

ISSN 2053-230X

Received 18 September 2024 Accepted 14 November 2024

Edited by J. Agirre, University of York, United Kingdom

This article is part of a focused issue on empowering education through structural genomics.

Keywords: trichomoniasis; macrophage migration inhibitory factor; *Trichomonas vaginalis*; Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease; sexually transmitted diseases; cancer.

PDB references: macrophage migration inhibitory factor, space group *I*4₁, 8ur2; space group *I*4₁22, 8ur4; space group *P*4₁2₁2, 8uz4

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/f



Early career authors: Aruesha Srivastava, Aryana Nair, Omolara C. O. Dawson and Raymond Gao.



Structures of *Trichomonas vaginalis* macrophage migratory inhibitory factor

Aruesha Srivastava,^a Aryana Nair,^b Omolara C. O. Dawson,^c Raymond Gao,^c Lijun Liu,^{d,e} Justin K. Craig,^f Kevin P. Battaile,^g Elizabeth K. Harmon,^f Lynn K. Barrett,^f Wesley C. Van Voorhis,^f Sandhya Subramanian,^{h,e} Peter J. Myler,^{h,e} Scott Lovell,^{d,e} Oluwatoyin A. Asojo^{i*} and Rabih Darwiche^{j,k,l*}

^aCalifornia Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA, ^bReedy High School, 3003 Stonebrook Parkway, Frisco, Texas, USA, ^cGrafton High School, 403 Grafton Drive, Yorktown, Virginia, USA, ^dProtein Structure and X-ray Crystallography Laboratory, 2034 Becker Drive, Lawrence, KS 66047, USA, ^eSeattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Diseases, Seattle, Washington, USA, ^fCenter for Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases (CERID), Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA, ^aNYX, New York Structural Biology Center, Upton, New York, USA, ^bCenter for Global Infectious Disease Research, Seattle, Washington, USA, ⁱDartmouth Cancer Center, One Medical Center Drive, Lebanon, NH 03756, USA, ^jDepartment of Biology, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 10, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland, ^kDepartment of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA, and ^jSuliman S. Olayan School of Business, American University of Beirut, PO Box 11-0236, Riad El-Solh, Beirut, Lebanon. *Correspondence e-mail: oluwatoyin.a.asojo@dartmouth.edu, rmd30@mail.aub.edu

The unicellular parasitic protozoan *Trichomonas vaginalis* causes trichomoniasis, the most prevalent nonviral sexually transmitted disease globally. *T. vaginalis* evades host immune responses by producing homologs of host proteins, including cytokines such as macrophage migration inhibitory factor. *T. vaginalis* macrophage migration inhibitory factor (TvMIF) helps to facilitate the survival of *T. vaginalis* during nutritional stress conditions, increases prostate cell proliferation and invasiveness, and induces inflammation-related cellular pathways, thus mimicking the ability of human MIF to increase inflammation and cell proliferation. The production, crystallization and three structures of N-terminally hexahistidine-tagged TvMIF reveal a prototypical MIF trimer with a topology similar to that of human homologs (hMIF-1 and hMIF-2). The N-terminal tag obscures the expected pyruvate-binding site. The similarity of TvMIF to its human homologs can be exploited for structure-based drug discovery.

1. Introduction

Trichomonas vaginalis is a unicellular parasitic protozoan that is responsible for trichomoniasis, the most prevalent nonviral sexually transmitted disease globally (Edwards et al., 2016). There are \sim 156 million new cases of trichomoniasis worldwide each year (Molgora et al., 2023). According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, ~2.6 million people in the USA have trichomoniasis, and population studies show that the highest incidence is among incarcerated women (https:// www.cdc.gov/std/treatment-guidelines/trichomoniasis.htm). Humans are the only T. vaginalis hosts, and trichomoniasis increases susceptibility to HIV, infertility, preterm birth, HPV, and prostate cancer (Tsang et al., 2019; Van Gerwen & Muzny, 2019; Zhang et al., 2022). Despite its clinical significance, the molecular mechanisms underlying the development, immune evasion and host-parasite interactions of T. vaginalis remain poorly understood. T. vaginalis is a priority infectious disease for structural studies by the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID). T. vaginalis evades host immune responses by producing homologs of host

research communications

proteins, including cytokines such as macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF: Twu et al., 2014). Protozoan parasite MIF homologs mimic their human MIF counterparts (hMIF-1, NCBI Accession No. CAG30406.1; hMIF-2, NCBI Accession No. CAG30317.1), facilitating the modulation of host immune responses and suppressing apoptosis-induced cell death (Twu et al., 2014; Ghosh et al., 2019). The human MIFs (hMIF-1 and hMIF-2) share \sim 35% sequence identity, while *T. vaginalis* macrophage migration inhibitory factor (TvMIF) shares \sim 31% sequence identity with hMIF-1 and hMIF2. It has previously been demonstrated that TvMIF elicits antibodies in infected individuals, increases prostate cell proliferation, and invasiveness, and induces inflammation-related cellular pathways, thus mimicking the ability of human MIF to increase inflammation and cell proliferation (Twu et al., 2014). Furthermore, TvMIF has been shown to enhance the survival of Trichomonas during nutritional stress conditions (Chen et al., 2018). Thus, TvMIF facilitates parasite survival during infection and binds to the human CD74 MIF receptor, triggering epithelial cell inflammation and proliferation pathways linked to the progression and pathogenesis of prostate cancer (Twu et al., 2014; Tsang et al., 2019). Here, we present the purification, crystallization and structural and functional analysis of TvMIF as a first step towards uncovering features that mediate its functions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Macromolecule production

TvMIF was cloned, expressed, and purified as described previously (Bryan *et al.*, 2011; Choi *et al.*, 2011; Serbzhinskiy *et al.*, 2015). The full-length gene for a putative macrophage migration inhibitory factor from *T. vaginalis* ATCC PRA-98/ G3 (UniProt A2DXT4) encoding amino acids 1–115 was PCRamplified from gDNA using the primers shown in Table 1. The gene was cloned into the pET-28a expression vector with an N-terminal histidine tag. The plasmid DNA was transformed into chemically competent *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) Rosetta cells. After testing for expression, 2 l of culture was grown using auto-induction medium (Studier, 2005) in a LEX Bioreactor (Epiphyte Three) as described previously (Serbzhinskiy *et al.*, 2015). The expression clone is available for request online at https://www.ssgcid.org/available-materials/ expression-clones/.

N-terminally hexahistidine-tagged*Tv*MIF (His-*Tv*MIF) was purified using a previously described two-step protocol consisting of an immobilized metal (Ni²⁺) affinity chromatography (IMAC) step followed by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) on an ÄKTApurifier 10 (GE Healthcare) using automated IMAC and SEC programs (Serbzhinskiy *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, thawed bacterial pellets (25 g) were lysed by sonication in 200 ml lysis buffer [25 m*M* HEPES pH 7.0, 500 m*M* NaCl, 5%(*v*/*v*) glycerol, 0.5%(*w*/*v*) CHAPS, 30 m*M* imidazole, 10 m*M* MgCl₂, 400 µg ml⁻¹ lysozyme, 3 U ml⁻¹ Benzonase]. After sonication, the crude lysate was treated with 20 ml (25 U ml⁻¹) of Benzonase and incubated with

Table 1

М	lacromo	lecul	le-proc	luction	infor	mation.
---	---------	-------	---------	---------	-------	---------

Source organism	Trichomonas vaginalis ATCC PRA-98/G3
DNA source	CollegeCodon optimized and synthetically generated plasmid from Twist Bioscience
Expression vector	pET-28a, AVA N-terminal tag
Expression host	Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) Rosetta
Complete amino-acid sequence	MAHHHHHHMGTLEAQTQGPGSMPALVIK
of the construct produced [†]	TNAKFTEEEKSKATEELGNIVSKVLGK
-	PISYVMVTLEDGVAVRFGGSDEKAAFM
	SLMSIGGLNRAVNKRASAALTKWFTDH
	GFQGDRIYIVFNPKSAEDWGFNGDTFA

† The additional N-terminal amino acid residues are in bold.

mixing for 45 min at room temperature. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 10 000 rev min⁻¹ for 1 h using a Sorvall centrifuge (Thermo Scientific). The clarified supernatant was then passed over an Ni–NTA HisTrap FF 5 ml column (GE Healthcare) which had been pre-equilibrated with wash buffer [25 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5%(v/v) glycerol, 30 mM imidazole]. The column was washed with 20 column volumes (CV) of wash buffer and eluted with elution buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5%(v/v)glycerol, 500 mM imidazole) over a 7 CV linear gradient.

The peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to 5 ml for SEC. The 5 ml protein sample was loaded onto a Superdex 75 26/60 column (GE Biosciences) attached to an ÄKTAprime plus FPLC system (GE Biosciences) that had been equilibrated with SEC buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP). TvMIF eluted from SEC as a single, symmetrical, monodisperse peak accounting for >90% of the protein product of molecular mass \sim 19 kDa, suggesting purification as a monomer (expected monomer molecular mass of 15 kDa). The peak fractions were collected and assessed for purity by SDS-PAGE, which also suggested monomeric protein. The peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to $\sim 20 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$ using an Amicon purification system (Millipore). 110 µl aliquots of His-TvMIF were flashfrozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until use. His-TvMIF protein is available for request online at https:// www.ssgcid.org/available-materials/ssgcid-proteins/.

2.2. Crystallization

Three crystal forms of His-*Tv*MIF are reported, and all crystals were grown in UVXPO MRC (Molecular Dimensions) sitting-drop vapor-diffusion plates using the Berkeley (Pereira *et al.*, 2017; Rigaku Reagents), Index (Hampton Research) and Morpheus (Gorrec, 2009; Molecular Dimensions) crystallization screens as listed in Table 2.

2.3. Data collection and processing

All data sets were collected at 100 K on a Dectris EIGER2 XE 9M detector on beamline 19-ID at NSLS-II, Brookhaven National Laboratory (Table 3). Intensities were integrated using *XDS* (Kabsch, 1988, 2010) via *autoPROC* (Vonrhein *et al.*, 2011), and the Laue class analysis and data scaling were performed with *AIMLESS* (Evans, 2011). Raw X-ray

Table 2

Crystallization.

Crystal form	PDB entry 8uz4, apo, P4 ₁ 2 ₁ 2	PDB entry 8ur4, <i>I</i> 4 ₁ 22	PDB entry 8ur2, <i>I</i> 4 ₁
Temperature (K)	291	291	291
Protein concentration (mg ml $^{-1}$)	35.4	35.4	35.4
Buffer composition of protein	20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM	20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl,	20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl,
solution	NaCl, 5% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP	5% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP, 5 mM sodium 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate	5% glycerol, 1 m <i>M</i> TCEP, 5 m <i>M</i> sodium pyruvate
Composition of reservoir solution	Berkeley D5: 100 m <i>M</i> HEPES free acid/sodium hydroxide pH 7.5, 200 m <i>M</i> ammonium acetate, 25%(<i>w</i> / <i>v</i>) PEG 3350	Index A4: 0.1 <i>M</i> bis-Tris pH 6.5, 2.0 <i>M</i> ammonium sulfate	Morpheus B12: 12.5% (v/v) MPD, 12.5% (v/v) PEG 1000, 12.5% (w/v) PEG 3350, 100 mM Tris–Bicine pH 8.5, 30 mM NaF, 30 mM NaBr, 30 mM NaI
Volume and ratio of drop	0.2 μl, 1:1	0.2 μl, 1:1	0.2 μl, 1:1
Volume of reservoir (µl)	40	40	40
Composition of cryoprotectant solution	80 m <i>M</i> HEPES free acid/sodium hydroxide pH 7.5, 160 m <i>M</i> ammonium acetate, 20%(<i>w</i> / <i>v</i>) PEG 3350, 20%(<i>v</i> / <i>v</i>) PEG 200	2.5 <i>M</i> lithium sulfate, 0.1 <i>M</i> bis-Tris pH 6.5, 2.0 <i>M</i> ammonium sulfate, 20%(<i>w</i> / <i>v</i>) PEG 3350, 20%(<i>v</i> / <i>v</i>) PEG 200	Directly from crystallization buffer

Table 3

Data collection and processing.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.

Data set	PDB entry 8uz4, apo, P4 ₁ 2 ₁ 2	PDB entry 8ur4, I4 ₁ 22	PDB entry 8ur2, <i>I</i> 4 ₁
Temperature (K)	100	100	100
Space group	P41212	I4 ₁ 22	<i>I</i> 4 ₁
a, b, c (Å)	80.72, 80.72,	109.87, 109.87,	118.39, 118.39,
	121.15	125.88	106.66
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90
Resolution range (Å)	80.71-2.40	82.78-2.55	83.71-1.90
	(2.46 - 2.40)	(2.62 - 2.55)	(1.95 - 1.90)
Total No. of reflections	379848 (29417)	211801 (15124)	787413 (58149)
Completeness (%)	100 (100)	100 (100)	100 (100)
Multiplicity	23.2 (24.6)	16.4 (15.8)	13.6 (13.6)
$\langle I \sigma(I) \rangle$	21.2 (1.6)	14.8 (1.6)	16.6 (1.8)
R _{r.i.m.}	0.093 (2.75)	0.113 (2.02)	0.078 (1.68)
R _{p.i.m.}	0.020 (0.55)	0.033 (0.51)	0.021 (0.45)

diffraction images have been stored with the Integrated Resource for Reproducibility in Macromolecular Crystallography at https://www.proteindiffraction.org.

2.4. Structure solution and refinement

The three structures were determined by molecular replacement with *Phaser* (McCoy *et al.*, 2007) from the *CCP*4 suite of programs (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994; Krissinel *et al.*, 2004; Winn *et al.*, 2011; Agirre *et al.*, 2023) using PDB entry 1mif (Sun *et al.*, 1996) as the search model. The structure was refined using *Phenix* (Liebschner *et al.*, 2019). Structure quality was checked with *MolProbity* (Williams *et al.*, 2018). Data-reduction and refinement statistics are shown in Table 4. Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited with the Worldwide PDB (wwPDB) as entries 8uz4 ($P4_12_12$ form), 8ur4 ($I4_122$ form) and 8ur2 ($I4_1$ form). The accuracy of the ligands and waters was also checked with the *CheckMyBlob* server (Kowiel *et al.*, 2019; https://checkmyblob.bioreproducibility.org/server/).

3. Results and discussion

The crystallized protein, His-*Tv*MIF, included 21 additional amino-acid residues at the N-terminus corresponding to the

Table 4Structure refinement.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.

Structure	PDB entry 8uz4.	PDB entry 8ur4.	PDB entry 8ur2.	
	apo, <i>P</i> 4 ₁ 2 ₁ 2	<i>I</i> 4 ₁ 22	<i>I</i> 4 ₁	
Resolution range (Å)	67.17-2.40	82.78-2.55	83.71-1.90	
	(2.55 - 2.40)	(2.75 - 2.55)	(1.93 - 1.90)	
Completeness (%)	100 (100)	100 (100)	99.8 (99.8)	
No. of reflections				
Working set	16289 (2517)	12901 (2400)	57681 (2599)	
Test set	816 (131)	646 (126)	2990 (145)	
Final R _{cryst}	0.245 (0.397)	0.253 (0.339)	0.192 (0.341)	
Final R _{free}	0.264 (0.431)	0.280 (0.416)	0.230 (0.383)	
No. of non-H atoms				
Protein	2588	2576	4713	
Ion	0	5	1	
Ligand	0	0	6	
Water	3	0	167	
Total	2591	2581	4887	
R.m.s. deviations				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.008	0.003	0.011	
Angles (°)	1.124	0.501	0.909	
Average B factors $(Å^2)$				
Protein	96.3	90.9	54.4	
Ion	0	88.5	124.4	
Ligand	0	0	60.0	
Water	61.9	0	47.5	
Ramachandran plot (%)			
Favored regions	99	98	99	
Additionally allowed	1	2	1	
Outliers	0	0	0	

purification tag and cleavage site (Table 1). Structures of His-TvMIF were determined in three different space groups (Table 4). The first is a *P*-centered tetragonal structure (PDB entry 8uz4) with no additional density for ligands, as was expected. It has three monomers in the asymmetric unit corresponding to the prototypical MIF trimer (Fig. 1*a*). Each monomer was refined with 115 amino acids. Attempts at co-crystallization with sodium 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate resulted in an apo structure, determined in the tetragonal space group $I4_{1}22$ (PDB entry 8ur4), that contains three monomers per asymmetric unit (Fig. 1*b*).

The third structure was co-crystallized with pyruvate (PDB entry 8ur2) and determined in the tetragonal space group $I4_1$. This structure is a hexamer or dimer of the prototypical MIF

trimer (Fig. 1c). The six monomers include two with 115 amino acids, two with 100 amino acids, one with 102 amino acids and one with 99 amino acids. Analysis of all three structures with the Protein Interfaces, Surfaces and Assembly service (PISA) at the European Bioinformatics Institute (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ pdbe/prot_int/pistart.html) suggests that TvMIF forms a stable prototypical MIF trimer (Krissinel, 2015). All three structures contain prototypical MIF trimers (Fig. 1d) that superpose with human hMIF-1 (PDB entry 1mif; Fig. 2a) and hMIF-2 (PDB entry 3ker; Fig. 2b). The r.m.s.d. for superposing C^{α} atoms of TvMIF trimers with the hMIF-1 trimer is ~ 1.1 Å, while that with hMIF-2 is ~1.2 Å. PDBeFold (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ msd-srv/ssm/) analysis (Krissinel & Henrick, 2004) using a default threshold of 70% was used to identify the closest structural neighbors of TvMIF as hMIF-1 and MIFs from other infectious protozoa, notably Entamoeba histolytica (PDB entry 6cuq; Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease, unpublished work) and Toxoplasma gondii (PDB entry 4dh4; Sommerville et al., 2013).

The hMIF-2 structure has an inhibitor, 4-IPP, bound in the tautomerase inhibitory site in the amino-terminus. The

N-terminal residues of His-TvMIF obscure this inhibitorbinding site, which is otherwise accessible in both hMIF-1 and hMIF-2 (Fig. 2c). The obstruction by the N-terminal extension explains why 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate does not co-crystallize with His-TvMIF since its expected binding site is blocked. The obstruction also explains why pyruvate does not bind in the expected tautomerase site. A similar obstructed N-terminus was observed in the recently reported structure of *Onchocerca volvulus* MIF (Kimble *et al.*, 2024).

The $F_o - F_c$ omit electron-density maps of PDB entry 8ur2 can be modeled with pyruvate (Supplementary Fig. S1*a*). The location of the density is different from previously identified MIF pyruvate-binding sites, which are always at the His-tagobscured N-terminus. We checked whether another molecule from the protein purification or crystallization solution was bound instead of pyruvate. However, pyruvate matches better than MPD or glycerol (Supplementary Fig. S1*b*). *LigPlus* analysis reveals that only one amino acid, Asp68, interacts with the pyruvate (Fig. 3). Furthermore, Asp68 is not conserved among MIFs. The location differs from the previously identified hMIF-1 allosteric inhibitor site (PDB



Figure 1

Quaternary structure of His-TvMIF. All three structures reveal prototypical MIF trimers. (*a*) The apo structure (PDB entry 8uz4) and (*b*) an attempt at co-crystallization with sodium 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate (PDB entry 8ur4) are prototypical MIF trimers. (*c*) The co-crystal with pyruvate (PDB entry 8ur2) is a dimer of two prototypical MIF trimers.



Figure 2

Comparison with human MIF homologs. (a) His-TvMIF trimers (shown in gray) superpose well with each other and with hMIF-1 (PDB entry 1mif, shown in blue). (b) They also superpose well with hMIF-2 (PDB entry 3ker). All three structures reveal prototypical MIF trimers. (c) The additional residues in His-TvMIF occupy the location of the tautomerase inhibitor IPP (shown as golden sticks) in hMIF-2; further details of the N-terminus are shown in the enlarged red parentheses.



Figure 3

Ligand-interaction plots generated with *LigPlus* show different amino acids involved in the binding of pyruvate (Pyr202) by His-*Tv*MIF (PDB entry 8ur2), of IPP (RW1120) by hMIF-2 (PDB entry 3ker) and of an allosteric inhibitor (4fq201) by hMIF-1 (PDB entry 6peg).

entry 6peg; Cirillo *et al.*, 2020; Fig. 3*b*) and that of 4-IPP in hMIF-2 (PDB entry 3ker; Rajasekaran *et al.*, 2014; Fig. 3*c*). Further analysis is required to determine whether this newly identified pyruvate-binding site is biologically relevant or merely a crystallization artifact, as suggested by *CheckMy-Blob*.

It has previously been demonstrated that recombinant TvMIF has tautomerase activity and mimics the ability of human MIF to increase inflammation and cell proliferation (Twu *et al.*, 2014). These studies were performed with carboxyl-terminally hexahistidine-tagged TvMIF, leaving the N-terminus unobstructed (Twu *et al.*, 2014). Future studies will include removal of the N-terminal tag and the generation of co-crystal structures of untagged TvMIF-1 with known MIF inhibitors.

4. Conclusion

The production, crystallization and three structures of N-terminally hexahistidine-tagged TvMIF (His-TvMIF) reveal a prototypical MIF trimer with a topology similar to that of the human homologs (hMIF-1 and hMIF-2). The N-terminal tag obscures the expected pyruvate-binding site. The similarity of TvMIF to its human homologs and to other MIFs (Supplementary Fig. S2) can be exploited for structure-based drug discovery.

Acknowledgements

This project is part of an SSGCID collaboration led by OAA to train diverse students in structural science, rational structure-based drug discovery and scientific communication. This project piloted the feasibility of expanding the training virtually with a biochemist, Dr Rabih Darwiche, and highschool student volunteers. AAS is a freshman at Caltech and a Grafton High alumna. AN is a sophomore at Reedy High, Texas. OCOD and RG are seniors at Grafton High School, Virginia. We are grateful for the support of the Dartmouth Cancer Center Director, Dr Steven Leach, and the Dartmouth Cancer Center Office of Diversity Equity, Inclusion and Belonging. This research used resources from the NYX beamline 19-ID, supported by the New York Structural Biology Center, at the National Synchrotron Light Source II, a US Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Brookhaven National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-SC0012704. The NYX detector instrumentation was supported by grant S10OD030394 through the Office of the Director of the National Institutes of Health.

Funding information

This project has been funded in whole or in part with Federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services under Contract No. 75N93022C00036.

References

- Agirre, J., Atanasova, M., Bagdonas, H., Ballard, C. B., Baslé, A., Beilsten-Edmands, J., Borges, R. J., Brown, D. G., Burgos-Mármol, J. J., Berrisford, J. M., Bond, P. S., Caballero, I., Catapano, L., Chojnowski, G., Cook, A. G., Cowtan, K. D., Croll, T. I., Debreczeni, J. É., Devenish, N. E., Dodson, E. J., Drevon, T. R., Emsley, P., Evans, G., Evans, P. R., Fando, M., Foadi, J., Fuentes-Montero, L., Garman, E. F., Gerstel, M., Gildea, R. J., Hatti, K., Hekkelman, M. L., Heuser, P., Hoh, S. W., Hough, M. A., Jenkins, H. T., Jiménez, E., Joosten, R. P., Keegan, R. M., Keep, N., Krissinel, E. B., Kolenko, P., Kovalevskiy, O., Lamzin, V. S., Lawson, D. M., Lebedev, A. A., Leslie, A. G. W., Lohkamp, B., Long, F., Malý, M., McCoy, A. J., McNicholas, S. J., Medina, A., Millán, C., Murray, J. W., Murshudov, G. N., Nicholls, R. A., Noble, M. E. M., Oeffner, R., Pannu, N. S., Parkhurst, J. M., Pearce, N., Pereira, J., Perrakis, A., Powell, H. R., Read, R. J., Rigden, D. J., Rochira, W., Sammito, M., Sánchez Rodríguez, F., Sheldrick, G. M., Shelley, K. L., Simkovic, F., Simpkin, A. J., Skubak, P., Sobolev, E., Steiner, R. A., Stevenson, K., Tews, I., Thomas, J. M. H., Thorn, A., Valls, J. T., Uski, V., Usón, I., Vagin, A., Velankar, S., Vollmar, M., Walden, H., Waterman, D., Wilson, K. S., Winn, M. D., Winter, G., Wojdyr, M. & Yamashita, K. (2023). Acta Cryst. D79, 449-461.
- Bryan, C. M., Bhandari, J., Napuli, A. J., Leibly, D. J., Choi, R., Kelley, A., Van Voorhis, W. C., Edwards, T. E. & Stewart, L. J. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* F67, 1010–1014.
- Chen, Y.-P., Twu, O. & Johnson, P. J. (2018). mBio, 9, e00910-18.
- Choi, R., Kelley, A., Leibly, D., Nakazawa Hewitt, S., Napuli, A. & Van Voorhis, W. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* F**67**, 998–1005.
- Cirillo, P. F., Asojo, O. A., Khire, U., Lee, Y., Mootien, S., Hegan, P., Sutherland, A. G., Peterson-Roth, E., Ledizet, M., Koski, R. A. & Anthony, K. G. (2020). ACS Med. Chem. Lett. **11**, 1843–1847.
- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). *Acta Cryst.* D**50**, 760–763.
- Edwards, T., Burke, P., Smalley, H. & Hobbs, G. (2016). Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 42, 406–417.
- Evans, P. R. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 282-292.
- Ghosh, S., Jiang, N., Farr, L., Ngobeni, R. & Moonah, S. (2019). Front. Immunol. 10, 1995.
- Gorrec, F. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 1035-1042.
- Kabsch, W. (1988). J. Appl. Cryst. 21, 67-72.
- Kabsch, W. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 125-132.
- Kimble, A. D., Dawson, O. C. O., Liu, L., Subramanian, S., Cooper, A., Battaile, K., Craig, J., Harmon, E., Myler, P., Lovell, S. & Asojo, O. A. (2024). Acta Cryst. F80, 328–334.
- Kowiel, M., Brzezinski, D., Porebski, P. J., Shabalin, I. G., Jaskolski, M. & Minor, W. (2019). *Bioinformatics*, 35, 452–461.
- Krissinel, E. (2015). Nucleic Acids Res. 43, W314-W319.
- Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 2256-2268.
- Krissinel, E. B., Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Ashton, A. W., Patel, P., Potterton, E. A., McNicholas, S. J., Cowtan, K. D. & Emsley, P. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 2250–2255.
- Liebschner, D., Afonine, P. V., Baker, M. L., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V. B., Croll, T. I., Hintze, B., Hung, L.-W., Jain, S., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N. W., Oeffner, R. D., Poon, B. K., Prisant, M. G., Read, R. J., Richardson, J. S., Richardson, D. C., Sammito, M. D., Sobolev, O. V., Stockwell, D. H., Terwilliger, T. C., Urzhumtsev, A. G., Videau, L. L., Williams, C. J. & Adams, P. D. (2019). Acta Cryst. D75, 861–877.
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C. & Read, R. J. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 658–674.
- Molgora, B. M., Mukherjee, S. K., Baumel-Alterzon, S., Santiago, F. M., Muratore, K. A., Sisk, A. E. Jr, Mercer, F. & Johnson, P. J. (2023). *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **17**, e0011693.
- Pereira, J. H., McAndrew, R. P., Tomaleri, G. P. & Adams, P. D. (2017). J. Appl. Cryst. 50, 1352–1358.
- Rajasekaran, D., Zierow, S., Syed, M., Bucala, R., Bhandari, V. & Lolis, E. J. (2014). *FASEB J.* **28**, 4961–4971.

- Serbzhinskiy, D. A., Clifton, M. C., Sankaran, B., Staker, B. L., Edwards, T. E. & Myler, P. J. (2015). Acta Cryst. F71, 594–599.
- Sommerville, C., Richardson, J. M., Williams, R. A., Mottram, J. C., Roberts, C. W., Alexander, J. & Henriquez, F. L. (2013). *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 12733–12741.
- Studier, F. W. (2005). Protein Expr. Purif. 41, 207-234.
- Sun, H. W., Bernhagen, J., Bucala, R. & Lolis, E. (1996). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 93, 5191–5196.
- Tsang, S. H., Peisch, S. F., Rowan, B., Markt, S. C., Gonzalez-Feliciano, A. G., Sutcliffe, S., Platz, E. A., Mucci, L. A. & Ebot, E. M. (2019). *Intl J. Cancer*, **144**, 2377–2380.
- Twu, O., Dessí, D., Vu, A., Mercer, F., Stevens, G. C., de Miguel, N., Rappelli, P., Cocco, A. R., Clubb, R. T., Fiori, P. L. & Johnson, P. J. (2014). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 8179–8184.

Van Gerwen, O. T. & Muzny, C. A. (2019). F1000Res, 8, 1666.

- Vonrhein, C., Flensburg, C., Keller, P., Sharff, A., Smart, O., Paciorek, W., Womack, T. & Bricogne, G. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 293–302.
- Williams, C. J., Headd, J. J., Moriarty, N. W., Prisant, M. G., Videau, L. L., Deis, L. N., Verma, V., Keedy, D. A., Hintze, B. J., Chen, V. B., Jain, S., Lewis, S. M., Arendall, W. B., Snoeyink, J., Adams, P. D., Lovell, S. C., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, J. S. (2018). *Protein Sci.* 27, 293–315.
- Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Cowtan, K. D., Dodson, E. J., Emsley, P., Evans, P. R., Keegan, R. M., Krissinel, E. B., Leslie, A. G. W., McCoy, A., McNicholas, S. J., Murshudov, G. N., Pannu, N. S., Potterton, E. A., Powell, H. R., Read, R. J., Vagin, A. & Wilson, K. S. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 235–242.
- Zhang, Z., Li, Y., Lu, H., Li, D., Zhang, R., Xie, X., Guo, L., Hao, L., Tian, X., Yang, Z., Wang, S. & Mei, X. (2022). *Acta Trop.* **236**, 106693.