

STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY

ISSN 2053-230X

Received 31 May 2024 Accepted 17 July 2024

Edited by J. Agirre, University of York, United Kingdom

This article is part of a focused issue on empowering education through structural genomics.

**Keywords:** SSGCID; structural genomics; brucellosis; epididymitis; transport protein; drug repurposing.

PDB references: BoLBP, 4xfk; 7jfn

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/f



Early career authors: Sanai Neblett, Tyler Postal, Andrew Chandler and Krishelle Jackson.



# Structures of *Brucella ovis* leucine-, isoleucine-, valine-, threonine- and alanine-binding protein reveal a conformationally flexible peptide-binding cavity

Graham Chakafana,<sup>a</sup>\* Reghan Boswell,<sup>a</sup> Andrew Chandler,<sup>a</sup> Krishelle A. Jackson,<sup>a</sup> Sanai Neblett,<sup>a</sup> Tyler Postal,<sup>a</sup> Sandhya Subramanian,<sup>b,c</sup> Jan Abendroth,<sup>c,d</sup> Peter J. Myler<sup>b,c,e</sup> and Oluwatoyin A. Asojo<sup>f</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23668, USA, <sup>b</sup>Center for Global Infectious Disease Research, Seattle Children's Research Institute, 307 Westlake Avenue North Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98109, USA, <sup>c</sup>Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID), Seattle, Washington, USA, <sup>d</sup>UCB BioSciences, Bainbridge Island, WA 98110, USA, <sup>e</sup>Departments of Pediatrics, Global Health, and Biomedical Informatics and Medical Education, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA, <sup>a</sup>Correspondence e-mail: graham.chakafana@hamptonu.edu, oluwatoyin.a.asojo@dartmouth.edu

Brucella ovis is an etiologic agent of ovine epididymitis and brucellosis that causes global devastation in sheep, rams, goats, small ruminants and deer. There are no cost-effective methods for the worldwide eradication of ovine brucellosis. B. ovis and other protein targets from various Brucella species are currently in the pipeline for high-throughput structural analysis at the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID), with the aim of identifying new therapeutic targets. Furthermore, the wealth of structures generated are effective tools for teaching scientific communication, structural science and biochemistry. One of these structures, B. ovis leucine-, isoleucine-, valine-, threonine- and alanine-binding protein (BoLBP), is a putative periplasmic amino acid-binding protein. BoLBP shares less than 29% sequence identity with any other structure in the Protein Data Bank. The production, crystallization and high-resolution structures of *BoLBP* are reported. *BoLBP* is a prototypical bacterial periplasmic amino acid-binding protein with the characteristic Venus flytrap topology of two globular domains encapsulating a large central cavity containing the peptide-binding region. The central cavity contains small molecules usurped from the crystallization milieu. The reported structures reveal the conformational flexibility of the central cavity in the absence of bound peptides. The structural similarity to other LBPs can be exploited to accelerate drug repurposing.

#### 1. Introduction

Brucellosis is highly contagious and affects both economically important livestock and wild animals (Ducrotoy *et al.*, 2017; Godfroid, Garin-Bastuji *et al.*, 2013; Godfroid *et al.*, 2011; Megersa *et al.*, 2011; Rossetti *et al.*, 2022). Even when not resulting in significant zoonotic disease, as in the case of *Brucella ovis* (sheep and rams), brucellosis is economically devastating globally (Peck & Bruce, 2017; Franc *et al.*, 2018). While brucellosis has been eradicated in cattle and small ruminants in some industrialized countries, it remains endemic globally within many animal hosts (Moreno, 2014). Current control approaches for brucellosis include vaccination, education and basic hygiene. However, these strategies have yet to reduce the disease burden successfully due to the high costs, the ineffectiveness of current antibiotics in the latter stages of brucellosis and other factors (Ariza *et al.*, 2007; Franc *et al.*, 2018). Notably, current vaccines are species-specific and are devastating to pregnant livestock, and some animal care practices of rural dwellers and nomadic groups are incompatible with controlling brucellosis in humans and livestock (Ducrotoy *et al.*, 2017; Godfroid, Al Dahouk *et al.*, 2013).

*B. ovis* is nonpathogenic in humans but is devastating globally to sheep, rams, goats, small ruminants and deer by causing ovine epididymitis (Rossetti *et al.*, 2022). Similarly, *B. melitensis*, which causes fatal zoonotic disease in humans, also causes ovine epididymitis (Rossetti *et al.*, 2022). *Brucella* are classified as category B infectious agents that can be aerosolized, and these small Gram-negative, facultative coccobacilli were the first bacterial agent to successfully be developed for biological warfare by the United States (de Figueiredo *et al.*, 2015; Riedel, 2004). There is a continued need for brucellosis treatments in infected people and live-stock. New approaches include the rational design or repurposing of small molecules that target proteins that are vital for

#### Table 1

Macromolecule-production information.

Source organism	<i>Brucella ovis</i> (strain ATCC 25840, 63/290, NCTC 10512)	
Forward primer	5'-CTCACCACCACCACCATATGGCC GAACCGCTGAAGATCG-3'	
Reverse primer	5'-ATCCTATCTTACTCACTTAGCCCGGA CGCTTCATGGAGC-3'	
Cloning vector	BG1861	
Expression vector	BG1861	
Expression host	BL21(DE3)R3 Rosetta	
Complete amino-acid sequence	MAHHHHHAEPLKIALVETLSGPQASTG	
of the construct produced	LLYRAAVLYQLGKINEAGGFNGEKIQI	
	LEYDNQGGPVGAADRVKAAIADGAQII	
	VQGSSSAVAGQITEDVRKYNLRNKGKE	
	VLYLNLGAEALELTGSKCHFYHFRFSP	
	NAAIHFKTVAQGMKDKGILGERAYSIN	
	QNYSWGVDVENTVVANAKEIGYEVVDK	
	TLHEVNKIQDFSPYVAKIQAANVDTVF	
	TGNWSNDLLLLMKAASGAGLKAKFATS	
	FLDQPGNIGNAGAIAEGHIVSTPFNPE	
	ANGEASMAFAEDYKKVTGHYPSYVEPA	
	AVFGLQLFGEALKNVKPGEGKINTTDI	
	ALAIENASVKTPMGDYSMRSDDHQAKF	
	PMVVQEVSKKARIKADGTEYGFLPFKT	
	FTGDESIDPVQESCSMKRPG	

#### Table 2

Crystallization.

bacterial survival. Towards these ends, the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID) has determined the crystal structures of over 120 potential target proteins from different Brucella species. These structures provide a wealth of data for functionally and structurally characterizing Brucella proteins that are potential therapeutic targets and provide insights into fundamental mechanisms that can be used for drug discovery. These structures are used to engage undergraduates in structure analysis and scientific communication (Brooks et al., 2022; Davidson et al., 2022; Maddy et al., 2022; Porter et al., 2022; Beard, Bristol et al., 2022; Beard, Subramanian et al., 2022). Here, we present highresolution crystal structures of B. ovis leucine-, isoleucine-, valine-, threonine- and alanine-binding protein (BoLBP). BoLBP is a putative periplasmic amino acid-binding protein with less than 29% sequence identity to any previously reported structure. We report high-resolution structures of BoLBP in orthorhombic and monoclinic space groups that reveal a prototypical periplasmic amino acid-binding protein.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Macromolecule production

Cloning, expression and purification followed standard protocols as described previously (Bryan et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2011; Serbzhinskiy et al., 2015; Brooks et al., 2022; Davidson et al., 2022; Maddy et al., 2022; Porter et al., 2022). The leucine-, isoleucine-, valine-, threonine- and alaninebinding protein from B. ovis (BoLBP; UniProt A0A0H3ATZ3) encoding amino acids 83-471 was PCR-amplified from cDNA using the primers given in Table 1 and cloned by ligationindependent cloning (LIC), encoding a noncleavable hexahistidine tag (MAHHHHHHORF; Aslanidis & de Jong, 1990; Choi et al., 2011). The plasmid DNA was transformed into chemically competent Escherichia coli BL21(DE3)R3 Rosetta cells. The plasmid containing BoLBP underwent expression testing, and 21 of culture was grown using autoinduction medium (Studier, 2005) in a LEX Bioreactor (Epiphyte Three Inc.), which allows the controlled expression of proteins, as described previously (Serbzhinskiy et al., 2015).

	Crystal 1	Crystal 2 (phasing)	Crystal 3		
Method	Vapor diffusion, sitting drop	Vapor diffusion, sitting drop	Vapor diffusion, sitting drop		
Temperature (K)	290	290	287		
Protein concentration (mg ml <sup>-1</sup>	) 25	25	25		
Protein buffer composition	20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl. 5%(v/v) glycerol, 1 mM TCEP	, 20 m <i>M</i> HEPES pH 7.0, 300 m <i>M</i> NaCl, 5%(v/v) glycerol, 1 m <i>M</i> TCEP	20 m <i>M</i> HEPES pH 7.0, 300 m <i>M</i> NaCl, 5%(v/v) glycerol, 1 m <i>M</i> TCEP		
Composition of reservoir solution	100 mM CHES–NaOH pH 9.5, 30%(w/v) PEG 3000	1 <i>M</i> LiCl, 100 m <i>M</i> sodium acetate, 30%( <i>w</i> / <i>v</i> ) PEG 6000	20%( <i>w</i> / <i>v</i> ) PEG 3350, 200 m <i>M</i> potassium nitrate		
Volume and ratio of drop	0.4 µl:0.4 µl	0.4 μl:0.4 μl	0.5 μl:0.5 μl		
Volume of reservoir (µl)	50	50	50		
Cryoprotectant	Al's oil	30 s soak in cryo/phasing solution 1 [4.5 μl reservoir + 0.5 μl 2.5 <i>M</i> NaI, 20%(ν/ν) ethylene glycol], 30 s soak in cryo/phasing solution 2 [4 μl reservoir + 1 μl 2.5 <i>M</i> NaI, 20%(ν/ν) ethylene glycoll	Soak in 10 m <i>M</i> threonine, 20%( <i>w</i> / <i>v</i> ) PEG 3350, 200 m <i>M</i> potassium nitrate, 20% ethylene glycol		

# Table 3Data collection and processing.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.

	Crystal 1 (monoclinic)	Crystal 2 (phasing data)	Crystal 3 (orthorhombic)
PDB code	4xfk		7jfn
Diffraction source	APS beamline 21-ID-F	Rigaku FR-E+ SuperBright	APS beamline 21-ID-F
Wavelength (Å)	0.97872	1.54178	0.97872
Temperature (K)	100	100	100
Detector	RayoniX MX-225 CCD	Rigaku Saturn 944+ CCD	RayoniX MX-300 CCD
Crystal-to-detector distance (mm)	115	50	250
Rotation range per image (°)	1.0	0.5	1.0
Total rotation range ( $^{\circ}$ )	180	360	150
Exposure time per image (s)	1	30	1
Space group	P2 <sub>1</sub>	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$
a, b, c (Å)	62.12, 46.39, 62.40	60.68, 67.26, 94.49	46.77, 69.39, 120.75
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	90, 101.62, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90
Resolution range (Å)	50-1.30 (1.33-1.30)	50-2.05 (2.10-2.05)	50-1.70 (1.74-1.70)
Total No. of reflections	314986 (22402)	337201 (16407)	243859 (10451)
No. of unique reflections	83917 (6070)	46709 (3419)	43048 (2653)
Completeness (%)	97.9 (96.1)	99.6 (97.7)	97.7 (82.3)
Multiplicity	3.8 (3.7)	7.2 (4.8)	5.7 (3.9)
$\langle I   \sigma(I) \rangle$	17.96 (2.49)	17.64 (3.59)	22.92 (2.57)
R <sub>r.i.m.</sub>	0.054 (0.605)	0.095 (0.575)	0.042 (0.487)
Overall <i>B</i> factor from Wilson plot $(Å^2)$	10.36	n/a	33.79

The expression clone BrovA.17370.a.B2.GE38164 is available at https://www.ssgcid.org/available-materials/expression-clones/.

BoLBP was purified using the established two-step SSGCID pipeline protocol consisting of an immobilized metal (Ni<sup>2+</sup>) affinity chromatography (IMAC) step and size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). All chromatography runs were performed on an ÄKTApurifier 10 (GE Healthcare) using automated IMAC and SEC programs (Brvan et al., 2011). Thawed bacterial pellets ( $\sim$ 25 g) were lysed by sonication in 200 ml buffer consisting of 25 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5%(v/v) glycerol, 0.5%(w/v) CHAPS, 30 mM imidazole,  $10 \text{ m}M \text{ MgCl}_2$ , 1 mM TCEP,  $250 \text{ mg ml}^{-1} \text{ AEBSF}$ , 0.025%sodium azide. After sonication, the crude lysate was clarified with 20 ml Benzonase (25 units ml<sup>-1</sup>) and incubated while mixing at room temperature for 45 min. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at  $10\,000$  rev min<sup>-1</sup> for 1 h using a Sorvall centrifuge (Thermo Scientific). The clarified supernatant was then passed over a 5 ml Ni-NTA His-Trap FF column (GE Healthcare) which had been pre-equilibrated with loading buffer consisting of 20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5%(v/v) glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 1 mMTCEP, 0.025%(w/v) sodium azide. The column was washed with 20 column volumes (CV) of loading buffer and was eluted with loading buffer plus 250 mM imidazole in a linear gradient over 7 CV. The peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to 5 ml. A SEC column (Superdex 75, GE Healthcare) was equilibrated with running buffer [20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5%(v/v) glycerol, 1 mM TCEP]. The peak fractions were collected and analyzed using SDS-PAGE. BoLBP eluted as a single prominent peak at a molecular mass of ~49 kDa, and the peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to  $49.9 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  using an Amicon concentrator (Millipore). Aliquots of 200 µl were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use. The purified protein BrovA.17370.a.B2.PS02287 is available at https://www.ssgcid. org/available-materials/ssgcid-proteins/.

#### 2.2. Crystallization

Purified *Bo*LBP was screened for crystallization in 96-well sitting-drop plates against commercially available screens, including JCSG+ HTS (Rigaku Reagents) and MCSG1 (Microlytic). Vapor-diffusion experiments consisted of equal volumes of protein solution  $(0.4 \ \mu$ ) and precipitant solution set up at 290 K against an 80  $\mu$ l reservoir. The crystals were flash-cooled by harvesting and plunging them into liquid nitrogen after passing through Al's oil or soaking in cryo-

#### Table 4

Structure solution and refinement.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.

	PDB entry 4xfk (monoclinic)	PDB entry 7jfn (orthorhombic)
Resolution range (Å)	30.42-1.30 (1.33-1.30)	34.82-1.70 (1.74-1.70)
Completeness (%)	97.9 (96.1)	97.7 (82.3)
$\sigma$ Cutoff	$F > 1.35\sigma(F)$	$F > 1.35\sigma(F)$
No. of reflections, working set	81905 (5704)	41102 (2441)
No. of reflections, test set	2009 (139)	1944 (115)
Final R <sub>crvst</sub>	0.137 (0.194)	0.156 (0.258)
Final R <sub>free</sub>	0.161 (0.248)	0.194 (0.324)
No. of non-H atoms		
Protein	2921	2918
Ion	10	13
Ligand	0	4
Solvent	540	288
Total	3471	3223
R.m.s. deviations from ideal		
Bond lengths (Å)	0.006	0.008
Angles (°)	1.098	0.909
Average <i>B</i> factors $(Å^2)$		
Protein	12.5	30.4
Ion	18.0	34.3
Ligand	0	55.2
Water	26.6	41.6
Ramachandran plot		
Most favored (%)	94.3	94.3
Allowed (%)	5.7	5.4
Disallowed (%)	0	0.3

## research communications



#### Figure 1

*BoLBP* structure. (a) A ribbon diagram of *BoLBP* shows 15  $\beta$ -sheets (purple) and 14  $\alpha$ -helices (cyan). (b) The *BoLBP* topology has two globular domains.  $\alpha$ -Helices are labeled  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -strands are labeled  $\beta$  and the three inter-domain loops are labeled L1, L2 and L3. (c) Superposed *BoLBP* structures. The orthorhombic monomer (blue) has a more open substrate binding cavity than the monoclinic monomer (gray).

solution supplemented with 20%(v/v) ethylene glycol (Table 2). Two crystallization conditions were used for data collection. The orthorhombic crystal form was obtained at basic pH using CHES–NaOH and 30%(w/v) PEG 3000, and was cryoprotected by passing through Al's oil. Heavy-atom (iodide) phasing was facilitated by the second crystal form, which grew in high salt (1 *M* LiCl) and 30%(w/v) polyethylene

glycol 6000 (PEG 6000). The crystals were subjected to two 30 s soaks in cryo/phasing solution with increasing concentrations of sodium iodide in  $20\%(\nu/\nu)$  ethylene glycol. A second structure was obtained from soaking crystals grown in polyethylene glycol 3350 (and 200 mM potassium nitrate) overnight with 10 mM threonine in the same buffer. The crystal was briefly dipped into cryosolution comprised of the

soaking solution and 20%(v/v) ethylene glycol before vitrification in liquid nitrogen and data collection (Table 2). Future studies will include co-crystallization and harvesting at different temperatures to identify conditions that may enhance amino-acid binding to *Bo*LBP.

#### 2.3. Data collection and processing

For the orthorhombic structure, two data sets were collected: one at 100 K on beamline 21-ID-F at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory (APS), while the phasing data set was collected on a rotating-anode home source (Table 3). The monoclinic data set was collected on beamline 21-ID-F at APS. All diffraction data were integrated using *XDS* and reduced using *XSCALE* (Kabsch, 2010). Raw X-ray diffraction images are available from the Integrated Resource for Reproducibility in Macromolecular Crystallography at https://www.proteindiffraction.org.

#### 2.4. Structure solution and refinement

The structure of the monoclinic conformation was phased de novo by single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) after iodide ion soaks (Abendroth et al., 2011). Iterative refinement cycles with Phenix (Adams et al., 2011) and manual rebuilding using Coot (Emsley & Cowtan, 2004; Emsley et al., 2010) generated the model coordinates and structure factors deposited in the Protein Data Bank as entry 4xfk. The orthorhombic structure was phased by molecular replacement using the monoclinic structure as the search model and the Phaser software (McCoy et al., 2007) from the CCP4 suite of programs (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994; Krissinel et al., 2004; Winn et al., 2011; Agirre et al., 2023). After iterative refinement cycles with Phenix (Adams et al., 2011) and manual rebuilding using Coot, orthorhombic coordinates and structure factors were deposited in the Protein Data Bank as entry 7jfn. Both structures were checked using *MolProbity* (Williams *et al.*, 2018). The final refinement data are reported in Table 4.

#### 3. Results and discussion

BoLBP resembles a prototypical periplasmic amino acidbinding protein, with a bilobate architecture of two major globular domains forming a Venus flytrap conformation around a large central cleft containing the peptide-binding pocket (Trakhanov et al., 2005). Both domains (domains I and II) have a characteristic  $\alpha/\beta$  fold consisting of a central antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet flanked by  $\alpha$ -helices (Fig. 1).  $\beta$ -Strands from each sheet run towards the central cleft, exhibiting the characteristic LBP left-handed propeller twist that connects domains I and II through three interdomain loops: loop 1 (L1; residues 224-229), loop 2 (L2; residues 384-393) and loop 3 (L3; residues 423–426) (Fig. 1b). Loops 1 and 3 extend from domain I to domain II, while loop 2 traverses in the opposite direction. Loops 1 and 2 are preceded by a  $\beta$ -sheet strand in one domain and succeeded by an  $\alpha$ -helix in the other domain, whereas loop 3 spans strands from both domains (Fig. 1b).

An acetate molecule from the crystallization solution sits in the central cleft in the monoclinic structure determined without soaking with amino acids (PDB entry 4xfk; Supplementary Fig. S1*b*). Threonine does not bind upon soaking the orthorhombic crystals with threonine; instead, a nitrate from the crystallization solution occupies the central binding cavity (PDB entry 7jfn; Supplementary Fig. S1*a*). Both ligands have well ordered electron density in  $2F_o - F_c$  maps (Supplementary Figs. S1*b* and S1*c*). The two *Bo*LBP structures are similar, with a root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) value of 1.49 Å on aligning C<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> atoms. The main differences in the structures are in domain II, which rotates around the hinge and has a more open central cavity in the orthorhombic structure, with main-chain movements of up to 4.8 Å (Fig. 1*c*). The differences in the structures are not as large as the



#### Figure 2

*ENDscript* alignment reveals conserved residues between *BoLBP* and its closest structural neighbors. Identical and conserved residues are highlighted in red and yellow, respectively. The different secondary-structure elements shown are  $\alpha$ -helices ( $\alpha$ ),  $\beta_{10}$ -helices ( $\eta$ ),  $\beta$ -strands ( $\beta$ ) and  $\beta$ -turns (TT).

## research communications





Comparison of BoLBP with its closest structural neighbors. (a) The superposed structures show the Venus flytrap motif with a large central cavity. The superposed structures are monoclinic BoLBP (PDB entry 4xfk, gray), orthorhombic BoLBP (PDB entry 7jfn, blue) and EcLBP (PDB entry 1usg, green; PDB entry 2lbp, orange). The acetate (yellow sticks; from PDB entry 4xfk) and nitrate (blue sticks; from PDB entry 7jfn) are shown. (b) ENDscript coil diagram with thinner ribbons representing more conserved regions and thicker ribbons representing less conserved regions; identical residues in the structures are shown in red. (c) ENDscript surface diagram: identical residues in the structures are shown in red.

conformational changes that are expected when LBP-like proteins transition from an open to a closed conformation upon binding their peptide ligands (Magnusson *et al.*, 2004). While neither of the *Bo*LBP structures binds an amino acid, both accommodate different ligands from the crystallization solution.

The two structures were compared using DynDom (https:// dyndom.cmp.uea.ac.uk/dyndom/; Lee *et al.*, 2003, Qi *et al.*, 2005). *DynDom* analysis revealed hinge rotation by a 12° angle and conformational plasticity of the ligand-binding cavity in the structures, representing a transition from a 'closed' to a 'semi-closed' state. Further details of the hingebending residues and *DynDom* analysis results are presented in Section S2.

Due to the low sequence similarity of BoLBP to all other reported structures, ENDscript (Gouet et al., 2003; Robert & Gouet, 2014) analysis was used to identify its closest structural neighbor (Fig. 2). The analysis identified the closest structural neighbor of BoLBP to be E. coli LBP (EcLBP; Sack et al., 1989), and despite sharing less than 29% sequence similarity both have a similar overall topology (Fig. 2). Additionally, *EcLBP* and *BoLBP* share numerous identical residues (Fig. 2). Interestingly, BoLBP also has key amino-acid insertions resulting in longer helices and additional strands that were not previously observed in EcLBP (Fig. 2). The superposed structures show the Venus flytrap conformation around a large central cleft containing the peptide-binding pocket. Structural alignment reveals that the peptide-binding site is occupied by components of the crystallization buffer (acetate and nitrate) in our BoLBP structures (Fig. 3). The presence of these highconcentration molecules may explain the difficulty of soaking threonine into preformed crystals. *ENDscript* coil analysis shows that the greatest structural difference in the structures lies in the carboxyl-terminus and hinge (Fig. 3*b*). Identical residues appear interspersed across both domains (Figs. 2 and 3). Nonetheless, the similarities between *BoLBP*, *EcLBP* and other bacterial LBPs present unique opportunities for rational drug discovery based on the existing data.

#### 4. Conclusion

We report two structures of *B. ovis* leucine-, isoleucine-, valine-, threonine- and alanine-binding protein (*BoLBP*). *BoLBP* is a prototypical bacterial LBP with additional amino acids inserted outside the central cavity and at the carboxyl-terminus. Both structures exhibit conformational flexibility of *BoLBP* in the absence of bound amino acids. Despite low sequence similarity, the structures have similarities to bacterial LBPs that can be exploited for future drug-discovery efforts.

#### **Funding information**

This project has been funded in whole or in part with Federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services under Contract No. 75N93022C00036. Hampton student and faculty activity was partly supported by NIGMS grant U01GM138433 to OAA.

## research communications

#### References

- Abendroth, J., Gardberg, A. S., Robinson, J. I., Christensen, J. S., Staker, B. L., Myler, P. J., Stewart, L. J. & Edwards, T. E. (2011). J. Struct. Funct. Genomics, **12**, 83–95.
- Adams, P. D., Afonine, P. V., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V. B., Echols, N., Headd, J. J., Hung, L. W., Jain, S., Kapral, G. J., Grosse Kunstleve, R. W., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N. W., Oeffner, R. D., Read, R. J., Richardson, D. C., Richardson, J. S., Terwilliger, T. C. & Zwart, P. H. (2011). *Methods*, 55, 94–106.
- Agirre, J., Atanasova, M., Bagdonas, H., Ballard, C. B., Baslé, A., Beilsten-Edmands, J., Borges, R. J., Brown, D. G., Burgos-Mármol, J. J., Berrisford, J. M., Bond, P. S., Caballero, I., Catapano, L., Chojnowski, G., Cook, A. G., Cowtan, K. D., Croll, T. I., Debreczeni, J. É., Devenish, N. E., Dodson, E. J., Drevon, T. R., Emsley, P., Evans, G., Evans, P. R., Fando, M., Foadi, J., Fuentes-Montero, L., Garman, E. F., Gerstel, M., Gildea, R. J., Hatti, K., Hekkelman, M. L., Heuser, P., Hoh, S. W., Hough, M. A., Jenkins, H. T., Jiménez, E., Joosten, R. P., Keegan, R. M., Keep, N., Krissinel, E. B., Kolenko, P., Kovalevskiy, O., Lamzin, V. S., Lawson, D. M., Lebedev, A. A., Leslie, A. G. W., Lohkamp, B., Long, F., Malý, M., McCoy, A. J., McNicholas, S. J., Medina, A., Millán, C., Murray, J. W., Murshudov, G. N., Nicholls, R. A., Noble, M. E. M., Oeffner, R., Pannu, N. S., Parkhurst, J. M., Pearce, N., Pereira, J., Perrakis, A., Powell, H. R., Read, R. J., Rigden, D. J., Rochira, W., Sammito, M., Sánchez Rodríguez, F., Sheldrick, G. M., Shelley, K. L., Simkovic, F., Simpkin, A. J., Skubak, P., Sobolev, E., Steiner, R. A., Stevenson, K., Tews, I., Thomas, J. M. H., Thorn, A., Valls, J. T., Uski, V., Usón, I., Vagin, A., Velankar, S., Vollmar, M., Walden, H., Waterman, D., Wilson, K. S., Winn, M. D., Winter, G., Wojdyr, M. & Yamashita, K. (2023). Acta Cryst. D79, 449-461.
- Ariza, J., Bosilkovski, M., Cascio, A., Colmenero, J. D., Corbel, M. J., Falagas, M. E., Memish, Z. A., Roushan, M. R., Rubinstein, E., Sipsas, N. V., Solera, J., Young, E. J., Pappas, G., International Society of Chemotherapy & Institute of Continuing Medical Education of Ioannina (2007). *PLoS Med.* 4, e317.

Aslanidis, C. & de Jong, P. J. (1990). Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 6069-6074.

- Beard, D. K., Bristol, S., Cosby, K., Davis, A., Manning, C., Perry, L., Snapp, L., Toy, A., Wheeler, K., Young, J., Staker, B., Arakaki, T. L., Abendroth, J., Subramanian, S., Edwards, T. E., Myler, P. J. & Asojo, O. A. (2022). Acta Cryst. F78, 143.
- Beard, D. K., Subramanian, S., Abendroth, J., Dranow, D. M., Edwards, T. E., Myler, P. J. & Asojo, O. A. (2022). *Acta Cryst.* F78, 45–51.
- Brooks, L., Subramanian, S., Dranow, D. M., Mayclin, S. J., Myler, P. J. & Asojo, O. A. (2022). *Acta Cryst.* F78, 306–312.
- Bryan, C. M., Bhandari, J., Napuli, A. J., Leibly, D. J., Choi, R., Kelley, A., Van Voorhis, W. C., Edwards, T. E. & Stewart, L. J. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* F67, 1010–1014.
- Choi, R., Kelley, A., Leibly, D., Nakazawa Hewitt, S., Napuli, A. & Van Voorhis, W. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* F**67**, 998–1005.
- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). *Acta Cryst.* D**50**, 760–763.
- Davidson, J., Nicholas, K., Young, J., Conrady, D. G., Mayclin, S., Subramanian, S., Staker, B. L., Myler, P. J. & Asojo, O. A. (2022). *Acta Cryst.* F78, 25–30.
- Ducrotoy, M., Bertu, W. J., Matope, G., Cadmus, S., Conde-Álvarez, R., Gusi, A. M., Welburn, S., Ocholi, R., Blasco, J. M. & Moriyón, I. (2017). Acta Trop. 165, 179–193.
- Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 2126-2132.

- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 486–501.
- Figueiredo, P. de, Ficht, T. A., Rice-Ficht, A., Rossetti, C. A. & Adams, L. G. (2015). *Am. J. Pathol.* **185**, 1505–1517.
- Franc, K. A., Krecek, R. C., Häsler, B. N. & Arenas-Gamboa, A. M. (2018). BMC Public Health, 18, 125.
- Godfroid, J., Al Dahouk, S., Pappas, G., Roth, F., Matope, G., Muma, J., Marcotty, T., Pfeiffer, D. & Skjerve, E. (2013). Comput. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 36, 241–248.
- Godfroid, J., Garin-Bastuji, B., Saegerman, C. & Blasco, J. M. (2013). *Rev. Sci. Tech. OIE*, **32**, 27–42.
- Godfroid, J., Scholz, H. C., Barbier, T., Nicolas, C., Wattiau, P., Fretin, D., Whatmore, A. M., Cloeckaert, A., Blasco, J. M., Moriyon, I., Saegerman, C., Muma, J. B., Al Dahouk, S., Neubauer, H. & Letesson, J. J. (2011). *Prev. Vet. Med.* **102**, 118–131.
- Gouet, P., Robert, X. & Courcelle, E. (2003). Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 3320–3323.
- Kabsch, W. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 125-132.
- Krissinel, E. B., Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Ashton, A. W., Patel, P., Potterton, E. A., McNicholas, S. J., Cowtan, K. D. & Emsley, P. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 2250–2255.
- Lee, R. A., Razaz, M. & Hayward, S. (2003). *Bioinformatics*, **19**, 1290–1291.
- Maddy, J., Staker, B. L., Subramanian, S., Abendroth, J., Edwards, T. E., Myler, P. J., Hybiske, K. & Asojo, O. A. (2022). *Acta Cryst.* F**78**, 135–142.
- Magnusson, U., Salopek-Sondi, B., Luck, L. A. & Mowbray, S. L. (2004). J. Biol. Chem. 279, 8747–8752.
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C. & Read, R. J. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 658–674.
- Megersa, B., Biffa, D., Abunna, F., Regassa, A., Godfroid, J. & Skjerve, E. (2011). *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* **43**, 651–656.
- Moreno, E. (2014). Front. Microbiol. 5, 213.
- Peck, D. & Bruce, M. (2017). Rev. Sci. Tech. OIE, 36, 291-302.
- Porter, I., Neal, T., Walker, Z., Hayes, D., Fowler, K., Billups, N., Rhoades, A., Smith, C., Smith, K., Staker, B. L., Dranow, D. M., Mayclin, S. J., Subramanian, S., Edwards, T. E., Myler, P. J. & Asojo, O. A. (2022). Acta Cryst. F78, 31–38.
- Qi, G., Lee, R. & Hayward, S. (2005). Bioinformatics, 21, 2832-2838.
- Riedel, S. (2004). Bayl. Univ. Med. Cent. Proc. 17, 400-406.
- Robert, X. & Gouet, P. (2014). Nucleic Acids Res. 42, W320-W324.
- Rossetti, C. A., Maurizio, E. & Rossi, U. A. (2022). Front. Vet. Sci. 9, 887671.
- Sack, J. S., Trakhanov, S. D., Tsigannik, I. H. & Quiocho, F. A. (1989). J. Mol. Biol. 206, 193–207.
- Serbzhinskiy, D. A., Clifton, M. C., Sankaran, B., Staker, B. L., Edwards, T. E. & Myler, P. J. (2015). Acta Cryst. F71, 594–599.
- Studier, F. W. (2005). Protein Expr. Purif. 41, 207–234.
- Trakhanov, S., Vyas, N. K., Luecke, H., Kristensen, D. M., Ma, J. & Quiocho, F. A. (2005). *Biochemistry*, 44, 6597–6608.
- Williams, C. J., Headd, J. J., Moriarty, N. W., Prisant, M. G., Videau, L. L., Deis, L. N., Verma, V., Keedy, D. A., Hintze, B. J., Chen, V. B., Jain, S., Lewis, S. M., Arendall, W. B., Snoeyink, J., Adams, P. D., Lovell, S. C., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, J. S. (2018). *Protein Sci.* 27, 293–315.
- Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Cowtan, K. D., Dodson, E. J., Emsley, P., Evans, P. R., Keegan, R. M., Krissinel, E. B., Leslie, A. G. W., McCoy, A., McNicholas, S. J., Murshudov, G. N., Pannu, N. S., Potterton, E. A., Powell, H. R., Read, R. J., Vagin, A. & Wilson, K. S. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 235–242.