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The packing of the title molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, features the formation of weak dimers via pairwise $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions across centers of symmetry. The prop-2-yn-1-yl moiety is twisted out of the plane of the indazole unit by 78.53 (17) ${ }^{\circ}$.


## Chemical scheme



## Structure description

Recently there has been considerable interest in the chemistry of indazoles. This is undoubtedly due to a broad variety of biological functions of indazole derivatives such as anti-inflammatory (Schmidt et al., 2008), antibacterial (Shafakat Ali et al., 2012) and antitumor activities (Abbassi et al., 2014). The present work is a continuation of our work on indazole derivatives (El Brahmi et al., 2011). In contrast to 1-(5-nitro-1H-indazol-1yl)ethanone, the nitro group here is within a degree of planarity with the indazole moiety (Fig. 1). However, the prop-2-yn-1-yl moiety is twisted out of the plane of the indazole unit by $78.53(17)^{\circ}$. In the crystal, molecules are linked by pairs of $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ interactions, forming inversion dimers (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

## Synthesis and crystallization

To a solution of 5 -nitro- $1 H$-indazole ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 30 ml ) was added potassium hydroxide $(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 15 min of stirring at room temperature, propargyl bromide ( $1.09 \mathrm{ml}, 12.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. Upon disappearance of the starting material as indicated by TLC, the resulting mixture was evaporated. The crude material was dissolved with EtOAc ( 50 ml ), washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO 4 and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified


Figure 1
The title molecule with the atom-labeling scheme and $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids.
by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1/9). The title compound was recrystallized from ethanol at room temperature giving colorless crystals (yield: 57\%; m.p. 355-357 K).

## Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. Trial refinements with both the single component reflection file extracted from the full data set by TWINABS and with the full twinned data set indicated that the former refinement gave better results, as judged by lower values for $R_{1}, w R_{2}$, su's and residual features in the final difference map.
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Figure 2
Packing viewed down the $a$ axis with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions shown as dotted lines.

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.95 | 2.47 | $3.298(2)$ | 146 |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$.

Table 2
Experimental details.

| Crystal data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 201.19 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 150 |
| $a, b, c$ ( A$)$ | 4.0105 (1), 21.0705 (7), 10.7451 (4) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 96.323 (2) |
| $V\left(\mathrm{~A}^{3}\right)$ | 902.47 (5) |
| Z | 4 |
| Radiation type | $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.90 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.22 \times 0.17 \times 0.14$ |
| Data collection |  |
| Diffractometer | Bruker D8 VENTURE PHOTON 100 CMOS |
| Absorption correction | Multi-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrick, 2009) |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.58, 0.88 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections | 11748, 1747, 1561 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.045 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.618 |
| Refinement |  |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.044, 0.124, 1.04 |
| No. of reflections | 1747 |
| No. of parameters | 141 |
| H -atom treatment | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.22, -0.21 |

Computer programs: APEX3 and SAINT (Bruker, 2016), CELL_NOW (Sheldrick, 2008a), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015b), DIAMOND (Brandenburg \& Putz, 2012) and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008b).
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## 5-Nitro-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1H-indazole

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=201.19$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=4.0105$ (1) $\AA$
$b=21.0705$ (7) $\AA$
$c=10.7451$ (4) $\AA$
$\beta=96.323$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=902.47(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Bruker D8 VENTURE PHOTON 100 CMOS diffractometer
Radiation source: INCOATEC I $\mu$ S micro-focus source
Mirror monochromator
Detector resolution: 10.4167 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(TWINABS; Sheldrick, 2009)

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.044$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.124$
$S=1.04$
1747 reflections
141 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$F(000)=416$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.481 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54178 \AA$
Cell parameters from 6907 reflections
$\theta=4.2-72.4^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.90 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=150 \mathrm{~K}$
Block, colourless
$0.22 \times 0.17 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm}$
$T_{\text {min }}=0.58, T_{\text {max }}=0.88$
11748 measured reflections
1747 independent reflections
1561 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.045$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=72.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=4.2^{\circ}$
$h=-4 \rightarrow 4$
$k=-26 \rightarrow 26$
$l=-12 \rightarrow-2$

Hydrogen site location: mixed
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0769 P)^{2}+0.2542 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.22 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.20$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015b), $\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$
Extinction coefficient: 0.0086 (15)

## Special details

Experimental. Analysis of 644 reflections having $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})>12$ and chosen from the full data set with $C E L L \_N O W$ (Sheldrick, 2008) showed the crystal to belong to the monoclinic system and to be twinned by a $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the $a$ axis. The raw data were processed using the multi-component version of SAINT under control of the two-component orientation file generated by $C E L L_{-} N O W$.
Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors (gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger. H-atoms attached to aromatic and carbon atoms were placed in calculated positions ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95-0.99 \AA$ ) and included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2 times those of the attached atoms. Trial refinements with both the single component reflection file extracted from the full data set by TWINABS and with the full twinned data set indicated that the former refinement gave better results as judged by lower values for R1, wR2, su's and residual features in the final difference map.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.6905(4)$ | $0.49477(6)$ | $0.13174(12)$ | $0.0456(4)$ |
| O2 | $0.9003(3)$ | $0.52591(5)$ | $0.31507(11)$ | $0.0416(4)$ |
| N1 | $0.2066(3)$ | $0.28236(6)$ | $0.47196(12)$ | $0.0268(3)$ |
| N2 | $0.3207(4)$ | $0.29212(6)$ | $0.59556(12)$ | $0.0315(3)$ |
| N3 | $0.7330(3)$ | $0.48851(6)$ | $0.24594(13)$ | $0.0299(3)$ |
| C1 | $0.4940(4)$ | $0.34546(7)$ | $0.59953(15)$ | $0.0318(4)$ |
| H1 | 0.6022 | 0.3638 | 0.6740 | $0.038^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.4980(4)$ | $0.37187(7)$ | $0.47786(14)$ | $0.0255(3)$ |
| C3 | $0.6386(4)$ | $0.42590(7)$ | $0.42929(14)$ | $0.0261(4)$ |
| H3 | 0.7658 | 0.4556 | 0.4815 | $0.031^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.5824(4)$ | $0.43369(6)$ | $0.30145(14)$ | $0.0251(4)$ |
| C5 | $0.3948(4)$ | $0.39102(7)$ | $0.22039(14)$ | $0.0272(4)$ |
| H5 | 0.3651 | 0.3990 | 0.1329 | $0.033^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.2552(4)$ | $0.33801(7)$ | $0.26761(14)$ | $0.0262(4)$ |
| H6 | 0.1280 | 0.3087 | 0.2146 | $0.031^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.3087(4)$ | $0.32901(6)$ | $0.39784(15)$ | $0.0241(3)$ |
| C8 | $-0.0010(4)$ | $0.22729(7)$ | $0.43653(16)$ | $0.0305(4)$ |
| H8A | -0.1252 | 0.2349 | 0.3530 | $0.037^{*}$ |
| H8B | -0.1677 | 0.2221 | 0.4972 | $0.037^{*}$ |
| C9 | $0.1934(4)$ | $0.16838(7)$ | $0.43243(14)$ | $0.0276(4)$ |
| C10 | $0.3423(4)$ | $0.12015(7)$ | $0.42753(16)$ | $0.0327(4)$ |
| H10 | $0.462(5)$ | $0.0839(10)$ | $0.4232(18)$ | $0.043(6)^{*}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.0688(10)$ | $0.0379(7)$ | $0.0298(6)$ | $-0.0131(6)$ | $0.0035(6)$ | $0.0046(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.0524(8)$ | $0.0313(6)$ | $0.0400(7)$ | $-0.0131(5)$ | $0.0002(6)$ | $-0.0025(5)$ |


| N1 | $0.0272(7)$ | $0.0240(6)$ | $0.0296(7)$ | $0.0018(5)$ | $0.0058(5)$ | $-0.0004(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N2 | $0.0380(8)$ | $0.0301(7)$ | $0.0269(7)$ | $0.0044(5)$ | $0.0055(6)$ | $0.0007(5)$ |
| N3 | $0.0340(7)$ | $0.0247(7)$ | $0.0312(7)$ | $0.0007(5)$ | $0.0046(5)$ | $-0.0007(5)$ |
| C1 | $0.0400(9)$ | $0.0278(8)$ | $0.0276(8)$ | $0.0028(6)$ | $0.0039(7)$ | $-0.0011(6)$ |
| C2 | $0.0273(7)$ | $0.0225(7)$ | $0.0268(8)$ | $0.0043(5)$ | $0.0040(6)$ | $-0.0036(6)$ |
| C3 | $0.0284(8)$ | $0.0221(7)$ | $0.0274(8)$ | $0.0030(5)$ | $0.0017(6)$ | $-0.0048(6)$ |
| C4 | $0.0252(8)$ | $0.0209(7)$ | $0.0296(8)$ | $0.0029(5)$ | $0.0052(6)$ | $-0.0011(6)$ |
| C5 | $0.0287(8)$ | $0.0282(8)$ | $0.0251(7)$ | $0.0028(5)$ | $0.0042(6)$ | $-0.0026(6)$ |
| C6 | $0.0246(8)$ | $0.0267(7)$ | $0.0274(8)$ | $0.0007(5)$ | $0.0033(6)$ | $-0.0055(6)$ |
| C7 | $0.0233(7)$ | $0.0208(7)$ | $0.0291(8)$ | $0.0043(5)$ | $0.0066(6)$ | $-0.0018(5)$ |
| C8 | $0.0258(8)$ | $0.0265(7)$ | $0.0402(9)$ | $-0.0016(6)$ | $0.0082(6)$ | $-0.0001(6)$ |
| C9 | $0.0299(8)$ | $0.0266(8)$ | $0.0268(8)$ | $-0.0042(6)$ | $0.0058(6)$ | $0.0014(6)$ |
| C10 | $0.0374(9)$ | $0.0265(8)$ | $0.0342(9)$ | $0.0022(6)$ | $0.0031(7)$ | $-0.0020(6)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $A$, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| O1-N3 | 1.2272 (18) | C3-H3 | 0.9500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O2-N3 | 1.2302 (18) | C4- 55 | 1.410 (2) |
| N1-C7 | 1.3564 (19) | C5-C6 | 1.372 (2) |
| N1-N2 | 1.3718 (18) | C5-H5 | 0.9500 |
| N1-C8 | 1.4542 (19) | C6-C7 | 1.405 (2) |
| N2-C1 | 1.320 (2) | C6-H6 | 0.9500 |
| N3-C4 | 1.4608 (19) | C8-C9 | 1.469 (2) |
| C1-C2 | 1.423 (2) | C8-H8A | 0.9900 |
| C1-H1 | 0.9500 | C8-H8B | 0.9900 |
| C2-C3 | 1.397 (2) | C9-C10 | 1.183 (2) |
| C2-C7 | 1.410 (2) | C10-H10 | 0.91 (2) |
| C3-C4 | 1.377 (2) |  |  |
| C7-N1-N2 | 111.70 (12) | C5-C4-N3 | 117.93 (13) |
| C7-N1-C8 | 128.73 (14) | C6-C5-C4 | 120.17 (14) |
| N2-N1-C8 | 119.56 (13) | C6-C5-H5 | 119.9 |
| C1-N2-N1 | 106.10 (13) | C4- $45-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 119.9 |
| O1-N3-O2 | 122.77 (13) | C5-C6-C7 | 117.03 (14) |
| O1-N3-C4 | 118.37 (13) | C5-C6-H6 | 121.5 |
| O2-N3-C4 | 118.85 (13) | C7-C6-H6 | 121.5 |
| N2-C1-C2 | 111.26 (14) | N1-C7-C6 | 131.27 (14) |
| N2- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 124.4 | N1-C7-C2 | 106.46 (14) |
| C2-C1-H1 | 124.4 | C6-C7-C2 | 122.28 (14) |
| C3-C2-C7 | 120.44 (14) | N1-C8-C9 | 113.07 (13) |
| C3-C2-C1 | 135.07 (14) | N1-C8-H8A | 109.0 |
| C7-C2-C1 | 104.48 (14) | C9-C8-H8A | 109.0 |
| C4-C3-C2 | 116.19 (14) | N1-C8-H8B | 109.0 |
| C4-C3-H3 | 121.9 | C9-C8-H8B | 109.0 |
| C2-C3-H3 | 121.9 | H8A-C8-H8B | 107.8 |
| C3-C4-C5 | 123.89 (14) | C10-C9-C8 | 178.21 (17) |
| C3-C4-N3 | 118.16 (13) | C9-C10-H10 | 178.1 (14) |


| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $0.38(17)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $178.43(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $-178.83(13)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $0.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $-0.14(18)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $179.25(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $179.98(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-1.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $-0.13(18)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $-0.47(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $-0.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $178.66(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $-179.96(14)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $0.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $-0.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $-178.21(12)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $-179.74(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $178.20(14)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $0.35(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $-0.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $0.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $-0.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-179.39(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $-179.27(14)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $102.40(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ |  | $-78.53(17)$ |  |

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 3 — \mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.95 | 2.47 | $3.298(2)$ | 146 |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$.

