3D-printed sheet jet for stable megahertz liquid sample delivery at X-ray free-electron lasers
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X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs) can probe chemical and biological reactions as they unfold with unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution. A principal challenge in this pursuit involves the delivery of samples to the X-ray interaction point in such a way that produces data of the highest possible quality and with maximal efficiency. This is hampered by intrinsic constraints posed by the light source and operation within a beamline environment. For liquid samples, the solution typically involves some form of high-speed liquid jet, capable of keeping up with the rate of X-ray pulses. However, conventional jets are not ideal because of radiation-induced explosions of the jet, as well as their cylindrical geometry combined with the X-ray pointing instability of many beamlines which causes the interaction volume to differ for every pulse. This complicates data analysis and contributes to measurement errors. An alternative geometry is a liquid sheet jet which, with its constant thickness over large areas, eliminates the problems related to X-ray pointing. Since liquid sheets can be made very thin, the radiation-induced explosion is reduced, boosting their stability. These are especially attractive for experiments which benefit from small interaction volumes such as fluctuation X-ray scattering and several types of spectroscopy. Although their use has increased for soft X-ray applications in recent years, there has not yet been wide-scale adoption at XFELs. Here, gas-accelerated liquid sheet jet sample injection is demonstrated at the European XFEL SPB/SFX nano focus beamline. Its performance relative to a conventional liquid jet is evaluated and superior performance across several key factors has been found. This includes a thickness profile ranging from hundreds of nanometres to 60 nm, a fourfold increase in background stability and favorable radiation-induced explosion dynamics at high repetition rates up to 1.13 MHz. Its minute thickness also suggests that ultrafast single-particle solution scattering is a possibility.

1. Introduction

X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs) have revolutionized the field of molecular imaging and spectroscopy by offering ultrashort X-ray pulses with very high peak brilliance and spatial coherence. Such instruments have offered an unparalleled glimpse of molecular machinery with atomic scale resolution (Chapman et al., 2011; Kraus et al., 2018; Bergmann...
Despite the remarkable development of XFELs over the past years, several obstacles remain to further push the boundaries of methodological development to yield more refined molecular information.

Liquid sample injection is an essential element of XFEL research that impacts a wide range of measurement applications. This spans powerful biological imaging methods such as serial femtosecond crystallography (SFX) and solution scattering, where direct structural probes of condensed phase molecular reactions, as well several types of spectroscopies with a range of applications. However, given the unique characteristics of XFEL light sources, care must be taken to achieve proper sample delivery. Inevitable radiation-induced damage resulting from the extremely high peak intensity necessitates that measurements are conducted serially and samples must be delivered under continuous flow (Vakili et al., 2022). This is especially challenging at high-repetition-rate beamlines, such as the European XFEL (EuXFEL), which is capable of producing MHz pulse trains. Additionally, large background fluctuations arising from turbulent liquid flow, excessive sample consumption, vacuum compatibility, and ongoing dilemmas concerning efficient and reproducible nozzle fabrication remain an ongoing hindrance for interrogation of liquid specimens.

Currently, the gas dynamic virtual nozzle (GDVN) represents the most common means of liquid sample injection at XFELs. These devices employ a sheath of high-velocity gas, which encapsulates and accelerates liquid within a central channel (Gañán-Calvo, 1998; DePonte et al., 2008). This effect produces a high-velocity cylindrical liquid jet emerging from the nozzle, followed by rapid disintegration into a droplet stream. Such nozzles, now routinely produced by 3D-printing, yield jets of varying diameter (from sub-micrometre to hundreds of micrometres) with flow rates approaching microlitres per minute (Vakili et al., 2022; Knoska et al., 2020; Nazari et al., 2020; Nelson et al., 2016; Trebbin et al., 2014). These characteristics help to reduce background from the surrounding solvent and avoid excessive sample consumption. Equally important is their velocity, which must be on the order of 10 m s⁻¹ to outpace the radiation-induced jet explosion and subsequent shockwaves generated by preceding pulses (Stan et al., 2016; Wiedorn et al., 2018a).

Despite the wide utility of GDVN, there are several undesirable aspects of their operation that warrant further improvement. For example, their curved geometry makes it difficult to obtain stable interaction volumes with the X-ray laser and the chaotic breakup of the jet into droplets may produce unwanted scattering (Eggers & Villermaux, 2008). Moreover, experimental nuisances such as inconsistent jetting behavior and susceptibility to clogging are additional hindrances for XFEL applications that typically require extended data collection periods spanning several days.

An emerging means of solution phase sample delivery is the liquid sheet jet. In their original form, liquid sheets were most commonly generated by oblique collision of opposing laminar jets emitted from independent nozzles (Taylor, 1960). At intermediate flow rates, the balance of inertial forces and intrinsic properties of the liquid yields a chain of mutually orthogonal sheets (Bush & Hasha, 1999). Various experimental and modeling efforts have revealed that the primary sheet section exhibits micrometre-scale thickness with an extremely flat surface profile and smooth flow behavior that is stable over long periods (Menzi et al., 2020; Choo & Kang, 2002; Sanjay & Das, 2017). Alternative nozzle designs have been recently achieved through lithography and 3D-printing that enable liquid sheet formation within a microfluidic template (Ha et al., 2018; Galinis et al., 2017). One particular variant utilizes gas acceleration, akin to GDVN, where opposing gas channels collide with a central liquid channel (Koralek et al., 2018). This configuration produces analogous fluid chains as described above, but with dramatically thinner sheet sections, approaching tens of nanometres and a ~10× lower flow rate. Such a nano-fluidic medium with laminar flow behavior offers the enticing prospect of dramatically improving liquid sample injection applied to difficult solution-phase imaging techniques. One such example is the application of fluctuation X-ray scattering which relies on quantifying subtle intensity correlations and has so far only been demonstrated on large virus particles (Kurta et al., 2017; Pande et al., 2018). Reducing the number of particles in the interaction region would bring the signal into the range best suited to current detectors without decreasing the signal to noise ratio, which may enable the application of this powerful imaging method to smaller biomolecules.

Liquid sheet jets have found increasing use as a means of sample delivery under vacuum for soft X-ray spectroscopy, electron diffraction, and also as a medium for high harmonic generation and high-intensity laser plasma investigations (Luu et al., 2018; Ekinova et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2020; George et al., 2019; Wiedorn et al., 2018b; Nunes et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021; Fondell et al., 2017). Their use at XFEL sources has been far less prevalent, likely due to the high liquid and gas loads that complicate vacuum operation. Recently, Hoffman and coworkers reported on liquid sheet jet injection with a hard X-ray XFEL source where they observed sheet jet explosion on exposure to a nanofocus X-ray beam at 120 Hz (Hoffman et al., 2022b). Their approach utilized impinging jet nozzles which produced sheet thickness on the order of several micrometres and flow rates of millilitres per minute. This paper builds on this previous account and demonstrates gas-accelerated liquid sheet jet injection at a high-repetition-rate hard X-ray XFEL source: the Single Particles, Clusters, and Biomolecules and Serial Femtosecond Crystallography (SPB/SFX) beamline at the EuXFEL. Moreover, we introduce a 3D-printed gas-accelerated nozzle design that can be rapidly and reproducibly fabricated, which enables efficient prototyping to expand potential experimental applications. The results illustrate key facets of their performance including stability, thickness distribution, velocity and radiation-induced breakup dynamics at repetition rates up to 1.13 MHz. These findings set the stage for broader adoption of liquid sheet jet sample delivery at XFELs and have the potential to enhance experimental precision for solution phase X-ray experimentation.
2. Results and discussion

2.1. Nozzle design and fabrication

3D-printing by TPP enables precise and efficient fabrication of microscopic structures. These advantages were exploited for the production of our liquid sheet jet nozzles. Several 3D-printed nozzles have been demonstrated previously for liquid jet generation, including GDVNs and mix-and-inject applications (Vakili et al., 2022). Throughout the design optimization process, we consulted these previous accounts with the specific objective of balancing structural stability and channel expression together with overall printing and operational efficiency. The 3D-printed nozzle structure was extremely consistent between batches and yielded similar performance.

The channel geometry follows from previous iterations of gas-accelerated sheet jet nozzles, where two opposing gas channels surround a central liquid channel [Fig. 1(a)]. The liquid channel dimensions (30 × 30 μm) were chosen to mitigate clogging, while restricting the overall flow rate to minimize sample consumption and maintain vacuum compatibility. The two gas channels originated from a single inlet, which was split within the printed body of the chip (100 μm diameter tapered to 50 × 50 μm). Utilizing this common gas inlet freed up additional space to accommodate two liquid channels which merged 250 μm above the tip of the nozzle. This geometry serves multiple functions in the context of maintaining consistent flow behavior within a beamline setting. For example, the second liquid channel exists as a means to extend device operation in the event of clogging. Moreover, the additional inlet might be used for introduction of a second liquid such as for mix-and-inject, on-chip droplet generation and multiphase flow applications.

2.2. Liquid sheet jet operation at the EuXFEL SPB/SFX beamline

Liquid sheet jet sample injection was carried out at the EuXFEL SPB/SFX nanofocus beamline. The experimental configuration is depicted in Fig. 1(c). The nozzle was fitted to the standard liquid injector rod and aligned to 45° with respect to both the incoming X-rays and the side view microscope for best visualization of the liquid sheet jet behavior. A snapshot of this configuration is shown in the inset of Fig. 1(c). The liquid and gas were delivered to the nozzle using fused silica capillaries pressurized with an HPLC pump. Liquid and gas flow rates \( Q_L \) and \( Q_G \) of 75–115 μl min\(^{-1}\) and 10 mg min\(^{-1}\) for the sheet jet and 23 μl min\(^{-1}\) and 21 mg min\(^{-1}\) for the GDVN were used in these measurements. The overall area of the sheet jet was roughly 400 × 200 μm. It is known that sheet jets can be generated over a wide range of liquid and gas flow rates, which may strongly influence their performance. The operating conditions here were selected to produce the most

---

**Figure 1**

(a) CAD-drawing of 3D-printed sheet jet nozzle design. Two liquid inlets converge to form a single channel (30 × 30 μm) above the nozzle tip. Gas channels (50 × 50 μm) are split from a single inlet and opposed at an 80° angle. (b) Image of assembled sheet jet nozzle. (c) Experimental setup at the EuXFEL SPB/SFX nanofocus beamline.
stable jetting behavior while maintaining vacuum compatibility. 2-Propanol was chosen as the sample liquid for this measurement to avoid downtime due to aqueous sample freezing.

Several facets of the sheet jet operation were considered in order to evaluate its performance compared with conventional cylindrical liquid jets. First, jet stability was investigated by monitoring the integrated scattered X-ray response while focusing through the center of the primary sheet section and then normalized by the incoming pulse intensity as measured by the X-ray gas monitor (XGM). This analysis was carried out on varying timescales to capture jet behavior over time as well as with different repetition rates. Fig. 2(a) illustrates its performance for all shots compared with an analogous GDVN measurement run over a 5 min period. Overall, the sheet jet exhibited far more consistent behavior and lower deviations on all timescales, while the GDVN displayed a slightly skewed distribution towards lower intensities. Moreover, binning this curve in 50 pulse intervals revealed a prominent 0.5 Hz resonance in the GDVN response that is absent in the sheet jet (Fig. S1 of the supporting information). This noise pattern may be explained when considering a couple of known factors. X-ray beam pointing fluctuations in the horizontal plane of 2–3 focal spots are typical at the SPB/SFX beamline. Given that the beam is focused on the center of the cylindrical GDVN jet (~3 μm diameter), such a displacement significantly changes the interaction volume. As such, this can lead to intermittent stochastic dropouts in the scattered intensity as observed within the lower envelope of GDVN response. The oscillatory behavior may require a slightly different explanation. The liquid is driven using a dual piston HPLC pump set to a fixed flow rate.

The remarkable flatness in the horizontal dimension may also enable one to quickly vary sample thickness by simple translation of the injector rod which may be useful in situations with a distinct trade-off between signal and background. The structure of the primary flat section presents intriguing possibilities for potential X-ray experimentation. The thickness values measured here represent about a 30-fold reduction in path length compared with a typical GDVN (approximately micrometres). Such a nanoscopic sample medium may achieve a dramatic reduction in background levels for X-ray scattering and spectroscopic investigations and allow for investigation of samples over a wide range of concentrations, approaching the single-molecule scale. Moreover, its wedge-like structure helps overcome longstanding difficulties with conventional X-ray beam pointing fluctuations to be the dominant source of deviations observed here. Overall, the sheet jet exhibited fourfold lower background fluctuations compared with the GDVN (3 versus 13% standard deviation), which was representative for all repetition rates tested up to 564 kHz.

Vertical and horizontal scans were carried out to investigate trends in liquid thickness across the primary sheet section. The nanofocus X-ray beam is particularly well suited for this task given its high spatial precision. In order to retrieve absolute thickness values, ab initio scattering curves were calculated from MD simulations of the bulk liquid. The modeled curves were then scaled to the experimental data to yield position-dependent thickness. The results of this analysis for a vertical scan are shown in Fig. 2(b) and indicate that the thickness decreases with inverse distance from the nozzle tip, ranging from roughly 800 to 60 nm (accounting for the 45° orientation). This trend is in agreement with previous characterizations of similar nozzle variants using optical interferometry and mid-IR absorption (Koralek et al., 2018). Note that the estimated width at the thinnest point measured is 45 μm.

An analogous scan in the horizontal dimension (185 μm from the nozzle tip) revealed very little thickness variation suggesting the primary section is extremely flat (Fig. S2) until reaching the rim (Fig. S3). This is in contrast to prior accounts of impinging jet liquid sheets as well as numerical modeling of gas-accelerated liquid sheets, which suggest significant curvature (Galinis et al., 2017; Belšak et al., 2021). The thickness curves also showed no dependence with X-ray repetition rate.

The structure of the primary flat section presents intriguing possibilities for potential X-ray experimentation. The thickness values measured here represent about a 30-fold reduction in path length compared with a typical GDVN (approximately micrometres). Such a nanoscopic sample medium may achieve a dramatic reduction in background levels for X-ray scattering and spectroscopic investigations and allow for investigation of samples over a wide range of concentrations, approaching the single-molecule scale. Moreover, its wedge-like structure enables one to quickly vary sample thickness by simple translation of the injector rod which may be useful in situations with a distinct trade-off between signal and background.

Figure 2
(a) The integrated AGIPD detector response normalized by incoming X-ray intensity for all trains (176 pulses) over a representative 5 min measurement window for the liquid sheet jet and GDVN. (b) Thickness dependence of the primary sheet section while scanning vertically away from the nozzle tip towards the lower rim. The shaded region reflects a measurement error of one standard deviation. A magnified view of the thinnest region is shown in the inset.
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Galinis et al., 2017; Belsˇak et al., 2018). Note that the estimated width at the thinnest point measured is 45 μm.

An analogous scan in the horizontal dimension (185 μm from the nozzle tip) revealed very little thickness variation suggesting the primary section is extremely flat (Fig. S2) until reaching the rim (Fig. S3). This is in contrast to prior accounts of impinging jet liquid sheets as well as numerical modeling of gas-accelerated liquid sheets, which suggest significant curvature (Galinis et al., 2017; Belšak et al., 2021). The thickness curves also showed no dependence with X-ray repetition rate.

The structure of the primary flat section presents intriguing possibilities for potential X-ray experimentation. The thickness values measured here represent about a 30-fold reduction in path length compared with a typical GDVN (approximately micrometres). Such a nanoscopic sample medium may achieve a dramatic reduction in background levels for X-ray scattering and spectroscopic investigations and allow for investigation of samples over a wide range of concentrations, approaching the single-molecule scale. Moreover, its wedge-like structure enables one to quickly vary sample thickness by simple translation of the injector rod which may be useful in situations with a distinct trade-off between signal and background.

The remarkable flatness in the horizontal dimension may also help overcome longstanding difficulties with conventional X-ray beam pointing fluctuations to be the dominant source of deviations observed here. Overall, the sheet jet exhibited fourfold lower background fluctuations compared with the GDVN (3 versus 13% standard deviation), which was representative for all repetition rates tested up to 564 kHz.

Vertical and horizontal scans were carried out to investigate trends in liquid thickness across the primary sheet section. The nanofocus X-ray beam is particularly well suited for this task given its high spatial precision. In order to retrieve absolute thickness values, ab initio scattering curves were calculated from MD simulations of the bulk liquid. The modeled curves were then scaled to the experimental data to yield position-dependent thickness. The results of this analysis for a vertical scan are shown in Fig. 2(b) and indicate that the thickness decreases with inverse distance from the nozzle tip, ranging from roughly 800 to 60 nm (accounting for the 45° orientation). This trend is in agreement with previous characterizations of similar nozzle variants using optical interferometry and mid-IR absorption (Koralek et al., 2018). Note that the estimated width at the thinnest point measured is 45 μm.

An analogous scan in the horizontal dimension (185 μm from the nozzle tip) revealed very little thickness variation suggesting the primary section is extremely flat (Fig. S2) until reaching the rim (Fig. S3). This is in contrast to prior accounts of impinging jet liquid sheets as well as numerical modeling of gas-accelerated liquid sheets, which suggest significant curvature (Galinis et al., 2017; Belšak et al., 2021). The thickness curves also showed no dependence with X-ray repetition rate.

The structure of the primary flat section presents intriguing possibilities for potential X-ray experimentation. The thickness values measured here represent about a 30-fold reduction in path length compared with a typical GDVN (approximately micrometres). Such a nanoscopic sample medium may achieve a dramatic reduction in background levels for X-ray scattering and spectroscopic investigations and allow for investigation of samples over a wide range of concentrations, approaching the single-molecule scale. Moreover, its wedge-like structure enables one to quickly vary sample thickness by simple translation of the injector rod which may be useful in situations with a distinct trade-off between signal and background.

The remarkable flatness in the horizontal dimension may also help overcome longstanding difficulties with conventional X-ray beam pointing fluctuations to be the dominant source of deviations observed here. Overall, the sheet jet exhibited fourfold lower background fluctuations compared with the GDVN (3 versus 13% standard deviation), which was representative for all repetition rates tested up to 564 kHz.
cylindrical liquid jets. For example, a curved sample medium complicates the calculation of electron take-off angles for X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and also distorts pump laser focal properties in transient absorption applications. Finally, the large usable area of the liquid sheet vastly reduces sensitivity to beamline pointing fluctuations.

Radiation-induced explosion due to the high peak intensity X-ray pulses is an important consideration when evaluating the behavior of liquid jets and offers valuable insight with respect to their potential experimental utility (Stan et al., 2016). Explosion of the liquid sheet jet was captured by the side view microscope within the experimental chamber and snapshots at select time delays are presented in Fig. 3. The beam position is indicated by the plasma spot generated from the nanofocused X-rays, roughly centered within the flat section of the liquid sheet. On arrival of the pulse, an elliptical vacancy formed originating at the focal point which rapidly expanded and propagated along the direction of liquid flow until eventually exiting the lower rim of the flat section. This closely resembles the behavior observed with thicker impinging sheet jets at a lower repetition rate XFEL source (Hoffman et al., 2022b). A second bubble formed on arrival of the subsequent X-ray pulse and followed a trajectory similar to the initial one without perturbing the X-ray focal spot. At long times, these vacancies converged towards the lower section of the liquid jet and culminated in a fraying pattern that spanned the remaining field of view. Variation of the focal position drastically altered the jet explosion dynamics. For example, focusing on the rim section led to much more complex behavior, while positioning at the thinnest point led to a less disruptive breakup presumably due to exposure of differing liquid volumes (Movie S1 of the supporting information). X-ray repetition rate dependence of this phenomenon was also investigated. Similar jet explosion dynamics were observed at 282 and 564 kHz (Movie S2), however the breakup became much more intense at 1.13 MHz, suggesting the sheet did not fully regenerate between consecutive pulses within a train at this rate.

The movement of this vacancy informs on the liquid velocity within the flat section of the sheet jet, which was extracted using frames captured by the side view microscope camera accounting for the 10× objective magnification. The leading and trailing expansion fronts exhibited different velocities. The trailing edge maintained a roughly constant velocity of 11 m s⁻¹ throughout its propagation, while the leading edge showed some acceleration, initially moving at 60 m s⁻¹ near the focal region and increasing to 110 m s⁻¹ as it approached the lower rim. The trailing edge velocity is similar to previous values reported for impinging sheet jets (Dombrowski & Fraser, 1954; Hoffman et al., 2022b). The shape of this vacancy may also reflect a parabolic velocity profile within the flat section as has been previously predicted (Choo & Kang, 2002).

The above results demonstrate the striking potential of liquid sheet jet sample injection for X-ray measurements at XFEL beamlines. It exhibited higher stability and a shorter sample path length over a much larger target area compared with the current standard liquid jet (GDVN). Moreover, the radiation-induced explosion did not perturb detection of the diffracted X-rays for repetition rates up to 564 kHz. Such features should benefit multiple X-ray experimental methods such as time-resolved solution scattering, fluctuation X-ray scattering (Kirian et al., 2011) and several spectroscopy modalities. Thus, if properly implemented, this platform has the potential to transform solution-phase sample injection at XFEL light sources and allow new experiments such as single-particle solution scattering.

Despite these encouraging results, several operational nuances must be addressed prior to their broader deployment. A few relevant factors are described below.

### 2.3. Sample consumption

Average liquid flow rates on the order of 100 μl min⁻¹ are typical for gas-accelerated sheet jet nozzles. A large fraction of this flow is contained within the annular rims that surround the flat sheet sections. An unfortunate consequence of this geometry is that a significant amount of liquid volume passes through the interaction region without being interrogated and leads to excessive sample waste. A few strategies might be employed to overcome this issue. Scaling down the nozzle geometry would reduce the overall liquid flux. However, this would also increase clogging susceptibility – a chronic problem for microfluidic flow applications which scales quickly for channel dimensions of less than 30 μm. Moreover, the lower overall target area might be problematic for applications with large focal spots. An alternative approach is to confine the sample specimen within a surrounding carrier liquid, akin to double flow focusing GDVN nozzles (Oberthuer et al., 2017).

A similar idea was recently demonstrated by Hoffman et al. (2022a) where layered aqueous/non-aqueous heterostructures were contained within the flat liquid sheet section. However, in this case, a thin aqueous region was bound by two thicker nonaqueous layers. Such a configuration would effectively dilute the overall sample response since the X-rays must traverse through all liquid layers. An alternative approach with sample focusing in the orthogonal plane would potentially resolve this issue. Additional sample consumption
savings might be achieved through introduction of a segmented flow scheme. Beyond tweaks to the jet itself, sample recirculation has been introduced to mitigate the high liquid flow rate (Hoffman et al., 2022b). Such approaches may be feasible in some cases, but add additional experimental complexity and may not be suitable for all types of samples.

2.4. Vacuum startup

Seamless startup under vacuum is an essential feature required to avoid extended measurement interruptions during beam time. The nozzles employed in this study presented a unique challenge in this regard. Long capillaries (>2 m) are required to deliver liquid and gas to the nozzle, which dictates that high line pressures are necessary to achieve adequate flow rates at the nozzle tip. Given that HPLC pumps build pressure slowly (over several seconds), it can be troublesome to establish reliable jetting while starting under vacuum. To overcome this challenge, the pump was first primed against a plug to 1000 psi and then quickly switched to the nozzle line using an electronic valve. Although this ‘burst’ method drastically improved vacuum startup, it may be less desirable over the long run given that the high pressures required place extra stress on the tubing fittings within the line. Other strategies might be considered. First, one could substitute a large segment of the small ID capillary (150 μm) for larger ID PEEK tubing (>250 μm). This would drastically reduce the line resistance and lessen the pressure needed to establish reliable jetting. A second alternative would be to utilize a different means of driving liquid flow. For example, pressurizing the sample reservoir directly with finely regulated air would enable much faster line pressurization and help facilitate a more robust vacuum startup.

2.5. Vacuum compatibility

The elevated liquid loads required to run liquid sheet jets create an exceptional challenge for prolonged operation within a high-vacuum environment. Several schemes involving differential pumping, cryo-trapping and heated catching devices have been previously employed to facilitate liquid jet operation under vacuum (Hoffman et al., 2022b; Galinis et al., 2017). In the current study, a stainless steel shroud was used to isolate the volume surrounding the nozzle tip and a catcher was used to contain liquid at the bottom of the chamber. Specific details of this configuration can be found in the report by Schulz et al. (2019). Main chamber pressure stabilized in the 10⁻⁵ mbar range and was suitable for prolonged operation. Though this configuration was successful for running 2-propanol in this instance, the use of aqueous samples might place different constraints on liquid sheet jet use under vacuum (e.g. icing issues). Moreover, given the tight tolerances posed by this system, developing a standard solution broadly compatible across many beamlines and facilities might be difficult given the wide variety of vacuum configurations that exist.

2.6. External meniscus

In the current nozzle design, the liquid meniscus resides along the exit orifice on the outer surface of the nozzle tip. During vacuum operation, small droplets formed on this surface (visible in the frames shown in Fig. 3) and persisted over time. Given that this effect was not observed during operation at atmospheric pressure, we attribute it to a broadening of the meniscus that occurs under vacuum. When the liquid reaches the nozzle edge, a strong wicking action pulls it across the entire outer surface forming a droplet. Once this droplet reaches a critical size, it is pulled further upward into the above capillaries, rapidly destabilizes and detaches. This process occurred every few minutes and repeated several times during the measurement. Such droplet formation, though mainly a nuisance during our measurements, is undesirable for long-duration jet operation. One possible solution to minimize this effect is to recess the meniscus within the nozzle body as is typical for GDVNs. In this way, the surrounding gas might act to buffer the liquid away from the outer surface of the nozzle and prevent droplet formation. Note that this effect primarily occurs with alcohol solutions. In separate tests, while running aqueous sample under vacuum, residual liquid on the nozzle surface quickly froze and sublimated given the difference in vapor pressure.

3. Conclusions

Investigation of liquid phase sample specimens using high-precision X-ray techniques presents a unique challenge at XFELs. A critical element of these applications involves the choice of sample delivery, which often dictates the success of a given experiment. High-speed liquid jets currently represent the standard means of liquid sample injection, however they suffer from several drawbacks that limit their experimental utility. Liquid sheet jets have previously shown promise to overcome these issues, but they had not been tested at high-repetition-rate XFELs.

In this study, we demonstrated liquid sheet jet sample injection at the EuXFEL SPB/SFX beamline. We used a 3D-printed gas-accelerated nozzle design to produce sheet jet thicknesses below 100 nm resulting in a significantly more stable scattering signal compared with a conventional GDVN. Furthermore, the radiation-induced explosion was found to not perturb data collection for repetition rates approaching megahertz. This account also serves as a practical guide for implementation of sheet jet injection systems where vacuum compatibility is an ongoing challenge given their elevated liquid flow rates. These results demonstrate the great potential of sheet jets for high-repetition-rate liquid sample injection and set the stage for wider adoption at beamline facilities. With sheet jet thicknesses comparable to the ice layer in a cryogenic electron microscopy sample they also suggest the tantalizing possibility of carrying out ultrafast single-particle solution scattering.
4. Experimental

4.1. 3D-printing of liquid sheet nozzles

The nozzles were produced by two-photon polymerization (TPP) using the NanoOne 3D-printing system (UpNano). Nozzle design was performed with the Solidworks computer-aided design (CAD) program (Dassault Systèmes). The resulting STL files were loaded in the instrument control software (Think3D) for optimization of printer parameters. TPP was initiated with a 800 nm laser (85 mW) focused with a 10× objective. The printing was carried out on a 10 × 10 × 5.5 mm glass substrate (silanized) in vat mode, where the glass surface was submerged in liquid resin (UpPhoto). Following printing, the completed nozzles were placed in a beaker and soaked in propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA) for 1–2 days while gently stirring to remove residual unpolymerized resin. Upon sufficient development, the nozzles were washed with 2-propanol and dried for future use.

4.2. Nozzle assembly

Fused silica capillaries (Polymicro 0.360 mm OD/0.150 mm ID) were used to deliver pressurized liquid and gas to the nozzles during operation. These were ground flat on the inlet side using a polishing wheel, washed in an ultrasonic bath and dried prior to use. For assembly of the nozzle, the capillaries were manually inserted into the inlet ports under a microscope in a vertical orientation. Next, a small drop of 5 min epoxy glue (Loctite Universal Power Epoxy) was placed slightly above the inlet ports, which gradually wicked along the capillary to yield a uniform coverage at the nozzle interface. The assembled nozzle was allowed to cure overnight before experimental use. A photograph of an assembled nozzle is shown in Fig. 1(b).

4.3. Liquid sample injection at EuXFEL SPB/SFX

The printed sheet jet nozzles were mounted to the standard liquid injector rod provided by the EuXFEL Sample Environment Group. The rod was assembled as follows: a 1/8 inch OD stainless steel tube was first glued to the capillaries approximately 5 mm above the nozzle. The tube was fed through 10–32 PEEK fitting (Idex) which was fastened into a stainless steel nozzle adaptor. The capillaries were then fed through the entire length of the rod and the end piece was screwed into the tip.

Liquid and gas were delivered to the nozzle as previously described (Vakili et al., 2022). In short, liquid reservoirs were connected to the nozzle inlets via PEEK tubing (Idex, 0.250 µm ID). Multiple sample reservoirs were connected in parallel to facilitate fast sample switching executed with a high-speed electronic valve (Rheodyne). Liquid flow was regulated using an HPLC pump (Shimadzu LC-20AD), while helium gas flow was regulated with an electronic pressure regulator (Proportion Air GP1). Gas and liquid flow rates were monitored with in-line flow meters (Bronkhorst F-111B-2 K0-TGD-33-V: 0–700 mg min⁻¹, and Bronkhorst ML120V00-TGD-CC-0-S: 0–100 µl min⁻¹, respectively).

Alignment of the nozzle tip with respect to the interaction region was carried out by manipulating the position of the injector rod using motorized stages. This placement was aided by visualization with the side view microscope camera calibrated with the EuXFEL femtosecond laser coupled into the sample chamber via a fiber bundle laser synchronized with the X-ray pulse (Koliyadu et al., 2022; Palmer et al., 2019).

4.4. SPB/SFX beamline configuration

The data were collected at the SPB/SFX instrument of the EuXFEL in September 2022 under the proposal p3046 (Mancuso et al., 2019). The EuXFEL produced bunch trains at 10 Hz with intratrain pulse repetition rates between 141 kHz and 1.13 MHz. The photon energy was 8000 eV, approximately 1.55 Å wavelength. From previous measurements, the focal spot was estimated at around 300 × 300 nm FWHM. The energy of every X-ray pulse was measured by a gas monitor detector upstream and averaged 2 mJ. With this beamline configuration and photon energy, the beamline transmission between the gas monitor detector and the interaction region is estimated to be 65%. The AGIPD 1M detector was 0.331 m downstream from the interaction region (Allahgholi et al., 2019). The experiment was monitored online with Hummingbird (Daurer et al., 2016).

4.5. Sheet jet thickness calculation

The thickness of the sheet jet was estimated by scaling the experimental data to the calculated solution scattering pattern (Cromer & Mann, 1968) of a GROMACS molecular dynamics simulation (Abraham et al., 2015) of the bulk liquid sample (van der Spoel et al., 2018), taking into account the pulse energy on the sample, as measured by the X-ray gas monitor detector using a beamline transmission of 65% (see Fig. S4). The scaling factor of the fit was then used to estimate the sheet jet thickness. The same procedure was applied for GDVN thickness determination using water as the sample liquid. The determined GDVN thickness matched the expected value given its flow rates (Vakili et al., 2022).

Experimental data collected in this study has been deposited at the Coherent X-ray Imaging Data Bank (Maia, 2012) (https://www.cxivdb.org/id/218.html). The data analysis scripts and nozzle CAD-files used herein can be found at https://github.com/FilipeMaia/3D_MHZ_liquid_sheet.
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