



Received 1 May 2025 Accepted 6 May 2025

Edited by K. V. Domasevitch, National Taras Shevchenko University of Kyiv, Ukraine

**Keywords:** crystal structure; diclofenac derivative; hydrogen bonding; halogen bonds; halogen-*π*-interactions.

CCDC reference: 2449037

**Supporting information:** this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# Crystal structure of chloromethyl 2-[2-(2,6dichlorophenylamino)phenyl]acetate

Tobias Keydel,<sup>a</sup> Siva S. M. Bandaru,<sup>b</sup> Lukas Schulig,<sup>a</sup> Andreas Link<sup>a</sup>\* and Carola Schulzke<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Pharmacy, University of Greifswald, Friedrich-Ludwig-Jahn-Strasse 17, 17489 Greifswald, Germany, and <sup>b</sup>Institute of Biochemistry, University of Greifswald, Felix-Hausdorff-Strasse 4, 17489 Greifswald, Germany. \*Correspondence e-mail: link@uni-greifswald.de, carola.schulzke@uni-greifswald.de

The title compound,  $C_{15}H_{15}Cl_3NO_2$ , was synthesized from diclofenac and chloromethyl chlorosulfate under phase-transfer conditions, and crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$ . As a result of steric strain, the two adjacent aromatic six-membered rings cannot be co-planar, while the terminal ring on one side of the molecule and the methyl acetate moiety atoms on the other reside roughly in the same plane. The angle between the planes of the two aromatic rings is rather wide at 64.27 (8)°. The crystal is tightly packed and consolidated by a large number and notable range of intermolecular contacts, including relatively strong classical hydrogen bonds but also halogen bonds and even short contacts between chlorine atoms and  $\pi$ -bonds. The intermolecular interactions were further analysed using DFT methods, the results of which are discussed in comparison to the experimental X-ray data.

#### 1. Chemical context

Chloromethyl esters and their chemical relatives bromomethyl and iodomethyl esters are of great synthetic interest, as they easily react with carboxylic acids in the presence of a base to form acylals (Keydel & Link, 2024), which serve as prodrug motifs both for drugs already on the market, e.g. sultamicillin (Betrosian & Douzinas, 2009), pivmecillinam (Burchette et al., 2024) and clevidipine (Nordlander et al., 2004), and for experimental compounds of different potential indications (Gao et al., 2022; Dalaijargal et al., 2022; Zheng et al., 2022). During our ongoing investigations into the optimization of the synthesis of acylals, we used the chloromethyl ester of the well-known and widely used NSAID (non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug) diclofenac as one model substance. It was synthesized from diclofenac in a transesterification reaction with chloromethyl chlorosulfate under phase-transfer conditions according to known methods (Binderup & Hansen, 1984; Harada et al., 1994).







Preparations of the title compound by very similar but not identical procedures were reported before (Dugar *et al.*, 2012; Xu *et al.*, 2015), while no characterization data other than low-resolution mass data (m/z = 344) were reported. The magni-

Selected bond and torsion angles (°).						
C7-N1-H1N C2-C1-C6	113.6 (12) 122.66 (13)	C2-C1-Cl2	118.39 (12)			
C7-N1-C6-C1 C7-N1-C6-C5 C6-N1-C7-C8 C6-N1-C7-C12	124.89 (15) -59.6 (2) -13.4 (2) 166.62 (13)	C11-C12-C13-C14 C7-C12-C13-C14 C12-C13-C14-O2 C12-C13-C14-O1	-90.93 (16) 87.17 (16) 104.07 (17) -73.22 (15)			

ficent crystals obtained were characterized and the previously unknown crystal structure is reported herein.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. All atoms are in general positions and are all refined in the asymmetric unit. The metrical parameters of bond lengths and angles are inconspicuous as they all fall into the commonly reported ranges. The two aromatic rings are not coplanar but arranged with an angle between the planes (through all six atoms of each ring) of  $64.27 (8)^{\circ}$ , which is notably wide. This is also evident in the torsion angles involving N1 and C13 as second or third atom (Table 1). An inplane situation is hindered by the two chlorine ortho substituents of the aniline moiety, while the actually observed torsion between the rings and between the central ring and the ester group is stabilized by two intramolecular hydrogen bonds with N1-H1N as donor to the two acceptors, which are the methylester oxygen atom O1 and the chlorine substituent Cl2 (Table 2). Fig. 2 shows all contacts of the asymmetric unit, including the intramolecular hydrogen bonds. The nonhydrogen atoms of the methylacetate chain and the entire aniline moiety lie roughly in the same plane with an angle between the aniline's six-membered ring and the C13/C14/O1/ O2 plane of only 9.78 (8)°. The central phenyl ring (C7–C12)

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N1−H1 <i>N</i> ···O1	0.87 (2)	2.217 (19)	2.9568 (15)	142.2 (16)
$N1 - H1N \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl2$	0.87 (2)	2.536 (19)	2.9890 (13)	113.1 (15)
$C15 - H15A \cdots O2^{i}$	0.95 (2)	2.59 (2)	3.521 (2)	168.2 (15)
C2−H2···N1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.96 (2)	2.93 (2)	3.886 (2)	173.6 (16)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

and the terminal chlorine Cl3 point away from the common aniline/acetate plane into the same direction. The nitrogen atom N1 is slightly pyramidalized with a sum of all three angles of 349.6  $^{\circ}$  (see Table 1 for the angles), which is significantly lower than for a planar nitrogen with an angle sum of 360 $^{\circ}$ . Again, this geometry is likely supported by the intramolecular hydrogen bonds (Table 2).

#### 3. Supramolecular features

The molecules of the title compound in the crystal are engaged in three notable intermolecular interactions (Fig. 2). These are, firstly, a non-classical hydrogen bond between one methylene hydrogen of the chloromethyl acetate moiety and the carbonyl oxygen atom of the same moiety of an adjacent molecule (Table 2; C15-H15A···O2; symmetry operator: -x + 1,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ). This interaction is repeated onedirectionally so that it protrudes throughout the crystal. It can be classified as a C(5) hydrogen-bonding motif (Bernstein et al., 1995). Secondly, there is a short contact between the terminal chlorine and the carbonyl oxygen atom of a neighbouring molecule, which has a C15-Cl3···O1 angle that is sufficiently close to linear at 169.06° (Table 3; symmetry operator:  $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$ ). This contact may be considered a halogen bond in which the chlorine's  $\sigma$ -hole opposite to its pivot carbon atom C15 interacts with the electron density of a



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound chloromethyl 2-[2-(2,6-dichlorophenylamino)phenyl]acetate. Ellipsoids of the anisotropic atoms are shown at the 50% probability level while the hydrogen atoms have arbitrary radii.



#### Figure 2

The intra- and intermolecular interactions that support or define the molecule's geometry and the three-dimensional packing in the crystal. Hydrogen bonds are shown as thick blue lines, halogen bonds and halogen- $\pi$  interactions are shown as thin blue lines. Atoms of the asymmetric unit that are engaged in contacts are labelled.

Non-covarent intermolecular contacts (A, ).					
Contact	Operator	Distance	Geometry	Angle	
Cl3···O2	$x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$	3.066 (12)	$C15-Cl3\cdots O2$	169.1 (07)	
Cl1···C3	$-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$	3.385 (18)	$C5-Cl1\cdots C3$	177.6 (06)	
Cl1···C4	$-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$	3.340 (16)	$C5-Cl1\cdots C4$	154.8 (06)	
$C14 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$	-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2	3.044 (18)	$O2-C14\cdots O2$	82.3 (09)	
$Cl3 \cdot \cdot \cdot C15$	$-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$	3.577 (17)	$C15-Cl3\cdots C15$	115.8 (03)	

Table 3Non-covalent intermolecular contacts (Å, °).

free electron pair of the oxygen (Metrangolo *et al.*, 2008). Thirdly, there are strikingly close contacts between the aniline substituent Cl1 and the aniline ring carbon atoms C3 and, in particular, C4 of an adjacent molecule (symmetry operator: -x,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ). The Cl–C distances and C5–Cl1···C3/4 angles are 3.38 Å/177.53° and 3.34 Å/154.79°, respectively. Interactions between iodine and aromatic systems were identified spectroscopically as early as 1949 (Benesi & Hildebrand, 1949). What is observed in the crystal structure of the title compound may be characterized as semi-localized halogen– $\pi$  bond (Schollmeyer *et al.*, 2008).

The intermolecular hydrogen bond and the halogen- $\pi$  contact both form infinite zigzag chains that protrude in the direction of the crystallographic *b* axis (Fig. 3, top). The hydrogen bond connects molecules that point alternately up and down parallel to the crystallographic *a* axis. The same is true for the halogen- $\pi$  contact (Fig. 3, bottom). Together they thereby form a layer structure in the *ab* plane with an overall thickness of half of the crystallographic *c* axis (Fig. 4). The interactions between the layers, which are stacked in the *c*-axis



#### Figure 3

The C(5) hydrogen-bonding motif involving the methyl group and the carbonyl oxygen of the methylacetate moiety (top) and the halogen- $\pi$  interaction (bottom). Both intermolecular interactions protrude parallel to the crystallographic *b*-axis. Labelled atoms belong to the respective asymmetric unit. Hydrogen bonds are shown as thick blue lines, halogen- $\pi$  interactions as thin blue lines. Only atoms of the asymmetric unit are labelled.

direction, comprise the halogen bonds between the terminal chlorine Cl3 and the carbonyl oxygen atom of the ester O3, which also protrude in a zigzag pattern (Fig. 5).

To support the crystallographically identified intermolecular contacts, quantum chemical calculations were performed at the B3LYP-D4/def2-TZVPP level of theory using non-covalent interaction (NCI) analysis based on the reduced density gradient (Fig. 6). The halogen bond between the terminal chlorine atom Cl3 and the carbonyl oxygen O1 shows a moderately attractive interaction [sin ( $\lambda_2$ ) $\rho = -0.0087$ a.u.], consistent with a  $\sigma$ -hole-driven halogen bond. Similarly, the interaction between Cl1 and the adjacent ring atoms C3 and C4, interpreted as a halogen- $\pi$  contact, exhibits a weak, dispersion-driven attraction [sin ( $\lambda_2$ ) $\rho = -0.0070$  a.u.]. These results align with the crystallographic findings and support the role of these non-covalent interactions in stabilizing the supramolecular assembly.





The layer structure formed with a combination of hydrogen bonding and halogen- $\pi$  interaction contacts (shown in Fig. 3) in the crystallographic *ab* plane with a thickness of approximately 0.5 times *c*.



#### Figure 5

The halogen bond between the terminal chlorine Cl3 and the carbonyl oxygen atom, which protrudes parallel to the crystallographic *c*-axis (contacts shown in blue). This interaction thereby connects the layer structure shown in Fig. 4 to the layers above and below, forming a three-dimensional network of contacts that stabilize or define the packing pattern. Only atoms of the asymmetric unit are labelled.



Figure 6

Non-covalent interaction (NCI) isosurface plot (isovalue = 0.4) of dimers based on the reduced density gradient (RDG) computed from the electron density at the B3LYP-D4/def2-TZVPP level (Schrödinger, 2025). The orange spheres highlight the bond critical points (BCP) of the identified non-covalent interactions.

In addition to the stronger and more apparent non-covalent interactions observed there are or may be three weaker contacts present (Table 3). The first potential interaction involves C15 and Cl3 and could be interpreted as a so-called tetrel bond into a  $\pi$ -hole on carbon (Varadwaj *et al.*, 2023). This runs jointly with the hydrogen bond between O2 and C15 along the crystallographic b axis. The second one comprises a short distance between two carbonyl moieties (C14, O2) of adjacent molecules, which are aligned in an inverted parallel arrangement. This may be regarded as a dispersion effect of the two  $\pi$ -systems, since the geometry of the four atoms excludes a tetrel bond-type interaction. Considering O2 is also involved in relatively stronger hydrogen bonding and a halogen bond, the carbonyl arrangement is likely an effect of the packing rather than that it defines it. The third potential interaction would be a non-classical hydrogen bond  $C2-H2\cdots N1$  (Table 2) protruding along the crystallographic c axis. Considering the distances between the respective C, H and N atoms and the fact that the adjacent halogen - contact also runs along the *c*-axis direction in the exact same zigzag manner, it is more likely that the former arrangement is a consequence of the more prominent and stronger latter one. We do not consider these three weaker contacts to be of particular importance for the overall packing.

Despite the presence of two aromatic six-membered rings, no aromatic  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions are observed with metrical parameters (distance, slippage *etc.*) that would suggest any relevance for the packing pattern.

#### 4. Database survey

The CSD (Groom *et al.*, 2016) was searched using the ConQuest programme version 2024.2.0 (Bruno *et al.*, 2002), as part of the CSD software suite. A search for the entire backbone (aniline, phenyl ring, and acetate moiety) resulted in 186 hits. Limiting the search by the introduction of the two chlorine substituents on the aniline ring, which are char-

compound, the methyl carbon of the ester group was included. This search returned 29 hits of which 7 are repeatedly reported, i.e. 22 distinct molecular structures in the database. From these were excluded metal-bearing hits, those with relatively large substituents such as sugars, and those with another not covalently bound component in the crystal, e.g., solvent. This resulted in 14 hits and 7 individual structures distinct in the substituents on the methylacetate moiety, which were assessed in comparison with the title compound. One general defining structural feature is the torsion of the central phenyl ring relative to the aniline moiety. A change in torsion direction results in the aniline and the acetate moiety swapping places when looking at the central ring as leaning away from the viewer, while the aniline and acetate moieties lie in the horizontal plane. In the title compound, the torsion angle C6-N1-C7-C12 is 166.62 (13)° and the dichloroaniline ring appears on the right-hand side of the reclining central phenyl ring. This is also the case for the acetoxyacetic acid derivative with a torsion of 178.8° involving the analogous four atoms (BOXMUZ; Jelsch et al., 2020), for the ethylacetate derivative with ca. 164.5° analogous torsion in all six reported structures (DUBWAB and DUBWAB01-05; Nugrahani et al., 2019; Chodkiewicz et al., 2022), and the methylacetate derivative with 165.1° (XEYZIL01; Saleem et al., 2008). For the latter, a second structure is published in a different crystal system and space group for which the torsion of analogous atoms occurs in opposite direction with  $-178.3^{\circ}$  (XEYZIL; Nawaz et al., 2007) and the dichloroaniline moiety appears to the left-hand side of the viewer looking at the reclining central phenyl ring. That is also the case for a mixed structure of diclofenac together with its ethylacetate derivative with both molecules having negative analogous torsions of -161.5 and  $-173.6^{\circ}$ (DUBWEF; Nugrahani et al., 2019), respectively, the 2-propylacetate derivative with  $-167.0^{\circ}$  (MISBEW; Nawaz et

acteristic for diclofenac, reduced the hits by only 6 to 180,

which is quite notable. To further narrow down the hit list to

molecules that would be well comparable to the title

Table 4	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{15}H_{12}Cl_3NO_2$
Mr	344.61
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	299
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	15.1487 (1), 9.2389 (1), 10.8661 (1)
$\beta$ (°)	94.242 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	1516.62 (2)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	5.50
Crystal size (mm)	$0.18 \times 0.13 \times 0.10$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	XtaLAB Synergy, Single source at home/near, HyPix
Absorption correction	Numerical (face indexed; <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> , Rigaku OD, 2024)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.507, 0.856
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	54733, 3301, 3145
Rint	0.031
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.639
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.031, 0.081, 1.07
No. of reflections	3301
No. of parameters	238
H-atom treatment	All H-atom parameters refined
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ (e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.34, -0.46

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2024), SHELXT2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2019/2 (Sheldrick, 2015b), XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020), CIFTAB (Sheldrick, 2015b) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

al., 2008), the 4-nitro-aniline and 3,5-dinitrophenyl derivative with a torsion of  $-148.0^{\circ}$  (UNUDEN; Tariq *et al.*, 2011), and another acetoxyacetic acid derivative, which is a respective torsional isomer of BOXMUZ with a torsion angle of  $-172.8^{\circ}$ and  $-173.2^{\circ}$  in the two reported structures (VUGCUV and VUGCUV01; Alvarez-Larena et al., 1992; Goud et al., 2013). All observed absolute torsion angle values fall into a relatively narrow range of 160-180°, which emphasizes a certain joint geometric rigidity of the two aromatic rings, likely enforced by steric strain from the ortho-chlorine substituents and the intramolecular hydrogen bonding involving the NH group. In the title compound and in XEYZIL it is the substituted oxygen that points toward the nitrogen atom for an intramolecular hydrogen bond. In all other cases it is the carbonyl oxygen. This orientation is therefore somehow unusual and as a result, these two are the only examples in which the carbonyl oxygen atom is free to engage in intermolecular hydrogen bonding, which the substituted oxygen does not in any of the other assessed examples. As a result, the intermolecular interactions of the title compound chloromethyl 2-[2-(2,6-dichlorophenylamino)phenyl]acetate are particularly rich and three-dimensional, which facilitates a unique packing pattern amongst these derivatives.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

To a solution of diclofenac (1.481 g, 5.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), sodium hydrogen carbonate (1.260 g, 15.0 mmol, 3.00 equiv.)

and tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate (162 mg, 0.5 mmol, 0.10 equiv.) in water (50 mL) and dichloromethane (10 mL), a solution of chloromethyl chlorosulfate (0.759 mL, 7.5 mmol, 1.50 equiv.) in dichloromethane (40 mL) was added dropwise at 273 K over a period of 1 h. The ice bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and left to stir for 16 h. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC analysis of the organic phase), the organic phase was separated and washed with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution  $(3\times)$  and brine. After drving over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by dry column vacuum chromatography (0-20% ethyl acetate in hexane) yielding a white solid. Crystallization was accomplished from methanol yielding the title compound as colourless rhomboid crystals (yield 1.325 g, 3.845 mmol, 77%):  $R_f = 0.24$  (hexane); m.p. 359 K; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.79–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.62 (dd, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 1H), 7.09 (td, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 2H);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 169.70, 142.98, 137.00, 131.28, 131.05, 129.12, 127.99, 126.23, 121.88, 120.41, 115.60, 69.74, 36.33; ESI-HRMS: m/z calculated for  $[C_{15}H_{12}^{35}Cl_3NO_2 + H]^+$ 344.0006, found: 344.0001. Purity: 99.4% (254 nm). General experimental information such as the employed instruments as well as the NMR spectra can be found in the supporting information.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. All hydrogen atoms were located and refined freely.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support by the Open Access Publication Fund of the University of Greifswald.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant No. 463304797 to Andreas Link).

#### References

- Alvarez-Larena, A., Piniella, J. F., Carrasco, E., Ginebreda, A., Julia, S. & Germain, G. (1992). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 22, 323–328. Benesi, H. A. & Hildebrand, J. H. (1949). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 2703– 2707.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Betrosian, A. P. & Douzinas, E. E. (2009). Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol. 5, 1099–1112.
- Binderup, E. & Hansen, E. (1984). Synth. Commun. 14, 857-864.
- Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Edgington, P. R., Kessler, M., Macrae, C. F., McCabe, P., Pearson, J. & Taylor, R. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* B58, 389– 397.

## research communications

- Burchette, J. E., Covert, K., Tu, P., White, B. P., Chastain, D. B. & Cluck, D. B. (2024). Ann. Pharmacother. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 10600280241288554.
- Chodkiewicz, M., Pawlędzio, S., Woińska, M. & Woźniak, K. (2022). *IUCrJ*, **9**, 298–315.
- Dalaijargal, B., Mi, L., Wu, Z., Yin, Y., Liang, H., Qiu, Y., Yan, Y.-J., Jin, H. & Chen, Z.-L. (2022). *Med. Chem. Res.* 31, 1003–1010.
- Dugar, S., Mahajan, D. & Hollinger Peter, F. (2012). Sphaera Pharma Pvt. Ltd WO2012137225.
- Gao, R.-D., Maeda, M., Tallon, C., Feinberg, A. P., Slusher, B. S. & Tsukamoto, T. (2022). ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 13, 1892–1897.
- Goud, N. R., Suresh, K. & Nangia, A. (2013). Cryst. Growth Des. 13, 1590–1601.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Harada, N., Hongu, M., Tanaka, T., Kawaguchi, T., Hashiyama, T. & Tsujihara, K. (1994). *Synth. Commun.* **24**, 767–772.
- Jelsch, C., Devi, R. N., Noll, B. C., Guillot, B., Samuel, I. & Aubert, E. (2020). J. Mol. Struct. 1205, 127600.
- Keydel, T. & Link, A. (2024). Molecules 29, 4451.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Metrangolo, P., Meyer, F., Pilati, T., Resnati, G. & Terraneo, G. (2008). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **47**, 6114–6127.
- Nawaz, H., Khawar Rauf, M., Ebihara, M. & Badshah, A. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 0334.

- Nawaz, H., Khawar Rauf, M., Fuma, Y., Ebihara, M. & Badshah, A. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, 01228–01229.
- Nordlander, M., Sjöquist, P.-O., Ericsson, H. & Rydén, L. (2004). Cardiovasc. Drug Rev. 22, 227–250.
- Nugrahani, I., Utami, D., Nugraha, Y. P., Uekusa, H., Hasianna, R. & Darusman, A. A. (2019). *Heliyon* 5, e02946.
- Rigaku OD (2024). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton, England.
- Saleem, R., Shabir, G., Hanif, M., Qadeer, G. & Wong, W.-Y. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 02400.
- Schollmeyer, D., Shishkin, O. V., Rühl, T. & Vysotsky, M. O. (2008). *CrystEngComm* 10, 715–723.
- Schrödinger (2025). Schrödinger Release 2025.1: Jaguar, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Tariq, M. I., Jameel, M., Tahir, M. N., Ali, T. & Rizwan, M. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, 0791–0792.
- Varadwaj, P. R., Varadwaj, A., Marques, H. M. & Yamashita, K. (2023). CrystEngComm 25, 1411–1423.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Xu, Z., Wu, J., Zheng, J., Ma, H., Zhang, H., Zhen, X., Zheng, L. T. & Zhang, X. (2015). *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **25**, 528–537.
- Zheng, W., Tu, B., Zhang, Z., Li, J., Yan, Z., Su, K., Deng, D., Sun, Y., Wang, X., Zhang, B., Zhang, K., Wong, W.-L., Wu, P., Hong, W. D. & Ang, S. (2022). Front. Chem. 10, 1094841.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2025). E81 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989025004074]

Crystal structure of chloromethyl 2-[2-(2,6-dichlorophenylamino)phenyl]acetate

## Tobias Keydel, Siva S. M. Bandaru, Lukas Schulig, Andreas Link and Carola Schulzke

**Computing details** 

Chloromethyl 2-[2-(2,6-dichlorophenylamino)phenyl]acetate

### Crystal data

 $C_{15}H_{12}Cl_{3}NO_{2}$   $M_{r} = 344.61$ Monoclinic,  $P2_{1}/c$  a = 15.1487 (1) Å b = 9.2389 (1) Å c = 10.8661 (1) Å  $\beta = 94.242 (1)^{\circ}$   $V = 1516.62 (2) Å^{3}$ Z = 4

### Data collection

XtaLAB Synergy, Single source at home/near, HyPix diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube Detector resolution: 10.0000 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: numerical (face indexed; CrysAlisPro, Rigaku OD, 2024)  $T_{\min} = 0.507$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.856$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$  $wR(F^2) = 0.081$ S = 1.073301 reflections 238 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: dual F(000) = 704  $D_x = 1.509 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 37256 reflections  $\theta = 5.1-79.6^{\circ}$   $\mu = 5.50 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 299 KBlock, colourless  $0.18 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

54733 measured reflections 3301 independent reflections 3145 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.031$  $\theta_{max} = 80.1^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 5.6^{\circ}$  $h = -19 \rightarrow 19$  $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$  $l = -12 \rightarrow 13$ 

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map All H-atom parameters refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0387P)^2 + 0.4573P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.34$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.46$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cl1	0.03768 (2)	0.54114 (4)	0.78340 (4)	0.05292 (12)
Cl2	0.30724 (3)	0.28951 (5)	0.56128 (5)	0.06261 (13)
C13	0.51214 (4)	0.62138 (5)	0.63287 (4)	0.06918 (15)
01	0.42456 (7)	0.49277 (11)	0.80019 (10)	0.0451 (2)
O2	0.49799 (7)	0.64736 (13)	0.93006 (11)	0.0539 (3)
N1	0.23090 (8)	0.49080 (13)	0.74080 (12)	0.0430 (3)
C1	0.19495 (9)	0.31935 (15)	0.57362 (14)	0.0424 (3)
C2	0.13490 (11)	0.24256 (17)	0.49680 (15)	0.0491 (3)
C3	0.04534 (11)	0.26343 (18)	0.50614 (16)	0.0520 (4)
C4	0.01648 (10)	0.35706 (17)	0.59320 (16)	0.0479 (3)
C5	0.07738 (9)	0.43110 (15)	0.67077 (13)	0.0408 (3)
C6	0.16882 (9)	0.41802 (14)	0.66173 (13)	0.0385 (3)
C7	0.23400 (8)	0.64393 (14)	0.75110 (13)	0.0387 (3)
C8	0.18753 (10)	0.73305 (17)	0.66555 (16)	0.0468 (3)
C9	0.18976 (11)	0.88217 (18)	0.67993 (19)	0.0559 (4)
C10	0.23924 (13)	0.94408 (18)	0.7780 (2)	0.0611 (5)
C11	0.28691 (11)	0.85629 (17)	0.86162 (17)	0.0529 (4)
C12	0.28521 (9)	0.70601 (15)	0.85000 (13)	0.0408 (3)
C13	0.34086 (10)	0.61469 (18)	0.94222 (14)	0.0451 (3)
C14	0.43033 (9)	0.58920 (15)	0.89501 (12)	0.0400 (3)
C15	0.50149 (11)	0.47487 (18)	0.73558 (16)	0.0496 (3)
H2	0.1567 (13)	0.178 (2)	0.4372 (19)	0.060 (5)*
H3	0.0032 (14)	0.212 (2)	0.4547 (19)	0.066 (6)*
H4	-0.0433 (14)	0.370 (2)	0.6010 (18)	0.060 (5)*
H8	0.1548 (13)	0.687 (2)	0.5951 (19)	0.060 (5)*
H9	0.1590 (14)	0.939 (2)	0.621 (2)	0.065 (6)*
H10	0.2419 (15)	1.045 (3)	0.785 (2)	0.081 (7)*
H11	0.3225 (13)	0.897 (2)	0.9322 (19)	0.060 (5)*
H13A	0.3497 (12)	0.666 (2)	1.0206 (17)	0.051 (5)*
H13B	0.3129 (12)	0.522 (2)	0.9566 (16)	0.050 (5)*
H15A	0.4927 (12)	0.390 (2)	0.6869 (18)	0.054 (5)*
H15B	0.5529 (14)	0.474 (2)	0.7902 (18)	0.056 (5)*
H1N	0.2831 (13)	0.450 (2)	0.7451 (17)	0.053 (5)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C11	0.0467 (2)	0.0523 (2)	0.0613 (2)	0.00247 (15)	0.01461 (16)	-0.00421 (16)
Cl2	0.0417 (2)	0.0615 (3)	0.0856 (3)	0.00329 (16)	0.01117 (18)	-0.0195 (2)

# supporting information

C13	0.0967 (4)	0.0564 (2)	0.0574 (2)	0.0089 (2)	0.0263 (2)	0.00548 (18)
01	0.0401 (5)	0.0443 (5)	0.0507 (6)	0.0000 (4)	0.0028 (4)	-0.0075 (4)
O2	0.0447 (6)	0.0593 (7)	0.0565 (6)	-0.0093 (5)	-0.0037 (5)	-0.0064 (5)
N1	0.0365 (6)	0.0359 (6)	0.0552 (7)	0.0023 (5)	-0.0060 (5)	-0.0036 (5)
C1	0.0393 (7)	0.0378 (7)	0.0502 (8)	0.0005 (5)	0.0035 (6)	0.0008 (6)
C2	0.0536 (8)	0.0431 (7)	0.0502 (8)	-0.0010 (6)	0.0009 (6)	-0.0058 (6)
C3	0.0491 (8)	0.0467 (8)	0.0584 (9)	-0.0069 (7)	-0.0087 (7)	-0.0021 (7)
C4	0.0365 (7)	0.0447 (8)	0.0616 (9)	-0.0029 (6)	-0.0017 (6)	0.0051 (7)
C5	0.0390 (7)	0.0358 (6)	0.0476 (7)	0.0003 (5)	0.0037 (5)	0.0037 (5)
C6	0.0372 (6)	0.0333 (6)	0.0447 (7)	-0.0003 (5)	-0.0002 (5)	0.0032 (5)
C7	0.0333 (6)	0.0353 (6)	0.0481 (7)	0.0000 (5)	0.0075 (5)	0.0001 (5)
C8	0.0380 (7)	0.0456 (8)	0.0567 (9)	0.0012 (6)	0.0041 (6)	0.0069 (7)
C9	0.0456 (8)	0.0446 (8)	0.0785 (11)	0.0067 (7)	0.0120 (8)	0.0160 (8)
C10	0.0606 (10)	0.0349 (8)	0.0899 (13)	0.0011 (7)	0.0189 (9)	-0.0002 (8)
C11	0.0522 (8)	0.0423 (8)	0.0654 (10)	-0.0045 (6)	0.0131 (7)	-0.0112 (7)
C12	0.0368 (6)	0.0400 (7)	0.0466 (7)	-0.0012 (5)	0.0098 (5)	-0.0039 (5)
C13	0.0459 (7)	0.0500 (8)	0.0398 (7)	-0.0042 (6)	0.0050 (6)	-0.0016 (6)
C14	0.0433 (7)	0.0374 (6)	0.0386 (6)	-0.0010 (5)	-0.0016 (5)	0.0035 (5)
C15	0.0484 (8)	0.0429 (8)	0.0582 (9)	0.0090 (6)	0.0082 (7)	-0.0009 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cl1—C5	1.7325 (15)	C5—C6	1.4012 (19)	
Cl2—C1	1.7381 (14)	C7—C8	1.393 (2)	
Cl3—C15	1.7693 (17)	C7—C12	1.401 (2)	
O1—C14	1.3600 (17)	C8—C9	1.387 (2)	
O1—C15	1.4141 (18)	C8—H8	0.98 (2)	
O2—C14	1.1945 (17)	C9—C10	1.381 (3)	
N1—C6	1.3983 (17)	С9—Н9	0.92 (2)	
N1—C7	1.4197 (17)	C10—C11	1.381 (3)	
N1—H1N	0.87 (2)	C10—H10	0.94 (2)	
C1—C2	1.384 (2)	C11—C12	1.394 (2)	
C1—C6	1.400 (2)	C11—H11	0.98 (2)	
C2—C3	1.381 (2)	C12—C13	1.517 (2)	
С2—Н2	0.96 (2)	C13—C14	1.503 (2)	
C3—C4	1.377 (2)	C13—H13A	0.974 (19)	
С3—Н3	0.95 (2)	C13—H13B	0.974 (19)	
C4—C5	1.384 (2)	C15—H15A	0.95 (2)	
C4—H4	0.92 (2)	C15—H15B	0.94 (2)	
C14—O1—C15	116.33 (12)	C10—C9—C8	120.39 (16)	
C6—N1—C7	122.99 (12)	С10—С9—Н9	120.8 (13)	
C6—N1—H1N	113.0 (12)	С8—С9—Н9	118.8 (13)	
C7—N1—H1N	113.6 (12)	C9—C10—C11	119.47 (15)	
C2-C1-C6	122.66 (13)	C9—C10—H10	119.8 (14)	
C2-C1-Cl2	118.39 (12)	C11—C10—H10	120.7 (15)	
C6—C1—Cl2	118.93 (11)	C10-C11-C12	121.33 (17)	
C3—C2—C1	119.30 (15)	C10-C11-H11	121.4 (12)	

C3—C2—H2	121.8 (12)	C12-C11-H11	117.3 (12)
C1—C2—H2	118.9 (12)	C11—C12—C7	118.86 (14)
C4—C3—C2	120.11 (15)	C11—C12—C13	119.23 (14)
С4—С3—Н3	119.3 (13)	C7—C12—C13	121.88 (13)
С2—С3—Н3	120.6 (13)	C14—C13—C12	109.44 (12)
C3—C4—C5	119.86 (14)	C14—C13—H13A	107.7 (11)
C3—C4—H4	120.6 (12)	С12—С13—Н13А	110.0 (11)
С5—С4—Н4	119.6 (12)	C14—C13—H13B	109.3 (11)
C4—C5—C6	122.19 (14)	С12—С13—Н13В	111.6 (11)
C4—C5—Cl1	118.02 (11)	H13A—C13—H13B	108.7 (15)
C6—C5—C11	119.79 (11)	O2—C14—O1	122.81 (14)
N1—C6—C1	121.46 (12)	O2—C14—C13	126.51 (14)
N1—C6—C5	122.61 (13)	O1—C14—C13	110.62 (12)
C1—C6—C5	115.79 (12)	O1—C15—Cl3	109.92 (10)
C8—C7—C12	119.59 (13)	O1—C15—H15A	106.6 (12)
C8—C7—N1	121.61 (13)	Cl3—C15—H15A	107.2 (12)
C12—C7—N1	118.80 (12)	O1—C15—H15B	111.2 (12)
C9—C8—C7	120.34 (16)	Cl3—C15—H15B	107.3 (12)
С9—С8—Н8	121.7 (12)	H15A—C15—H15B	114.6 (16)
С7—С8—Н8	118.0 (12)		
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.2 (2)	C12—C7—C8—C9	-1.9 (2)
Cl2—C1—C2—C3	179.24 (13)	N1—C7—C8—C9	178.14 (14)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.6 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C10	1.1 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.5 (2)	C8—C9—C10—C11	0.3 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	2.1 (2)	C9—C10—C11—C12	-0.8 (3)
C3—C4—C5—Cl1	-177.06 (12)	C10-C11-C12-C7	0.1 (2)
C7—N1—C6—C1	124.89 (15)	C10-C11-C12-C13	178.24 (15)
C7—N1—C6—C5	-59.6 (2)	C8—C7—C12—C11	1.3 (2)
C2-C1-C6-N1	177.97 (14)	N1-C7-C12-C11	-178.74 (13)
Cl2—C1—C6—N1	-1.03 (19)	C8—C7—C12—C13	-176.84 (13)
C2-C1-C6-C5	2.1 (2)	N1-C7-C12-C13	3.2 (2)
Cl2—C1—C6—C5	-176.86 (10)	C11—C12—C13—C14	-90.93 (16)
C4—C5—C6—N1	-179.08 (13)	C7—C12—C13—C14	87.17 (16)
Cl1—C5—C6—N1	0.05 (19)	C15—O1—C14—O2	-6.3 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C1	-3.3 (2)	C15—O1—C14—C13	171.15 (12)
Cl1—C5—C6—C1	175.83 (10)	C12—C13—C14—O2	104.07 (17)
C6—N1—C7—C8	-13.4 (2)	C12-C13-C14-O1	-73.22 (15)
C6—N1—C7—C12	166.62 (13)	C14—O1—C15—Cl3	-77.80 (14)

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N1—H1 <i>N</i> …O1	0.87 (2)	2.217 (19)	2.9568 (15)	142.2 (16)
N1— $H1N$ ····Cl2	0.87 (2)	2.536 (19)	2.9890 (13)	113.1 (15)

# supporting information

C15—H15A····O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.95 (2)	2.59 (2)	3.521 (2)	168.2 (15)
C2—H2···N1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.96 (2)	2.93 (2)	3.886 (2)	173.6 (16)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) x, -y+1/2, z-1/2.