Scientific instruments for soft X-ray photon-in/photon-out spectroscopy on the PAL-XFEL
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An overview is given of the soft X-ray photon-in/photon-out instruments on the free-electron laser (FEL) beamline at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory, and selected commissioning results are presented. The FEL beamline provides a photon energy of 270 to 1200 eV, with an energy bandwidth of 0.44%, an energy of 200 μJ per pulse and a pulse width of <50 fs (full width at half-maximum). The estimated total time resolution between optical laser and X-ray pulses is <100 fs. Instruments for X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) have been set up. X-ray magnetic circular dichroism spectra for a Co/Pt multilayer film and RIXS spectra for /C11-Fe2O3(100) have been obtained and the performance of the spectrometer has been evaluated.

1. Introduction

Ultra-fast pulsed lasers have been used to increase our understanding of the dynamics of materials (Dantus et al., 1990; Chergui, 2014). However, these devices cannot provide element-specific information, and numerous efforts have been devoted to circumventing this limitation. Higher-harmonic-generated (HHG) lasers have achieved great success because the pulses can simultaneously provide both a high energy up to 100 eV and a pulse width as short as attoseconds (Sansone et al., 2011; Kraus et al., 2018). However, they still cannot provide full access to all atomic core levels.

The use of X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs) as probes can continue to expand our understanding of the dynamics of materials by providing element-specific information about the evolution of the system and full access to almost all atomic core levels (Ackermann et al., 2007; Allaria et al., 2012; Emma et al., 2010; Ishikawa et al., 2012; Altarelli, 2011; Kang et al., 2017). XFELs provide high-energy photons that can distinguish a material’s atoms and ions by exciting their core electrons, typically with energy ranges from several tens of electron volts to 100 keV. The soft X-ray energy range is well matched to the K edges of elements from B to Ne, to the L edges of elements from S to Ge, and to the M edge of elements from Se to Gd. The soft X-rays generated on the PAL-XFEL facility can provide photons with an energy range from 270 to 1200 eV, a pulse energy of 200 μJ per pulse, a pulse width of <50 fs full width at half-maximum (FWHM) and an energy bandwidth of 0.44% (Park et al., 2018). The XFEL can also provide a pump–probe capability. An external pump excites electrons in the material, then after a delay time an X-ray
pulse probes the properties of the material as it relaxes. Two categories of pump–probe experiment can be used: ‘photon-in/electron-out’ and ‘photon-in/photon-out’. In photon-in/electron-out experiments, the high peak fluence of the XFEL induces a space charge effect, which is a severe disturbance of the electron distribution in the sample, so the measurements are distorted (Hellmann et al., 2009). To avoid this effect, the photon number must be $<10^7$ per pulse and the repetition rate must be increased; these adjustments are not possible in our facility, because it has a maximum repetition rate of 60 Hz. Therefore, we only considered photon-in/photon-out experiments.

First, we considered X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) to probe the unoccupied states of materials. This method can use either transmission mode or fluorescence-yield mode. In transmission mode, the X-rays penetrate samples that are composed of a thin film, gas or liquid, and then the intensity of the transmitted X-rays is recorded. Fluorescence-yield-mode XAS is used for samples that X-rays cannot penetrate; in this case, the X-rays excite the sample, and the resulting scattered X-rays are collected and recorded by an X-ray spectrometer.

Second, we prepared for resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS), in which information can be obtained by analysis of the energy that is lost by X-rays that scatter inelastically from the sample. These data are collected using an RIXS spectrometer (Park et al., 2018). Energy-loss spectra, measured to a fraction of an electron volt, can probe subtle features of electronic structure, correlation and bonding.

After a rigorous commissioning process, we demonstrated the feasibility and estimated the performance of each experimental setup. We verified transmission-mode XAS by measuring the X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) of a Co/Pt multilayer film. We verified fluorescence-yield-mode XAS using an RIXS spectrometer to measure the XAS spectra from metal single crystals. We also obtained typical RIXS spectra from single crystals of Ni(111) and $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(100). The optical pump and X-ray pulses were synchronized to match a temporal overlap of $<100$ fs.

2. Beamline and endstation

The beamline (Fig. 1, Table 1) consists mainly of a gas attenuator, offset mirrors, a monochromator system, focusing mirrors and an endstation. The gas attenuator reduces the photon flux by a factor of as much as ten. The major function of the offset mirrors is to isolate the other optical elements from hazardous radiation such as $\gamma$-rays and bremsstrahlung radiation that is generated in the undulators and the electron dump. The first mirror is planar, while the second one is concavely cylindrical with a radius of 3493.2 m, which yields a horizontal focal point 93.01 m downstream from the source point. This focal point is a source point for a horizontal focus mirror (M4) located at 111.01 m with a demagnification of 9 (18:2) at the sample position. In the vertical direction, the pre-mirror of the monochromator focuses the beam on the exit slit of the monochromator at 89.01 m. This point is a source point of a vertical refocus mirror (M5) that has a vertical demagnification of 15 (22.5:1.5). The spot size of the monochromatic XFEL is controlled vertically by the vertical exit slit and is fixed horizontally at $<50$ μm (Park et al., 2018).

The full energy range of 270–1200 eV is covered by two VLS gratings: G1 covers 270–600 eV with a grating frequency $G = 100$ lines mm$^{-1}$, and G2 covers 600–1200 eV with $G = 200$ lines mm$^{-1}$. The incident angle of the photon beam onto the grating is designed to be 88.26–88.68°. The final diffracted beam is set to be horizontal, from which the diffracted angles from the normal of the grating surface are designed to be 88.74–88.34°. The focal point is 20 m from the VLS grating.

The endstation is installed downstream of a Kirkpatrick–Baez (K–B) mirror system. The laser-in coupling is located between the interaction chamber and the K–B mirror system to make the pump laser beam and XFEL beam collinear. Two photon-flux monitors are installed: one is a gas detector located downstream of the gas attenuator, and one is a Pt (5 nm)/Si$_3$N$_4$ (200 nm) film combined with a centre-holed micro-channel plate (MCP) and located downstream of the exit slit. The former is used for an unmonochromatized XFEL.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

Schematic diagrams of the SSS beamline optics and main components (as defined in Table 1).
beam, whereas the latter is used for a monochromatized XFEL beam, and is also used as a reference signal \( I_0 \) for XAS measurements. Downstream of the \( I_0 \) monitor, a circular polarizer and solid attenuators are installed in sequence.

### 3. Commissioning results

All optics in the soft X-ray beamline are coated with B\(_4\)C to maximize throughput and endure X-ray damage, but X-ray absorption by the mirror surfaces is unavoidable. To allow correction, the absorption of B\(_4\)C should be quantified without a sample before experiments. Thus, the XAS of B\(_4\)C at the C \( K \) edge was obtained for the beamline mirrors [Fig. 2(a)]. The spectrum showed the most significant absorption peak at 285 eV, but also had absorption features at higher energies than this. We used the full bandwidth of the XFEL, so the spectrum shows broad features. The main absorption peak is a convolution of peaks at 284.5, 287 and 288 eV and is related to the \( sp^2 \) bonding of B\(_4\)C; the peak at higher energy is related to \( sp^3 \) bonding (Jiménez et al., 1998). The intensity of the XFEL reflected by the mirrors was measured by averaging the observed fluorescence of the XFEL on a Ce:YAG crystal at the sample position using a CCD camera (Allied Vision, Manta G-046). Then the absorbance \( A \) of C 1s was calculated from the difference \( \Delta \) in the intensities \( I \) from the pre-edge region as \( A = \log[I(I - \Delta)] \). The degradation of the mirrors can be monitored by tracing the change in the peak intensity of the C 1s XAS spectrum.

For measurements of fluorescence-yield-mode XAS, fluorescent photons from the sample were collected by an RIXS spectrometer in which the mirror and grating are aligned parallel using undispersed zero-order; the angle between the incident and outgoing X-rays is set to 90°. We measured the fluorescence-yield-mode XAS spectrum of the Cr \( L \) edges [Fig. 2(b)]. The Cr \( L_2 \) edge has a larger fluorescence intensity than the Cr \( L_3 \) edge. This difference occurs because the effectiveness of self-absorption and saturation effects increases as the photon energy decreases in the soft X-ray region (Eisebitt et al., 1993; Asakura et al., 2016). The fluor-
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**Table 1**

Main components of the soft X-ray beamline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optical element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Coating/substrate</th>
<th>Dimensions (l w t) (mm)</th>
<th>Radius (m)</th>
<th>Incidence angle [mrad (°)]</th>
<th>Distance from source (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA/GMD</td>
<td>Plane mirror, first offset mirror</td>
<td>B(_4)C/Si</td>
<td>600 × 50 × 50</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>12 (0.688)</td>
<td>35.0/40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Cylindrical mirror, second offset mirror</td>
<td>B(_4)C/Si</td>
<td>600 × 50 × 50</td>
<td>3493.2</td>
<td>12 (0.688)</td>
<td>59.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Entrance aperture</td>
<td>Four-jaw slit system</td>
<td>B(_4)C/Si</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Cylindrical mirror, pre-mirror for grating</td>
<td>B(_4)C/Si</td>
<td>300 × 60 × 60</td>
<td>1808.21</td>
<td>17.44 (1.0)</td>
<td>68.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>Cylindrical mirror, pre-mirror for grating</td>
<td>B(_4)C/Si</td>
<td>170 × 50 × 40</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.44 (1.0)</td>
<td>69.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Collimator 1</td>
<td>Tungsten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Collimator 2</td>
<td>Tungsten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vert. slit</td>
<td>Vertical slit</td>
<td>B(_4)C</td>
<td>5 × 0.030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>89.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hor. foc.</td>
<td>Horizontal focus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_0 )</td>
<td>Plane mirror/plane varied line spacing gratings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol.</td>
<td>Circular polarizer</td>
<td>Co/Fe/Ni films/ SiN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>105.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Solid attenuator</td>
<td>Au/SiN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>Elliptical mirror, horizontal refocusing mirror</td>
<td>B(_4)C/Si</td>
<td>400 × 50 × 50</td>
<td>360.1</td>
<td>10 (0.573)</td>
<td>111.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>Elliptical mirror, vertical refocusing mirror</td>
<td>B(_4)C/Si</td>
<td>400 × 50 × 50</td>
<td>281.26</td>
<td>10 (0.573)</td>
<td>111.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIN</td>
<td>Laser-in coupling chamber</td>
<td>Centre-hole mirror, Au/Glass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>113.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2**

(a) The XAS spectrum of the C \( K \) edge for B\(_4\)C-coated mirrors on the SSS beamline. (b) Fluorescence-yield mode XAS spectra of the Cr \( L \) edges. (c) The correlation between MCP \( I_0 \) and transmitted X-rays measured using the PI-MTE CCD. (d) Transmission-mode XAS spectra and XMCD data for the Co \( L_3 \) edge of a Co/Pt multilayer with a circular polarizer. We used the full bandwidth of the XFEL to obtain panels (a) and (b), and a monochromated XFEL for panels (c) and (d).
essence intensity at each energy was measured using a CCD detector (Andor, Newton DO940P-BN).

In the transmission-mode XAS setup, the XFEL beam passes sequentially through the circular polarizer, the \( I_0 \) monitor, the solid attenuator, the sample and the detector. The intensity of the transmitted XFEL beam was measured using an in-vacuum CCD detector (Princeton Instruments, PI-MTE:2048B) with a parylene (100 nm)/aluminium (100 nm) filter to exclude the optical laser. The pulse-by-pulse intensity was recorded using an external trigger synchronized to the XFEL. With vertical binning mode acquisition, the PI-MTE CCD can collect data for all pulses at 10 Hz. However, due to inevitable pulse-to-pulse intensity variation of the XFEL, the degree of circular polarization was highest (0.17) at 778.2 eV (Kortright et al., 1997).

An RIXS map for a single Ni(111) crystal was obtained. The incidence angle to the surface normal of the incoming X-rays was 50° and the emitted photons were detected in the horizontal plane in the direction perpendicular to the direction of the incident X-ray beam. The RIXS map [Fig. 3(a)] and the RIXS spectra [Fig. 3(b)] both show elastic and non-resonant X-ray emission. The elastic emission showed zero energy loss on the map near resonance, whereas the non-resonant X-ray emission peak showed an energy loss (the energy difference between the incident and emitted photons) that increased as the incident photon energy increased. When the incident photon energy was just less than the Ni \( L_3 \) edge (851 eV), only a small elastic peak was observed. For our experimental geometry, in which the angle between the XFEL beam and the RIXS spectrometer is 90°, elastic emission is forbidden. However, a small elastic emission can be observed because of the roughness of the sample surface and imperfect geometry (Ament et al., 2011). When the photon energy of the incident XFEL beam is greater than the binding energy of Ni \( 2p_{3/2} \), the emitted photon energy is constant because the main emission process involves recombination of the Fermi-level electrons (Ni 3d) with holes at Ni \( 2p_{3/2} \) to emit photons. As the incident photon energy was increased to 876 eV, the emission related to Ni \( 2p_{3/2} \) started to appear, with a small intensity. Fluorescence-yield-mode XAS could be obtained by integrating the intensity of the emission spectra for the Ni \( L_3 \) edge in the energy range from 830 to 880 eV.

Fluorescence-yield-mode XAS was measured for a single \( \alpha-Fe_2O_3(100) \) crystal at the Fe \( L_3 \) edge [Fig. 4(a)]. The XAS spectrum shows a \( t_{2g} \) peak at 707.5 eV and an \( e_g \) peak at 709.5 eV due to the octahedral ligand field splitting (Abbate et al., 1992). Then RIXS spectra were measured at incident energies of 707.5 eV (\( \approx t_{2g} \)) [Figs. 4(b)] and 709.5 eV (\( \approx e_g \))
Elastic emissions had the highest emission energy in both spectra [point A in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]; this elastic peak had FWHM = 0.6 eV. We used these elastic peaks to calibrate the measured energy of the outgoing photon. The fundamental energy resolution of our spectrometer at the Fe L edge is about 0.6 eV, which explains the lower resolution of our spectra compared with a previous report on RIXS of Fe₂O₃ (Miyawaki et al., 2017).

The inelastic emission features [Fig. 4(c), points B–D] appear at 2 eV (B), 4 eV (C) and 6.5 eV (D) lower than the elastic peak (A). The features with 2 eV energy loss correspond to the band-gap excitation in which the bulk band gap of α-Fe₂O₃ is 2.0 eV (Duda et al., 2000). The other energy-loss features (C and D) are related to the charge-transfer excitation from unoccupied O 2p to unoccupied Fe 3d (Vayssieres et al., 2005). The intensity of the elastic emission peak is higher and the intensity of the charge-transfer emission peaks are both lower in the emission spectrum at $t_{2g}$ than at $e_g$. These results indicate that the $t_{2g}$ state is more localized than the $e_g$ state, so in the $e_g$ state charge transfer occurs preferentially over elastic emission.

Optical-pump XFEL-probing is one of most important experimental techniques to use an XFEL beam. An XFEL beam with an ultra-short pulse width allows investigation of dynamics in the femtosecond range. For this experiment, synchronization between the X-ray beam and the pump laser must also be in the femtosecond range. At first, a fast photodiode (Hamamatsu, G4176) connected to an oscilloscope (Tektronix, DPO71254C) was used to achieve synchronization within $\sim$50 ps between the optical laser and the XFEL. To increase the precision of the match of temporal overlap, we used a reference Ce:YAG crystal. By measuring the intensity (Fig. 5) of an optical laser through the transparent Ce:YAG crystal in the visible range, we conducted an XFEL-pump optical-laser-probe experiment that requires a delay time of $\sim$1 ps. The intensity of the transmitted optical laser beam is measured using a photodiode (Hamamatsu, S3590-09). The intensity is integrated by a gate integrator (Stanford Research Systems, SR250) for every pulse, and 300 pulses are averaged for each data point without normalization. The rising edge of the transmission change (Fig. 5) represents the temporal overlap between the optical laser and XFEL beams. When the curve is fitted with a modulated Gaussian function, the width of the slope is <100 fs FWHM, which is much shorter than in our previous report (Park et al., 2018). The decrease occurs because we have changed the measurement geometry from reflection to transmission. Then we obtained an enhanced time resolution, and we suggest that this improvement is a result of the removal of possible diffuse reflection, which occurs when the optical laser is reflected from the YAG crystal. Part of the reflection comes from one surface of the Ce:YAG crystal, and part comes from the opposite surface. When time zero is measured in transmission mode, this influence of diffuse reflection should be much reduced.

4. Conclusions

Instruments for photon-in/photon-out spectroscopy have been installed and tested on the soft X-ray FEL beamline PAL-XFEL. Major results such as XMCD of a Co/Pt multilayer film, fluorescence-yield-mode XAS of various transition metals at their L edges, and RIXS spectra of single crystals of Ni(111) and α-Fe₂O₃(100) have been presented. This beamline provides intense ($2 \times 10^{12}$ photons per pulse) beams with a short total time resolution (<100 fs).
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