# research papers



ISSN 1600-5775

Received 30 January 2019 Accepted 3 April 2019

Edited by I. Lindau, SLAC/Stanford University, USA

**Keywords:** synchrotron radiation; variableincluded-angle varied-line-spacing plane-grating monochromators; VIA-VPGM; variable C<sub>ff</sub>.



© 2019 International Union of Crystallography

# A variable fixed-focus constant optimization method for a variable-included-angle varied-line-spacing plane-grating monochromator

Chaofan Xue,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Lian Xue,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Yanqing Wu,<sup>a,b,c</sup>\* Yong Wang,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Shumin Yang<sup>a,b,c</sup> and Renzhong Tai<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Shanghai Advanced Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201210, People's Republic of China, <sup>b</sup>Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, People's Republic of China, and <sup>c</sup>Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Shanghai 201204, People's Republic of China. \*Correspondence e-mail: wuyanqing@sinap.ac.cn

Variable-included-angle varied-line-spacing plane-grating monochromators (VIA-VPGM) have been applied to many beamlines because of the self-focusing and aberration-correction function of the varied-line-spacing grating. Unfortunately, to optimize the variable-line-spacing coefficient of the grating, the fixed-focus constant ( $C_{\rm ff}$ ) has to be fixed first in the VIA-VPGM. In this way, some of the advantages of these monochromators are lost, such as the flexibility of choosing a different energy-resolving power by varying the  $C_{\rm ff}$ . In this work, a variable  $C_{\rm ff}$  optimization method is introduced for a VIA-VPGM. By adopting this method, the  $C_{\rm ff}$  could be arbitrarily selected in the VIA-VPGM.

#### 1. Introduction

At a synchrotron radiation or free-electron laser facility, a monochromator is used to transmit a mechanically selectable narrow band of wavelengths of radiation chosen from a wider range of wavelengths available at the input. It is almost the most important device in the beamline. Among the various kinds of soft X-ray monochromator, the variable-includedangle plane-grating monochromator (VIA-PGM) (Petersen, 1982; Riemer & Torge, 1983; Pimpale et al., 1991; Follath & Senf, 1997) is one of the most successfully developed optics of the last few decades. There are numerous advantages of this type of monochromator. Besides covering a wide energy range, the VIA-PGM can also be operated in different modes depending on experimental need, such as a high-energyresolution mode, a higher-order harmonic suppression mode and a high-flux mode, by selecting a suitable value of the fixedfocus constant,  $C_{\rm ff}$ . Based on these advantages, VIA-PGMs have been employed on many synchrotron radiation beamlines all over the world (Xue et al., 2010; Aksela et al., 1994; Follath, 2001; Warwick et al., 2001; Follath et al., 1998).

Usually, in the VIA-PGM setup, a pre-collimating mirror and a re-focusing mirror are necessary because a parallel incident beam is required by the grating, which is unable to focus the beam. Unfortunately, additional aberrations will be introduced by these focusing mirrors, which is detrimental to improving the energy resolution of the beamline. To overcome this shortcoming, and with the development of the grating manufacturing process, a variable-line-spacing (VLS) plane grating is now applied in some newly designed VIA-PGMs called VIA-VPGM (Xue *et al.*, 2014; Reininger & de Castro, 2005; Ono *et al.*, 2004; Amemiya *et al.*, 2010), which is a significant improvement on the original design. Because of its self-focusing and aberration-correction function, the beam can be focused at the exit slit using a VLS grating, without introducing coma and spherical aberrations into the system.

Although the performance of a beamline can be improved by applying the VIA-VPGM, this type of monochromator can no longer be operated in a flexibly operating mode. This is mainly because the  $C_{\rm ff}$  value has to be fixed at a certain reference energy at the start of the design process for a monochromator. The variable-line-spacing coefficient of the VLS grating can be obtained only after determining the  $C_{\rm ff}$ , thereby achieving focusing and aberration correction. In order to focus X-ray beams of different wavelengths onto the same focus, the  $C_{\rm ff}$  will vary slightly with wavelength. However, each wavelength corresponds to a fixed C value, otherwise the focus condition cannot be met. It is precisely because the  $C_{\rm ff}$  cannot be arbitrarily varied in the VIV-VPGM that this monochromator can be operated in only one mode.

In this work, a variable  $C_{\rm ff}$  optimization method is introduced for the VIA-VPGM. By adopting this method, the  $C_{\rm ff}$ can be arbitrarily selected. The VIA-VPGM can then also be operated in flexible operating modes. It provides a possibility of implementing more operating modes using fewer gratings in practical applications.

#### 2. Optimization method

For a VLS grating, the line spacing d is a function of the position w in the dispersive direction. The function can be expanded as a power series in w, namely (McKinney, 1992)

$$d(w) = d_0 (1 + b_2 w + b_3 w^2 + b_4 w^3 + \cdots), \qquad (1)$$

where  $d_0$  is the line spacing at the center of the grating, and  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$  and  $b_4$  are the space-variation parameters. The defocus term ( $F_{20}$ ) and the coma term ( $F_{30}$ ) in an optical path function can be eliminated by choosing an appropriate linear coefficient term  $b_2$  and quadratic term  $b_3$ , respectively, according to

$$F_{20} = \left(\frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{r_1} + \frac{\cos^2 \beta}{r_2}\right) - \frac{\cos \alpha + \cos \beta}{R} - b_2 \frac{m\lambda}{d_0}, \quad (2)$$

$$F_{30} = \left(\frac{\sin\alpha\cos^2\alpha}{r_1^2} + \frac{\sin\beta\cos^2\beta}{r_2^2}\right) - \frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{\sin\alpha\cos\alpha}{r_1} + \frac{\sin\beta\cos\beta}{r_2}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\left(b_2^2 - b_3\right)\frac{m\lambda}{d_0},$$
 (3)

where *m* is the diffraction order,  $\alpha$  is the incidence angle,  $\beta$  is the diffraction angle,  $r_1$  is the objective distance,  $r_2$  is the imaging distance and *R* is the grating radius (for a plane grating,  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ). When  $F_{20} = 0$ , the focusing condition is satisfied. For a plane VLS grating,

$$b_2 = \frac{d_0}{m\lambda} \left( \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{r_1} + \frac{\cos^2 \beta}{r_2} \right) = \frac{d_0}{m\lambda} \left( \frac{\sin^2 \alpha^*}{r_1} + \frac{\sin^2 \beta^*}{r_2} \right), \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha^*$  is the grazing incidence angle and  $\beta^*$  is the complementary angle of diffraction. If  $r_1 = -r_2$ ,

$$b_{2} = \frac{d_{0}}{m\lambda} \frac{\sin^{2} \beta^{*} - \sin^{2} \alpha^{*}}{r_{2}}$$
$$\simeq \frac{d_{0}}{m\lambda} \frac{2(\cos \alpha^{*} - \cos \beta^{*})}{r_{2}}$$
$$= \frac{d_{0}}{m\lambda} \frac{2(\sin \alpha - \sin \beta)}{r_{2}}.$$
(5)

Here, the approximation  $\cos x \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin^2 x$  is used (Sinn *et al.*, 2012) since usually both  $\alpha^*$  and  $\beta^*$  are very small in an actual grating monochromator. The error in the above approximation will be minimal when both the  $\alpha^*$  and  $\beta^*$  angles are very small. Considering the grating equation  $\sin \alpha - \sin \beta = m\lambda/d_0$ , we can obtain

$$b_2 = \frac{2}{r_2}.$$
 (6)

Therefore, when the imaging distance is equal to the virtual object distance, the focusing condition of a VLS grating can be independent of other factors besides the imaging distance.

An optical schematic diagram of the new optimized VIA-VPGM is shown in Fig. 1. In this optimization method, a prefocus mirror is necessary to produce a converging beam and a virtual source behind the grating to meet the precondition of  $r_1 = -r_2$ . The grating then produces a real image on the exit slit, and the exit slit is the real focus of the pre-mirror. In order to illustrate the improved performance of this new optimization method, we take a 4 m-long undulator as a realistic optical source and typical distance values between optical elements for a model VIA-VPGM as an example. The beam sizes and divergences are calculated from the vector sum of the electron beam root-mean-square (r.m.s.) values on the orbit  $(\sigma_x, \sigma'_x, \sigma_y, \sigma'_y)$  and the radiation values  $(\sigma_r, \sigma'_r)$ . The radiation values are calculated using the approximations  $\sigma_r =$  $(2\lambda L)^{1/2}/2\pi$  and  $\sigma'_r = (\lambda/2L)^{1/2}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the radiation and L is the length of the insertion device. For the model monochromator, the object distance of the prefocusing mirror is 22 m and the imaging distance of the grating is 18 m. These two optical elements are separated by 5 m. Detailed parameters for the model monochromator are given in Table 1.

The energy range covered by the model monochromator is chosen as 200–2000 eV and the grating line density is assumed to be 1000 lines mm<sup>-1</sup>. By adopting this new optimization method, the linear coefficient term  $b_2$  in equation (2) can be calculated according to equation (6), while the quadratic term  $b_3$  has to be optimized at a selected reference energy and  $C_{\rm ff}$ value. In the model monochromator, the coma aberration is



An optical schematic diagram of the new optimized VIA-VPGM.

# research papers

Table 1

Detailed parameters for the model monochromator.

Electron beam r.m.s. size	
Horizontal $\sigma_x$ (mm)	0.15855
Vertical $\sigma_v$ (mm)	0.00987
Electron beam r.m.s. divergence	
Horizontal $\sigma'_{r}$ (rad)	$3.2914 \times 10^{-5}$
Vertical $\sigma'_{\nu}$ (rad)	$3.9497 \times 10^{-6}$
Undulator length (mm)	4000
Pre-focusing mirror sagittal slope error (rad)	$2 \times 10^{-6}$
Object distance of the pre-focusing mirror (mm)	22 000
Incident angle of the pre-focusing mirror (rad)	0.02
Distance from the source to the grating(mm)	27 000
Grating slope error (rad)	$1 \times 10^{-7}$
Plane mirror slope error (rad)	$1 \times 10^{-7}$
Imaging distance of the grating (mm)	18000

eliminated at 1000 eV with  $C_{\rm ff}$  = 3. A few parameters can be derived under these conditions and are listed in Table 2.

The energy-resolving power (RP) calculated in this study is mainly determined by seven factors: source size, exit slit size, meridian slope error of the grating and focusing mirror, aberrations from defocus and coma, and grating diffraction limit. High-order aberrations (smaller than  $F_{30}$ ) are small and negligible. Fig. 2 shows the calculated RP of the model monochromator at various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values, with the RP defined as the inverse of the relative spectrum width (RSW). Their contributions to the relative spectrum width (RSW)  $\Delta \lambda / \lambda_{\rm total}$ are as follows:

Source size:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\rm so}} = \frac{2.35d\Sigma_{yV}\cos\alpha}{m\lambda r_1}$$

Exit slit size:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\rm ex}} = \frac{sd\cos\alpha}{m\lambda r_2}$$

Meridian slope errors of grating:





Figure 2

The calculated RP at various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values for the model monochromator, with the exit slit size taken as the FWHM of the focused beam spot in the dispersion direction.

 Table 2

 Derived parameters for the model monochromator.

Virtual source of the grating (mm)	-18000
Sagittal curvature radius of the pre-focusing mirror (mm)	449.75
$b_2 ({\rm mm}^{-1})$	$1.11111 \times 10^{-4}$
$b_3 (\mathrm{mm}^{-2})$	$3.04628 \times 10^{-9}$

Meridian slope errors of mirror:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\rm fo}} = \frac{4.7 d\sigma_{\rm fo} \cos\beta}{m\lambda}.$$

Aberration from defocus:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\rm de}} = \frac{2dF_{20}W}{m\lambda}$$

Aberration from coma:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\rm co}} = \frac{3dF_{30}W^2}{2m\lambda}$$

Diffraction limit:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{limit}}} = \frac{1}{mN}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{total}}} = \left(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{so}}} + \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{ex}}} + \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{gr}}} + \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{fo}}} + \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{de}}} + \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{co}}} + \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{limit}}}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (7)

Here,  $\Sigma_{yV}$  is the r.m.s. value of the virtual source size ( $\Sigma_{yV} = M\Sigma_{yA}$ , *M* is the magnification of the pre-focusing mirror and  $\Sigma_{yA}$  is the r.m.s. value of the actual source size),  $\sigma_{gr}$  and  $\sigma_{fo}$  are meridian r.m.s. slope errors of the grating and the focusing mirror, *s* is the exit slit size, *W* is the half-width of the ruled area of the grating and *N* is number of coherently illuminated grating grooves. To guarantee sufficient photon flux, the exit slit size is taken as the FWHM of the focused beam spot in the dispersion direction.

By adopting this optimization method, the maximum  $C_{\rm ff}$  is decided by the footprint of the photon beam on the grating and the effective length of the grating. If the effective length of the grating is limited to 120 mm in this model monochromator, the maximum  $C_{\rm ff}$  values can be up to 6. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the RP increases as  $C_{\rm ff}$  increases. This trend is the same as for a traditional VIA-PGM. Therefore, a  $C_{\rm ff}$  value can be chosen flexibly according to actual need in the VIA-VPGM. The shadow ray-tracing results (Fig. 3) are consistent with the calculated RP results.

### 3. Discussion

#### 3.1. Defocus aberration

Although the linear coefficient term  $b_2$  can be obtained according to equation (6) in the optimization method, an approximation has been used in this process which will introduce defocus aberration. The introduced defocus aberration will reduce the energy resolution, as shown at the lowenergy end of Fig. 2. This is mainly caused by two factors. One



Figure 3

Ray-tracing results for the model monochromator at 1000 eV at various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values.

is that the footprint of the photon beam on the grating becomes larger as  $C_{\rm ff}$  increases, which will also increase the defocus aberration at the same time. The other is that the RSW contributed by other factors, such as source size and exit slit, will decrease as  $C_{\rm ff}$  increases. Finally, the largest contribution to the RSW will be from the defocus aberration when  $C_{\rm ff}$  is large, to a certain extent. Therefore, to reduce the effect of defocus aberration, it is better to obtain a more accurate  $b_2$ . If an approximation is used in equation (5), then

$$b_{2} = \frac{d_{0}}{m\lambda} \frac{\cos^{2}\beta - \cos^{2}\alpha}{r_{2}}$$
$$= \frac{d_{0}}{m\lambda} \frac{(\sin^{2}\alpha - \sin^{2}\beta)}{r_{2}}$$
$$= \frac{(\sin\alpha + \sin\beta)}{r_{2}}.$$
(8)

Accurate  $b_2$  values calculated at various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values for the model monochromator are shown in Fig. 4, and the accurate  $(\sin \alpha + \sin \beta)$  values corresponding to the  $b_2$  values are listed on the right of the plot. As mentioned above, the error of the approximation used in equation (5) will be minimal when both the incidence and diffraction angles are very large. As shown in Fig. 4, the value of  $\sin \alpha + \sin \beta$  is closer to 2 when the monochromator is operated at the high photon energy end or with higher  $C_{\rm ff}$  values. Thus, the RP of the monochromator is not affected by the defocus aberration at the high photon energy end, while it is greatly affected by the defocus aberration at the low photon energy end. Therefore, to ensure optimal monochromator performance, the appropriate  $b_2$ value can be selected according to the value of  $\sin \alpha + \sin \beta$  in different photon energy ranges. This is more in line with the real monochromator optimization process. The energy range in the model monochromator is out of the coverage capability

of a single grating in actual use, since it is hard to maintain the diffraction efficiency of a single grating at a high level over such a wide range. Fig. 5 shows the calculated RP with various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values of the model monochromator by choosing  $b_2 = 1.995/r_2$  for an energy range of 200–800 eV and  $b_2 = 1.999/r_2$  for an energy range of 800–2000 eV.

The discussion above is based on the case of fixed exit slit, which is beneficial for re-focusing the beam at the endstation. If a movable exit slit is available, the defocus aberration can be eliminated perfectly by moving the exit slit a few centimetres.

#### 3.2. Coma aberration

The quadratic term  $b_3$  has to be optimized at a reference energy with a selected  $C_{\rm ff}$ . In this study, the coma aberration is also optimized at 1000 eV with a  $C_{\rm ff}$  of 3. Similar to the case of defocus aberration, the coma aberration will increase as  $C_{\rm ff}$ 



#### Figure 4

Accurate  $b_2$  values calculated at various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values for the model monochromator, and the accurate (sin  $\alpha$  + sin  $\beta$ ) value corresponding to the  $b_2$  value.



The calculated RP at various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values for the model monochromator by choosing (a)  $b_2 = 1.995/r_2$  for the energy range 200–800 eV and (b)  $b_2 = 1.999/r_2$  for the energy range 800–2000 eV.

increases because of its larger footprint on the grating, no matter what  $C_{\rm ff}$  value is chosen for optimization. Although the coma aberration is no longer eliminated at the reference energy when  $C_{\rm ff}$  varies, the contribution to the RSW from the coma aberration is still negligible, as shown in Fig. 6.

#### 3.3. Angle correction

In a synchrotron radiation facility, the grating is usually placed horizontally for a higher RP because the source size is smaller vertically than horizontally. In the model monochromator, the first focusing mirror is placed vertically, so the thermal deformation of this mirror has less effect on the RP while the horizontal spot is affected. The main contribution to RP degradation is from the deformation of the first plane mirror in the monochromator due to the heat load. This deformation can be equivalent to the range of the grating's virtual object distance. In practice, the pre-focusing mirror radius  $R_{\rm F}$  and the grating coefficient  $b_2$  have machining errors, and the mirrors' shape would also be deformed by the absorbed heat load. These will decrease the RP of the designed monochromator. For the VLS grating, to satisfy equation (6), the error in the  $b_2$  coefficient could be better compensated by adjusting the image distance accordingly,





Contribution to the RSW from the coma aberration at various  $C_{\rm ff}$  values for the model monochromator.

based on the measured precise  $b_2$  value. For the pre-focusing mirror, both the manufacturing error in the mirror radius and the deformation caused by the heat load will lead to a change in the grating's virtual object distance. Nevertheless, such effects can be corrected by properly adjusting the incident angle of the pre-focusing mirror. Introducing errors of 20% on the virtual object distance, the ray-tracing results before and after angle correction at 1000 eV with  $C_{\rm ff} = 3$  are shown in Fig. 7. A decrease in RP can be seen when the errors are introduced in the model monochromator, while the final RP is





Ray-tracing results for the model monochromator at 1000 eV at various  $C_{\rm ff} = 3$ , considering errors of 20% on the virtual object distance, (a) before and (b) after angle correction.

almost the same as for the original design by adjusting the incident angle by 1.5 mrad. However, this angle adjustment would cause a displacement of the focusing spot at the exit slit. Therefore, one more mirror may be needed after the monochromator to re-correct the beam direction, which would lead to a slight loss of photon flux due to reflectivity limitations. So, the ultimate resolving power is not sacrificed, thanks to the angle correction, while the trade-off is that the photon flux may be reduced slightly because of the potential beamdirection re-correcting mirror after the monochromator.

### 4. Conclusions

In this study, a new optimization method is given for optimizing variable-included-angle varied-line-spacing planegrating monochromators (VIA-VPGMs). By adopting this method, the linear coefficient term  $b_2$  of the VLS grating is independent of  $C_{\rm ff}$  and only related to the image distance of the grating. Therefore, the  $C_{\rm ff}$  can be arbitrarily selected in the VIA-VPGM and the monochromator can be operated in flexible operating modes, the same as the traditional variableincluded-angle plane-grating monochromator. Ray-tracing results demonstrate the energy-resolving power of the monochromator at different  $C_{\rm ff}$  values.

# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the staff of the BL08U beamline at the SSRF.

# **Funding information**

The following funding is acknowledged: National Key R&D Program of China (grant Nos. 2017YFA0206001 and 2016YFA0401302); Youth Innovation Promotion Association of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant No. 2017306); National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 11875314, U1632268 and 51705369); Shanghai Science and Technology Commission Research Projects (grant No. 17JC1400802); the State Key Laboratory of Applied Optics (China).

## References

- Aksela, S., Kivimäki, A., Naves de Brito, A., Sairanen, O. P., Svensson, S. & Väyrynen, J. (1994). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 65, 831–836.
- Amemiya, K., Toyoshima, A., Kikuchi, T., Kosuge, T., Nigorikawa, K., Sumii, R., Ito, K., Garrett, R., Gentle, I., Nugent, K. & Wilkins, S. (2010). AIP Conf. Proc. 1234, 295–298.
- Follath, R. (2001). Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, 467–468, 418–425.
- Follath, R. & Senf, F. (1997). Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, **390**, 388–394.
- Follath, R., Senf, F. & Gudat, W. (1998). J. Synchrotron Rad. 5, 769–771.
- McKinney, W. R. (1992). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 63, 1410-1414.
- Ono, M., Scott, J. D. & Morikawa, E. (2004). AIP Conf. Proc. 705, 360–363.
- Petersen, H. (1982). Opt. Commun. 40, 402-406.
- Pimpale, A. V., Deshpande, S. K. & Bhide, V. G. (1991). *Appl. Opt.* **30**, 1591–1594.
- Reininger, R. & de Castro, A. R. B. (2005). Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, **538**, 760–770.
- Riemer, F. & Torge, R. (1983). Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. 208, 313–314.
- Sinn, H., Dommach, M., Dong, X., La Civita, D., Samoylova, L., Villanueva, R. & Yang, F. (2012). EuXFEL Technical Design Report, ch. 4, p. 51. European XFEL, Hamburg, Germany.
- Warwick, T., Cambie, D., Padmore, H. A. & Howells, M. R. (2001). Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, 467–468, 525–528.
- Xue, C. F., Wang, Y., Guo, Z., Wu, Y. Q., Zhen, X. J., Chen, M., Chen, J. H., Xue, S., Peng, Z. Q., Lu, Q. P. & Tai, R. Z. (2010). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 81, 103502.
- Xue, L., Reininger, R., Wu, Y.-Q., Zou, Y., Xu, Z.-M., Shi, Y.-B., Dong, J., Ding, H., Sun, J.-L., Guo, F.-Z., Wang, Y. & Tai, R.-Z. (2014). J. Synchrotron Rad. 21, 273–279.