

JOURNAL OF SYNCHROTRON RADIATION

ISSN 1600-5775

Received 30 August 2021 Accepted 23 November 2021

Edited by S. Wang, Soochow University, People's Republic of China

Keywords: X-ray absorption spectroscopy; HERFD-XANES; uranium; electronic structure; *FDMNES*.

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/s



Application of multi-edge HERFD-XAS to assess the uranium valence electronic structure in potassium uranate (KUO₃)

René Bes,^{a,b}* Gregory Leinders^c and Kristina Kvashnina^{d,e,f}

^aDepartment of Physics, University of Helsinki, PO Box 64, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland, ^bHelsinki Institute of Physics, PO Box 64, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland, ^cBelgian Nuclear Research Centre (SCK CEN), Institute for Nuclear Materials Science, B-2400 Mol, Belgium, ^dThe Rossendorf Beamline at ESRF, The European Synchrotron, CS40220, 38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France, ^eInstitute of Resource Ecology, Helmholtz Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), PO Box 510119, 01314 Dresden, Germany, and ^fDepartment of Chemistry, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia. *Correspondence e-mail: rene.bes@helsinki.fi

The uranium valence electronic structure in the prototypical undistorted perovskite KUO_3 is reported on the basis of a comprehensive experimental study using multi-edge HERFD-XAS and relativistic quantum chemistry calculations based on density functional theory. Very good agreement is obtained between theory and experiments, including the confirmation of previously reported Laporte forbidden f-f transitions and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic measurements. Many spectral features are clearly identified in the probed U-f, U-p and U-d states and the contribution of the O-p states in those features could be assessed. The octahedral crystal field strength, 10Dq, was found to be 6.6 (1.5) eV and 6.9 (4) eV from experiment and calculations, respectively. Calculated electron binding energies down to U-4f states are also reported.

1. Introduction

Uranium compounds are usually associated with nuclear applications, such as nuclear fission for energy generation, but for many decades they also triggered interest from more fundamental aspects. In particular, uranium can induce very versatile physico-chemical properties due to the wide range of possible oxidation states offered by its $[Rn]7s^26d^15f^3$ electronic ground state configuration. Additionally, uranium 5f electrons show an apparent duality in localization, being often found in radially dispersed and hybridized bands in the vicinity of the Fermi level, whereas sometimes they remain localized (Guziewicz et al., 2004; Teterin et al., 1981; Teterin & Teterin, 2004). One consequence is the ability of the 5f electrons to participate in the conduction band and to remain localized simultaneously, producing rather complex and still unclear behaviour of the uranium bonding nature with a mixed covalent/ionic character (Kaltsoyannis, 2013). Despite the large amount of crystallographic, physical, chemical and thermodynamic data available today (Grenthe et al., 2006), understanding of the uranium electronic structure is far from complete. Experimental studies typically require dedicated laboratories, owing to the natural radioactivity of uranium, and, in addition, theoretical calculations are revealed to be extremely complicated because of comparable magnitudes of the crystal field, spin-orbit coupling and the electron-electron repulsion interactions. The influence of the latter effect can be significantly reduced by exploring pure pentavalent U(V) compounds. Indeed, the U(V) valence state corresponds to a simpler electronic configuration $[Rn]5f^1$, where no electron–

electron repulsion interactions are expected, at least within the 5f shells.

Uranium occurs in numerous ores and minerals, as well as in seawater, but most often it is present in a hexavalent U(VI) state, or in a mixture of tetra-, penta- or hexavalent states (Grenthe et al., 2006). Single-valence uranium compounds are much less widespread, the most common being uranium(IV) oxide (UO_2) known for its application as nuclear fuel in lightwater reactors. The pentavalent U(V) state has been identified in oxidation products of UO₂, such as U_4O_9 , U_3O_7 and U_3O_8 , but here always occurs as a mixture with tetra- or hexavalent uranium (Kvashnina et al., 2014; Leinders et al., 2017, 2021). One exception might be the, somewhat obscure, U_2O_5 phase, which has been reported to exist under very specific conditions (Hoekstra et al., 1970; Gouder et al., 2018). More commonly, stable pentavalent uranium compounds occur in ternary systems of uranium and oxygen with one additional cation (e.g. from the alkali metal group, or certain alkaline earth and transition metals, as well as some of the rare-earth elements) (Selbin & Ortego, 1969). However, oxygen non-stoichiometry, leading to a mixed-valence character, may sometimes develop in these ternary compounds (Grenthe et al., 2006). Potassium uranate, KUO₃, which crystallizes in a prototypical cubic perovskite structure, contains a pure U(V) ion as confirmed by recent X-ray absorption spectroscopy studies at the uranium L_3 -edge (Soldatov et al., 2007; Leinders et al., 2020) and M_4 edge (Leinders et al., 2017), and from U-4f X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy studies (Lopez et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2009). Other metal uranate systems, such as NaUO₃, RbUO₃ and TlUO₃, similarly are pentavalent uranium compounds, and have been investigated in multiple studies (Aravamudan et al., 1978; Chippindale et al., 1989; Soldatov et al., 2007; Misra et al., 2008; Sanyal et al., 2017; Butorin et al., 2016). However, these compounds exhibit more distorted crystal structures with local atomic disorder, owing to the values of the ionic radii which deviate from the optimal ratio in perovskite systems (Kassan-Ogly & Naish, 1986). KUO₃, on the other hand, is an example of a prototypical cubic perovskite with no local disorder, which makes it an ideal U(V) reference material.

The magnetic properties of KUO₃ were extensively studied using neutron diffraction, magnetic susceptibility measurements as a function of temperature, as well as electron paramagnetic resonance (Dickens & Powell, 1991; Hinatsu, 1994; Hinatsu et al., 1998; Van den Berghe et al., 2004). A sharp spike in the magnetic susceptibility at ca. 17 K was found and attributed to an antiferromagnetic-type magnetic ordering at low temperature (Hinatsu, 1994). Van den Berghe et al. (2004) later experimentally confirmed such magnetic ordering to be G-type antiferromagnetic with an orthorhombic magnetic unit cell, in line with recent theoretical results by Dorbane et al. (2019). However, some uncertainty remains on the effective magnetic moment carried by the U(V) ion, which has been reported (from experiment) to be equal to $0.20(3)\mu_{\rm B}$ (Van den Berghe et al., 2004) and $0.66 \mu_B$ (Hinatsu, 1994). In addition, theoretical calculated values vary between $0.9932 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ and $1.2500 \mu_{\rm B}$ depending on the type of method used (Dorbane et al., 2019).

Concerning the electronic structure of KUO₃, only few studies are reported in the literature. Hinatsu revealed forbidden-Laporte f-f transitions in optical absorption spectra originally reported by Kemmler-Sack (1968), which were interpreted on the basis of an octahedral crystal field model. Through this model, Kemmler-Sack deduced the crystal field parameters θ and Δ , and the spin-orbit coupling constant ξ , which were found to be equal to 0.58062 eV, 0.41349 eV and 0.23507 eV, respectively (Hinatsu, 1994). Electronic calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) indicate that the valence band top and the conduction band bottom are mainly dominated by U-f states with a very small contribution of the O-p, U-p and U-d states (Azam & Reshak, 2014; Dorbane et al., 2019). These calculations agree on the fact that KUO₃ is a semiconductor with an indirect band gap. However, the calculated band gap is reported as 4.652 eV (Azam & Reshak, 2014) and varies from 0.5077 eV to 5.1354 eV depending on specific conditions of the used DFT method (Dorbane et al., 2019). Covalent bonding between U and O atoms because of orbital hybridization is predicted in all calculations. Finally, anisotropic hyperfine interactions with predominant π character were observed by ¹⁷O nuclear magnetic resonance studies of ¹⁷O-enriched KUO₃ (Eastman et al., 1971). The major contribution to the hyperfine interaction was found to involve excited uranium orbitals such as 7s or 6d states and/or exchange polarization of core electrons.

The lack of consensus on some of the fundamental properties of the alkali metal uranate KUO₃ demonstrates the need to investigate further the electronic and magnetic properties of this interesting compound. By combining uranium L_1 -, L_3 - and M_4 -edge experiments, one probes 7p, 6dand 5f states, respectively, giving an almost complete overview of uranium valence electronic structure, excluding 7s states. We are reporting here results of our study on the uranium valence electronic structure in KUO₃ by means of multi-edge high energy resolution fluorescence detected X-ray absorption spectroscopy (HERFD-XAS) and state-of-the-art relativistic quantum chemistry calculations based on DFT.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

Polycrystalline KUO₃ powder was prepared by mixing stoichiometric amounts of U₃O₈ and K₂CO₃ powders, and performing heat treatments in a Carbolite TZF1800 tube furnace. Firstly, a portion of depleted UO_{2+x} powder (nuclear-grade impurity) (Leinders *et al.*, 2015), supplied by FBFC International (Belgium), was oxidized to U₃O₈ by treating at 500°C for 4 h in a dynamic air atmosphere (N₂/21 vol% O₂). Secondly, stoichiometric amounts of U₃O₈ and K₂CO₃ (ACS Reagent, \geq 99.0%), obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Belgium), were intimately mixed using a zirconia mortar and pestle, and the mixture was annealed at 800°C for 10 h. A reducing atmosphere (-400 kJ mol⁻¹ at 800°C) was applied by flushing the furnace with a gas mixture of Ar/0.5 vol% O₂ (519 ml min⁻¹) and Ar/5 vol% H₂ (481 ml min⁻¹). The used gases were

of high purity (99.9992%) and flow rates were accurately controlled using Bronkhorst EL-FLOW mass flow controllers.

Phase purity of the sample was confirmed from X-ray powder diffraction (PANalytical X'Pert Pro), see Figure 8 in the supporting information.

Sample preparation for X-ray absorption spectroscopy at the ESRF consisted of mixing the KUO₃ powder (30–50 mg) with boron nitride powder (98%), purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Belgium). The sample holder used at beamline ID26 required to compact the resulting powder into a thin pellet. The sample holder used at beamline BM20 consisted of a polypropylene disc with a small recess in which the powder was directly inserted. Both sample holders were sealed with Kapton foil.

2.2. High energy resolution fluorescence detected X-ray absorption spectroscopy

Uranium L_1 - and L_3 -edge XANES measurements were performed at BM20 (The Rossendorf Beamline) (Matz et al., 1999; Scheinost et al., 2021) of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) operating at an electron beam energy of 6 GeV, in Grenoble, France. The incident energy was scanned using a Si(111) monochromator. HERFD-XANES spectra were collected at room temperature using an X-ray emission spectrometer equipped with five Si(220) crystal analyzers with 0.5 m bending radius, and a silicon drift X-ray detector in a vertical Rowland geometry (Kvashnina & Scheinost, 2016). For uranium L_3 -edge HERFD-XANES measurement, the spectrometer was tuned to the maximum of the U $L\alpha_1$ ($2p_{3/2}$ - $3d_{5/2}$ transition at 13.614 keV) X-ray emission line using the (880) reflection at a Bragg angle of 72° . For the uranium L_1 -edge HERFD-XANES measurement, the spectrometer was tuned to the maximum of the U $L\beta_4$ ($2s_{1/2}$ - $3p_{1/2}$ transition at 16.575 keV) X-ray emission line using the $(10\ 10\ 0)$ reflection at a Bragg angle of 77°. The detected intensity was normalized to the incident flux. Beam size was estimated to be 200 µm (vertically) by 450 µm (horizontally). The beamline settings available at the time of the measurements did not allow ultra-high-energy resolution in HERFD mode to be achieved. Nevertheless, the total experimental energy broadening (incident energy convoluted with emitted energy and core-hole lifetime broadening) of 3.9 eV and 12.5 eV were still below the core-hole lifetime broadening of the U L_3 -edge (~8.2 eV) and L_1 -edge (~17.5 eV). The resolution can be further improved for example at the U L_3 edge by using a Si(311) crystal monochromator, a Ge(777) crystal analyzer with 1 m bending radius, and by reducing the beam size below 100 µm. Energy calibration was achieved through the Y K-edge excitation energy (17.038 keV) and through the Ru K-edge excitation energy (22.117 keV) of metallic yttrium and ruthenium foils placed in the beam path for the uranium L_3 - and L_1 -edge, respectively.

The U M_4 -edge HERFD-XANES measurements were performed at the ID26 beamline (Gauthier *et al.*, 1999) of the ESRF. The U M_4 -edge (3.725 keV) incident energy was selected using the Si(111) double-crystal monochromator. Rejection of higher harmonics was achieved by three silicon mirrors at 3.5 mrad working under total reflection. Beam size was estimated to be 200 µm (vertically) by 500 µm (horizontally). HERFD-XANES spectra were measured at room temperature using an X-ray emission spectrometer equipped with five 1 m bending radius Si(220) crystal analyzers and a silicon drift X-ray detector in a vertical Rowland geometry. The spectrometer was tuned to the maximum of the U $M\beta$ $(3d_{3/2}-4f_{5/2}$ transition, at 3.3374 keV) X-ray emission line using the $\langle 220 \rangle$ reflection (analyzer crystals at a Bragg angle of 75.4°). The detected intensity was normalized to the incident flux. The total experimental energy broadening (incident energy convoluted with emitted energy and core-hole lifetime broadening) was evaluated at 0.7 eV.

It has to be mentioned that, following the allowed electronic dipolar transition, the main differences between HERFD-XANES experiments at the uranium L_1 -edge, L_3 -edge and M_4 -edge lie in the fact that different density of states are being probed, namely uranium 7p, 6d and 5f states, respectively. Those states are likely to show individual sensitivity towards the uranium oxidation state as a function of their involvement in bonding to ligands, the presence of crystal-field effects and the core-hole broadening affecting the overall resolution of useful features.

2.3. Electronic structure calculations

The XANES theoretical calculations were performed using the *Finite Difference Method for Near-Edge Structure* (*FDMNES*) code (Bunău & Joly, 2009). KUO₃ is an alkalimetal uranate crystallizing in a prototypical undistorted perovskite-type structure ($Pm\bar{3}m$), in which U atoms are octahedrally coordinated by oxygen (see Fig. 1) situated at 2.37 Å at room temperature. The K atoms are cuboctahedrally coordinated (12-fold) by oxygen atoms, at a distance equal to 3.04 Å.



Figure 1

Representation of the KUO_3 unit cell, which exhibits an ideal, cubic perovskite structure. The oxygen atoms form a framework of cornerlinked oxygen octahedra around each U atom. The K atom is positioned in the central cuboctahedral void formed by the vertices of the anion octahedra. Figure created using the *VESTA3* software (Momma & Izumi, 2011).

An atomic cluster of 9 Å was used in self-consistent-field calculations using the Dirac-Slater approach. The Poisson equation was solved to obtain the Coulomb potential from the superposed self-consistent atomic densities in the considered cluster. The energy-dependent exchange-correlation potential was evaluated using the local density approximation, and constructed using both the real Hedin-Lundquist and Von Barth formulations. These calculations were based on static atom supercells of hundreds of atoms, and thermally induced disorder was not considered. Because of the presence of heavy nuclei (U), spin-orbit effects were taken into account but no spin-polarization effect has been noticed from the comparison of spin-polarized spectra. Finally, calculations were performed with and without the quadrupolar transition probability in order to assess the 6d and 5f contribution on the final spectra at the U L_1 and L_3 -edges, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Uranium L1-edge HERFD-XANES

Experiments at the U L_1 -edge (~21758 eV) probe the U 7p states following the allowed electronic dipolar transition $2s \rightarrow (n)p$. Conventional XANES characterization is not often performed at this uranium edge due to the very large core-hole lifetime broadening of about 17 eV, which hinders resolving useful features. However, by applying HERFD-XANES, several features can become visible thanks to the tremendous gain in energy resolution by the virtual reduction of core-hole broadening. The obtained HERFD-XANES spectrum of KUO₃ is shown in Figure 2 together with the simulated spectrum and the calculated partial density of states (DOS) of selected orbitals. The maximum of the white line occurs at 21791 (1) eV, while the maximum of the first derivative, which corresponds to the uranium L_1 -edge energy position E_0 , is found at 21770 (1) eV.

Two post-edge features, indicated as A and B on Figure 2, are resolved and their energy position can be identified at 21817 (1) eV and 21850 (1) eV, respectively. Good agreement is observed between calculated and experimental spectra in terms of number of features and their relative intensity. Some discrepancy can be understood due to the fact that the FDMNES calculations used here are based on DFT which is by definition a fundamental state theory at 0 K, and therefore it is not necessarily adapted to XAS which is probing an excited state, here at room temperature. The post-edge features A and B are not calculated exactly at their corresponding energy position observed in the experiment, but occur around 21812.8 (2) eV and 21841.9 (2) eV, respectively. The calculated DOS (see Figure 2, and additionally Figure 7 of the supporting information) indicate that these features are essentially caused by U-p, with a potential smaller contribution of the U-f states. Moreover, in feature B the O-p and K-d states are overlapping with the aforementioned U states in energy scale, which demonstrates their role in uranium bonding with oxygen and potassium neighbours.

In addition to the two post-edge features, two shoulders marked as S1 and S2 in Figure 2 on the lower energy side of the white line, at 21757 (1) eV and 21770 (1) eV, respectively, are also observed. The former shoulder S1 would typically be attributed to a pre-edge feature, *i.e.* a possible quadrupolar transition $2s \rightarrow (n)d$, in line with U-d DOS. However, calculations without quadrupolar transition are not demonstrating its U-d states origin. Assigning it to an octupolar transition $2s \rightarrow (n) f$ can also be ruled out, given its significant strength and its occurrence in dipole-only calculations. The most plausible interpretation remains a U-p origin given the presence of such states in partial U-p DOS (see Figure 7 of the supporting information). Nevertheless, the relative U-p DOS intensities are not sufficient to solely explain the observed intensity of S1. Usually not well reproduced by our calculations, it is likely that such a feature is enhanced by the mixing of U-d/f and U-p states with potential effect of hybridization to the O-p states, as revealed by the overlapping U-p, U-f and O-p DOS in this energy range. Further studies at the U L_1 edge are therefore required to confirm such hypothesis. The latter shoulder S2 at 21770 (1) eV seems also related to the splitting of U-p states into two main bands, one at around



Figure 2

(a) Uranium L_1 -edge HERFD-XANES and the corresponding simulated spectrum using *FDMNES*. (b, c) Calculated U-p, U-f, O-p and K-d partial density of states, to allow direct comparison with the experimental XANES spectrum. The Fermi energy level is indicated with a vertical dotted line. Vertical dashed-dotted lines indicating the position of features A and B in partial DOS are also present to guide the eyes. The intensity of U-p and U-d partial DOS in subplot (b) were here multiplied by 5 and 2, respectively, to better distinguish each contribution. We refer to Figure 6 of the supporting information for the other calculated partial DOS.

21774 (3) eV and the other at 21790 (3) eV. Both bands contribute significantly to the overall shape of the white line and link to the energy position of the white line maximum and L_1 -edge energy position E_0 (*i.e.* the first derivative).

3.2. Uranium L₃-edge HERFD-XANES

Experiments at the U L_3 -edge (~17166 eV) probe the U 6d states following the allowed electronic dipolar transition $2p \rightarrow p$ (n)d. The uranium L_3 -edge is often used for XANES and EXAFS characterizations thanks to its limited core-hole lifetime broadening of about 8 eV, which is significantly lower than at the U L_1 -edge. Again, by using HERFD-XANES instrumentation, more features can be resolved thanks to the tremendous gain in energy resolution by the virtual reduction of core-hole broadening. The obtained HERFD-XANES spectrum of KUO₃ is shown in Figure 3 together with the simulated spectrum and the calculated partial DOS of selected orbitals. Very good agreement between experiments and calculation is demonstrated. The maximum of the white line occurs at 17179.6 (5) eV, while the maximum of the first derivative, which corresponds to the uranium L_3 -edge energy position E_0 , is found at 17169.9 (5) eV.

In the post-edge region of the experimental spectrum (see Figure 3), up to four features can be identified, here designated A, A^{*}, B and C. In general, all observed spectral features are reproduced by the calculations in terms of number and relative intensity. A comparison between the experimentally observed and calculated energy position of the post-edge features is reported in Table 1. Some discrepancy remains on the respective positions, especially for A^{*}, but with differences of the order $\sim 1 \text{ eV}$ the agreement is better than at the U L_1 -edge.

To gain more insight on the nature of the spectral features, a distinction between cubic harmonics of U-*d* states and O-*p* states was made in Figure 3. Features A and A^{*} can be considered to originate mainly from U-*d* states, with no significant contribution from O-*p* states. Feature B is essentially related to U- d_{z^2} and U- $d_{(x^2-y^2)}$, with also a significant contribution of O- p_x . Feature C appears to result from a mixture of all U-*d* electrons, together with contributions of O- p_y and O- p_z . Therefore, features B and C are most relevant with respect to uranium–oxygen bonding.

In addition to those post-edge features, the improved energy resolution in HERFD-XANES experiments allows to distinguish a bimodal white line shape. The occurrence of a quadrupolar transition $2p \rightarrow (n)f$ as previously reported on UO₂, [UO₂py₅][KI₂py₂] and UO₂(NO₃)₂(H₂O)₆ by Vitova *et al.* (2010), and later also on U₄O₉ and U₃O₈ (Kvashnina *et al.*, 2014), and [Ni(H₂O)₄]₃[U(OH,H₂O)(UO₂)₈O₁₂(OH)₃] (Bès *et al.*, 2016), is not well resolved, despite the resolution gain in our experiments. Indeed, there is a partial overlap between U-5f and U-6d electrons as a consequence of the 6d electrons strong crystal-field splitting expected when considering the undistorted oxygen octahedral geometry around uranium atoms in the crystal structure of KUO₃ (Kemmler-Sack, 1968). As a result, the U-d_{z²} and U-d_{x²-y²} orbitals, the so-called t_{2g},

Table 1

Energy position of experimentally observed and calculated U L_3 -edge HERFD-XANES edge and post-edge spectral features; the U-*d* cubic harmonics mainly contributing to these features are also indicated.

Feature	Experimental	Calculated	Involved U-d cubic harmonics
t _{2g}	17173 (1) eV	17173.3 (2) eV	$U-d_{xy}, U-d_{xz}, U-d_{yz}$
e_{g}	17179.6 (5) eV	17180.2 (2) eV	$U - d_{z^2}, U - d_{(x^2 - y^2)}$
Å	17191 (1) eV	17189.9 (2) eV	$U - d_{xy}, U - d_{xz}, U - d_{yz}$
A*	17200 (1) eV	17197.1 (2) eV	$U-d_{xy}, U-d_{xz}, U-d_{yz}$
В	17222.1 (5) eV	17219.5 (2) eV	$U-d_{z^2}, U-d_{(x^2-v^2)}$
С	17238.7 (5) eV	17236.6 (2) eV	All

are pulled to lower energy, partially overlapping (and probably hybridizing) with U-f orbitals. At the same time, U- d_{xy} , U- d_{yz} and U- d_{yz} orbitals, the so-called e_g , are pushed to higher energy. The crystal field strength, 10Dq, can be deduced from the energy separation between t_{2g} and e_g (see Table 1), where comparable values of 6.6 (1.5) eV and 6.9 (4) eV are found from experiment and calculations, respectively.

3.3. Uranium M₄-edge HERFD-XANES

Experiments at the U M_4 -edge (~3725 eV) probe the U 5*f* states following the allowed electronic dipolar transition $3d \rightarrow (n)f$. This technique, and especially the application of





(a) Uranium L_3 -edge HERFD-XANES and the corresponding simulated spectrum using *FDMNES*. (b, c) Calculated U-d, U-f and O-p partial DOS, here expressed as cubic harmonics (except U-f), to allow direct comparison with the experimental XANES spectrum. The Fermi energy level is indicated with a vertical dotted line. Vertical dashed-dotted lines indicating the position of features A, A^{*}, B and C in partial DOS are also present to guide the eyes. We refer to Figure 6 of the supporting information for the other calculated partial DOS.

HERFD-XANES, has gained much attention over the past decade as more and more facilities offered its use on radioactive materials. By probing directly the U 5*f* states and having a formidable spectral resolution down to 1 eV and lower (Kvashnina *et al.*, 2014), it presents great advantages over the U *L*-edges with respect to chemical state determination in uranium compounds (Leinders *et al.*, 2017, 2020). The obtained HERFD-XANES spectrum of KUO₃ is shown in Figure 4 together with the simulated spectrum and partial DOS of selected orbitals. Very good agreement between experiments and calculation is also demonstrated. The maximum of the white line occurs at 3726.7 (1) eV, while the uranium M_4 -edge energy position E_0 , is found at 3726.4 (1) eV.

Thanks to the tremendous gain in energy resolution, several features (here assigned A to F) are clearly visible beyond the white line in Figure 4. These post-edge features are well reproduced by the calculations, but features C to F remain slightly off in energy position, with differences of the order



Figure 4

(a) Uranium M_4 -edge HERFD-XANES and the corresponding *FDMNES* calculated spectrum (non convoluted). The absorbance scale was limited in this plot to better distinguish the numerous satellite features (denoted as A, B, C, D, E and F). We refer to Figure 7 in the supporting information for the full scale absorption spectrum. (b, c) Calculated U-*f* and O-*p* partial DOS, here expressed as cubic harmonics, to allow direct comparison with the experimental XANES spectrum. The Fermi energy level is indicated with a vertical dotted line. Vertical dashed-dotted lines indicating the position of features A, B, C, D, E and F in partial DOS are also present to guide the eyes. We refer to Figure 6 of the supporting information for the other calculated partial DOS.

Table 2

Energy position of experimentally observed and calculated U M_4 -edge HERFD-XANES post-edge spectral features; the U-*f* cubic harmonics mainly contributing to these features are also indicated.

Feature	Experimental	Calculated	Involved U-f cubic harmonics
A	3731.9 (5) eV	3732.1 (1) eV	$U-f_{-3}, U-f_{-3}, U-f_{-3}$
В	3734.7 (5) eV	3734.7 (1) eV	$U-f_{xyz}$
С	3738.3 (5) eV	3737.0 (1) eV	$U-f_{xyz}$,
			$U - f_{x^3}$, $U - f_{y^3}$, $U - f_{z^3}$
D	3750.1 (5) eV	3746.5 (1) eV	$U-f_{xyz}$,
			$U - f_{x(x^2+z^2)}, U - f_{y(x^2+z^2)}, U - f_{z(x^2+y^2)}$
E	3757.3 (5) eV	3754.9 (1) eV	$U-f_{xyz}$,
			$U-f_{x(x^2+z^2)}, U-f_{y(x^2+z^2)}, U-f_{z(x^2+y^2)}$
F	3765.3 (5) eV	3763.1 (1) eV	$U-f_{x^3}, U-f_{y^3}, U-f_{z^3}$
			-

1-3 eV as reported also in Table 2. The good agreement between calculations and experiment allows to confidently assign spectral features to the U-f DOS, which are further divided as a function of the cubic harmonics. Feature A can be attributed to U- f_{x^3} , U- f_{y^3} and U- f_{z^3} states, with significant hybridization to $O-p_y$ and $O-p_z$ states. Features B and C are composed of U- f_{xyz} states, with additional contribution from all O-p states, but feature C includes also significant contribution of U- f_{x^3} , U- f_{y^3} and U- f_{z^3} states. It is furthermore expected that the crystal field splitting is playing a significant role in the distribution and cubic harmonic nature of features A, B and C (Butorin, 2020). The features D and E relate to mixing of U- f_{xyz} , U- $f_{x(y^2+z^2)}$, U- $f_{y(x^2+z^2)}$ and U- $f_{z(x^2+y^2)}$ states, with additionally a significant contribution of $O-p_y$ and $O-p_z$ states which appears more pronounced in feature E. Finally, feature F is essentially composed of U- f_{x^3} , U- f_{y^3} and U- f_{z^3} states with contribution of all O-p states. In conclusion, the observed hybridization between O-p and U-f states in the U M_4 -edge HERFD-XANES post-edge spectral features demonstrates a strong relation to the U-O bonding nature. Consequently, one may expect their occurrence, intensity and position to be sensitive to changes in U-O bonding and ligand geometry around uranium sites.

The experimentally observed U M_4 -edge white line displays an asymmetric sharp peak at 3726.7 (1) eV with a full width at half-maximum of about 1.5 eV [see Figure 7 in the supporting information, and Leinders et al. (2017)]. Its slight broadening is essentially due to a small shoulder clearly visible on its high energy side which is probably the consequence of the uranium 5*f* level crystal field splitting in addition to spin–orbit coupling. Such crystal field splitting is expected to be strong when considering the $5f^1$ electronic configuration of uranium in an octahedral ligand geometry. Unfortunately, despite the resolution gain in HERFD-XANES, the core-hole lifetime broadening still prevents distinguishing the degeneracy breaking within the 5f bands resulting from this crystal field. Therefore, only insights obtained through calculations are available here. The calculated U-f DOS contributing to the white line is shown in Figure 5 alongside a schematic recalling the $5f^1$ octahedral crystal field and spin-orbit coupling splitting.

Several isolated peaks are clearly visible in the calculated DOS, demonstrating the strength of the degeneracy breaking

Table 3

Crystal field splitting energies (all in eV) of the U-5*f* electronic levels above the Γ_7 ground state in KUO₃. Those peaks are contributing to the white line intensity in the U M_4 -edge HERFD-XANES spectra. Experimental forbidden Laporte f-f transition $\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_n$ from Kemmler-Sack (1968) and from Kanellakopulos *et al.* (1980) were initially reported in cm⁻¹ units, and are here converted to eV units. Additional predicted transitions $\Gamma_7 \rightarrow X_n$ are also indicated. The most significant U-*f* cubic harmonics for each state are also reported (see text for more details).

Transition	This work Calc.	Kemmler-Sack		Kanellakopulos		
		Exp.	Calc.	Exp.	Calc.	Main U-f cubic harmonic involved
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow X_1$	0.133 (5)	_	_	_	_	U-f
$\Gamma_7 \to X_2$	0.179 (5)	-	-	-	-	$U - f_{x(y^2 + z^2)},$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_8$	0.501 (5)	0.543 (1)	0.551 (1)	0.564 (1)	0.554 (1)	U- $f_{y(x^2+z^2)}$, U- $f_{z(x^2+y^2)}$ U- $f_{x(y^2+z^2)}$,
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow X_3$	0.645 (5)	-	-	0.678 (1)	0.676 (1)	$\begin{array}{c} U - f_{y(x^2 + z^2)}, \ U - f_{z(x^2 + y^2)} \\ U - f_{xyz}, \ U - f_{x(y^2 + z^2)}, \\ U - f_{xyz}, \ U - f_{x(y^2 + z^2)}, \\ U - f_{xyz}, \ U - f_{x(y^2 + z^2)}, \end{array}$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_7^{\star}$	0.763 (5)	0.849 (1)	0.848 (1)	0.889 (1)	0.888 (1)	$U^{-}f_{y(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U^{-}f_{z(x^{2}+y^{2})}$ $U^{-}f_{x(y^{2}+z^{2})}, U^{-}f_{z(x^{2}+y^{2})}$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow X_4$	0.897 (5)	-	-	-	-	$U - f_{xyz}, U - f_{x(y^2 + z^2)}, U - f_{$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow X_5$	1.025 (5)	_	-	-	-	$\begin{array}{c} U = f_{y(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+y^{2})}, \\ U = f_{x^{3}}, U = f_{y^{3}}, \\ U = f_{z^{3}}, U = f_{x(y^{2}+z^{2})}, \\ U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, \\ U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, \\ U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, \\ U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, \\ U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, \\ U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2}+z^{2}+z^{2})}, U = f_{z(x^{2}+z^{2}$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_8^{\star}$	1.199 (5)	1.216 (1)	1.311 (1)	1.185 (1)	1.305 (1)	$\begin{array}{c} U - f_{y(x^2+z^2)}, \ U - f_{z(x^2+y^2)} \\ U - f_{x(y^2+z^2)}, \\ U - f_{x(y^2+z^2)}, \ U - f_{z(x^2+z^2)}, \end{array}$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow X_6$	1.304 (5)	_	_	_	1.340(1)	$U-f_{x^3}, U-f_{y^3}, U-f_{z^3}$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_6$	1.582 (5)	1.549 (1)	1.589 (1)	1.550(1)	1.558 (1)	$U-f_{x^3}, U-f_{y^3}, U-f_{z^3}$
$\Gamma_7 \rightarrow X_7$	2.086 (5)	-	-	-	-	$U-f_{x^3}, U-f_{y^3}, U-f_{z^3}$
$\Gamma_7 \to X_8$	2.352 (5)	_	-	-	-	$U-f_{x^3}, U-f_{y^3}, U-f_{z^3}$

of 5f bands in KUO₃. Their energy relative to the ground states, *i.e.* the first peak Γ_7 , are reported in Table 3. The five main peaks, denoted as Γ_7 , Γ_8 , Γ_7^* , Γ_8^* and Γ_6 , can be attributed to the five theoretically expected Γ_n states. A comparison of their energy position with the experimentally observed forbidden Laporte f-f transition $\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_n$ reported by Kemmler-Sack (1968) and by Kanellakopulos *et al.* (1980) supports well this assumption. Moreover, Γ_7 and Γ_7^* both display a U- f_{xyz} character, with additional contribution of U-



Figure 5

Uranium 5*f* level crystal field splitting as deduced from the calculated DOS. Those peaks are contributing to the white line intensity in the U M_4 -edge HERFD-XANES spectra. U-*f* states are here represented as cubic harmonic.

 $f_{x(y^2+z^2)}$, U- $f_{y(x^2+z^2)}$ and U- $f_{z(x^2+y^2)}$ states, especially for Γ_7^* . States Γ_8 and Γ_8^* have a U- $f_{x(y^2+z^2)}$, U- $f_{y(x^2+z^2)}$ and U- $f_{z(x^2+y^2)}$ character with minor contribution of other U-f states, while Γ_6 has a more pronounced U- f_{x^3} , U- f_{y^3} and U- f_{z^3} character with a small contribution of U- $f_{x(y^2+z^2)}$, U- $f_{y(x^2+z^2)}$ and U- $f_{z(x^2+y^2)}$ states. Therefore, the U-f character of these peaks, as deduced from our DOS calculations, further support the split state identification.

In addition to the five main Γ_n peaks, eight minor peaks, denoted X_n with nranging from 1 to 8, are also predicted by our calculations. Almost all of them can be attributed to further degeneracy breaking of the five main peaks. X_1 is related to the ground state Γ_7 with major contribution of U- f_{xyz} states. X_2 occurs very close to Γ_7 , but has no U- f_{xyz} states character. Instead, it resembles the character of Γ_8 . According to Allen *et al.* (1981), Γ_8 and Γ_8^* are likely to split if the octahedral coordination is distorted. However, no experimental

evidence for distortion of the octahedron in KUO₃ has been reported at room temperature (Chippindale et al., 1989; Dickens & Powell, 1991; Soldatov et al., 2007). Therefore, no distortion of the oxygen octahedra was taken into account in our calculations. X_3 is rather weak in our calculations but its energy position corresponds well to a level not seen by Kemmler-Sack (1968) but reported by Kanellakopulos et al. (1980). Kanellakopulos *et al.* attributed X_3 to further splitting of $\Gamma_8,$ but our calculations would predict a Γ_7^\star character due to the strong contribution of U- f_{xyz} states. Theoretical calculations by Hinatsu *et al.* (1998) predict inversion of Γ_8 and Γ_7^{\star} when the relative magnitudes of the crystal field with octahedral symmetry and spin-orbit coupling interactions decreased. Such an inversion occurs when the spin-orbit coupling constant is very low compared with the crystal field strength, and can be ruled out here when considering the observed energy of the f-f transition $\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_n$. X_4 is very similar to X_3 , and can be assigned to Γ_7^{\star} . X_5 is a mixture of all U-f states except U- f_{xyz} , similar to Γ_6 , but being situated between Γ_7^{\star} and Γ_8^{\star} . Its attribution to any main peak splitting is ambiguous. X_6 , as well as X_7 and X_8 , show only a U- f_{x^3} , U- f_{y^3} and $U-f_{r^3}$ character, which also makes their association with any of the main peak unclear. Moreover, X_7 and X_8 are situated in the UV-Vis-NIR region where strong absorption has been reported in KUO₃ by Kemmler-Sack (1968), Kanellakopulos et al. (1980) and Allen et al. (1981). Such absorption is not only attributed to charge transfer transitions, but also to Laporte-allowed uranium $5f \rightarrow 6d$ transitions. Those transition are likely to be very intense, especially because X_7 and X_8 occur in regions where 5f and 6d hybridization are observed in our DOS calculations.

3.4. Electronic structure of filled orbitals

Given the good agreement between our calculations and experimental data above the Fermi level, one can also consider comparing the electronic structure of the filled orbital to additional experimental data, such as electron binding energies obtained using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). To the best of our knowledge, only Liu *et al.* (2009) and Lopez *et al.* (2017) have reported XPS data for KUO₃. In both studies the U 4f doublet was measured using the Al K_{α} emission line ($KL_{2,3}$) as excitation source. Their measured electron binding energies and the ones deduced from our calculations are reported in Table 4.

Clearly, there is a lack in XPS experimental data for most of the electron binding energies. The XPS results from Liu et al. and Lopez et al. are in good agreement, especially when considering the energy differences between the U-4 $f_{5/2}$ and U-4 $f_{7/2}$ lines. However, the calculated binding energies are shifted by a few eV when comparing with experimental data. This may be expected when considering that the energy scale origin is different in our calculations and in the XPS measurements. Indeed, the binding energies in Liu et al. (2009) and Lopez et al. (2017) are given relatively to the carbon 1s peak position taken at 285.0 eV for energy calibration, while our calculated values are relative to the Fermi level. Moreover, our calculations are not taking into account the corehole created during the absorption process, which may be not fully screened in both XAS and XPS experiment. The corehole can lead to significant shifts in electron binding energies as well as satellite peaks in XPS spectra. By taking into account the core-hole within our XAS calculations, the U-4f electron binding energies would be increased by about 27 eV, which shows their high sensitivity to screening effects. However, the agreement between XAS experiments and calculations with such a core-hole is worse, in particular at the U M_4 -edge.

4. Conclusions

A comprehensive analysis of multi-edge high energy resolution fluorescence detected X-ray absorption data obtained at the uranium L_1 -edge, L_3 -edge and M_4 -edge for KUO₃ is reported. The focus has been to evaluate the electronic structure of uranium in KUO₃ by comparing theoretical calculations with experimental data. Despite the relative simplicity of our DFT calculations, very good agreement was found. At the uranium L_1 -edge, a pre-edge feature was observed as a shoulder on the white line due to core-hole broadening effects, but its origin is still unclear. Two U-p character post-edge features were identified, with a different contribution of O-p states to each of them. At the uranium L_3 edge, the strength of the crystal field splitting of U-6d states is evaluated at 6.6 (1.5) and 6.9 (4) eV from experiment and calculations, respectively. Up to four post-edge features were observed, which have a U-d character with significant differences in terms of U-d and O-p cubic orbital mixtures. The pre-edge quadrupolar transition, $U-2p \rightarrow U-5f$, previously

Table 4

Electron binding energies (in eV) in KUO₃ as deduced from the calculated density of states down to U-4*f* electrons. Energies given in brackets refer to the energy range where density of states show multiplet structure, which is especially the case in the valence molecular orbitals. Experimental data are from X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy experiments from Liu *et al.* (2009) and from Lopez *et al.* (2017). Experimental uncertainties in Liu's data refer to the line width at half maximum.

Atom-nl _j	Calculated KUO ₃	XPS (Liu)	XPS (Lopez)
U-4 $f_{5/2}$	385.1 (1)	391.0 (1.9)	390.947
U-4f _{7/2}	373.9 (1)	380.1 (1.8)	379.857
$K - 2s_{1/2}$	353.9 (1)	-	_
U-5s _{1/2}	314.7 (1)	-	-
K-2p 1/2	281.4 (1)	_	-
K-2p 3/2	278.5 (1)	-	-
$U-5p_{1/2}$	251.4 (1)	-	-
$U-5p_{3/2}$	195.2 (1)	-	-
$U-5d_{3/2}$	102.1 (1)	-	-
$U-5d_{5/2}$	93.7 (1)	-	-
$U-6s_{1/2}$	43.3 (1)	-	-
$K-3s_{1/2}$	26.7 (1)	-	-
U-6 $p_{1/2}$ / O-2s	25.3 (2)	-	-
U-6p _{3/2} / O-2s	[12; 20]	-	-
K-3p _{1/2} / O-2s / O-2p	10.4 (3)	-	-
U-5f _{5/2} / K-3p _{3/2} / O-2p	[1; 6]	-	-

observed in other uranium compounds is also reported in KUO₃, but it is slightly overlapping with the crystal field split U-d t_{2g} line. At the uranium M_4 -edge, many identified spectral features show U-O bonding character as seen through the overlapping of U-f and O-p density of states, with significant differences between them when considering the calculated cubic harmonic of U-f and O-p orbitals. Moreover, the calculated degeneracy breaking of U-5f orbitals due to the octahedral crystal field and spin-orbit coupling is in line with the reported experimental forbidden Laporte f-f transition. Additional forbidden Laporte f-f transitions are also predicted, as well as potential charge transfer transitions and Laporte-allowed uranium $5f \rightarrow 6d$ transitions, but they experimentally require optical spectra collected with very high resolution, which was not available when previous forbidden Laporte f-f transitions were reported. Calculated electron binding energies, reported between 0 and 400 eV, agree within a few eV with the only experimental data available, but also clearly indicate that new XPS analyses are mandatory to fully assess the electronic structure of KUO₃. Finally, our results demonstrate that a combination of multi-edge HERFD-XAS can serve as a powerful tool to study the valence electronic structure of uranium, with the unique opportunity to assess in more detail the molecular orbitals.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility for provision of synchrotron radiation facilities and we would like to thank the ROBL and ID26 personnel for assistance in using their beamlines, as well as the safety group (Patrick Colomp and Ross Murray) of the ESRF for their support. The authors also wish to thank Dr Christian Schreinemachers (FZ Jülich) for assistance during the experiments,

actinide physics and chemistry

and Dr Marc Verwerft (SCK CEN) for critical reading of the manuscript.

Funding information

KOK acknowledges the support from the European Commission Council under ERC [grant No. 759696] and support by the Russian Ministry of Science and Education under grant No. 075-15-2019-1891. GL acknowledges financial support by the Belgian FPS Economy (project: ASOF – Advanced Separation for Optimal management of spent Fuel).

References

- Allen, G. C., Griffiths, A. J. & van der Heijden, A. N. (1981). Transition Met. Chem. 6, 355–360.
- Aravamudan, G., Giridharan, A. S. & Ramadass, N. (1978). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 446, 246–250.
- Azam, S. & Reshak, A. (2014). J. Organomet. Chem. 766, 22-33.
- Bès, R., Rivenet, M., Solari, P.-L., Kvashnina, K. O., Scheinost, A. C. & Martin, P. M. (2016). *Inorg. Chem.* 55, 4260–4270.
- Bunău, O. & Joly, Y. (2009). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 21, 345501.
- Butorin, S., Kvashnina, K., Smith, A., Popa, K. & Martin, P. (2016). *Chem. Eur. J.* 22, 9693–9698.
- Butorin, S. M. (2020). Inorg. Chem. 59, 16251-16264.
- Chippindale, A. M., Dickens, P. G. & Harrison, W. T. A. (1989). J. Solid State Chem. 78, 256–261.
- Dickens, P. G. & Powell, A. V. (1991). J. Mater. Chem. 1, 137-138.
- Dorbane, A., Bouafia, H., Sahli, B., Djebour, B., Bouaza, A., Hiadsi, S. & Abidri, B. (2019). *Solid State Sci.* **90**, 56–67.
- Eastman, M. P., Hecht, H. G. & Lewis, W. B. (1971). J. Chem. Phys. 54, 4141–4146.
- Gauthier, C., Solé, V. A., Signorato, R., Goulon, J. & Moguiline, E. (1999). J. Synchrotron Rad. 6, 164–166.
- Gouder, T., Eloirdi, R. & Caciuffo, R. (2018). Sci. Rep. 8, 8306.
- Grenthe, I., Drożdżynński, J., Fujino, T., Buck, E. C., Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. & Wolf, S. F. (2006). *The Chemistry of the Actinide* and Transactinide Elements, edited by L. R. Morss, N. M. Edelstein & J. Fuger, pp. 253–698. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Guziewicz, E., Durakiewicz, T., Butterfield, M. T., Olson, C. G., Joyce, J. J., Arko, A. J., Sarrao, J. L., Moore, D. P. & Morales, L. (2004). *Phys. Rev. B*, **69**, 045102.
- Hinatsu, Y. (1994). J. Alloys Compd. 203, 251-257.
- Hinatsu, Y., Shimojo, Y. & Morri, Y. (1998). J. Alloys Compd. 270, 127–131.
- Hoekstra, H. R., Siegel, S. & Gallagher, F. X. (1970). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 32, 3237–3248.

- Kaltsoyannis, N. (2013). Inorg. Chem. 52, 3407-3413.
- Kanellakopulos, B., Henrich, E., Keller, C., Baumgärtner, F., König, E. & Desai, V. P. (1980). *Chem. Phys.* 53, 197–213.
- Kassan-Ogly, F. A. & Naish, V. E. (1986). Acta Cryst. B42, 307–313.
- Kemmler-Sack, S. (1968). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 363, 295-304.
- Kvashnina, K., Kvashnin, Y. & Butorin, S. (2014). J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 194, 27–36.
- Kvashnina, K. O. & Scheinost, A. C. (2016). J. Synchrotron Rad. 23, 836–841.
- Leinders, G., Baldinozzi, G., Ritter, C., Saniz, R., Arts, I., Lamoen, D. & Verwerft, M. (2021). *Inorg. Chem.* **60**, 10550–10564.
- Leinders, G., Bes, R., Kvashnina, K. O. & Verwerft, M. (2020). Inorg. Chem. 59, 4576–4587.
- Leinders, G., Bes, R., Pakarinen, J., Kvashnina, K. & Verwerft, M. (2017). *Inorg. Chem.* 56, 6784–6787.
- Leinders, G., Cardinaels, T., Binnemans, K. & Verwerft, M. (2015). J. Nucl. Mater. 459, 135–142.
- Liu, J.-H., Van den Berghe, S. & Konstantinović, M. (2009). J. Solid State Chem. 182, 1105–1108.
- Lopez, J. A., Diaz-Moreno, C., Murillo, J. & Echegoyen, L. (2017). J. Nucl. Phys. Mater. Sci. Radiat. Appl. 5, 237–242.
- Matz, W., Schell, N., Bernhard, G., Prokert, F., Reich, T., Claußner, J., Oehme, W., Schlenk, R., Dienel, S., Funke, H., Eichhorn, F., Betzl, M., Pröhl, D., Strauch, U., Hüttig, G., Krug, H., Neumann, W., Brendler, V., Reichel, P., Denecke, M. A. & Nitsche, H. (1999). J. Synchrotron Rad. 6, 1076–1085.
- Misra, N. L., Lahiri, D., Singh Mudher, K. D., Olivi, L. & Sharma, S. M. (2008). X-ray Spectrom. **37**, 215–218.
- Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1272-1276.
- Sanyal, K., Khooha, A., Das, G., Tiwari, M. K. & Misra, N. L. (2017). Anal. Chem. 89, 871–876.
- Scheinost, A. C., Claussner, J., Exner, J., Feig, M., Findeisen, S., Hennig, C., Kvashnina, K. O., Naudet, D., Prieur, D., Rossberg, A., Schmidt, M., Qiu, C., Colomp, P., Cohen, C., Dettona, E., Dyadkin, V. & Stumpf, T. (2021). J. Synchrotron Rad. 28, 333–349.
- Selbin, J. & Ortego, J. D. (1969). Chem. Rev. 69, 657-671.
- Soldatov, A., Lamoen, D., Konstantinović, M., Van den Berghe, S., Scheinost, A. & Verwerft, M. (2007). J. Solid State Chem. 180, 54– 61.
- Teterin, Y. A., Kulakov, V. M., Baev, A. S., Nevzorov, N. B., Melnikov, I. V., Streltsov, V. A., Mashirov, L. G., Suglobov, D. N. & Zelenkov, A. G. (1981). *Phys. Chem. Miner.* 7, 151–158.
- Teterin, Y. A. & Teterin, A. Y. (2004). Russ. Chem. Rev. 73, 541-580.
- Van den Berghe, S., Leenaers, A. & Ritter, C. (2004). J. Solid State Chem. 177, 2231–2236.
- Vitova, T., Kvashnina, K. O., Nocton, G., Sukharina, G., Denecke, M. A., Butorin, S. M., Mazzanti, M., Caciuffo, R., Soldatov, A., Behrends, T. & Geckeis, H. (2010). *Phys. Rev. B*, 82, 235118.