)	0.0187 (3)	0.0206 (3)	-0.0051 (3)	-0.0014 (3)	-0.0046 (3)
C6	0.0208 (4)	0.0244 (4)	0.0233 (4)	-0.0120 (3)	-0.0062 (3)	0.0016 (3)
C7	0.0162 (3)	0.0157 (3)	0.0161 (3)	-0.0040 (3)	-0.0025 (2)	-0.0007 (2)
C8	0.0245 (4)	0.0174 (3)	0.0230 (4)	-0.0074 (3)	-0.0045 (3)	0.0015 (3)
C9	0.0173 (3)	0.0250 (4)	0.0210 (3)	-0.0063 (3)	-0.0034 (3)	-0.0012 (3)
C10	0.0204 (3)	0.0195 (3)	0.0137 (3)	-0.0065 (3)	-0.0022 (2)	-0.0017 (2)
C11	0.0224 (4)	0.0285 (4)	0.0217 (4)	-0.0083 (3)	0.0026 (3)	-0.0047 (3)
C12	0.0296 (4)	0.0261 (4)	0.0206 (4)	-0.0125 (3)	-0.0046 (3)	-0.0049 (3)
B1	0.0179 (4)	0.0151 (4)	0.0166 (3)	-0.0048(3)	-0.0022(3)	-0.0015(3)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

F1—B1	1.3650 (9)	С6—Н6А	0.9800
N1—B1	1.4227 (10)	C6—H6B	0.9800
N1-C4	1.4676 (9)	С6—Н6С	0.9800
N1—C1	1.4779 (9)	C7—C8	1.5310 (11)
N2—B1	1.4206 (10)	С7—С9	1.5343 (10)
N2—C7	1.4701 (9)	С7—Н7	1.0000
N2-C10	1.4812 (9)	C8—H8A	0.9800
C1—C3	1.5272 (11)	C8—H8B	0.9800
C1—C2	1.5280 (11)	C8—H8C	0.9800
C1—H1	1.0000	С9—Н9А	0.9800
C2—H2A	0.9800	С9—Н9В	0.9800
C2—H2B	0.9800	С9—Н9С	0.9800
C2—H2C	0.9800	C10-C11	1.5266 (11)
С3—НЗА	0.9800	C10—C12	1.5298 (11)
С3—Н3В	0.9800	C10—H10	1.0000
С3—Н3С	0.9800	C11—H11A	0.9800
C4—C5	1.5284 (11)	C11—H11B	0.9800
C4—C6	1.5326 (10)	C11—H11C	0.9800
C4—H4	1.0000	C12—H12A	0.9800
С5—Н5А	0.9800	C12—H12B	0.9800
С5—Н5В	0.9800	C12—H12C	0.9800
С5—Н5С	0.9800		
B1N1C4	123 84 (6)	Н6В—С6—Н6С	109.5
B1N1C1	123.84 (0)	N2_C7_C8	113 11 (6)
$C_{1}$ N1 C1	115 11 (6)	$N_2 = C_7 = C_8$	112.27 (6)
	113.11(0)	112-07-07	112.27 (0)

B1—N2—C7	123.64 (6)	C8—C7—C9	110.19 (6)
B1—N2—C10	120.53 (6)	N2—C7—H7	107.0
C7—N2—C10	115.66 (6)	С8—С7—Н7	107.0
N1—C1—C3	111.48 (6)	С9—С7—Н7	107.0
N1—C1—C2	113.89 (6)	C7—C8—H8A	109.5
C3—C1—C2	111.49 (7)	С7—С8—Н8В	109.5
N1—C1—H1	106.5	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C3—C1—H1	106.5	С7—С8—Н8С	109.5
C2-C1-H1	106.5	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
C1-C2-H2A	109 5	H8B-C8-H8C	109.5
C1 - C2 - H2B	109.5	C7_C9_H9A	109.5
$H^2A - C^2 - H^2B$	109.5	C7—C9—H9B	109.5
C1 - C2 - H2C	109.5	H9A - C9 - H9B	109.5
$H_{2}^{2}$ $H_{2}^{2}$ $H_{2}^{2}$	109.5	C7 C9 H9C	109.5
	100.5		109.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109.5		109.5
C1 = C2 = H2D	109.5	H9B - C9 - H9C	109.5
CI-C3-H3B	109.5	N2-C10-C11	111.40 (6)
H3A - C3 - H3B	109.5	$N_2 - C_{10} - C_{12}$	113.43 (6)
C1—C3—H3C	109.5	C11—C10—C12	111.42 (7)
H3A—C3—H3C	109.5	N2—C10—H10	106.7
НЗВ—СЗ—НЗС	109.5	C11—C10—H10	106.7
N1—C4—C5	112.25 (6)	С12—С10—Н10	106.7
N1—C4—C6	112.37 (6)	C10—C11—H11A	109.5
C5—C4—C6	110.44 (6)	C10—C11—H11B	109.5
N1—C4—H4	107.2	H11A—C11—H11B	109.5
C5—C4—H4	107.2	C10-C11-H11C	109.5
C6—C4—H4	107.2	H11A—C11—H11C	109.5
C4—C5—H5A	109.5	H11B—C11—H11C	109.5
С4—С5—Н5В	109.5	C10-C12-H12A	109.5
H5A—C5—H5B	109.5	C10-C12-H12B	109.5
С4—С5—Н5С	109.5	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
H5A—C5—H5C	109.5	C10-C12-H12C	109.5
H5B—C5—H5C	109.5	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C4—C6—H6A	109.5	H12B—C12—H12C	109.5
C4—C6—H6B	109.5	F1—B1—N2	116.05 (7)
H6A—C6—H6B	109.5	F1—B1—N1	115.03 (6)
C4—C6—H6C	109.5	N2—B1—N1	128 91 (7)
H6A - C6 - H6C	109.5		120.91 (7)
	109.5		
B1N1C1C3	-58.17(9)	B1N2C10C11	-57.08(9)
CA N1 C1 C3	124.16(7)	C7 N2 C10 C11	127.52(7)
$B_1 = N_1 = C_1 = C_2$	69.05(9)	$R_1 = N_2 = C_10 = C_{11}$	127.32(7)
CA = N1 = C1 = C2	-108.62(7)	C7 N2 C10 C12	$-105 \ 90 \ (9)$
$C_{-} = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0$	100.02(7) 117.02(8)	$C_{1} = N_{2} = C_{10} = C_{12}$ $C_{10} = C_{12}$ $C_{10} = C_{12}$	103.00(0) 154.97(7)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	117.02(0)	$C_1 - N_2 - D_1 - F_1$	134.0/(/)
CI - INI - C4 - C3	-03.38(8)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-20.13(10)
DI - NI - C4 - C0	$-11/./\delta(\delta)$	$C_1 = N_2 = B_1 = N_1$	-25.51(12)
C1 - N1 - C4 - C6	59.82 (8)	C10— $N2$ — $B1$ — $N1$	159.67 (8)
B1—N2—C7—C8	117.08 (8)	C4-N1-B1-F1	156.92 (7)

C10—N2—C7—C8	-67.68 (8)	C1—N1—B1—F1	-20.55 (10)
B1—N2—C7—C9	-117.45 (8)	C4—N1—B1—N2	-22.91 (12)
C10—N2—C7—C9	57.79 (8)	C1—N1—B1—N2	159.63 (7)