A small-angle X-ray scattering study of red blood cells in continuous flow
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Owing to their large penetration depth and high resolution, X-rays are ideally suited to study structures and structural changes within intact biological cells. For this reason, X-ray-based techniques have been used to investigate adhesive cells on solid supports. However, these techniques cannot easily be transferred to the investigation of suspended cells in flow. Here, an X-ray compatible microfluidic device that serves as a sample delivery system and measurement environment for such studies is presented. As a proof of concept, the microfluidic device is applied to investigate chemically fixed bovine red blood cells by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). A very good agreement is found between in-flow and static SAXS data. Moreover, the data are fitted with a hard-sphere model and screened Coulomb interactions to obtain the radius of the protein hemoglobin within the cells. Thus, the utility of this device for studying suspended cells with SAXS in continuous flow is demonstrated.

1. Introduction

In recent years, X-rays have been employed to study internal structures in biological cells. X-ray scattering, in particular, offers the possibility to obtain structural data from subcellular components, such as proteins or lipids (Hémonnot & Köster, 2017). The small wavelength, thus high intrinsic resolution, and high penetration power, make them ideally suited to study whole, intact cells without the need for, for example, slicing the sample. Adherent cells are mostly studied while attached to solid supports (Miao et al., 2003; Dierolf et al., 2010; Giewekemeyer et al., 2011; Weinhausen et al., 2012, 2014; Nam et al., 2013; Rodriguez et al., 2015; Hémonnot et al., 2016; Bernhardt et al., 2016; Nicolas et al., 2017; Deng et al., 2017; Hémonnot & Köster, 2017; Cassini et al., 2020; Wittmeier et al., 2021, 2022). Suspension cells may be kept in solution and, for example, filled into glass capillaries or cuvettes (Liu et al., 2015). Here, we suggest an alternative approach: we present a microfluidic device, in which cells can be investigated by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). During the investigation, the cells flow through the X-ray beam. We demonstrate the utility of the device by applying it to a study of red blood cells (RBCs) that are responsible for the transport of oxygen from the lungs to the tissue and carbon dioxide in the opposite direction. RBCs possess a biconcave disc-like shape and contain large quantities of the protein hemoglobin but no cell organelles. This densely packed hemoglobin solution is enveloped by a cell membrane that is supported by a spectrin–actin network (Alberts et al., 2008). RBCs of different species...
differ in size but the internal hemoglobin concentration is highly similar between, for example, ovine, bovine and human cells (Jikuya et al., 1998; Gregory, 2000).

Hemoglobin possesses several conformational states between which it can switch, for example, by binding of ligands (Deatherage & Moffat, 1979; Janin & Wodak, 1993; Fronticelli et al., 1995; Safo & Abraham, 2001; Lukin et al., 2003; Rusciano, 2010; Nelson & Goodsell, 2014). Among these states, the oxy-‘relaxed’ and the deoxy-‘tensed’ states are the most important ones for respiratory oxygen and carbon dioxide transport (Surgenor, 1975; Begemann & Rastetter, 1993; Nelson & Goodsell, 2014). Such conformational changes of proteins occur on the nanometer scale and thus SAXS or small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) are ideal techniques to probe them.

The small-angle scattering (SAS) signal of human RBCs and hemoglobin solutions has successfully been measured in bulk experiments. It features a characteristic ‘interaction peak’ or ‘interference peak’, which is visible in concentrated solutions and during measurements with whole cells but not for diluted hemoglobin solutions (Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Krueger et al., 1990; Svergun et al., 2008; Stadler et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2015; Shou et al., 2020). The shape of the peak depends on both the pH value and the hemoglobin concentration (Krueger et al., 1990; Stadler et al., 2010). At pH 7.4 (pD 7.4 for heavy water) it can be found at concentrations above 200 g L⁻¹ (Krueger et al., 1990). However, the intensity of the peak, which is usually found at a scattering vector of approximately q₀ = 1 nm⁻¹, and its exact position depend on the concentration of hemoglobin. Higher hemoglobin concentrations shift the peak to larger q₀-values and reduce its intensity, whereas lower salt concentrations shift the peak to smaller q₀-values and increase its intensity (Krueger et al., 1990; Liu et al., 2015). This behavior is also seen for whole cells (Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Liu et al., 2015; Shou et al., 2020). The only previous SAS measurements of RBCs in flow were performed employing a Couette shear cell with SANS. The authors observed anisotropic scattering at shear rates above 1000 s⁻¹ which is suggested to be caused by aggregation of hemoglobin in the RBCs (Garvey et al., 2004).

Here, we perform a proof-of-concept SAXS study on chemically fixed bovine RBCs using a composite PDMS-glass microfluidic device to keep the cells in suspension and in continuous flow during the measurement. Our results are very much in line with static capillary measurements that we perform as a benchmark and we fit the data to obtain quantitative values for characteristic parameters describing the sample system. Our method opens up the possibility to study virtually all cell types in suspension and in flow and can in principle also make use of the shear flow in the microfluidic field, diffusive mixing-in of reagents, and time-resolved data collection.

2. Material and methods
2.1. PDMS-capillary device

The polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)-capillary devices (Zheng et al., 2004) are fabricated by standard soft lithography techniques (Whitesides & Stroock, 2001) as described in detail elsewhere (Saldanha et al., 2017). Briefly, a layer of SU-8 3050 (MicroChem, Newton, USA) with a height of 200 μm is spin coated onto a silicon wafer and structured by photolithography to obtain the channel structure presented in Fig. 1(a) with three inlets (h × w = 200 μm × 100 μm) and one outlet (h × w = 200 μm × 50 μm, widening to 200 μm × 200 μm). This master structure is used to mold the channel structure into PDMS (Silgard 184, Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) and the channels are sealed by a flat PDMS piece. A quartz glass capillary (outer diameter 200 μm, wall thickness 10 μm; Hilgenberg, Malsfeld, Germany) is inserted into the outlet channel and sealed with uncured PDMS. Finally, the whole PDMS-capillary device is cured by baking at 65°C for one hour.

Figure 1
(a) Schematic of the PDMS-capillary device and sketch of the setup at ID13, ESRF. Red blood cells (RBCs) in NaCl solution (cNaCl = 9 g L⁻¹; central inlet) are flow focused by two streams of the same salt solution without cells (side inlets). The focused X-ray beam probes the RBC stream in the quartz glass capillary (inner diameter 180 μm) and the signal is recorded by a 2D pixel detector. (b) Schematic of the experimental in-house setup for static measurements. The X-rays probe densely packed RBCs in quartz glass capillaries. The scattering signal is recorded by a 2D pixel detector.
2.2. Cell samples

Glutaraldehyde-fixed bovine RBCs (Bioleague GmbH & Co. KG, Poggensee, Germany) are employed for both static and in-flow measurements. The volume fraction of the cells in solution is approximately 10%. The cells are washed three times with 9 g L⁻¹ NaCl (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) in ultrapure water. This salt solution is also used for both the background measurements of the static experiments and the lateral inlets of the in-flow experiments.

2.3. X-ray setup and data analysis for static measurements

Static SAXS experiments are conducted with an in-house setup (Xeuss 2.0, Xenocs, Sassenage, France), see Fig. 1(b). A Cu Kα source (E = 8 keV; Genix 3D, Xenocs, Sassenage, France) is operated at 50 kV and 600 μA. Multilayer optics and scatterless slits reduce the beam to a size of approximately 0.5 mm × 0.5 mm, resulting in an integrated beam intensity of I₀ ≈ 10⁷ counts s⁻¹. The scattering signal is recorded at a sample-to-detector distance of 1227 mm by a Pilatus3 R 1M detector (Dectris Baden, Switzerland; 981 × 1043 pixels, pixel size 172 μm × 172 μm). A beamstop with a diameter of 3 mm protects the detector from the incident primary beam. For each quartz glass capillary (Hilgenberg, Malsfeld, Germany) with an outer diameter of 1.5 mm and a wall thickness of 10 μm the following measurement protocol is pursued: transmission measurements (exposures of 0.1 s without beamstop) of (i) the empty and (ii) the water-filled capillary to determine its inner diameter; (iii) the transmission and scattering of the capillary filled with salt solution is measured for 4 h split into 10 min acquisitions; (iv) densely packed RBCs in salt solution are measured using the same exposure scheme. Packing is obtained by centrifuging the cell solution in sealed capillaries at 1200 × g for 5 min (Eppendorf 5810R, Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). The measurement is repeated for six capillaries.

We process the scattering data with self-written Matlab (Matlab 9.3, The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) scripts, based on the PSI cSAXS Matlab package (https://www.psi.ch/en/sls/csaxs/software). The recorded scattering images are added, masked and azimuthally averaged to obtain radial intensity profiles of the salt solution and the cell suspension for every capillary. The intensity profiles are corrected for their total exposure time and transmission before the radial intensity profile of the salt solution is subtracted from one of the cells. The resulting background-subtracted intensity profiles are divided by the inner diameter of the respective capillary. The intensity profiles are further normalized by the error-weighted average of their intensities in a q⁻¹-range of 0.95 ≤ q₁ ≤ 1.05 nm⁻¹. Finally, we determine an average intensity profile by averaging all the normalized intensity profiles in a point-wise manner.

The model we fit to the radial intensity profiles is based on the product of a prefactor I₀, a form factor F(q₁) and a structure factor S(q₁, r_HS, c, κ, Z) that depends on the molar hemoglobin concentration c within the cells, the hard-sphere radius r_HS of hemoglobin, the inverse of the Debye screening length κ and the number of charges per hemoglobin Z.

\[ I_{in}(q_1) = I_0 F(q_1) S(q_1, r_{HS}, c, \kappa, Z). \]  

The volume fraction of hemoglobin within the cells is then given as: \( n = c (4/3) N_{AV} r_{HS}^3 \) with the Avogadro number \( N_{AV} \).

The form factor is calculated from the crystal structure of bovine deoxy-hemoglobin (PDB entry: 1hda) (Perutz et al., 1993; Berman et al., 2000) using the software FoXS (https://modbase.compbio.ucsf.edu/foxs/) (Schneidman-Duhovny et al., 2013, 2016). It is normalized by its maximum intensity value at \( q_0 = 0 \) nm⁻¹ and linearly interpolated to the \( q_1 \)-values of our experiment. The structure factor models hard-sphere interactions and a screened Coulomb potential of spherical and charged particles in dielectric solutions in the mean spherical approximation. This structure factor is applicable to the concentrated hemoglobin solution within the cell membrane of the RBCs and is directly calculated for the \( q_1 \)-values of our experiment (Hayter & Penfold, 1981; Pedersen, 1997). The product \( I_{in}(q_1) \) from prefactor, form factor and structure factor is fitted to the measured radial intensity profile in a \( q_1 \)-range of 0.8 ≤ \( q_1 \) ≤ 4 nm⁻¹ by optimizing the parameters \( I_0, r_{HS}, c, \kappa \) and \( Z \). Here, the Levenberg–Marquardt nonlinear least-squares algorithm as implemented in the Matlab function nlinfit is applied. All errors of the fitted parameters reflect the 1σ confidence interval.

2.4. Synchrotron X-ray setup, in-flow experiment and data analysis

The bovine RBCs within the PDMS-capillary device are measured at the EHHI hutch of the ID13 beamline of ESRF (The European Synchrotron, Grenoble, France). Photons with an energy of \( E = 12.6 \) keV are focused to a beam size of 8 μm × 9 μm to obtain a primary beam intensity of up to \( I_0 = 10^{12} \) counts s⁻¹. The scattering signal is recorded with an EigerX 4M detector (Dectris, Baden, Switzerland) at a sample-to-detector distance of 769 mm. The experimental setup is sketched in Fig. 1(a).

First, salt solution is filled into the capillary by both lateral inlets at a flow rate of \( Q_s = 100 \) μL h⁻¹ for each inlet and the scattering signal of the solution is measured. Second, cell solution is added via the central inlet at a flow rate of \( Q_c = 75 \) μL h⁻¹ and the scattering signal of the cells is determined. Scattering data of both the salt solution and the RBCs are acquired at different downstream positions of the capillary with mesh scans (three columns with a distance of 0.01 mm and at least three rows with variable distances). The total exposure time per mesh point of 10.1 s split into 0.1 s intervals. Line scans perpendicular to the capillary (61 steps with a step size of 5 μm, 0.1 s exposure time per position) are performed between the mesh scans to account for movements of the PDMS-capillary device during the experiment.

The scattering images of the salt solution are added, masked, azimuthally averaged and corrected for their total exposure time to obtain a single radial intensity profile for every downstream position. Similarly, radial intensity profiles
of RBCs in salt solution are calculated for every mesh point. Moreover, up to three profiles that belong to one row of a mesh scan are averaged. We scale the intensities of the salt solution to those of the RBCs in salt solution before background subtraction such that they coincide for \( q_r > 7.6 \text{ nm}^{-1} \). The resulting background-subtracted radial intensity profiles of the RBCs are normalized, averaged and fitted as described for the static measurements. For the whole analysis, scattering images that contain strong streaks or data pairs, where the salt solution signal exceeds the cell signal, are excluded as this is likely caused by strong movement of the capillary between the measurements.

2.5. Finite-element method simulations

We conduct finite-element methods (FEM) simulations using COMSOL Multiphysics (version 5.5; COMSOL, Stockholm, Sweden) to investigate the flow conditions inside the PDMS-capillary device. To reduce the computational effort the device geometry is simplified as follows. (i) The two symmetry planes of the geometry are exploited such that only one quarter of the device is simulated. (ii) The constriction is reduced from a length of 2 mm to a length of 100 \( \mu \text{m} \), as the diffusion of the cells is negligible. (iii) The flow in the capillary is simulated only for a length of about 1.6 mm. The simplified geometry is created with AutoCAD (Autodesk, Munich, Germany) and imported into COMSOL. We simulate the RBC concentration within the PDMS-capillary device with the ‘transport of diluted species’ module \( (D_{\text{RBC}} = 1.35 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \text{ and } c = 2.86 \times 10^{-12} \text{mol L}^{-1} \leq 0.1 \text{ L L}^{-1}) \) and couple it to the velocity field that is calculated for single phase laminar flow. Due to the applied symmetries the flow rates are adjusted to \( Q_l/2 = 50 \mu\text{L h}^{-1} \) (water, lateral inlets) and \( Q_c/4 = 18.75 \mu\text{L h}^{-1} \) (RBCs in water, central inlet).

In contrast to the experiments, we do not add salt in the simulations as the salt concentration is equal everywhere in the device. We apply a tetrahedral mesh with an edge length between 0.2 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 1.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) for the junction, the constriction and the first 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) of the capillary. The rest of the device is simulated with a coarser mesh (edge length between 13 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 63 \( \mu \text{m} \)). Velocity and RBC concentration profiles are extracted from the stationary result of the simulation. As we employ chemically fixed cells at a low concentration of 10% vol/vol, non-Newtonian effects, for example, caused by deformation of the RBCs (Shevkoplyas et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2014; Abay et al., 2019), can be neglected in our simulation. Moreover, our simulation does not include any cell–cell interactions or the influence that the excluded volume of the cells has on the flow field.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Static capillary measurements

The high concentration of hemoglobin in RBCs gives rise to a distinct small-angle scattering signal (Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Krueger et al., 1990; Garvey et al., 2004; Svergun et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2015). As a benchmark for our in-flow measurements, we conduct static measurements of densely packed RBCs in quartz glass capillaries. We split up the total exposure time of 4 h into 10 min intervals and observe no differences between the earlier and later exposures. Thus, we conclude that no radiation-induced sample damage occurs beyond the first 10 min. We average six scattering profiles stemming from individual measurements, as shown in Fig. 2(a) (green data points).

Several authors report very similarly shaped intensity profiles from SANS and SAXS measurements for experiments with RBCs or concentrated hemoglobin solutions (Guinier & Fournet, 1955; Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Krueger et al., 1990; Garvey et al., 2004; Stadler et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2015). The intensity profile shows a decay of the intensity in the \( q_r \)-range \( 0.1 < q_r < 0.3 \text{ nm}^{-1} \). The decay is followed by a plateau-like region and another steep decay at approximately \( 1.0 \text{ nm}^{-1} \), as indicated by the black arrow in Fig. 2(a). The position of this decay in our measurements agrees with the value from other SAXS measurements, where it sometimes appears as a peak (Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Liu et al., 2015), but is found at a slightly larger \( q_r \)-value compared with findings from SANS measurements (Krueger & Nossal, 1988). Finally, oscillations with multiple local minima and maxima are observed at approximately \( q_r \geq 1.5 \text{ nm}^{-1} \). The same oscillations are also found in diluted hemoglobin solutions (Svergun et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2015). Consequently, these oscillations are mostly a result of the form factor of hemoglobin, whereas the \( q_r \)-value
of the transition from the plateau to the steep decay is a result from the interference of the decay of the form factor and the increase of the structure factor of hemoglobin, which is highly concentrated within RBCs (Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Krueger et al., 1990; Garvey et al., 2004; Svergun et al., 2008; Shou et al., 2020).

In contrast to our experiments, where we use fixed bovine RBCs, the aforementioned studies use unfixed human RBCs. However, we do not expect large differences in the intensity profiles stemming from the RBCs of both species. Firstly, human and bovine RBCs have the same mean corpuscular volume fractions, although there can be minor differences in the intensity magnitudes, especially for intensities at maxima in the radial intensity profile well, it deviates in terms of intensity magnitudes, especially for intensities at minima and by the concentration of salt ions. The deviations may stem from scattering contributions of the cell membrane and cortex. A consequence of this is a steep decaying behavior in the structure factor, which is a result from the interplay of colloidal and gel-like properties.

To quantify and compare our intensity profiles with the literature, we fit our data with a structure factor based on a screened Coulomb potential (Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Krueger et al., 1990; Liu et al., 2015) and a form factor calculated from the known hemoglobin structure (Perutz et al., 1993; Fronticelli et al., 1995). It is therefore reasonable to assume that both types of RBCs scatter in a very similar manner.

3.2. Characterization of the flow

Microfluidic setups offer excellent control of the experimental conditions. To make full use of this control, however, a thorough characterization of the flow conditions is a necessary prerequisite. Specifically, to interpret the in-flow data, a precise knowledge of the velocity field and the spatial distribution of the RBCs in the PDMS-capillary device is important. We determine these parameters numerically from FEM simulations. For numerical reasons we simulate a reduced part of the PDMS-capillary device and make use of its periodicity. The hard-sphere radius $r_{HS}$, the volume fraction of hemoglobin $n$, the inverse Debye screening length $\kappa$, the surface charge $Z$ and the prefactor $I_0$ from our fits. The last two columns include corresponding literature values and references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static</th>
<th>In-flow</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_0$ (arbitrary units)</td>
<td>$13.4 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$13.0 \pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{HS}$ (nm)</td>
<td>$2.57 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$2.58 \pm 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$ (L L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$0.34 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.34 \pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa$ (nm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$2.82 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$2.5 \pm 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>$9 \pm 14$</td>
<td>$8 \pm 20$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The deviations for $n$, where we obtain a larger value, and $r_{HS}$, which is smaller for our system, go hand in hand and may be related to a slight shrinkage of the cells. In our scattering data, this may be observed as the shift of the steep decay to a slightly larger $q_{\min}$-value, since a larger volume fraction reduces the distances between the hemoglobin molecules. Based on the good agreement between our data from static capillary measurements and the existing literature values, we can readily use the static data as a benchmark for the in-flow data.

Comparing our measurements with the literature, we find a good agreement, especially given the variation already reported in previous work (Krueger & Nossal, 1988; Krueger et al., 1990; Stadler et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2015; Shou et al., 2020). The deviations for $n$, where we obtain a larger value, and $r_{HS}$, which is smaller for our system, go hand in hand and may be related to a slight shrinkage of the cells. In our scattering data, this may be observed as the shift of the steep decay to a slightly larger $q_{\min}$-value, since a larger volume fraction reduces the distances between the hemoglobin molecules. Based on the good agreement between our data from static capillary measurements and the existing literature values, we can readily use the static data as a benchmark for the in-flow data.
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Abkarian et al., 2008; Coupier et al., 2008; Munn & Dupin,
2008; Wan et al., 2011. However, it is justified as we use fixed
RBCs, which are less deformable (Shevkoplyas et al., 2006;
Abkarian et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2014; Abay et al., 2019),
and thus do not show these dynamics. Moreover, we use a small
volume fraction of just 10%, which is in the application limits
of the Comsol interface ‘transport of diluted species’
(COMSOL, 2019). We approximate an upper boundary for
the diffusion coefficient by assuming spherical particles with a
radius that corresponds to half of the smallest extension of an
RBC

\[
d_{\text{RBC}} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{V_{\text{RBC}}}{\pi r_{\text{RBC}}^2} \approx 1.59 \, \mu m.
\]

(2)

\[
D_{\text{RBC}} \leq \frac{k_B T}{6 \pi \eta} \frac{4 \pi r_{\text{RBC}}^2}{3 V_{\text{RBC}}} \approx 1.35 \times 10^{-13} \, m^2 \, s^{-1}.
\]

(3)

Here, \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T = 293\) K is the
measurement temperature and \(\eta = 1\) mPa s is the viscosity
of water.

As expected for large objects like cells, the diffusion coefficient
of the RBCs is very small and diffusion of RBCs from
the central stream to lateral positions can be neglected on the
time scale of this experiment. This is clearly seen from the
FEM simulation shown in Fig. 3(a), where the RBC stream
(a red coloring denotes a high cell concentration) remains
in the center of the channel. Therefore, the RBC stream width
is governed only by the flow rates at the inlets and the device
geometry. The channel cross sections presented in Fig. 3(b)
and the line scans in Fig. 3(c) show that a steady-state stream
with a constant width is reached at about 150 \(\mu m\) downstream
from the constriction. To estimate the width of the
RBC stream in the capillary and to account for numerical errors
that lead to small deviations from a concentration
c_{\text{RBC}} of zero outside the RBC stream,
we use 5% of the initial RBC concentration as a threshold. Thus,
we obtain a width of approximately 60 \(\mu m\) and can expect an
X-ray signal of the cells within approximately 30 \(\mu m\) from the
center of the capillary.

The flow velocity of the RBCs in the
capillary and through the X-ray beam
determines the exposure time for the
individual cells. As expected from
Hagen–Poiseuille’s law the velocity
within the capillary with circular cross
section develops a parabolic profile
with a maximum velocity of \(v_{\text{max}} = 6.0 \, \text{mm s}^{-1}\), see Fig. 3(d).
The corresponding Reynolds number is 1.1, as
calculated from the density of water, \(\rho =
1000 \, \text{kg m}^{-3}\), the maximum velocity, the viscosity of water,
\(\eta = 1 \, \text{mPa s}\), and the inner diameter of the capillary,
\(L = 180 \, \mu m\).

Using the RBC stream width and the velocity profile
[Figs. 3(c), 3(d)] from the simulation, the number of cells
that contribute to our scattering data and the exposure time per
cell in the X-ray experiment can be estimated. The average
velocity of the RBCs is obtained by averaging the velocity profile
within the width of the RBC stream:

\[
\langle v \rangle_{\text{RBC}} = \left( \frac{1}{60} \right) \frac{\int_{-30}^{30} v_{\text{max}}(1 - r^2/90^2) \, dr}{\int_{-30}^{30} v_{\text{max}} \, dr} \approx 5.8 \, \text{mm s}^{-1}.
\]

With the average velocity and the width of the X-ray beam in the
direction of the capillary \(b_h = 9 \, \mu m\), it follows that a single cell spends
approximately 1.6 \pm 0.1 ms within the beam. With the inner
diameter of the capillary \(L = 180 \, \mu m\), the beam size \(b_c \times b_h =
8 \, \mu m \times 9 \, \mu m\), the cell density \(n = 0.1 \, \text{L}^{-1}\),
and the volume of an RBC \(V_{\text{RBC}} = 58 \, \mu m^3\)
(Gregory, 2000), we estimate the number of cells in the beam at a
time,

\[
\frac{nb_c b_h L}{V_{\text{RBC}}} \approx 23 \, \text{cells}.
\]

(4)

Consequently, multiplying the number of cells in the beam
by the ratio of the total exposure time and the exposure time
per cell yields the number of cells that contribute on average
to each background-subtracted scattering image, \(i.e. \sim 4.2 \times 10^3\) cells.
For the static experiments and assuming
dense packing of the RBCs, because RBCs are very flexible, a
similar estimate yields

\[
\frac{b_{\text{static}} b_{\text{static}} d_{ic}}{V_{\text{RBC}}} \approx 5.4 \times 10^6 \, \text{cells}
\]

(5)
that contribute to the signal. Here, the beam dimensions from the static measurements $b_{\text{static}}^O \times b_{\text{static}}^S = 0.5 \text{ mm} \times 0.5 \text{ mm}$ and the experimentally obtained average of the inner diameter of the capillaries $d_{cc} = 1.26 \text{ mm}$ as well as the volume of an RBC $V_{\text{RBC}} = 58 \text{ \mu m}^3$ are used. Clearly, the dynamic measurements considerably reduce the exposure time per individual cell. The microfluidic experiments additionally offer the possibility to fine-tune parameters, such as the number of cells contributing to one scattering image and the total exposure time per cell by the cell concentration, the flow profile and the recording time.

3.3. In-flow measurements

During the static SAXS measurements on RBCs as described in Section 3.1 the RBCs spend the whole exposure time in the X-ray beam and ensemble averaging is performed on a limited number of cells. Although we do not observe any radiation-induced changes in the signal beyond 10 min it is possible that, within these first 10 min, radiation damage does already occur. Thus, we here establish a method which allows for SAXS measurements on suspended cells while they flow through the X-ray beam. Consequently, and as outlined in Section 3.2, each cell spends only approximately 1.6 ms in the beam using the parameters of the current study. Moreover, the microfluidic sample delivery method allows us to fine tune the experimental conditions, such as device geometry, flow rates, buffer types and concentrations. In this context, tuning the exposure time per cell might be especially beneficial for experiments with cells at very brilliant X-ray sources, such as the EBS (Extremely Brilliant Source) upgraded European Synchrotron (ESRF) in Grenoble, France. We can thereby account for the ‘dilemma’ of biological matter between dealing with weak scatters, which require a long exposure time, and sensitivity to radiation damage, which would require a short exposure time.

Experimentally, we obtain 13 background-subtracted intensity profiles (from measurements at 38 different mesh points) after processing the recorded data. All these intensity profiles clearly show the steep decay at the same $q_r$-value. Here, we select profiles acquired within a distance of 30 μm from the center of the capillary. In good agreement with the simulation, measurements beyond that distance do not show the steep decay and are thus not included.

The absolute intensities of these profiles differ in a non-systematic manner, which might be caused by fluctuations in the RBCs stream during the experiment. Strong form factor oscillations ($q_r > 1.5 \text{ nm}^{-1}$) are found in the red blood cell stream while these oscillations are not visible at the edge of the RBC stream, where fewer RBCs contribute to the signal. To compare the in-flow measurements with our static measurements, we normalize and average the 13 intensity profiles, as described in Section 2.

The averaged radial intensity profiles from our in-flow and static measurements agree very well in shape, as can be seen in Fig. 4(a), including the plateau, the steep decay and the form factor oscillations of the characteristic signal of RBCs. Small deviations are observed at the initial decay for small $q_r$-values. At these small values, scattering from the beamstop, effects from background subtraction (since we subtract an average background intensity profile), and small differences in the cell sample may contribute to the scattering signal. The origin of the latter has not entirely been understood yet, but several authors suggest experimental conditions such as sample preparations, protein purity, aging (Liu et al., 2006; Stradner et al., 2006; Mandal et al., 2014) or superstructures (Garvey et al., 2004; Stadler et al., 2010) that might contribute to the appearance of the decay. For this reason, intensity values at $q_r < 0.8 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ are not considered in our fit.

The parameters of our fit to the average in-flow profile agree very well with those parameters that we obtained from static experiments, see Table 1. Fig. 4(b) shows the measured data once more (blue symbols) and the fit in purple. The structure factor and form factor are presented separately in yellow and red, respectively. To summarize, we obtain the same quantitative results from both static and in-flow measurements of fixed RBCs in flow.

In direct comparison, the error bars of the measured, averaged in-flow data are slightly larger than for the static, averaged data and the same is true for the errors of the fit parameters. In view of the number of cells contributing to one data point, i.e. $5.5 \times 10^6$ versus $3.2 \times 10^6$ for in-flow versus static data, this is very reasonable. Moreover, Fig. 4(a) shows that the error bars for $q_r > 1.3 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ are particularly large. This is because including those normalized intensity profiles from in-flow profiles that feature the steep decay but do not show the form factor oscillations add noise to the average intensity profile.

![Figure 4](image.png)

(a, b) Background-corrected, normalized, averaged radial intensity profiles from hemoglobin within bovine RBCs. (a) Comparison of the average profiles from static (green, $N = 6$) and in-flow (blue, $N = 13$) measurements. $N$ refers to the number of samples, each of which contains a large number of cells. (b) Model fit (purple) to the average profile from the in-flow measurements (blue). The data are fitted between $q_r = 0.8 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ and $q_r = 4.0 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, indicated by the vertical, dashed lines. The model is based on a prefactor, a form factor of bovine deoxy-hemoglobin (red, PDB:1hda) and a structure factor (yellow).
4. Summary and conclusions

In conclusion, we present an in-flow SAXS measurement on suspended cells and use the highly distinct signal from hemoglobin inside intact RBCs as a benchmark for the microfluidic device which we employ. Comparing the SAXS data obtained from in-flow and static SAXS measurements on the same sample system, we find very good agreement based on the shapes of the intensity profiles. Both profiles agree within the range of their errors for a $q_r$-range of about $0.2 \text{ nm}^{-1} \leq q_r \leq 5.0 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, show the steep decay at $q_r \approx 1 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, and intensity oscillations at $q_r > 1 \text{ nm}^{-1}$. For quantification, we fit the intensity profiles with a screened Coulomb potential with hard-sphere interactions and the results from the fits are in line with literature values for RBCs or hemoglobin solutions. Furthermore, we can clearly distinguish the advantages as compared with static experiments in standard glass capillaries: first, the exposure to X-ray photons per individual cell is greatly reduced thus diminishing the risk of radiation damage; second, the number of cells contributing to the ensemble average can be tuned by varying the cell concentration, the flow rates and the exposure time; third, cells can additionally be exposed to shear flow fields during the measurement to study the effect of shape changes (Shou et al., 2020; Garvey et al., 2004); fourth, cells can in principle be exposed to different buffers or reagents during the measurement; fifth, and related to that, the device allows for time-resolved studies of cellular processes once unfixed samples are employed.
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