

Received 25 April 2025 Accepted 17 May 2025

Edited by S.-L. Zheng, Harvard University, USA

**Keywords:** cocrystal; supramolecular network; dimeric motif; tetrameric motif; Hirshfeld surface analysis, fingerprint plots.

CCDC reference: 2452037

**Supporting information:** this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Marimuthu Sangavi,<sup>a</sup> Marimuthu Mohana,<sup>b</sup>\* Ray J. Butcher<sup>c</sup> and Colin D. McMillen<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Thanthai Periyar Government Arts and Science College, Tiruchirappalli-620 023, (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India), Tamil Nadu, India, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology (Deemed to be University), Thanjavur 613 403, Tamil Nadu, India, <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington, DC 20059, USA, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, Clemson University, H.L. Hunter Laboratories, Clemson, SC 29634, USA. \*Correspondence e-mail: mmohana.chem@gmail.com

The 1:1 cocrystal of 5-fluorocytosine (5FC) and 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (4HB), C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O·C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> has been synthesized and its structure characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and Hirshfeld surface analysis. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic  $P2_1/c$  space group. A robust supramolecular architecture is stabilized by N-H···O, N-H···N, C-H···O and C-H···F hydrogen bonds, forming  $R_2^2(8)$ ,  $R_4^4(22)$ ,  $R_6^6(32)$ , and  $R_8^8(34)$  ring motifs. The  $N-H \cdots O$  and  $N-H \cdots N$  hydrogen bonds form strong directional interactions, contributing to the  $R_2^2(8)$  and  $R_8^8(34)$  motifs through dimeric and extended ring structures. O-H···O interactions link 5FC and 4HB molecules, generating an  $R_6^6(32)$  ring that enhances the packing. Weaker C-H···F bonds help form the  $R_4^4(22)$  tetrameric motif, supporting the overall three-dimensional supramolecular framework. Additionally,  $C-F\cdots\pi$  interactions between the fluorine atom and the aromatic ring add further to the crystal cohesion. Hirshfeld surface analysis and two-dimensional fingerprint plots confirm that  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ contacts are the most significant, highlighting the central role of hydrogen bonding in the stability and organization of the crystal structure.

### 1. Chemical context

Cocrystals have gained considerable attention in supramolecular chemistry for their ability to improve the physical and chemical properties of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and functional materials without altering the molecular structure of the drug. They are defined as crystalline, single-phase solids composed of two or more distinct molecular and/or ionic compounds, typically in a stoichiometric ratio, which are neither simple salts nor solvates (Aitipamula et al., 2012; Almarsson & Zaworotko, 2004). Cocrystals are stabilized through non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen bonding,  $\pi - \pi$  stacking, halogen bonding, and van der Waals forces. Their design is guided by the principles of crystal engineering, involving the careful selection of suitable coformers and the application of supramolecular synthons, such as the  $R_2^2(8)$  hydrogen-bonded motif (Etter, 1990; Etter *et al.*, 1990; Desiraju, 1995). In the pharmaceutical industry, cocrystallization offers a promising strategy for enhancing the solubility, stability, and bioavailability of poorly soluble drugs. (Alvani & Shayanfar, 2022; Shi et al., 2024). Compared to conventional techniques such as salt formation, micronization, solid dispersion, amorphous forms, and encapsulation, cocrystals offer the advantage of maintaining a stable crystalline structure, which facilitates detailed characterization by X-ray diffraction (Bolla & Nangia, 2016; Bolla *et al.*, 2022).



#### 2. Structural commentary

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that the title compound crystallizes in the monoclinic  $P2_1/c$  space group with one molecule each of 5-fluorocytosine (5FC) and 4-hy-droxybenzaldehyde (4HB) present in the asymmetric unit. An ellipsoid plot of the compound is shown in Fig. 1. Proton transfer does not occur between the hydroxyl group of benzaldehyde and the pyrimidine ring nitrogen atom of 5FC. The C–O bond length in the hydroxyl group of the 4HB molecule is 1.3520 (13) Å, with the corresponding internal bond angle [C2A–N1A–C3A = 120.00 (8)°] in agreement with reported literature values (Louis *et al.*, 1982; Mohana *et al.*, 2016, 2023; Sangavi *et al.*, 2024).

# 3. Supramolecular features and Hirshfeld surface analysis

The primary interaction motif is formed *via* N-H···O and C-H···F hydrogen bonds (Table 1). The N4A amino group and F1A atom of the 5FC molecule interact with the O2B and C7B atoms of the 4HB molecule, resulting in an  $R_2^2(8)$  heterodimeric synthon. Heterodimers are further linked through a weak C-H···O<sup>iii</sup> [symmetry code: (iii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1] hydrogen bond involving the C4A atom of 5FC and the O1B atom of 4HB. The interaction leads to the formation of an  $R_4^4(22)$  tetrameric synthon. The tetrameric motif is further extended through a homodimeric  $R_2^2(8)$  synthon, formed by N-H···N<sup>i</sup> [symmetry code: (i) -x,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ] and N-H···O<sup>ii</sup> [symmetry code: (ii) -x,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ] hydrogen bonds. These interactions involve atoms N1A, N2A, N3A and O1A of the 5-fluorocytosine (5FC) molecule. The formation of this homodimeric synthon bridges adjacent



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title cocrystal with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

Table 1			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N2A - H1 \cdots N1A^{i}$	0.88(1)	2.06(1)	2.9354 (12)	175 (1)
$N3A - H1CC \cdots O2B$	0.89(1)	2.10(1)	2.9848 (13)	170 (1)
$N3A - H1A \cdots O1A^{ii}$	0.90(1)	2.04(1)	2.9328 (12)	176 (1)
$C4A - H4A \cdots O1B^{iii}$	0.93	2.48	3.2905 (14)	145
$O1B - H1B \cdots O1A^{iv}$	0.86(2)	1.85 (2)	2.6934 (13)	166 (2)
$C6B - H6B \cdot \cdot \cdot F1A^{iii}$	0.93	2.56	3.3446 (14)	143
$C7B - H7B \cdot \cdot \cdot F1A$	0.93	2.51	2.9886 (14)	112
Symmetry codes: ( -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1: (	i) $-x, y + y = -x, y + 1, -y = -y $	$\frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2};$	(ii) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, y$	$-z + \frac{3}{2};$ (iii)

tetrameric units, resulting in a large  $R_8^8(34)$  ring motif. The alternating arrangement of  $R_4^4(22)$  and  $R_8^8(34)$  rings leads to the development of a three-dimensional supramolecular cagelike architecture. This network is further consolidated by  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen-bonding interactions between the O1A atom of the 5FC molecule and the hydroxyl (-OH) group of the 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (4HB) molecule. The hydrogen bonding occurs *via* an  $O-H\cdots O^{iv}$  [symmetry code: (iv) x + 1,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ] interaction, forming an  $R_6^6(32)$  ring motif (Fig. 2). This interaction strengthens the packing and adds complexity to the supramolecular network. In addition to hydrogen bonding, the crystal structure is further consolidated by weak  $C-H\cdots F$  and  $C-F\cdots \pi$  interactions. The  $C-F\cdots \pi$  interaction (Fig. 3) is observed between 5FC molecules [C1 $A\cdots Cg^v$ = 3.2676 (9) Å, C1 $A-F1A\cdots Cg = 89.41$  (6)°, where Cg is the



#### Figure 2

Three-dimensional supramolecular cage-like architecture formed *via* N-H···O, N-H···N, O-H···O, C-H···F and C-H···O hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii) -x,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) x + 1,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ .]



A view of the C-F·· $\pi$  interaction (symmetry operation 1 + x, y, z).



Figure 4

The Hirshfeld surface mapped over  $d_{\text{norm}}$  showing the N-H···O, N-H···N and O-H···O interactions as dashed gray lines.

centroid of the 5FC ring; symmetry code: (v) 1 + x, y, z]. The observed angle is consistent with values reported in the literature (Sikorski *et al.*, 2005; Vangala *et al.*, 2002).

Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis was performed for the title compound to visualize and quantify its intermolecular interactions. Fig. 4 presents the van der Waals interactions using a Hirshfeld surface mapped over  $d_{norm}$  (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009), generated with *Crystal Explorer 21* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021). This analysis reveals significant intermolecular hydrogen bonds of the types  $N-H\cdots O$ ,  $N-H\cdots N$  and  $O-H\cdots O$  interactions. In the surface representation, red areas indicate strong hydrogen bonding, blue regions correspond to contacts close to the sum of the van der Waals radii, and white regions represent weaker interactions.

To analyze the relative contributions of different intermolecular interactions, two-dimensional fingerprint plots were generated (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) and these are shown in Fig. 5. These plots indicate that the most prominent contacts are  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$  (26.6%), followed by  $H \cdots H$  (25.5%),  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$  (16.7%),  $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$  (10.0%) and  $F \cdots H/$ 



#### Figure 5

Fingerprint plots showing the total contribution of individual interactions and those delineated into  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ ,  $H \cdots H$ ,  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ ,  $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$  and  $F \cdots H/H \cdots F$  interactions.

H···F (6.2%). The crystallographic analysis reveals a robust supramolecular network in the title compound, stabilized by hydrogen bonds (N-H···O, N-H···N, O-H···O and C-H···F) and C-F··· $\pi$  interactions, forming a three-dimensional cage-like supramolecular architecture. Hirshfeld surface analysis highlights prominent O···H/H···O interactions, alongside other significant contacts, contributing to crystal stability. The study demonstrates how non-covalent interactions, including hydrogen-bonding and  $\pi$  interactions, govern the molecular packing and cohesion, supporting the principles of supramolecular chemistry in crystal engineering.

#### 4. Database survey

5-Fluorocytosine (5FC) is a synthetic antimycotic compound, first synthesized in 1957 and widely used as an antitumor agent. It is also active against fungal infection (Portalone & Colapietro, 2007; Vermes et al., 2000). It becomes active by deamination of 5FC into 5-fluorouracil by the enzyme cytosine deaminase (CD) and inhibits RNA and DNA synthesis (Morschhauser, 2003). The Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, v5.45, June 2024; Groom et al., 2016) reference codes the monohydrate are BIRMEU, BIRMEU01, for BIRMEU02, BIRMEU03, MEBQUG, MEBQIU, MEBQOA and GATMUL (Louis et al., 1982; Portalone & Colapietro, 2006; Hulme & Tocher, 2006; Portalone, 2011), and for the polymorphs: DUKWIO, DUKWAI and DUKWEM (Tutughamiarso et al., 2009). A wide range of cocrystals has also been documented, such as XOQOUS, MECTUL, MECVEX, MECVIB, MECVOH, MECVUN, MECWAU, MECWEY, MECWOI, MECWUO, MECXEZ, MECXID, MECXOJ, GIFWIF, UJUJAM, and POCWUD (Souza et al., 2019; Tutughamiarso et al., 2012; Tutughamiarso & Egert, 2012; Mohana et al., 2016, 2023; Sangavi et al., 2024). Salts include WEWZAA01, SIJXAM, SIJXIU, SIJXUG, EDATOS, GIFWEB, POCXAK, ZAPFEE and ROLTUJ WEWZAA01, SIJXAM, SIJXIU, SIJXUG, EDATOS, GIFWEB, POCXAK, ZAPFEE and ROLTUJ (Perumalla et al., 2013a,b; Prabakaran et al., 2001; Mohana et al., 2017; Karthikeyan et al., 2014) have been reported in the literature. 4-Hydroxybenzaldehydes are potential therapeutic agents for the treatment of human angiostrongyliasis. The crystal structure of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (Jasinski et al., 2008), as well as its cocrystal (Nowak & Sikorski, 2023) and polymorphic forms (Simões et al., 2013) have also been reported. 5FC contains multiple hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors, including amino and carbonyl groups, and 4-HBA offers hydroxyl and aldehyde functionalities capable of forming hydrogen bonds, along with an aromatic ring that can engage in  $\pi - \pi$  interactions. The present work focuses on the supramolecular hydrogen bonding interactions in the crystal structure of 1:1 cocrystals of 5-fluorocytosine-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was synthesized by mixing a hot ethanolic solution of 5-fluorocytosine with 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde in a

## research communications

#### Table 2

Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_4H_4FN_3O \cdot C_7H_6O_2$
$M_{\rm r}$	251.22
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	297
a, b, c (Å)	4.2126 (1), 9.6687 (1), 26.8628 (5)
β (°)	94.186 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	1091.21 (3)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	1.07
Crystal size (mm)	$0.27 \times 0.21 \times 0.17$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix
Absorption correction	Analytical ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2023)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.782, 0.840
No. of measured, independent and	19824, 2243, 2127
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub>	0.019
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\rm max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	0.630
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.034, 0.101, 1.04
No. of reflections	2243
No. of parameters	180
No. of restraints	4
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e  \text{\AA}^{-3})$	0.200.19
$r_{\rm max}$ $r_{\rm mm} \sim - /$	

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2023), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2019/2 (Sheldrick, 2015b), PLATON (Spek, 2020), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020), POVRay (Cason, 2004) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

1:1 molar ratio. The solution was heated in a water bath at 333 K for 30 minutes and then allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. After a few days, colorless crystals had separated out of the mother liquor.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The H atoms of the N-H, -NH<sub>2</sub> and OH groups were located in difference-Fourier maps and refined freely. Other H atoms were placed geometrically (C-H = 0.93 Å) and refined using a riding model with  $U_{iso}$ (H) = 1.2 $U_{eq}$ (C).

### **Funding information**

We thank Howard University and the National Science Foundation Major Research Instrumentation program (NSF DMR-2117502) for financially supporting the acquisition of the Rigaku Synergy single-crystal X-ray diffractometer used in this study.

### References

Aitipamula, S., Banerjee, R., Bansal, A. K., Biradha, K., Cheney, M. L., Choudhury, A. R., Desiraju, G. R., Dikundwar, A. G., Dubey, R., Duggirala, N., Ghogale, P. P., Ghosh, S., Goswami, P. K., Goud, N. R., Jetti, R. R. K. R., Karpinski, P., Kaushik, P., Kumar, D., Kumar, V., Moulton, B., Mukherjee, A., Mukherjee, G., Myerson, A. S., Puri, V., Ramanan, A., Rajamannar, T., Reddy, C. M., Rodriguez-Hornedo, N., Rogers, R. D., Row, T. N. G., Sanphui, P., Shan, N., Shete, G., Singh, A., Sun, C. C., Swift, J. A., Thaimattam, R., Thakur, T. S., Kumar Thaper, R., Thomas, S. P., Tothadi, S., Vangala, V. R., Variankaval, N., Vishweshwar, P., Weyna, D. R. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2012). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **12**, 2147–2152. Almarsson, O. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2004). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1889–

- Annaisson, O. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2004). Chem. Commun. pp. 1889– 1896.
- Alvani, A. & Shayanfar, A. (2022). Cryst. Growth Des. 22, 6323-6337.
- Bolla, G. & Nangia, A. (2016). Chem. Commun. 52, 8342-8360.
- Bolla, G., Sarma, B. & Nangia, A. K. (2022). *Chem. Rev.* **122**, 11514–11603.
- Cason, C. J. (2004). *POV-RAY for Windows*. Persistence of Vision Raytracer Pvt Ltd, Victoria, Australia. http://www.povray.org
- Desiraju, G. R. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 2311-2327.
- Etter, M. C. (1990). Acc. Chem. Res. 23, 120-126.
- Etter, M. C., Urbanczyk-Lipkowska, Z., Zia-Ebrahimi, M. & Panunto, T. W. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **112**, 8415–8426.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst.* B**72**, 171–179.
- Hulme, A. T. & Tocher, D. A. (2006). Cryst. Growth Des. 6, 481-487.
- Jasinski, J. P., Butcher, R. J., Narayana, B., Swamy, M. T. & Yathirajan, H. S. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 0187.
- Karthikeyan, A., Thomas Muthiah, P. & Perdih, F. (2014). *Acta Cryst.* E**70**, 328–330.
- Louis, T., Low, J. N. & Tollin, P. (1982). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 11, 1059–1064.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Mohana, M., Muthiah, P. T., Sanjeewa, L. D. & McMillen, C. D. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 552–555.
- Mohana, M., Thomas Muthiah, P. & McMillen, C. D. (2017). Acta Cryst. E73, 361–364.
- Mohana, M., Thomas Muthiah, P., McMillen, C. D. & Butcher, R. J. (2023). Acta Cryst. C79, 61–67.
- Morschhäuser, J. (2003). Pharm. Unserer Zeit 32, 124-129.
- Nowak, P. & Sikorski, A. (2023). RSC Adv. 13, 20105-20112.
- Perumalla, S. R., Pedireddi, V. R. & Sun, C. C. (2013*a*). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **13**, 429–432.
- Perumalla, S. R., Pedireddi, V. R. & Sun, C. C. (2013*b*). *Mol. Pharm.* **10**, 2462–2466.
- Portalone, G. (2011). Chem. Cent. J. 5, 51.
- Portalone, G. & Colapietro, M. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, o1049-o1051.
- Portalone, G. & Colapietro, M. (2007). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 37, 141-145.
- Prabakaran, P., Murugesan, S., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Righi, L. (2001). Acta Cryst. E**57**, 0933–0936.
- Rigaku OD. (2023). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Sangavi, M., Kumaraguru, N., Butcher, R. J. & McMillen, C. D. (2024). Acta Cryst. C80, 30–36.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Shi, J., Zhang, Y., An, Q., Li, Y. & Liu, L. (2024). J. Solid State Chem. 331, 124545.
- Sikorski, A., Krzymiński, K., Niziołek, A. & Błażejowski, J. (2005). Acta Cryst. C61, 0690–0694.
- Simões, R. G., Bernardes, C. E. S. & da Piedade, M. E. M. (2013). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **13**, 2803–2814.
- Souza, M. S., Diniz, L. F., Alvarez, N., da Silva, C. C. P. & Ellena, J. (2019). *New J. Chem.* **43**, 15924–15934.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm 11, 19-32.

- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Spek, A. L. (2020). Acta Cryst. E76, 1-11.
- Tutughamiarso, M. & Egert, E. (2012). Acta Cryst. B68, 444-452
- Tutughamiarso, M., Bolte, M. & Egert, E. (2009). Acta Cryst. C65, 0574–0578.
- Tutughamiarso, M., Wagner, G. & Egert, E. (2012). Acta Cryst. B68, 431–443.
- Vangala, V. R., Nangia, A. & Lynch, D. E. (2002). Chem. Commun. pp. 1304–1305.
- Vermes, A., Guchelaar, H. J. & Dankert, J. (2000). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 46, 171–179.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2025). E81, 549-553 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989025004463]

Crystal engineering of a 1:1 5-fluorocytosine–4-hydroxybenzaldehyde cocrystal: insights from X-ray crystallography and Hirshfeld analysis

## Marimuthu Sangavi, Marimuthu Mohana, Ray J. Butcher and Colin D. McMillen

**Computing details** 

4-Amino-5-fluoro-1*H*-pyrimidin-2-one–4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (1/1)

### Crystal data

C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O·C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 251.22$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 4.2126 (1) Å b = 9.6687 (1) Å c = 26.8628 (5) Å  $\beta = 94.186$  (1)° V = 1091.21 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

### Data collection

XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube Detector resolution: 10.0000 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: analytical (CrysAlisPro; Rigaku OD, 2023)  $T_{\min} = 0.782$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.840$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$  $wR(F^2) = 0.101$ S = 1.042243 reflections 180 parameters 4 restraints Primary atom site location: dual Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed F(000) = 520  $D_x = 1.529 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 1.54184 \mathbf{A} Cell parameters from 18043 reflections  $\theta = 3.3-76.2^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 297 KBlock, colorless  $0.27 \times 0.21 \times 0.17 \text{ mm}$ 

19824 measured reflections 2243 independent reflections 2127 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.019$  $\theta_{max} = 76.2^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.3^{\circ}$  $h = -3 \rightarrow 5$  $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$  $l = -33 \rightarrow 33$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0563P)^2 + 0.2241P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.20 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: *SHELXL2019/2* (Sheldrick, 2015b), Fc\*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.0088 (13)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. The data collection, cell refinement, and data reduction were performed using CrysAlisPro (Rigaku OD, 2023). Structure solution was carried out with SHELXT 2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2015a) and refinement was done using SHELXL-2016/6 (Sheldrick, 2015b). Molecular graphics were prepared using PLATON (Spek, 2020), Mercury (Macrae *et al.*, 2020) and POVRay (Cason 2004).

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
F1A	0.6004 (2)	0.39753 (7)	0.63425 (3)	0.0557 (2)
O1A	-0.1067 (2)	0.40145 (8)	0.79019 (3)	0.0439 (2)
N1A	0.1299 (2)	0.27556 (9)	0.73165 (3)	0.0331 (2)
N2A	0.1501 (2)	0.51932 (9)	0.73260 (3)	0.0344 (2)
H1	0.076 (3)	0.5966 (14)	0.7444 (6)	0.050 (4)*
N3A	0.3795 (3)	0.15570 (10)	0.67141 (4)	0.0401 (3)
H1CC	0.492 (3)	0.1523 (16)	0.6446 (5)	0.049 (4)*
H1A	0.294 (3)	0.0798 (14)	0.6845 (5)	0.045 (4)*
C1A	0.4154 (3)	0.40215 (11)	0.67318 (4)	0.0351 (3)
C2A	0.3072 (2)	0.27452 (11)	0.69223 (4)	0.0313 (2)
C3A	0.0531 (2)	0.39737 (10)	0.75270 (4)	0.0323 (2)
C4A	0.3331 (3)	0.52236 (11)	0.69305 (4)	0.0356 (3)
H4A	0.399417	0.605918	0.680151	0.043*
O1B	1.1638 (2)	0.27251 (10)	0.35919 (3)	0.0520 (3)
H1B	1.074 (4)	0.2080 (18)	0.3414 (7)	0.076 (5)*
O2B	0.6793 (3)	0.13585 (11)	0.57435 (3)	0.0605 (3)
C1B	1.0816 (3)	0.25581 (12)	0.40653 (4)	0.0383 (3)
C2B	0.8831 (3)	0.14938 (12)	0.42006 (4)	0.0421 (3)
H2B	0.798860	0.087622	0.396053	0.050*
C3B	0.8117 (3)	0.13552 (13)	0.46892 (4)	0.0450 (3)
H3B	0.677170	0.064757	0.477749	0.054*
C4B	0.9386 (3)	0.22637 (12)	0.50533 (4)	0.0401 (3)
C5B	1.1361 (3)	0.33238 (13)	0.49140 (4)	0.0440 (3)
H5B	1.222274	0.393527	0.515464	0.053*
C6B	1.2064 (3)	0.34827 (13)	0.44238 (5)	0.0471 (3)
H6B	1.336473	0.420430	0.433386	0.056*
C7B	0.8656 (3)	0.21566 (14)	0.55746 (4)	0.0474 (3)
H7B	0.972343	0.275878	0.579901	0.057*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
F1A	0.0776 (5)	0.0414 (4)	0.0534 (5)	-0.0025 (3)	0.0416 (4)	0.0020 (3)
O1A	0.0648 (5)	0.0344 (4)	0.0352 (4)	0.0058 (4)	0.0229 (4)	0.0028 (3)
N1A	0.0453 (5)	0.0265 (4)	0.0287 (4)	-0.0013 (3)	0.0106 (4)	0.0013 (3)
N2A	0.0456 (5)	0.0250 (4)	0.0338 (5)	0.0007 (3)	0.0103 (4)	-0.0009 (3)

# supporting information

N3A	0.0588 (6)	0.0295 (5)	0.0341 (5)	-0.0013 (4)	0.0177 (4)	-0.0018 (4)	
C1A	0.0421 (6)	0.0337 (6)	0.0310 (5)	-0.0024 (4)	0.0131 (4)	0.0025 (4)	
C2A	0.0383 (5)	0.0296 (5)	0.0265 (5)	-0.0006 (4)	0.0053 (4)	0.0009 (4)	
C3A	0.0416 (5)	0.0285 (5)	0.0274 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0065 (4)	0.0018 (4)	
C4A	0.0423 (6)	0.0290 (5)	0.0364 (5)	-0.0035 (4)	0.0096 (4)	0.0047 (4)	
O1B	0.0734 (6)	0.0513 (5)	0.0327 (4)	-0.0134 (4)	0.0137 (4)	0.0001 (4)	
O2B	0.0838 (7)	0.0605 (6)	0.0404 (5)	0.0017 (5)	0.0255 (5)	0.0006 (4)	
C1B	0.0477 (6)	0.0370 (5)	0.0311 (5)	0.0040 (4)	0.0082 (4)	0.0018 (4)	
C2B	0.0536 (7)	0.0389 (6)	0.0343 (6)	-0.0031 (5)	0.0075 (5)	-0.0055 (4)	
C3B	0.0549 (7)	0.0419 (6)	0.0397 (6)	-0.0053 (5)	0.0140 (5)	-0.0004 (5)	
C4B	0.0464 (6)	0.0422 (6)	0.0324 (5)	0.0101 (5)	0.0080 (4)	-0.0005 (4)	
C5B	0.0528 (7)	0.0425 (6)	0.0367 (6)	0.0017 (5)	0.0026 (5)	-0.0072 (5)	
C6B	0.0595 (7)	0.0406 (6)	0.0418 (6)	-0.0090 (5)	0.0083 (5)	-0.0015 (5)	
C7B	0.0569 (7)	0.0521 (7)	0.0342 (6)	0.0110 (6)	0.0102 (5)	-0.0031 (5)	

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

F1A—C1A	1.3498 (12)	O1B—H1B	0.857 (15)
O1A—C3A	1.2521 (13)	O2B—C7B	1.2122 (17)
N1A—C2A	1.3397 (13)	C1B—C6B	1.3889 (17)
N1A—C3A	1.3558 (13)	C1B—C2B	1.3907 (16)
N2A—C4A	1.3578 (14)	C2B—C3B	1.3746 (16)
N2A—C3A	1.3715 (13)	C2B—H2B	0.9300
N2A—H1	0.877 (13)	C3B—C4B	1.3920 (17)
N3A—C2A	1.3231 (14)	C3B—H3B	0.9300
N3A—H1CC	0.891 (13)	C4B—C5B	1.3887 (18)
N3A—H1A	0.900 (12)	C4B—C7B	1.4594 (15)
C1A—C4A	1.3353 (15)	C5B—C6B	1.3791 (17)
C1A—C2A	1.4235 (14)	C5B—H5B	0.9300
C4A—H4A	0.9300	C6B—H6B	0.9300
O1B—C1B	1.3520 (13)	C7B—H7B	0.9300
C2A—N1A—C3A	120.00 (8)	O1B—C1B—C2B	122.30 (10)
C4A—N2A—C3A	121.95 (9)	C6B—C1B—C2B	119.97 (10)
C4A—N2A—H1	120.1 (10)	C3B—C2B—C1B	119.96 (11)
C3A—N2A—H1	117.8 (10)	C3B—C2B—H2B	120.0
C2A—N3A—H1CC	121.8 (10)	C1B—C2B—H2B	120.0
C2A—N3A—H1A	115.6 (9)	C2B—C3B—C4B	120.64 (11)
H1CC—N3A—H1A	122.4 (14)	C2B—C3B—H3B	119.7
C4A—C1A—F1A	121.33 (9)	C4B—C3B—H3B	119.7
C4A—C1A—C2A	120.77 (10)	C5B—C4B—C3B	118.92 (10)
F1A—C1A—C2A	117.90 (9)	C5B—C4B—C7B	118.91 (11)
N3A—C2A—N1A	119.97 (9)	C3B—C4B—C7B	122.16 (11)
N3A—C2A—C1A	120.74 (9)	C6B—C5B—C4B	120.93 (11)
N1A—C2A—C1A	119.29 (9)	C6B—C5B—H5B	119.5
O1A—C3A—N1A	121.43 (9)	C4B—C5B—H5B	119.5
O1A—C3A—N2A	118.86 (9)	C5B—C6B—C1B	119.58 (11)
N1A—C3A—N2A	119.71 (9)	C5B—C6B—H6B	120.2

C1A—C4A—N2A C1A—C4A—H4A N2A—C4A—H4A C1B—O1B—H1B O1B—C1B—C6B	118.21 (9) 120.9 120.9 107.7 (13) 117.73 (11)	C1B—C6B—H6B O2B—C7B—C4B O2B—C7B—H7B C4B—C7B—H7B	120.2 126.30 (12) 116.9 116.9
C3A—N1A—C2A—N3A C3A—N1A—C2A—C1A C4A—C1A—C2A—N3A F1A—C1A—C2A—N3A C4A—C1A—C2A—N1A F1A—C1A—C2A—N1A C2A—N1A—C3A—O1A C2A—N1A—C3A—O1A C4A—N2A—C3A—O1A C4A—N2A—C3A—N1A F1A—C1A—C4A—N2A C2A—C1A—C4A—N2A C3A—N2A—C4A—C1A	-179.36 (10) 0.55 (16) 177.61 (11) -1.78 (16) -2.30 (17) 178.31 (9) -178.50 (10) 1.84 (16) 177.67 (10) -2.66 (16) -179.10 (9) 1.53 (17) 0.92 (17)	O1B—C1B—C2B—C3B C6B—C1B—C2B—C3B C1B—C2B—C3B—C4B C2B—C3B—C4B—C5B C2B—C3B—C4B—C5B C3B—C4B—C5B—C6B C7B—C4B—C5B—C6B C4B—C5B—C6B—C1B O1B—C1B—C6B—C5B C2B—C1B—C6B—C5B C5B—C4B—C7B—O2B C3B—C4B—C7B—O2B	178.79 (11) -0.23 (19) -0.64 (19) 0.72 (18) 179.39 (11) 0.07 (18) -178.65 (11) -0.9 (2) -178.06 (11) 1.01 (19) 173.98 (12) -4.7 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
N2A—H1···N1A <sup>i</sup>	0.88(1)	2.06(1)	2.9354 (12)	175 (1)
N3 <i>A</i> —H1 <i>CC</i> ···O2 <i>B</i>	0.89(1)	2.10(1)	2.9848 (13)	170(1)
N3A—H1A···O1A <sup>ii</sup>	0.90(1)	2.04 (1)	2.9328 (12)	176 (1)
$C4A$ — $H4A$ ···O1 $B^{iii}$	0.93	2.48	3.2905 (14)	145
$O1B$ —H1 $B$ ···O1 $A^{iv}$	0.86 (2)	1.85 (2)	2.6934 (13)	166 (2)
$C6B$ — $H6B$ ···· $F1A^{iii}$	0.93	2.56	3.3446 (14)	143
C7 <i>B</i> —H7 <i>B</i> …F1 <i>A</i>	0.93	2.51	2.9886 (14)	112

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) -x, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (iii) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) x+1, -y+1/2, z-1/2.