

Received 1 May 2025 Accepted 8 May 2025

Edited by X. Hao, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Keywords: crystal structure; Hirshfeld-surface analysis; chalcone derivative.

CCDC reference: 2449698

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure and Hirshfeld-surface analysis of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3,3-bis(methylsulfanyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Thaluru M. Mohan Kumar,^a Besagarahally L. Bhaskar,^a Papegowda Bhavya,^b Thayamma R. Divakara,^c Holehundi J. Shankara Prasad,^d Hemmige S. Yathirajan^e* and Sean Parkin^f

^aDepartment of Physical Sciences, Amrita School of Engineering, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Bengaluru-560 035, India, ^bDepartment of Applied Sciences, New Horizon College of Engineering, Bengaluru-560 103, India, ^cDepartment of Chemistry, T. John Institute of Technology, Bengaluru-560 083, India, ^dDepartment of Chemistry, Yuvaraja's College, University of Mysore, Mysore-570 005, India, ^eDepartment of Studies in Chemistry, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysuru-570 006, India, and ^fDepartment of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 40506-0055, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: yathirajan@hotmail.com

The title compound, $C_{11}H_{11}FOS_2$, is a fluorinated chalcone derivative with potential applications in medicinal chemistry and functional materials. The molecular structure includes a planar 4-fluorophenyl ring linked by a carbonyl group and an ethenyl spacer to an approximately planar bis(methylsulfanyl) moiety (r.m.s. deviations from planarity are 0.0106 and 0.0315 Å, respectively). These planar groups are twisted relative to each other, subtending a dihedral angle of 32.23 (4)°. The crystal packing lacks classical hydrogen bonds or aromatic π -stacking, but molecules are connected through weaker $C-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots S$ contacts into layers parallel to the *ab* plane and tapes extending along the *b*-axis direction. The 4-fluorophenyl groups on adjacent tapes interdigitate. Hirshfeld surface analysis shows that the majority (>90%) of intermolecular contacts involve hydrogen atoms.

1. Chemical context

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3,3-bis(methylsulfanyl)prop-2-en-1-one (I) is a fluorinated chalcone derivative with potential applications in medicinal and functional materials chemistry. Natural and synthetic chalcones have been widely utilized for their broad spectrum of biological activities, which include anti-microbial, anti-cancer, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, anti-parasitic, and neuroprotective effects (Lin et al., 2002; Bhat et al., 2005; Trivedi et al., 2007; Lahtchev et al., 2008; Aneja et al., 2018). The presence of fluorine may enhance interactions with biological systems, potentially inhibiting enzyme activity or receptors involved in disease processes. In this context, fluorinated chalcone derivatives have shown notable bioactivity (Nakamura et al., 2002). The significance of the chalcone scaffold in medicinal chemistry is well established, having been identified as a 'privileged structure' with considerable therapeutic potential (Zhuang et al., 2017). Several reviews have explored the synthesis, structural diversity, and biological relevance of chalcones and their derivatives, including their roles as anti-infective agents and enzyme inhibitors (Nowakowska, 2007; Elkanzi et al., 2022; de Mello et al., 2018; Opletalova & Sedivy, 1999). The methylsulfanyl groups also contribute to its chemical reactivity (Nakamura et al., 2002), suggesting this class of compounds as promising candidates in the development of advanced functional materials. Examples include applications in optical data storage systems (Corredor *et al.*, 2007), electronics and coatings (Belahlou *et al.*, 2020) as well as non-linear optical (NLO) materials (Xu *et al.*, 2020).



In light of the importance of chalcones and their derivatives in several areas of chemistry, physics, medicine, pharmaceuticals and biology, this paper reports the crystal structure and a Hirshfeld-surface analysis of **I**.

2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of **I** consists of a 4-fluorophenyl ring bonded to a carbonyl group, which in turn is attached *via* an ethenyl linker to a bis(methylsulfanyl) moiety, as shown in Fig. 1. All bond lengths and angles in **I** fall within normal ranges. The overall geometry is essentially that of two planar groups twisted with respect to each other about the C4–C7 bond [torsion C5–C4–C7–O1 = $-28.68 (16)^{\circ}$], with a smaller twist about the C7–C8 bond [O1–C7–C8–C9 = $4.91 (18)^{\circ}$]. These two planar moieties are the 4-fluorophenyl group, which is necessarily flat (r.m.s deviation = 0.0106 Å), and the bis(methylsulfanyl)propenone group (atoms C7, O1, C8, C9, S1, S2, C10, C11), which is also close to planarity [r.m.s. = 0.0315 Å, maximum deviation = 0.0412 (1) Å at C9]. The dihedral angle between these two planar regions is 32.23 (4)°. Representative torsion angles are given in Table 1.

3. Supramolecular features

There are no conventional hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of I, nor any π - π stacking of aromatic rings. There

Table 1					
Conformation-defining	torsion	angles	(°)	in	L

Atoms	Torsion angle	Dihedral angle
C5-C4-C7-O1	-28.68(16)	
C4-C7-C8-C9	-172.66(10)	
01-C7-C8-C9	4.91 (18)	
C7-C8-C9-S1	175.43 (9)	
C7-C8-C9-S2	-2.57 (16)	
C8-C9-S1-C10	0.01 (13)	
C8-C9-S2-C11	177.09 (10)	
Planar groups		
4-F-Ph/b-MSP		32.23 (4)

4-F-Ph is 4-fluorophenyl, atoms C1-C6, F1 and b-MSP is bis(methylsulfanyl)propenone, atoms C7-C11, O1, S1, S2.

Table 2	_			
Close contacts	(Å,	°)	in	crystalline I.

D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
0.95	2.53	3.4530 (15)	163
0.98	2.50	3.4724 (16)	175
0.98	3.01	3.6032 (14)	120
0.98	3.01	3.6292 (13)	122
	<i>D</i> -H 0.95 0.98 0.98 0.98	$\begin{array}{c ccc} D-H & H \cdots A \\ \hline 0.95 & 2.53 \\ 0.98 & 2.50 \\ 0.98 & 3.01 \\ 0.98 & 3.01 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} D-H & H\cdots A & D\cdots A \\ \hline 0.95 & 2.53 & 3.4530 (15) \\ 0.98 & 2.50 & 3.4724 (16) \\ 0.98 & 3.01 & 3.6032 (14) \\ 0.98 & 3.01 & 3.6292 (13) \end{array}$

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) x, y - 1, z; (iii) -x + 2, -y, -z; (iv) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z.

are, however, several weaker $C-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots S$ close contacts, which are summarized in Table 2. Contacts $C2-H2\cdots O1^{i}$ and $C10-H10C\cdots O1^{ii}$ [$d_{D\cdots A} = 3.4530$ (15) and 3.4724 (16) Å] join the molecules into layers parallel to the *ab* plane, as shown in Fig. 2. The $C11-H11A\cdots S1^{iii}$ and $C11-H11C\cdots S2^{iv}$ contacts [$d_{D\cdots A} = 3.6032$ (14) and 3.6292 (13) Å; all symmetry codes as per Table 2] join molecules into tapes that extend along the *b*-axis direction, roughly parallel to [307]. The 4-fluorophenyl groups of adjacent tapes interdigitate. These interactions are shown in Fig. 3. A Hirshfeld surface analysis conducted using *Crystal Explorer* 21 (Spackman *et al.*, 2021) shows that over 90% of intermolecular contacts involve hydrogen. The 2D fingerprint plots of the five most abundant atom-atom contacts are shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 1

An ellipsoid plot (50% probability) of **I**. Hydrogen atoms are drawn as small arbitrary circles.



Figure 2

A partial packing plot of **I** viewed normal to the *ab* plane. $C-H\cdots O$ interactions that connect the molecules into layers are shown as open dashed lines.





A partial packing plot of I viewed approximately perpendicular to [307], showing tapes of molecules interacting via $C-H\cdots S$ and $C-H\cdots O$ contacts. The 4-fluorophenyl groups of adjacent tapes interdigitate.

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, v5.46, November 2024; Groom *et al.*, 2016) using a fragment consisting of **I** but with the fluorine position set to 'any atom', returned 29 hits, three of which were duplicates. However, only six of these had a hydrogen attached to the central carbon of the propene moiety (*i.e.*, C8 in **I**). Of these six, KUQQEV (Madan Kumar *et al.*, 2020) had chlorine at the *ortho* position of the benzene ring, while WENVIV (Liao *et al.*, 2006) had a 2,6-dimethyl-3,5-dinitro-4-^tBu phenyl group. The remaining four structures with para-substituted phenyl groups are thus the most similar to **I**. Entry MTBZOE (Mellor & Nyburg, 1971) has X = H, OCUSEN (Verma & Singh, 2016) has X =



Figure 4

Hirshfeld-surface two-dimensional fingerprint plots showing (a) all contacts, (b) $H \cdots H$ contacts, (c) $C \cdots H$ contacts, (d) $F \cdots H$ contacts, (e) $S \cdots H$ contacts, and (f) $O \cdots H$ contacts.

Table 3	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{11}H_{11}FOS_2$
Mr	242.32
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	100
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	7.6956 (3), 8.6895 (4), 8.9468 (4)
α, β, γ (°)	74.633 (2), 83.237 (2), 73.008 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	551.18 (4)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.47
Crystal size (mm)	$0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.16$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Venture dual source
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.919, 0.971
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	15392, 2490, 2343
R _{int}	0.030
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.651
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.025, 0.065, 1.11
No. of reflections	2490
No. of parameters	138
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.36, -0.20

Computer programs: *APEX5* (Bruker, 2023), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2019/3* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008), *SHELX* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

OMe, LUYXAH (Hussain *et al.*, 2018) has $X = CF_3$, and AFOMEP (Yu *et al.*, 2013) has $X = NO_2$.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

Synthesis of I was as described in the literature procedure by Huynh *et al.* (2025). The product obtained was purified by column chromatography and recrystallized from chloroform by slow evaporation, yielding reddish brown crystals (m.p.: 358-359 K).

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. All hydrogen atoms were found in difference-Fourier maps, but subsequently included in the refinement using riding models, with constrained distances set to 0.95 Å (Csp^2 -H) and 0.98 Å (RCH_3). U_{iso} (H) parameters were set to values of either $1.2U_{eq}$ or $1.5U_{eq}$ (RCH_3) of the attached atom.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (HSY) thanks the UGC, New Delhi, for the award of UGC BSR Faculty Fellowship for a period of three years. The D8 Venture diffractometer was funded by the NSF (MRI CHE1625732), and by the University of Kentucky.

References

- Aneja, B., Arif, R., Perwez, A., Napoleon, J. V., Hassan, P., Rizvi, M. M. A., Azam, A., Rahisuddin & Abid, M. (2018). *ChemistrySelect* 2018, 3, 2638–2645.
- Belahlou, H., Waszkowska, K., Bouraiou, A., Bendeif, E., Taboukhat, S., Bouchouit, K. & Sahraoui, B. (2020). *Opt. Mater.* **108**, 110188.
- Bhat, B. A., Dhar, K. L., Puri, S. C., Saxena, A. K., Shanmugavel, M. & Qazi, G. N. (2005). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **15**, 3177–3180.

Bruker (2023). APEX5. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Corredor, C. C., Huang, Z. L., Belfield, K. D., Morales, A. R. & Bondar, M. V. (2007). *Chem. Mater.* **19**, 5165–5173.
- de Mello, M. V. P., Abrahim-Vieira, B. A., Domingos, T. F. S., Jesus, J. B., de Sousa, A. C. C., Rodrigues, C. R. & de Souza, A. M. T. (2018). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 150, 920–929.
- Elkanzi, N. A. A., Hrichi, H., Alolayan, R. A., Derafa, W., Zahou, F. M. & Bakr, R. B. (2022). *ACS Omega*, **7**, 27769–27786.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hussain, M. V., Kumar, S., Vinayaka, A. C., Babu, T. B., Devika, B. G., Rajesh, B. M. & Doreswamy, B. H. (2018). J. Applicable Chem. 7, 19–28.
- Huynh, T. N. T., Nguyen, K. T., Krongyut, C., Lai, R.-Y., Sukwattanasinitt, M. & Wacharasindhu, S. (2025). Org. Biomol. Chem. 23, 1923–1929.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Lahtchev, K. L., Batovska, D. I., Parushev, P., Ubiyvovk, V. M. & Sibirny, A. A. (2008). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **43**, 2220–2228.
- Liao, J.-P., Zhang, T., Yu, C.-Y. & Huang, Z.-T. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 04537–04538.

- Lin, Y. M., Zhou, Y., Flavin, M. T., Zhou, L. M., Nie, W. & Chen, F. C. (2002). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 10, 2795–2802.
- Madan Kumar, S., Hemraju, B., Anil, S., Manjunatha, N., Swamy, M., Lokanath, N., Al-Ghorbani, M., Al-Zaqri, N. & Alsalme, A. (2020). Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 235, 85–93.
- Mellor, I. P. & Nyburg, S. C. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1954-1958.
- Nakamura, C., Kawasaki, N., Miyataka, H., Jayachandran, E., Kim, I., Kirk, K. L., Taguchi, T., Takeuchi, Y., Hori, H. & Satoh, T. (2002). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 10, 699–706.
- Nowakowska, Z. (2007). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 42, 125-137.
- Opletalova, V. & Sedivy, D. (1999). Ceska Slov. Farm. 48, 252-255.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Trivedi, J. C., Bariwal, J. B., Upadhyay, K. D., Naliapara, Y. T., Joshi, S. K., Pannecouque, C. C., De Clercq, E. & Shah, A. K. (2007). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 48, 8472–8474.
- Verma, G. K. & Singh, M. S. (2016). CSD Communication (Refcode OCUSEN). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Xu, Y., Noirbent, G., Brunel, D., Ding, Z., Gigmes, D., Graff, B., Xiao, P., Dumur, F. & Lalevée, J. (2020). *Polym. Chem.* **11**, 5767–5777.
- Yu, G.-N., Xia, J.-H., Xu, Z.-H., Wang, L.-B. & Yu, C.-Y. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, o1036.
- Zhuang, C., Zhang, W., Sheng, C., Zhang, W., Xing, C. & Miao, Z. (2017). *Chem. Rev.* **117**, 7762–7810.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2025). E81 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989025004189]

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld-surface analysis of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3,3-bis-(methylsulfanyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Thaluru M. Mohan Kumar, Besagarahally L. Bhaskar, Papegowda Bhavya, Thayamma R. Divakara, Holehundi J. Shankara Prasad, Hemmige S. Yathirajan and Sean Parkin

Z = 2F(000) = 252

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.460 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

 $\theta = 2.5 - 27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.47 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 K

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Irregular block, pale yellow

 $0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.16$ mm

Cell parameters from 9984 reflections

Computing details

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3,3-bis(methylsulfanyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Crystal data

C₁₁H₁₁FOS₂ $M_r = 242.32$ Triclinic, *P*1 a = 7.6956 (3) Å b = 8.6895 (4) Å c = 8.9468 (4) Å a = 74.633 (2)° $\beta = 83.237$ (2)° $\gamma = 73.008$ (2)° V = 551.18 (4) Å³

Data collection

Bruker D8 Venture dual source diffractometer	15392 measured reflections 2490 independent reflections
Radiation source: microsource	2343 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Detector resolution: 7.41 pixels mm ⁻¹	$R_{\rm int} = 0.030$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.6^{\circ}, \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.919, \ T_{\max} = 0.971$	$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$ $wR(F^2) = 0.065$ S = 1.112490 reflections 138 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods 2343 reflections with $l > 2\sigma(l)$ $R_{int} = 0.030$ $\theta_{max} = 27.6^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.4^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$ Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map

H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0239P)^2 + 0.2301P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. The crystal was mounted using polyisobutene oil on the tip of a fine glass fibre, which was fastened in a copper mounting pin with electrical solder. It was placed directly into the cold gas stream of a liquid-nitrogen based cryostat (Hope, 1994; Parkin & Hope, 1998).

Diffraction data were collected with the crystal at 100K.

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement progress was checked using *Platon* (Spek, 2020) and by an *R*-tensor (Parkin, 2000). The final model was further checked with the IUCr utility *checkCIF*.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
S1	0.73029 (4)	0.09565 (3)	0.14124 (4)	0.02169 (9)
S2	0.86839 (4)	0.38315 (3)	0.11708 (3)	0.01940 (9)
F1	-0.1801 (1)	0.82639 (9)	0.51997 (9)	0.02883 (18)
01	0.60092 (12)	0.62516 (11)	0.20784 (11)	0.02322 (19)
C1	-0.01976 (16)	0.75951 (14)	0.44890 (14)	0.0204 (2)
C2	-0.01958 (16)	0.65680 (14)	0.35558 (14)	0.0204 (2)
H2	-0.128882	0.636203	0.337248	0.024*
C3	0.14619 (16)	0.58411 (14)	0.28895 (13)	0.0179 (2)
H3	0.151092	0.509778	0.226525	0.022*
C4	0.30527 (15)	0.61861 (13)	0.31233 (13)	0.0164 (2)
C5	0.29726 (16)	0.72591 (14)	0.40625 (13)	0.0189 (2)
Н5	0.404818	0.751305	0.421626	0.023*
C6	0.13410 (17)	0.79576 (14)	0.47728 (13)	0.0209 (2)
H6	0.128543	0.866486	0.543524	0.025*
C7	0.48552 (15)	0.54676 (14)	0.23854 (13)	0.0170 (2)
C8	0.51887 (15)	0.38341 (14)	0.21175 (13)	0.0175 (2)
H8	0.421370	0.333400	0.230166	0.021*
C9	0.68359 (15)	0.29796 (14)	0.16136 (13)	0.0162 (2)
C10	0.52183 (19)	0.04166 (18)	0.1997 (2)	0.0401 (4)
H10A	0.427160	0.114877	0.128935	0.060*
H10B	0.485417	0.054133	0.305480	0.060*
H10C	0.538334	-0.073697	0.196746	0.060*
C11	1.05048 (17)	0.21702 (16)	0.06748 (17)	0.0281 (3)
H11A	1.011950	0.178453	-0.013365	0.042*
H11B	1.080620	0.125082	0.159713	0.042*
H11C	1.157774	0.257288	0.029136	0.042*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.01867 (15)	0.01518 (15)	0.03124 (17)	-0.00221 (11)	0.00121 (11)	-0.00935 (11)
S2	0.01558 (14)	0.01870 (15)	0.02352 (16)	-0.00553 (11)	0.00205 (10)	-0.00475 (11)
F1	0.0243 (4)	0.0261 (4)	0.0333 (4)	-0.0021 (3)	0.0106 (3)	-0.0129 (3)
01	0.0202 (4)	0.0196 (4)	0.0332 (5)	-0.0087 (3)	0.0035 (3)	-0.0104 (4)

supporting information

C1	0.0199 (5)	0.0162 (5)	0.0199 (5)	-0.0001 (4)	0.0050 (4)	-0.0036 (4)
C2	0.0182 (5)	0.0189 (5)	0.0235 (6)	-0.0045 (4)	-0.0002(4)	-0.0051 (5)
C3	0.0200 (5)	0.0165 (5)	0.0182 (5)	-0.0048(4)	0.0001 (4)	-0.0064 (4)
C4	0.0188 (5)	0.0133 (5)	0.0164 (5)	-0.0037 (4)	-0.0001 (4)	-0.0033 (4)
C5	0.0217 (6)	0.0154 (5)	0.0206 (5)	-0.0053 (4)	-0.0018 (4)	-0.0054 (4)
C6	0.0288 (6)	0.0156 (5)	0.0181 (5)	-0.0036 (5)	-0.0005(5)	-0.0067 (4)
C7	0.0175 (5)	0.0158 (5)	0.0180 (5)	-0.0039 (4)	-0.0013 (4)	-0.0051 (4)
C8	0.0168 (5)	0.0158 (5)	0.0213 (5)	-0.0058 (4)	0.0007 (4)	-0.0062 (4)
C9	0.0170 (5)	0.0153 (5)	0.0165 (5)	-0.0043 (4)	-0.0016 (4)	-0.0039 (4)
C10	0.0246 (7)	0.0231 (7)	0.0798 (12)	-0.0105 (5)	0.0089 (7)	-0.0250 (7)
C11	0.0165 (6)	0.0223 (6)	0.0380 (7)	-0.0008(5)	0.0055 (5)	-0.0024 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C9	1.7421 (11)	C4—C7	1.4988 (15)	
S1-C10	1.7831 (14)	C5—C6	1.3856 (16)	
S2—C9	1.7478 (11)	С5—Н5	0.9500	
S2—C11	1.8043 (13)	С6—Н6	0.9500	
F1—C1	1.3626 (13)	С7—С8	1.4461 (15)	
O1—C7	1.2349 (14)	C8—C9	1.3617 (16)	
C1—C2	1.3740 (17)	C8—H8	0.9500	
C1—C6	1.3772 (18)	C10—H10A	0.9800	
C2—C3	1.3896 (16)	C10—H10B	0.9800	
С2—Н2	0.9500	C10—H10C	0.9800	
C3—C4	1.3930 (16)	C11—H11A	0.9800	
С3—Н3	0.9500	C11—H11B	0.9800	
C4—C5	1.3959 (15)	C11—H11C	0.9800	
C9 - S1 - C10	104 24 (6)	01 - C7 - C4	119.6 (1)	
C9 = S2 = C11	103.35 (6)	C8 - C7 - C4	117.69 (10)	
F_{1}	103.35(0) 118 27 (11)	C_{0}^{-} C_{1}^{-} C_{1}^{-} C_{1}^{-} C_{1}^{-}	117.09(10) 123.03(10)	
F1 - C1 - C6	118.14 (11)	C9-C8-H8	125.05 (10)	
$C_{2} - C_{1} - C_{6}$	123 57 (11)	C7-C8-H8	118.5	
$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{3}$	117 63 (11)	$C_{8} = C_{9} = S_{1}$	123 59 (9)	
C1 - C2 - C3 C1 - C2 - H2	121.2	$C_{8} - C_{9} - S_{1}^{2}$	123.39(9) 121.74(9)	
$C_1 - C_2 - H_2$	121.2	$S_{1} = C_{9} = S_{2}^{2}$	121.74 (5)	
$C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{4}$	121.2	S1 C3 52 S1 C10 H104	109 5	
$C_2 = C_3 = H_3$	110.55	S1-C10-H10B	109.5	
$C_2 = C_3 = H_3$	119.5	H10A - C10 - H10B	109.5	
C_{3} C_{4} C_{5}	119.11 (10)	S1-C10-H10C	109.5	
C_{3} C_{4} C_{7}	122 54 (10)	H10A - C10 - H10C	109.5	
$C_{5} C_{4} C_{7}$	1122.34(10)	H10B_C10_H10C	109.5	
$C_{5} - C_{4} - C_{7}$	110.34(10) 120.71(11)	S2-C11-H11A	109.5	
C6_C5_H5	119.6	S2C11H11B	109.5	
C_{4} C_{5} H_{5}	119.6	H11A_C11_H11B	109.5	
$C_{+} = C_{-} = C_{-}$	117.06 (11)	\$2C11H11C	109.5	
C1-C6-H6	121.0	$H_{11}A_{-C_{11}}H_{11}C_{-C_{11}}$	109.5	
C5-C6-H6	121.0	H11B—C11—H11C	109.5	
	141.0		107.5	

O1—C7—C8	122.67 (10)		
F1—C1—C2—C3	-177.42 (10)	C5—C4—C7—O1	-28.68 (16)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.11 (18)	C3—C4—C7—C8	-31.93 (16)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.97 (17)	C5—C4—C7—C8	148.97 (11)
C2-C3-C4-C5	1.02 (17)	01—C7—C8—C9	4.91 (18)
C2-C3-C4-C7	-178.08 (10)	C4—C7—C8—C9	-172.66 (10)
C3-C4-C5-C6	0.87 (17)	C7—C8—C9—S1	175.43 (9)
C7-C4-C5-C6	-179.99 (10)	C7C8C9S2	-2.57 (16)
F1-C1-C6-C5	179.24 (10)	C10S1C9C8	0.01 (13)
C2-C1-C6-C5	0.71 (18)	C10S1C9S2	178.14 (8)
C4-C5-C6-C1	-1.71 (17)	C11S2C9C8	177.09 (10)
C3-C4-C7-O1	150.42 (11)	C11S2C9S1	-1.08 (8)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	D···· A	D—H··· A
C2—H2···O1 ⁱ	0.95	2.53	3.4530 (15)	163
C10—H10 <i>C</i> ···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.98	2.50	3.4724 (16)	175
C11—H11 A ····S1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.98	3.01	3.6032 (14)	120
C11—H11 C ····S2 ^{iv}	0.98	3.01	3.6292 (13)	123

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (ii) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (iii) -*x*+2, -*y*, -*z*; (iv) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*.