

Received 9 May 2025 Accepted 18 June 2025

Edited by Y. Ozawa, University of Hyogo, Japan

Keywords: crystal structure; molecular structure; zinc complex; 2-mercaptobenzothiazole; 1,10phenanthroline; Hirshfeld surface.

CCDC reference: 2388092

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

Synthesis and crystal structure analysis of bis(benzothiazole-2-thiolato- κ S)(1,10-phenan-throline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)zinc(II)

Gulchekhra Abdullayeva,^a Batirbay Torambetov,^{a,b}* Shakhnoza Kadirova^a and Shahlo Daminova^{a,c}

^aNational University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek, 4 University St., Tashkent, 100174, Uzbekistan, ^bPhysical and Material Chemistry Division, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, 411008, India, and ^cUzbekistan–Japan Innovation Center of Youth, University Street 2B, Tashkent, 100095, Uzbekistan. *Correspondence e-mail: torambetov_b@mail.ru

The coordination complex $[Zn(C_7H_4NS_2)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$ or $[Zn(MBT)_2(phen)]$, was synthesized using ethanol solutions of $Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) and 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (MBTH-neutral). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that the zinc atom resides on a crystallographic twofold axis within the asymmetric unit. In the complex, the zinc atom coordinates two 2-mercaptobenzothiazolate (MBT; anionic form of MBTH) ligands in a monodentate fashion through their sulfur atoms, while phenanthroline acts as a bidentate ligand, chelating the zinc center. Further structural analysis, including Hirshfeld surface and two-dimensional fingerprint plot studies, indicated the presence of multiple intermolecular interactions, particularly $C-H \cdots N$ and $C-H \cdots \pi$ interactions, contributing to the cohesion and packing of the crystal structure.

1. Chemical context

2-Mercaptobenzothiazole (MBTH) is a heterocyclic aromatic derivative of benzothiazole, containing a fused benzene and thiazole ring. The structural modification significantly alters the chemical properties of the molecule, making MBTH more suitable for industrial applications, particularly as a vulcanization accelerator in the rubber industry (Pattanasiriwisawa et al., 2008). MBTH can exist in two tautomeric forms *i.e.* thiol and thione due to the presence of the mercapto (-SH) or thione (=S) functional group within the benzothiazole rings (Yekeler & Yekeler, 2006; Castro et al., 1993; Rakhmonova et al., 2022). This tautomerism allows for flexibility in coordination behavior, making it a versatile ligand in coordination chemistry, capable of forming stable complexes with metal atoms via the exocyclic sulfur atom (Jeannin et al., 1979; Bravo et al., 1985), or through its nitrogen atom (Dey et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013), and of acting as a chelating ligand depending on the tautomeric state and the coordination environment. This differential binding ability of MBTH derivatives enhances its applicability in the synthesis of metal complexes for optical properties (Dey et al., 2011) and has applications in electropolymerization (de Fátima Brito Sousa et al., 1997). The present works describes the molecular and crystal structure of the zinc complex $[Zn(MBT)_2(phen)]$; in addition to MBTH, 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) was used as a co-ligand for its rigid, planar, bidentate nature, and strong metal-chelating ability, and π -accepting properties. The presence of planar conjugated rings enhances complex stability, supports supramolecular interactions $(\pi - \pi \text{ stacking}, C - H \cdots \pi)$, and

improves both the structural and functional aspects of the coordination complex (Bencini *et al.*, 2010; Chaurasia *et al.*, 2021).



2. Structural commentary

 $[Zn(MBT)_2(phen)]$ crystallizes in the monoclinic crystal system, space group C2/c. The asymmetric unit contains one 2mercaptobenzothiazolate (MBT; anionic form of MBTH) ligand and half of a phenanthroline ligand, with the central zinc atom located on a crystallographic twofold axis (Fig. 1). The zinc atom displays a distorted tetrahedral geometry and is chelated bidentately by a neutral phenanthroline ligand through two nitrogen donor atoms, with a Zn-N bond length of 2.093 (2) Å. Additionally, it coordinates two MBT ligands in a monodentate fashion *via* sulfur atoms, exhibiting a Zn-S bond length of 2.2987 (7) Å. This results in a coordination number of four for the zinc center. The dihedral angle



Figure 1

Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% ellipsoid probability level) of $[Zn(MBT)_2(phen)]$ showing the atom labeling. Hydrogen atoms are represented as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Cg2, Cg4 and Cg6 are the centroids of the S1/C6/C1/N1/C7, C1–C6 and N1/S1/C1–C7 rings, respectively.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C8-H8···N1	0.95	2.65	3.331 (3)	130
$C2-H2\cdots S2^{i}$	0.95	2.98	3.745 (3)	138
$C5-H5\cdots N1^{ii}$	0.95	2.69	3.508 (4)	145
$C13-H13\cdots N1^{iii}$	0.95	2.69	3.574 (3)	155
C13−H13···C7 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.74	3.416 (4)	129
$C9-H9\cdots S2^{i}$	0.95	2.87	3.572 (3)	131
$C5-H5\cdots Cg2^{ii}$	0.95	2.93	3.674 (3)	136
$C10-H10\cdots Cg4^{iii}$	0.95	2.64	3.451 (3)	143
$C10-H10\cdots Cg6^{iii}$	0.95	2.77	3.498 (3)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) x, y + 1, z.

subtended by the planes through the phenanthroline ligand and the MBT ring is 52.41 $(11)^{\circ}$.

An intramolecular C8–H8···N1 contact occurs between the phenanthroline ligand and a nitrogen atom of the MBT ligand (H···A = 2.65 Å, Table 1). This distance is consistent with reported values for weak hydrogen-bonding interactions, as C–H···N contacts are typically considered significant when the H···N distance is less than ~2.75 Å and the donor (proton)–acceptor angle in a hydrogen bond must be at least 90° (Zefirov & Zorkii, 1974; Taylor & Kennard, 1982).

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, several intermolecular interactions are observed, including C-H···N and C-H··· π interactions, more specifically, C5-H5···N1 and C13-H13···N1 (Table 1). The molecule also exhibits several C-H·· π interactions (Nishio *et al.*, 1998) including two involving the phenanthroline ring system with an MBT ring (C10-H10···Cg4ⁱⁱⁱ and C10-H10···Cg6ⁱⁱⁱ; Cg4 and Cg6 are the centroids of the C1-C6 and N1/S1/C1-C7 rings, respectively; symmetry codes as in Table 1). The C-H··· π inter-



Figure 2 The packing of $[Zn(MBT)_2(phen)]$, showing the complex molecules connected by $C-H\cdots N$ and $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions.



Hirshfeld surface and two-dimensional fingerprint plots for $[Zn(MBT)_2(phen)]$.

action occurs between the MBT rings of adjacent molecules $(C5-H5\cdots Cg2^{ii}; Cg2$ is the centroid of the S1/C6/C1/N1/C7 ring; symmetry code as in Table 1. The packing is shown in Fig. 2.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

Hirshfeld surface analysis (Spackman & Javatilaka, 2009) and 2D fingerprint plot analysis (Spackman & McKinnon, 2002) were carried out using CrystalExplorer21.5 (Spackman et al., 2021) to identify and quantify the intermolecular interactions contributing to the Hirshfeld surface of the molecule (Fig. 3). The structure of [Zn(MBT)₂(phen)] primarily exhibits nonclassical interactions including C···H/H···C, S···H/H···S, $H \cdots H$, and $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ contacts. In addition, minor contributions from $C \cdots C$ and $S \cdots S$ interactions are also observed. The relative contributions of these interactions to the Hirshfeld surface are as follows: $C \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot C$ (40.5%), $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$ (26.5%), $H \cdots H$ (17.0%), $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ (8.1%), $C \cdots C$ (3.3%), and $S \cdots S$ (2.5%). Notably, two distinct red spots appear on the Hirshfeld surface, corresponding to close intermolecular C-H···N contacts between adjacent molecules specifically C5-H5...N1. Additionally, a single red spot is associated with the C9 $-H9 \cdot \cdot \cdot S2$ interaction.

5. Database survey

A survey conducted using ConQuest software (CSD, Version 5.46, November 2024; Groom *et al.*, 2016) within the Cambridge Structural Database revealed 284 organometallic crystal structures of MBT derivatives. Among these, 26 structures exhibit the thione tautomeric form, while the remaining 258 structures show the thiol tautomeric form. Additionally, five crystal structures containing zinc atoms coordinating mercaptobenzthiazole have been reported (BTZTZN, Ashworth *et al.*, 1976; RIRGIJ, Jin *et al.*, 2007;

Table 2	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Zn(C_7H_4NS_2)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$
M _r	578.04
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	19.9559 (9), 9.8232 (5), 14.0704 (7)
β (°)	118.642 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	2420.7 (2)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	1.38
Crystal size (mm)	$0.08\times0.06\times0.06$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.525, 0.745
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	30934, 2479, 2114
R _{int}	0.086
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.626
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.036, 0.093, 1.08
No. of reflections	2479
No. of parameters	160
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.53, -0.57

Computer programs: *APEX2* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2019), *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2016/6* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*) and *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

TIFLED, Seo *et al.*, 2023; WEDVEG, WEDVIK, Baggio *et al.*, 1993). Among these, two structures are closely related to complex $[Zn(MBT)_2(phen)]$, characterized by a coordination number of four (WEDVEG, WEDVIK; Baggio *et al.*, 1993). In both structures, zinc is bonded to two MBT ligands. However, in the first structure, zinc is also bonded to two pyridine ligands monodentately, whereas in the second structure, it is bonded to one bipyridine ligand in a bidentate fashion. Notably, no crystal structures featuring zinc coordinating MBT and phenanthroline have been reported.

6. Synthesis and crystallization

 $Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (0.110 g, 0.5 mmol) and MBT (0.167 g, 1 mmol) were dissolved separately in ethanol (3 mL), mixed together, and stirred for 1 h at 333 K. A solution of phen (0.18 g, 1 mmol) in 3 mL of ethanol was added dropwise to the resulting mixture. The mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h at 333 K. The mixture was then filtered and left to crystallize. Single crystals of the title complex, suitable for X-ray analysis, were obtained by slow evaporation of the solution over a period of 10 days.

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.95 Å) and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

research communications

Acknowledgements

BT is grateful for a CSIR–TWAS fellowship and also to the Frank H. Allen International Research and Education (FAIRE) programme, provided by the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), for the opportunity to use the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD).

References

- Ashworth, C. C., Bailey, N. A., Johnson, M., McCleverty, J. A., Morrison, N. & Tabbiner, B. (1976). *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* pp. 743–744.
- Baggio, R., Garland, M. T. & Perec, M. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3367–3372.
- Bencini, A. & Lippolis, V. (2010). Coord. Chem. Rev. 254, 2096–2180.
- Bravo, J., Casas, J. S., Castano, M. V., Gayoso, M., Mascarenhas, Y. P., Sanchez, A., Santos, C. O. P. & Sordo, J. (1985). *Inorg. Chem.* 24, 3435–3438.
- Bruker (2019). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Castro, R., Garcia-Vazquez, J. A., Romero, J., Sousa, A., McAuliffe, C. A. & Pritchard, R. (1993). *Polyhedron* **12**, 2241–2247.
- Chaurasia, R., Pandey, S. K., Singh, D. K., Bharty, M. K., Ganesan, V., Hira, S. K., Manna, P. P., Bharti, A. & Butcher, R. J. (2021). *Dalton Trans.* **50**, 14362–14373.
- de Fátima Brito Sousa, M., Dallan, J., Yamaki, S. B. & Bertazzoli, R. (1997). *Electroanalysis* 9, 614–618.
- Dey, S., Efimov, A., Giri, C., Rissanen, K. & Lemmetyinen, H. (2011). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 6226–6232.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.

- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Jeannin, S., Jeannin, Y. & Lavigne, G. (1979). *Inorg. Chem.* 18, 3528–3535.
- Jin, Q.-H., Gao, H.-W., Dong, J.-C., Yang, L. & Li, P.-Z. (2007). Z. Krist. New Cryst. Struct. 222, 233–234.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Li, Z., Dellali, A., Malik, J., Motevalli, M., Nix, R. M., Olukoya, T., Peng, Y., Ye, H., Gillin, W. P., Hernández, I. & Wyatt, P. B. (2013). *Inorg. Chem.* 52, 1379–1387.
- Nishio, M., Hirota, M. & Umezawa, Y. (1998). The $C-H\cdots\pi$ interaction: evidence, nature, and consequences. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Pattanasiriwisawa, W., Siritapetawee, J., Patarapaiboolchai, O. & Klysubun, W. (2008). J. Synchrotron Rad. 15, 510–513.
- Rakhmonova, D., Gapurova, L., Razzoqova, S., Kadirova, S., Torambetov, B., Kadirova, Z. & Shishkina, S. (2022). *Acta Cryst.* E78, 231–234.
- Seo, H., Kohlbrand, A. J., Stokes, R. W., Chung, J. & Cohen, S. M. (2023). Chem. Commun. 59, 2283–2286.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, M. A. & McKinnon, J. J. (2002). CrystEngComm 4, 378– 392.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). J. Appl. Cryst. 54, 1006–1011.
- Taylor, R. & Kennard, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5070.
- Yekeler, H. & Yekeler, M. (2006). J. Mol. Model. 12, 763-768.
- Zefirov, Y. V. & Zorkii, P. M. (1974). J. Struct. Chem. 15, 102-105.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2025). E81, 642-645 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989025005468]

Synthesis and crystal structure analysis of bis(benzothiazole-2-thiolato- κS) (1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N$,N')zinc(II)

Gulchekhra Abdullayeva, Batirbay Torambetov, Shakhnoza Kadirova and Shahlo Daminova

Computing details

 $Bis(benzothiazole-2-thiolato-\kappa S)(1,10-phenanthroline-\kappa^2 N,N') zinc(II)$

Crystal data

 $[Zn(C_7H_4NS_2)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$ $M_r = 578.04$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 19.9559 (9) Å b = 9.8232 (5) Å c = 14.0704 (7) Å $\beta = 118.642$ (1)° V = 2420.7 (2) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015) $T_{\min} = 0.525$, $T_{\max} = 0.745$ 30934 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ $wR(F^2) = 0.093$ S = 1.082479 reflections 160 parameters 0 restraints Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites F(000) = 1176 $D_x = 1.586 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9995 reflections $\theta = 2.3-26.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.38 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, colourless $0.08 \times 0.06 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

2479 independent reflections 2114 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.086$ $\theta_{max} = 26.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.6^{\circ}$ $h = -24 \rightarrow 24$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0397P)^2 + 6.4028P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.53 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: *SHELXL2016/6* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), Fc*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.0011 (3)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Zn1	0.500000	0.51950 (4)	0.250000	0.01817 (15)
S1	0.29666 (4)	0.20222 (7)	0.13597 (6)	0.02333 (19)
N1	0.39011 (12)	0.3587 (2)	0.29326 (18)	0.0182 (5)
C1	0.35313 (15)	0.2772 (3)	0.3346 (2)	0.0189 (6)
N2	0.46554 (13)	0.6818 (2)	0.31279 (18)	0.0180 (5)
S2	0.40002 (4)	0.40663 (7)	0.11137 (5)	0.02157 (18)
C2	0.36580 (16)	0.2815 (3)	0.4411 (2)	0.0225 (6)
H2	0.401484	0.343781	0.491642	0.027*
C3	0.32539 (17)	0.1932 (3)	0.4717 (2)	0.0268 (6)
Н3	0.333635	0.195473	0.544039	0.032*
C4	0.27273 (17)	0.1008 (3)	0.3983 (3)	0.0294 (7)
H4	0.245972	0.040938	0.421358	0.035*
C5	0.25921 (17)	0.0956 (3)	0.2922 (2)	0.0263 (6)
Н5	0.223334	0.033212	0.242063	0.032*
C6	0.29951 (16)	0.1843 (3)	0.2609 (2)	0.0216 (6)
C7	0.36666 (15)	0.3307 (3)	0.1914 (2)	0.0184 (5)
C10	0.43160 (16)	0.9233 (3)	0.3852 (2)	0.0214 (6)
H10	0.420249	1.004882	0.410930	0.026*
C11	0.46615 (15)	0.9288 (3)	0.3182 (2)	0.0184 (5)
C12	0.48230 (15)	0.8048 (3)	0.2842 (2)	0.0168 (5)
C13	0.48445 (16)	1.0540 (3)	0.2834 (2)	0.0215 (6)
H13	0.474609	1.138154	0.307709	0.026*
C9	0.41457 (16)	0.7996 (3)	0.4129 (2)	0.0234 (6)
Н9	0.390538	0.794423	0.456981	0.028*
C8	0.43297 (16)	0.6802 (3)	0.3755 (2)	0.0213 (6)
H8	0.421575	0.594830	0.396097	0.026*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}	
Znl	0.0208 (3)	0.0147 (2)	0.0191 (3)	0.000	0.00969 (19)	0.000	
S 1	0.0229 (4)	0.0248 (4)	0.0183 (4)	-0.0073 (3)	0.0067 (3)	-0.0024 (3)	
N1	0.0168 (11)	0.0182 (11)	0.0205 (11)	0.0002 (9)	0.0097 (9)	-0.0001 (9)	
C1	0.0156 (13)	0.0179 (13)	0.0227 (14)	0.0018 (11)	0.0088 (11)	0.0004 (11)	
N2	0.0190 (11)	0.0157 (10)	0.0193 (11)	-0.0006 (9)	0.0091 (9)	-0.0010 (9)	
S2	0.0231 (4)	0.0227 (3)	0.0192 (4)	-0.0037 (3)	0.0104 (3)	-0.0009 (3)	
C2	0.0206 (14)	0.0247 (14)	0.0222 (14)	0.0015 (11)	0.0102 (12)	-0.0025 (11)	
C3	0.0263 (16)	0.0347 (16)	0.0240 (15)	0.0017 (13)	0.0158 (13)	0.0015 (12)	
C4	0.0263 (16)	0.0333 (16)	0.0344 (17)	-0.0019 (13)	0.0191 (14)	0.0050 (13)	

supporting information

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.0022 (11) -0.0009 (10) 0.0011 (10) -0.0011 (11) -0.0013 (12)
--	---

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Zn1—N2	2.093 (2)	C3—C4	1.398 (4)
Zn1—N2 ⁱ	2.093 (2)	C4—H4	0.9500
$Zn1$ — $S2^{i}$	2.2987 (7)	C4—C5	1.384 (4)
Zn1—S2	2.2987 (7)	С5—Н5	0.9500
S1—C6	1.740 (3)	C5—C6	1.393 (4)
S1—C7	1.763 (3)	C10—H10	0.9500
N1—C1	1.392 (3)	C10—C11	1.411 (4)
N1—C7	1.306 (4)	С10—С9	1.368 (4)
C1—C2	1.395 (4)	C11—C12	1.402 (4)
C1—C6	1.410 (4)	C11—C13	1.434 (4)
N2-C12	1.364 (3)	C12—C12 ⁱ	1.442 (5)
N2—C8	1.324 (4)	C13—C13 ⁱ	1.353 (6)
S2—C7	1.728 (3)	C13—H13	0.9500
С2—Н2	0.9500	С9—Н9	0.9500
C2—C3	1.387 (4)	C9—C8	1.404 (4)
С3—Н3	0.9500	C8—H8	0.9500
N2-Zn1-N2 ⁱ	80.71 (12)	С6—С5—Н5	120.8
$N2$ — $Zn1$ — $S2^{i}$	109.67 (6)	C1—C6—S1	108.9 (2)
$N2^{i}$ —Zn1—S2 ⁱ	113.49 (6)	C5—C6—S1	129.7 (2)
N2—Zn1—S2	113.49 (6)	C5—C6—C1	121.4 (3)
N2 ⁱ —Zn1—S2	109.66 (6)	N1—C7—S1	115.3 (2)
$S2$ — $Zn1$ — $S2^i$	122.32 (4)	N1—C7—S2	125.2 (2)
C6—S1—C7	89.44 (13)	S2—C7—S1	119.47 (15)
C7—N1—C1	110.8 (2)	C11—C10—H10	120.3
N1-C1-C2	124.9 (2)	C9—C10—H10	120.3
N1-C1-C6	115.6 (2)	C9—C10—C11	119.5 (3)
C2-C1-C6	119.5 (3)	C10-C11-C13	123.2 (2)
C12—N2—Zn1	111.95 (17)	C12—C11—C10	117.4 (2)
C8—N2—Zn1	129.67 (18)	C12—C11—C13	119.4 (2)
C8—N2—C12	118.4 (2)	N2-C12-C11	122.7 (2)
C7—S2—Zn1	95.97 (9)	N2-C12-C12 ⁱ	117.68 (15)
C1—C2—H2	120.6	C11-C12-C12 ⁱ	119.63 (15)
C3—C2—C1	118.8 (3)	C11—C13—H13	119.5
С3—С2—Н2	120.6	C13 ⁱ —C13—C11	120.94 (16)
С2—С3—Н3	119.4	C13 ⁱ —C13—H13	119.5

121.3 (3)	С10—С9—Н9	120.3
119.4	С10—С9—С8	119.4 (3)
119.7	С8—С9—Н9	120.3
120.6 (3)	N2—C8—C9	122.7 (3)
119.7	N2—C8—H8	118.6
120.8	С9—С8—Н8	118.6
118.3 (3)		
-178.7 (2)	C6—C1—C2—C3	0.4 (4)
1.6 (4)	C7—S1—C6—C1	0.1 (2)
178.7 (2)	C7—S1—C6—C5	-179.0 (3)
-169.30 (14)	C7—N1—C1—C2	179.5 (3)
9.4 (2)	C7—N1—C1—C6	-0.2 (3)
-179.4 (3)	C10-C11-C12-N2	0.4 (4)
0.0 (3)	C10-C11-C12-C12 ⁱ	-179.9 (3)
179.2 (3)	C10-C11-C13-C13 ⁱ	-178.0 (3)
0.3 (3)	C10—C9—C8—N2	-0.9 (4)
-178.5 (2)	C11—C10—C9—C8	1.0 (4)
0.1 (4)	C12—N2—C8—C9	0.5 (4)
-179.7 (2)	C12-C11-C13-C13 ⁱ	1.4 (5)
-0.5 (4)	C13—C11—C12—N2	-179.1 (3)
-0.4 (5)	C13—C11—C12—C12 ⁱ	0.6 (4)
0.2 (4)	C9—C10—C11—C12	-0.8 (4)
179.2 (2)	C9—C10—C11—C13	178.7 (3)
0.2 (4)	C8—N2—C12—C11	-0.3 (4)
-0.2 (2)	C8-N2-C12-C12 ⁱ	-179.9 (3)
178.61 (17)		
	121.3 (3) 119.4 119.7 120.6 (3) 119.7 120.8 118.3 (3) -178.7 (2) -169.30 (14) 9.4 (2) -179.4 (3) 0.0 (3) 179.2 (3) 0.3 (3) -178.5 (2) 0.1 (4) -179.7 (2) -0.5 (4) -0.4 (5) 0.2 (4) 179.2 (2) 0.2 (4) -0.2 (2) 178.61 (17)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg2, Cg4 and Cg6 are the centroids of the S1/C6/C1/N1/C7, C1-C6 and N1/S1/C1-C6 rings, respectively.

	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
C8—H8…N1	0.95	2.65	3.331 (3)	130
C2—H2…S2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.98	3.745 (3)	138
C5—H5…N1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.69	3.508 (4)	145
C13—H13…N1 ^{iv}	0.95	2.69	3.574 (3)	155
C13—H13····C7 ^{iv}	0.95	2.74	3.416 (4)	129
С9—Н9…S2 ^{іі}	0.95	2.87	3.572 (3)	131
C5—H5··· $Cg2^{iii}$	0.95	2.93	3.674 (3)	136
C10—H10…Cg4 ^{iv}	0.95	2.64	3.451 (3)	143
C10—H10···· $Cg6^{iv}$	0.95	2.77	3.498 (3)	135

Symmetry codes: (ii) x, -y+1, z+1/2; (iii) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (iv) x, y+1, z.