research papers



Received 26 May 2021 Accepted 3 September 2021

Edited by A. N. Cormack, Alfred University, USA

Keywords: AA_h BN crystals; boron nitride nanotubes; morphology; transmission electron microscopy; electron diffraction; helical structures.

CCDC reference: 2107341

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at www.iucrj.org







AA_h BN crystal, basic structure of boron nitride nanotubes

Jae-Kap Lee,^a* Jin-Gyu Kim,^b Seunggun Yu,^c Sang-Gil Lee,^d Yesong Kim^a and Dong Ju Moon^e

^aOpto-Electronic Materials and Devices Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul, 02792, Republic of Korea, ^bCenter for Scientific Instrumentation, Korea Basic Science Institute, Daejeon, 34133, Republic of Korea, ^cInsulation Materials Research Center, Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute, Changwon, 51543, Republic of Korea, ^dCenter for Research Equipment, Korea Basic Science Institute, Daejeon, 34133, Republic of Korea, and ^eClean Energy Research Center (KIST), Seoul, 02792, Republic of Korea. *Correspondence e-mail: jklee@kist.re.kr

 AA_h boron nitride (BN) crystal, assigned to an orthorhombic space group (No. 31, $Pm2_1$), is reported here. This new AA_h BN crystal exhibits a 'linear' morphology for high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and a (non-hexagonal) 'diagonal' electron-diffraction pattern, which have been experimentally demonstrated in this article. It is also demonstrated that this new crystal is the basic structure of multi-walled BN nanotubes (BNNTs) existing in the form of a helix. The helical AA_h BNNTs exist in a metastable phase owing to (200) texture growth of the orthorhombic crystal, where the energy is ~15 meV higher than that of stable AB or AA' BN. It is shown that the typical scanning electron microscope 'fluffy cotton-like' morphology of BNNTs is due to secondary growth of diverse BN sheets (including mono-layers) on incoherently scrolled wall strands of BNNTs, providing further evidence for the helical structure with HRTEM evidence for a left-handed helix.

1. Introduction

Synthetic boron nitride (BN) exhibits unique properties comparable in nature with those of graphite because of the similarity in crystal structure between these materials. Both materials feature a 2D van der Waals (sp^2) structure forming different crystalline structures, typically AA (or AA') and AB(AB' or A'B) (Constantinescu et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2021), according to the stacking sequence of the planes. This structural similarity extends to multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) and multi-walled BN nanotubes (BNNTs) (Iijima, 1991; Golberg et al., 2010; Chopra et al., 1995). It is generally understood that MWNTs are concentric tubes of graphene nanosheets with different diameters (Dresselhaus et al., 1995). The tube model for MWNTs has also been accepted as the structure of BNNTs since their first report in 1995 (Chopra et al., 1995) because the high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM)-determined morphologies and X-ray diffraction (XRD) and electron diffraction (ED) patterns of the latter are very similar to those of MWNTs.

In a multi-walled tube structure, the stacking between concentric tube walls must be disordered. Thus, researchers have tried to explain the crystalline XRD and ED features of MWNTs and BNNTs in terms of chirality (Dresselhaus *et al.*, 1995; Ruland *et al.*, 2003; Meyer *et al.*, 2003) or periodic defects (Zhang *et al.*, 1993; Celik-Aktas *et al.*, 2005). However, Lee *et al.* (2013) showed that MWNTs are crystalline in helix structures resulting from (helical) textured growth of *AA'* graphite

nanoribbons (see Fig. S1 of the supporting information), following the discovery of AA' graphite (Lee *et al.*, 2008). Lee *et al.* (2014) also reinterpreted the structure of a single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT) as a graphene helix resulting from helical growth of a graphene nanoribbon and subsequent lateral growth of the helix (Park *et al.*, 2019).

BNNTs have been synthesized by plasma arc discharge, chemical vapour deposition (CVD) and laser-ablation methods, and are currently commercially available. The nanostructures reveal typical morphological features and XRD and ED patterns. The common and unique feature of BNNTs is a 'fluffy cotton-like' morphology (Kim *et al.*, 2014) observed in scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images (Smith *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2016; Kim *et al.*, 2019). Wang *et al.* (2016) attributed the SEM morphology to amorphous contaminants coated on the tube surfaces. Celik-Aktas *et al.* (2005) reported single-crystalline (revealed by the spot ED pattern) BNNTs, suggesting a double-helix model with a zigzag structure, as determined by their unique TEM morphology, which showed regular dark spots (revealing a helical trace) on BNNTs.

On the other hand, the existence of AA' stacked graphite as helical (MWNT) or ribbon structures (Lee *et al.*, 2013, 2016) suggests the possibility of the presence of another BN crystal, equivalent to AA' graphite. In this article, we reveal AA_h stacked BN crystal and demonstrate that BNNTs are helical forms of this BN crystal with HRTEM and XRD measurements for commercial BNNTs.

2. Experimental

We analysed commercial BNNTs (BNNT P1 Beta, LLC) synthesized by laser ablation developed by NASA. The samples were analysed by XRD (X'pert Pro, PANalytical) using a Cu K_{α} source where the step size was 0.02°. Overall features of BNNTs were observed by SEM (field-emission SEM, Inspect F50, FEI) with an acceleration voltage of 10 kV, and their HRTEM imaging was performed by Cs-corrected TEM (Libra 200 HT Mc, Carl Zeiss) operating at 200 kV. The samples for SEM observation were prepared by placing the BNNT powders onto a carbon tape and sputtered with platinum that was nanometres thick. For TEM observations, the samples were dispersed in ethyl alcohol with sonication for 1 min, and the solution was then dropped onto a copper grid coated with lacey carbon films and air dried at room temperature. Selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were acquired from independent BNNTs. DigitalMicrograph (Gatan Inc.) software was used to analyse the HRTEM images and their fast Fourier transform (FFT) patterns. The interlayer binding energy for four different stacking structures of BN [Fig. S2(a)] was calculated by firstprinciples methods implemented in the Quantum ESPRESSO simulation package (Giannozzi et al., 2009). Ultra-soft pseudopotentials were used to represent the interaction between ionic cores and valence electrons (Vanderbilt, 1990). A generalized gradient approximation was used for the exchange correlation energy of electrons (Perdew et al., 1996). A planewave basis with an energy cut-off of 40 Ry was used with a suitable mesh of the grid (Monkhorst & Pack, 1976; Methfessel & Paxton, 1989). XRD patterns of all the structures were generated by the *FullProf* suite for comparison with the experimental data (Rodríguez-Carvajal, 2001).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. AA_h BN crystal

Fig. 1(*a*) shows the crystal unit of AA_h BN, assigned to an orthorhombic structure (No. 31, $Pm2_1$) where *a*, *b* and *c* are 2.46 Å, 4.26 Å and 6.88 Å, respectively. Our AA_h BN can be defined as a horizontal shift of every other BN plane by a half hexagon (~1.23 Å) relative to the *AA* zigzag structure or as a vertical shift by a half interatomic distance (~0.71 Å) relative to the *AB* zigzag structure (Fig. S2). As shown in Figs. 1(*b*) and 1(*c*), the crystal exhibits a diagonal ED pattern and a linear HRTEM morphology.

The linear lattices, corresponding to (020) of orthorhombic AA_h BN, are evident in the atomic resolution image of a BN nanosheet (BNNS) [Fig. 2(*b*)]. The analysis is proven by the unique (non-hexagonal) FFT pattern for AA_h (FFT-1) where the strong (020) spots are evident with h(100) (which is due to local sliding of BN planes collapsing the orthorhombic crystal). Hexagonal HRTEM morphology for AB BN, appearing as Morrie pattern (disorderly overlapped AB/AB BN), is also evident in FFT-3. The data demonstrate the





The crystal structure of AA_h BN. (a) A crystal unit of AA_h BN assigned as orthorhombic (No. 31, $Pm2_1$). (b) A simulated ED pattern of AA_h BN. Strong (020) and (200) spots result in a diagonal pattern, although relatively weak (110) and (220) spots appear. (c) A simulated HRTEM image of AA_h BN. Linear lattices, corresponding to (020), are evident.

research papers



Figure 2

HRTEM data of a BNNT sample. (a) An HRTEM image of the BNNT sample, revealing the BNNT–BNNS structure where the BNNS grew from the BNNT on the left. (b) An atomic resolution image of the rectangle in (a). Split spots in the FFT patterns indicate that the BNNS consists of two BNNSs twisted by a few degrees. h(100) spots are of the hexagonal structure due to local sliding of the BN sheets destroying the orthorhombic structure.

presence of AA_h BN in nature which clearly differs with AB (or AA) BN in hexagonal symmetries. We also point out that the BNNS is interconnected to the left BNNT [see the white arrows in Fig. 2(*a*)].

3.2. Structure of BNNTs: AA_h BN crystal based helix

HRTEM images of the BNNT samples are shown in Fig. 3. Well developed BNNT with a diameter of ~5 nm [Fig. 3(a')] reveals an FFT pattern which is identical to the expected ED pattern for an AA_h zigzag BN existing in helix where (020) and (200) spots appear with the (002), (004) and h(100) spots (Fig. S3). The other two BNNTs also reveal SAED patterns consistent with the zigzag helix structure (Fig. S4). The HRTEM data indicate that the BNNTs adopt AA_h zigzag BN in the form of a helix. The unique geometry of BNNT and BNNS structures shown in Fig. 3(c), in which the BNNTs is placed beneath the BNNT and spreads out from left to right, provides evidence that the helix has formed via a left-handed scroll.

In the helix model for BNNTs, lateral growth following helical growth of an AA_h BN nanoribbon seed creates a tubelike structure, as depicted in Fig. 3(*b*). Parallel bending of the BN layers [Fig. 3(*b'*)] allows the polygonal helix to maintain its



Figure 3

HRTEM images and the helical-growth model of BNNTs. (a) An HRTEM image of a BNNT. (a') The FFT pattern obtained from the HRTEM image in (a). (b) The helical-growth model for a BNNT (AA_h BN helix with a zigzag structure) based on those of MWNTs (Lee *et al.*, 2013) and SWNTs (Lee *et al.*, 2014). An AA_h BN nanoribbon seed (bottom) initiates and leads to helical growth comprising seven diagonal growths, which is assumed to adopt the heptagon cross-sectional morphology of an MWNT (Fig. S1). HG, DG and LG in (b) indicate helical growth, diagonal growth and lateral growth, respectively. (b') A schematic explaining the facet growth of BN layers to maintain crystallinity. (c) An HRTEM image of a BNNT sample. The yellow arrows indicate left-handed scrolling. (c'), (c'') Magnified images of the squares in (c). A' in (c'') indicates a disconnected layer. The white arrows in (c') indicates helical edges where all of the wall layers are disconnected. The black arrows in (a) and (c) indicate the traces of mono-layer BN.

crystallinity. The crystallinity, however, may not be perfect throughout the helix because spiral growth of layered materials naturally accompanies a slight sliding of the layers, thus partially collapsing the order in stacking. These results explain the observation of h(100) spots in the FFT [Fig. 3(a')] and ED patterns of BNNTs (Fig. S4). We attribute the appearance of twin spots [Fig. 3(a')] to a horizontal tilt (measured to be $\sim 3^{\circ}$) of the crystalline tube-like sample on the TEM grid (Zhang & Amelinckx, 1994; Lee *et al.*, 2013) rather than to chirality.

Many well defined SAED patterns of BNNTs, which are identical to those analysed in this work, have been previously reported from diverse samples prepared by CVD (Celik-Aktas *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2016; Golberg *et al.*, 2000), laser ablation (Arenal *et al.*, 2006) and arc deposition (Kim *et al.*, 2014; Demczyk *et al.*, 2001). The unassigned SAED spots reported by Celik-Aktas *et al.* (2005) can be explained as those for AA_h BN. These indicate that conventional BNNTs have a helical structure. We expect that a right-handed helical scroll may be possible according to the initial (left- or right-handed)

curvature of the nanoribbon nuclei, although we have shown evidence for left-handed helical growth in this work [Fig. 3(c)].

3.3. Morphological features of BNNTs

Fig. 4(a) shows a low-magnification TEM image of laserablated BNNT samples. The fluffy cotton-like SEM morphology unique to BNNTs (Smith et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2019) is evident in the SEM image of the sample [inset in Fig. 4(a)]. A fluffy cotton-like structure is imaged by HRTEM [Fig. 4(b)] where a BNNT coexists with mono-layer and multi-layer BNNSs. The multi-layer BNNS reveals eye-like FFT patterns [insets in Fig. 4(b'')]. Such unique FFT patterns appear when AA_h BNNSs overlap in a twisted manner, while twisted overlapping of AB BNNSs results in a ring pattern (Fig. S5), thus providing evidence for the presence of AA_h BN. The unique HRTEM morphology, in which the multi-layer BNNSs spread out from the wall of the AA_h BNNTs [Fig. 4(b'')], indicates that the BNNSs grew further after branching from the wall [the white arrows in Figs. 4(b') and 4(b'')], maintaining the inherent AA_h stacking.

The HRTEM data (Fig. 4) explain the BNNT-BNNS interconnect morphology, shown in Fig. 2(*a*), by showing that the BNNS has branched from the left BNNT and has grown to the right via the preferred $\langle 200 \rangle$ oriented growth. The analysis regarding the BNNT-BNNS structures [Figs. 2(*a*) and 4(*b*)] explains the unique morphology observed in Fig. 3(*c*), where the BNNT is lacking four layers of the wall and the BNNS



Figure 4

HRTEM images of BNNTs. (*a*) A low-magnification TEM image of the BNNT sample. The inset shows an SEM image revealing the typical fluffy cotton-like morphology of BNNTs. (*b*) An HRTEM image revealing the typical fluffy cotton-like morphology of BNNTs with coexisting monolayer and multi-layer BNNSs. (*b'*), (*b''*) Magnified images in the squares in (*b*). The inset in (*b'*) shows the FFT pattern obtained from the square on the left BNNT, revealing evidence for the zigzag AA_h BN helix. The multi-layer BNNSs (*b''*) reveals the unique eye-like FFT patterns for AA_h BN (insets).

contains the four layers. The morphology indicates that the four layers released from the wall grew further to the BNNS during laser ablation. The FFT pattern of the BNNS revealing an uneven ring pattern [inset in Fig. 3(c)] indicates that the sheet consists of disorderly overlapped AA_h BN. The data indicate that the fluffy cotton-like SEM morphology of BNNTs is due to their coexistence with diverse BN sheets with a lateral dimension of approximately hundreds of nanometres.

The left-handed helical BNNT reveals several traces of mono-layer BN, as indicated by the arrows in Fig. 3(c). Practically, all the BNNTs we analysed in this work revealed evidence for mono-layer BN [Figs. 2(a), 3(a), 3(c), 4(b') and S6]. Mono-layer BN is very rare, even in the CVD approach for the synthesis of 2D BNNSs on catalytic transition metal substrates (Sutter et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2017). We attribute the prevalence of mono-layer BN to the helical structure of the BNNTs. Here, there are two possible origins of the monolayer BN on the surface of the helical BNNTs: the breakaway of the outermost layer [arrow A' in Fig. 3(c'')] on which the mono-layer BN grows further and mismatched helical edges (i.e. helical defects), which serve as a seed for mono-layer BN [arrow B in Fig. 3(c)] instead of the breakaway layer. The former may deploy a mono-layer BNNS similar to the multilayer BNNS [Figs. 2(a), 3(c) and 4(b'')], while the latter may generate localized mono-layer BN bound on the surface of BNNTs. This explains the appearance of the mono-layer BNNSs [Fig. 4(b')] as well as the ubiquitous traces of monolayer BN on BNNTs. Our analysis, based on HRTEM observations, clarifies that the typical fluffy cotton-like SEM morphology of BNNTs is due to BNNSs (including monolayers) atomically interconnected to the helical BNNTs. This indicates that conventional BNNTs have a helical structure.

We expect that the fluffy cotton-like morphology is comparable with the bamboo morphology that is unique to MWNTs (Lee et al., 2013; Jia et al., 2017), Both are due to the release of the nanoribbons comprising the helix wall during spiral growth. Inward release of the AA' graphite ribbons produces the bamboo structure of MWNTs, while outward release (and further growth) of the AA_h BN ribbons produces the typical fluffy cotton-like structure for BNNTs. We attribute the difference to the \sim 2.5-fold higher bending stiffness of BN layers (acting as a resistance to the spiral growth) than of graphene layers (Qu et al., 2019). Similarly, the dominant mono-layer BN is comparable with the case of MWNTs, on which traces of graphene are frequently observed (Jia et al., 2017; Gerard Lavin et al., 2002). We suggest that the graphene layers observed in contact with MWNTs are overgrown and indivisible from their helical edges, thus providing evidence for their helical structure. We infer that the regular dark spots on BNNTs reported by Celik-Aktas et al. (2005) may be due to BNNSs (which may be mono-layers) grown on their helical edge (i.e. the unique dark spots on BNNTs have a kind of fluffy cotton-like morphology analysed in Fig. 4). The perfectness of BNNTs varies depending on the synthesis methods and conditions used, and some coherently scrolled BNNTs can be seen as a concentric tube with a clean surface, explaining their diverse surface states (Celik-Aktas et al., 2005;

Kim *et al.*, 2014; Golberg *et al.*, 2000; Arenal *et al.*, 2006; Demczyk *et al.*, 2001; Bechelany *et al.*, 2008; Allard *et al.*, 2020).

3.4. XRD analysis of BNNTs sample

The unique XRD pattern for BNNTs, exhibiting four peaks including (002) at $2\theta \simeq 25.8^{\circ}$ (3.45 Å), (020) at $2\theta \simeq 42^{\circ}$ (2.13 Å), (004) at $2\theta \simeq 53^{\circ}$ (1.72 Å), and (200) at $2\theta \simeq 77^{\circ}$ (1.23 Å), is evident in Fig. 5. The (helical) texture growth of AA_h BN nanoribbon seeds [Fig. 3(b)] can explain the unique pattern, lacking several peaks of commercial BN powders [black line in Fig. 6(a)] which were determined to be AB (or AA') BN (Warner et al., 2010; Sutter et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2017). The interplanar spacing was measured to be 3.45 Å, which is larger than that (3.35 Å) of BN powders and is close to the value of 3.44 Å for AA' graphite. The data indicate that the BNNT sample has a textured AA_h BN structure, similar to that of MWNTs. With texturing, the (hk0) (0kl), (h0l) and (hkl) peaks become weaker, while the (h00) and (0k0) peaks become stronger (Lee et al., 2014, 2016), compared with the simulated pattern for AA_h BN [Fig. 6(b)]. On the other hand, the broad XRD (002) peak for BNNTs (da Silva et al., 2018; Harrison et al., 2019) can be explained by the (helical) facetted growth of the BNNTs where any ordered stacking may not be retained at the corners of the helix [dotted circles in Fig. 3(b')]. The XRD pattern of BNNTs (Fig. 5) is typical for BNNTs (da



Figure 5

XRD analysis for BN structures. (*a*) XRD patterns of BNNTs and BN powders. The (020) and (200) peaks of the BNNTs (orthorhombic) are equivalent to (100) and (110) of the BN powders (hexagonal), respectively. (*b*) Simulated XRD patterns of typical BN crystals. AA_h BN adopts an orthorhombic structure, while AA and AB BN (where *a*, *b* and *c* are 2.46 Å, 2.46 Å and 6.70 Å, respectively) adopt a hexagonal structure.

Silva *et al.*, 2018; Harrison *et al.*, 2019). These indicate that our helix model can also be explained by XRD analysis.

3.5. Energy calculation

The interlayer binding energy for different stacking structures of BN is shown in Fig. 6. The energy of AA_h BN is $\sim 15 \text{ meV}$ higher than that of AB (AB') or AA' BN (Constantinescu et al., 2013; Topsakal et al., 2009; Gilbert et al., 2019) and ~40 meV lower than that of unstable A'B BN, indicating that our unique AA_h BN crystal can be defined as a metastable phase of BN. This is similar to the case of graphite, in which AA' stacking is a metastable phase with an energy \sim 1.1 meV higher than that of AB BN (Lee et al., 2016). The existence of metastable AA_h BNNTs (kinetically formed by texture growth) may be due to their diagonal facets, which prohibit the change to stable AB or AA' BN. We attribute the formation of the metastable AA_h BN phase (Fig. 6) to the (200) preferred growth orientation [Fig. 3(b)] due to the anisotropic stacking of the BN planes (Fig. 1). With texture growth, the metastable phase can be competitively compared with AB (or AA') stacking (Lee *et al.*, 2016).

3.6. Observation of heterostrain

Huder *et al.* (2018) reported heterostrain of layered (graphene) materials where each layer is strained independently. We attribute the panoramic variation of the BNNS, shown in Fig. 2(*b*), to heterostrain (originated from a nanocurvature of the BNNT) twisting or slipping of mono-layer or few-layer sheets. The coexistence of AA_h BN and AB BN structure in the BNNS is due to gradual phase transformation of the BNNS from AA_h (FFT-1) to AB (FFT-3) through the uneven hexagonal pattern in the transition stage (FFT-2) [Fig. 2(*b*)]. This analysis, based on the heterostrain, may explain the twisted overlap of the AA_h BN sheets (evident by the unique eye FFT pattern) [Fig. 4(*b''*)] atomically bonded to the BNNT. The HRTEM and FFT data, shown in Fig. 2, demonstrate the presence of AA_h BN as well as the presence of heterostrain in layered materials.



Calculated stacking energies with stacking structures for bi-layer h-BN. AA' stacking is the most stable configuration for BN.

4. Summary

In summary, we have reported on AA_h BN crystal assigned to an orthorhombic space group (No. 31, $Pm2_1$). Our crystal appears as the helical structure of BNNTs, resulting from the spiral texture growth of the anisotropic stacking structure of the orthorhombic AA_h BN crystal. The fluffy cotton-like morphology typical to BNNTs is due to incoherent scroll of the BNNSs. Heterostrain in layered materials is demonstrated, resulting in localized transformation of AA_h BN to AB BN of which coexistence in a BN sheet is imaged by HRTEM. The ubiquitous traces of mono-layer BN on BNNTs become general evidence of our helical structure model. Our analysis shows that the structure of BNNTs should be interpreted based on crystalline growth, which occurs along the minimum energy path.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr K. P. S. S. Hembram for energy calculation and comments on the manuscript. The simulations were carried out by the server farm supercomputing facility at KIST.

Funding information

The work was supported by Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST) Future Resource Program (2E30432) and Korea Basic Science Institute Institutional Programs (D110400).

References

- Allard, C., Schué, L., Fossard, F., Recher, G., Nascimento, R., Flahaut, E., Loiseau, A., Desjardins, P., Martel, R. & Gaufrès, E. (2020). *Adv. Mater.* 32, 2001429.
- Arenal, R., Kociak, M., Loiseau, A. & Miller, D. (2006). Appl. Phys. Lett. 89, 073104.
- Bechelany, M., Brioude, A., Stadelmann, P., Bernard, S., Cornu, D. & Miele, P. (2008). J. Phys. Chem. C, 112, 18325–18330.
- Celik-Aktas, A., Zuo, J.-M., Stubbins, J. F., Tang, C. & Bando, Y. (2005). Acta Cryst. A61, 533–541.
- Chopra, N. G., Luyken, R. J., Cherrey, K., Crespi, V. H., Cohen, M. L., Louie, S. G. & Zettl, A. (1995). *Science*, 269, 966–967.
- Constantinescu, G., Kuc, A. & Heine, T. (2013). Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 036104.
- Demczyk, B. G., Cumings, J., Zettl, A. & Ritchie, R. O. (2001). Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 2772–2774.
- Dresselhaus, M. S., Dresselhaus, G. & Saito, R. (1995). Carbon, 33, 883–891.
- Gerard Lavin, J., Subramoney, S., Ruoff, R. S., Berber, S. & Tománek, D. (2002). *Carbon*, **40**, 1123–1130.
- Giannozzi, P., Baroni, S., Bonini, N., Calandra, M., Car, R., Cavazzoni, C., Ceresoli, D., Chiarotti, G. L., Cococcioni, M., Dabo, I., Dal Corso, A., de Gironcoli, S., Fabris, S., Fratesi, G., Gebauer, R., Gerstmann, U., Gougoussis, C., Kokalj, A., Lazzeri, M., Martin-Samos, L., Marzari, N., Mauri, F., Mazzarello, R., Paolini, S., Pasquarello, A., Paulatto, L., Sbraccia, C., Scandolo, S., Sclauzero, G., Seitsonen, A. P., Smogunov, A., Umari, P. & Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2009). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 21, 395502.

- Gilbert, S. M., Pham, T., Dogan, M., Oh, S., Shevitski, B., Schumm, G., Liu, S., Ercius, P., Aloni, S., Cohen, M. L. & Zettl, A. (2019). 2D Mater. 6, 021006.
- Golberg, D., Bando, Y., Huang, Y., Terao, T., Mitome, M., Tang, C. & Zhi, C. (2010). ACS Nano, 4, 2979–2993.
- Golberg, D., Bando, Y., Kurashima, K. & Sato, T. (2000). Solid State Commun. 116, 1–6.
- Harrison, H., Lamb, J. T., Nowlin, K. S., Guenthner, A. J., Ghiassi, K. B., Kelkar, A. D. & Alston, J. R. (2019). *Nanoscale Adv.* 1, 1693–1701.
- Huder, L., Artaud, A., Le Quang, T., de Laissardière, G. T., Jansen, A. G. M., Lapertot, G., Chapelier, C. & Renard, V. T. (2018). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 156405.
- Iijima, S. (1991). Nature, 354, 56-58.
- Jia, Z., Kou, K., Qin, M., Wu, H., Puleo, F. & Liotta, L. F. (2017). *Catalysts*, **7**, 256.
- Khan, M. H., Liu, H. K., Sun, X., Yamauchi, Y., Bando, Y., Golberg, D. & Huang, Z. (2017). *Mater. Today*, **20**, 611–628.
- Kim, J. H., Cho, H., Pham, T. V., Hwang, J. H., Ahn, S., Jang, S. G., Lee, H., Park, C., Kim, C. S. & Kim, M. J. (2019). Sci. Rep. 9, 15674.
- Kim, K.-S., Kingston, C. T., Hrdina, A., Jakubinek, M. B., Guan, J., Plunkett, M. & Simard, B. (2014). ACS Nano, 8, 6211–6220.
- Lee, J.-K., Kim, J.-G., Hembram, K. P. S. S., Kim, Y.-I., Min, B.-K., Park, Y., Lee, J.-K., Moon, D. J., Lee, W., Lee, S.-G. & John, P. (2016). *Sci. Rep.* 6, 39624.
- Lee, J.-K., Kim, J.-G., Hembram, K. P. S. S., Yu, S. & Lee, S.-G. (2021). *Acta Cryst.* B77, 260–265.
- Lee, J.-K., Lee, S., Kim, J.-G., Min, B.-K., Kim, Y.-I., Lee, K.-I., An, K. H. & John, P. (2014). *Small*, **10**, 3283–3290.
- Lee, J.-K., Lee, S., Kim, Y. I., Kim, J.-G., Lee, K.-I., Ahn, J.-P., Min, B.-K., Yu, C.-J., Hwa Chae, K. & John, P. (2013). *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 161911.
- Lee, J.-K., Lee, S.-C., Ahn, J.-P., Kim, S.-C., Wilson, J. I. B. & John, P. (2008). J. Chem. Phys. 129, 234709.
- Methfessel, M. P. & Paxton, A. T. (1989). Phys. Rev. B, 40, 3616-3621.
- Meyer, R. R., Friedrichs, S., Kirkland, A. I., Sloan, J., Hutchison, J. L. & Green, M. L. H. (2003). *J. Microsc.* **212**, 152–157.
- Monkhorst, H. J. & Pack, J. D. (1976). Phys. Rev. B, 13, 5188-5192.
- Park, Y., Hembram, K. P. S. S., Yoo, R., Jang, B., Lee, W., Lee, S.-G., Kim, J.-G., Kim, Y.-I., Moon, D. J., Lee, J.-K. & Lee, J.-K. (2019). J. Phys. Chem. C, 123, 14003–14009.
- Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. (1996). Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865–3868.
- Qu, W., Bagchi, S., Chen, X., Chew, H. B. & Ke, C. (2019). *Appl. Phys.* **52**, 465301.
- Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. (2001). IUCr, 26, 12-19.
- Ruland, W., Schaper, A. K., Hou, H. & Greiner, A. (2003). *Carbon*, **41**, 423–427.
- Silva, W. M. da, Hilário Ferreira, T., de Morais, C. A., Soares Leal, A. & Barros Sousa, E. M. (2018). *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* **131**, 30–35.
- Smith, M. W., Jordan, K. C., Park, C., Kim, J.-W., Lillehei, P. T., Crooks, R. & Harrison, J. S. (2009). *Nanotechnology*, 20, 505604.
- Sutter, P., Lahiri, J., Zahl, P., Wang, B. & Sutter, E. (2013). *Nano Lett.* **13**, 276–281.
- Topsakal, M., Aktürk, E. & Ciraci, S. (2009). Phys. Rev. B, 79, 115442.
- Vanderbilt, D. (1990). *Phys. Rev. B*, **41**, 7892–7895. Wang, L., Li, T., Ling, L., Luo, J., Zhang, K., Xu, Y., Lu, H. & Yao, Y.
- (2016). *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **652**, 27–31. Warner, J. H., Rümmeli, M. H., Bachmatiuk, A. & Büchner, B. (2010).
- *ACS Nano*, **4**, 1299–1304.
- Zhang, X. B. & Amelinckx, S. (1994). Carbon, 32, 1537–1539.
- Zhang, X. F., Zhang, X. B., Van Tendeloo, G., Amelinckx, S., Op de Beeck, M. & Van Landuyt, J. (1993). J. Cryst. Growth, 130, 368–382.