

ISSN 2414-3146

Received 29 July 2016 Accepted 3 August 2016

Edited by M. Bolte, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt Germany

Keywords: crystal structure; discrete complex; iron(II) bis(pyrazolyl)borate.

CCDC reference: 1497420

Structural data: full structural data are available from iucrdata.iucr.org

# Bis[dihydrobis(pyrazol-1-yl- $\kappa N^2$ )borato]bis-(methanol- $\kappa O$ )iron(II)

Sascha Ossinger,\* Christian Näther and Felix Tuczek

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Max-Eyth-Strasse 2, 24118 Kiel, Germany. \*Correspondence e-mail: sossinger@ac.uni-kiel.de

The structure determination was undertaken as part of a project on the synthesis of new spin-crossover compounds based on octahedral Fe<sup>II</sup> bis(pyrazolyl)borate complexes. The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $[Fe(H_2B(pz)_2)_2(CH_3OH)_2]$  [H<sub>2</sub>B(pz)<sub>2</sub> = dihydrobis(pyrazol-1-yl)borate, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>BN<sub>4</sub>], consists of one Fe<sup>II</sup> cation that is located on a centre of inversion, as well as one methanol molecule and one H<sub>2</sub>B(pz)<sub>2</sub> dianion that occupy general positions. In the crystal, the Fe<sup>II</sup> cations are coordinated by two methanol molecules and four N atoms of two H<sub>2</sub>B(pz)<sub>2</sub> anions within a slightly distorted octahedron. Bond lengths and angles between the Fe<sup>II</sup> atom and the H<sub>2</sub>B(pz)<sub>2</sub> anion are comparable to those in related Fe<sup>II</sup> complexes.



#### Structure description

Concerning the background of this project, see Naggert *et al.* (2015). For related crystal structures of discrete octahedral  $Fe^{II}$  bis(pyrazolyl)borate complexes with N-donor ligands, see: Real *et al.* (1997); Thompson *et al.* (2004); Milek *et al.* (2013); Nihei *et al.* (2013); Kulmaczewski *et al.* (2014); Naggert *et al.* (2015). The title compound is illustrated in Fig. 1. Despite the presence of a hydroxy group, classical hydrogen-bonding interactions are not evident in the crystal structure.

Synthesis and crystallization

Iron(II) perchlorate hydrate and solvents were purchased by Sigma–Aldrich. All reactions were carried out using dry solvents and under an inert atmosphere. Potassium dihydrobis(pyrazolyl)borate  $K[H_2B(pz)_2]$  and  $[Fe(H_2B(pz)_2)_2(CH_3OH)_2]$  were prepared according to literature methods (Trofimenko, 1967; Real *et al.*, 1997).

A solution of  $K[H_2B(pz)_2]$  (283 mg, 1.52 mmol) in methanol (3 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of  $Fe(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (276 mg, 0.76 mmol) in methanol (1 ml). The yellow





#### Figure 1

Perspective view of the title compound with the atom labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code for the generation of equivalent atoms: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.]

Fe[H<sub>2</sub>B(pz)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> solution was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. The resulting KClO<sub>4</sub> precipitate was removed by filtration and washed with methanol (6 ml). After further stirring for one h the solution was stored at 245 K. After four days colorless crystals of  $[Fe(H_2B(pz)_2)_2(CH_3OH)_2]$  were collected by suction filtration. They decompose in air within a few days.

#### Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the DFG (SFB 677 Function by Switching). We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the State of Schleswig–Holstein.

#### References

Kulmaczewski, R., Shepherd, H. J., Cespedes, O. & Halcrow, M. A. (2014). Inorg. Chem. 53, 9809–9817.

Table 1		
Experin	nental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Fe(C_6H_8BN_4)_2(CH_4O)_2]$
M <sub>r</sub>	413.88
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Temperature (K)	170
a, b, c (Å)	9.7430 (5), 8.6535 (3), 12.3173 (6)
β (°)	111.670 (4)
$V(Å^3)$	965.09 (8)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.81
Crystal size (mm)	$0.20\times0.12\times0.06$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Stoe IPDS2
Absorption correction	Numerical (X-RED and
1	X-SHAPE; Stoe & Cie, 2008)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.781, 0.898
No. of measured, independent and	11312, 2103, 1898
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub>	0.026
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.639
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]  wR(F^2)  S$	0.034 0.089 1.07
No of reflections	2103
No. of parameters	125
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$ , $\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.25, -0.45
$r \max r \min (r )$	,

Computer programs: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2008), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

- Milek, M., Heinemann, F. W. & Khusniyarov, M. M. (2013). Inorg. Chem. 52, 11585–11592.
- Naggert, H., Rudnik, J., Kipgen, L., Bernien, M., Nickel, F., Arruda, L. M., Kuch, W., Näther, C. & Tuczek, F. (2015). *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 3, 7870–7877.
- Nihei, M., Suzuki, Y., Kimura, N., Kera, Y. & Oshio, H. (2013). *Chem. Eur. J.* **19**, 6946–6949.
- Real, J. A., Muñoz, M. C., Faus, J. & Solans, X. (1997). *Inorg. Chem.* **36**, 3008–3013.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Stoe & Cie (2008). X-AREA, X-RED and X-SHAPE. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Thompson, A. L., Goeta, A. E., Real, J. A., Galet, A. & Muñoz, M. C. (2004). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1390–1391.
- Trofimenko, S. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 3170-3177.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# full crystallographic data

# *IUCrData* (2016). **1**, x161252 [doi:10.1107/S2414314616012529]

# Bis[dihydrobis(pyrazol-1-yl- $\kappa N^2$ )borato]bis(methanol- $\kappa O$ )iron(II)

# Sascha Ossinger, Christian Näther and Felix Tuczek

Bis[dihydrobis(pyrazol-1-yl-κN<sup>2</sup>)borato]bis(methanol-κO)iron(II)

Crystal data	
$[Fe(C_{6}H_{8}B_{2}N_{4})_{2}(CH_{4}O)_{2}]$ $M_{r} = 413.88$ Monoclinic, $P2_{1}/n$ a = 9.7430 (5) Å b = 8.6535 (3) Å c = 12.3173 (6) Å $\beta = 111.670$ (4)° V = 965.09 (8) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 2	F(000) = 432 $D_x = 1.424 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 11751 reflections $\theta = 2.3-27.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.81 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 170  K Block, colorless $0.20 \times 0.12 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Stoe IPDS-2 diffractometer $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: numerical (X-RED and X-SHAPE; Stoe & Cie, 2008) $T_{\min} = 0.781, T_{\max} = 0.898$ 11312 measured reflections	2103 independent reflections 1898 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.026$ $\theta_{max} = 27.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.3^{\circ}$ $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ $wR(F^2) = 0.089$ S = 1.07 2103 reflections 125 parameters 0 restraints	Hydrogen site location: mixed H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0485P)^2 + 0.3892P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.25$ e Å <sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.45$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.0000	0.5000	0.5000	0.02887 (12)

N1	0.20696 (15)	0.55724 (18)	0.64018 (12)	0.0315 (3)
N2	0.31387 (15)	0.64416 (17)	0.62205 (13)	0.0306 (3)
N3	0.09829 (15)	0.55320 (19)	0.37541 (13)	0.0314 (3)
N4	0.22449 (15)	0.63870 (17)	0.40243 (13)	0.0310 (3)
B1	0.2792 (2)	0.7456 (2)	0.51128 (18)	0.0339 (4)
H1A	0.3691	0.8017	0.5143	0.041*
H1B	0.2021	0.8225	0.5069	0.041*
C1	0.44303 (18)	0.6245 (2)	0.71101 (16)	0.0344 (4)
H1	0.5336	0.6730	0.7186	0.041*
C2	0.4231 (2)	0.5218 (2)	0.79007 (16)	0.0365 (4)
H2	0.4947	0.4860	0.8614	0.044*
C3	0.2736 (2)	0.4828 (2)	0.74114 (16)	0.0350 (4)
Н3	0.2258	0.4128	0.7750	0.042*
C4	0.2818 (2)	0.6172 (2)	0.32000 (17)	0.0361 (4)
H4	0.3698	0.6636	0.3193	0.043*
C5	0.1932 (2)	0.5174 (2)	0.23664 (17)	0.0383 (4)
Н5	0.2059	0.4823	0.1679	0.046*
C6	0.0806 (2)	0.4795 (2)	0.27593 (16)	0.0353 (4)
H6	0.0019	0.4105	0.2372	0.042*
O1	0.09492 (14)	0.26492 (15)	0.51071 (11)	0.0370 (3)
H1O1	0.0844	0.2074	0.5620	0.056*
C11	0.2429 (2)	0.2333 (2)	0.51817 (19)	0.0433 (4)
H11A	0.2564	0.2732	0.4483	0.065*
H11B	0.2599	0.1214	0.5236	0.065*
H11C	0.3133	0.2836	0.5877	0.065*

# Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Fe1	0.02591 (19)	0.0335 (2)	0.02633 (19)	-0.00137 (13)	0.00856 (13)	-0.00076 (13)
N1	0.0277 (7)	0.0358 (8)	0.0289 (7)	-0.0039 (6)	0.0082 (6)	-0.0006 (6)
N2	0.0269 (7)	0.0315 (7)	0.0317 (7)	-0.0026 (5)	0.0090 (6)	-0.0022 (6)
N3	0.0286 (7)	0.0362 (7)	0.0296 (7)	-0.0034 (6)	0.0111 (6)	-0.0008 (6)
N4	0.0287 (7)	0.0330 (7)	0.0320 (7)	-0.0015 (6)	0.0121 (6)	0.0018 (6)
B1	0.0330 (9)	0.0320 (10)	0.0357 (10)	-0.0018 (8)	0.0115 (8)	0.0011 (8)
C1	0.0267 (8)	0.0381 (9)	0.0350 (9)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0072 (7)	-0.0035 (7)
C2	0.0331 (9)	0.0401 (10)	0.0310 (8)	0.0034 (7)	0.0055 (7)	-0.0008 (7)
C3	0.0366 (9)	0.0369 (9)	0.0299 (8)	-0.0016 (7)	0.0105 (7)	0.0010 (7)
C4	0.0350 (9)	0.0369 (9)	0.0406 (9)	0.0015 (7)	0.0190 (7)	0.0038 (7)
C5	0.0440 (10)	0.0388 (10)	0.0367 (9)	0.0022 (8)	0.0203 (8)	-0.0005 (7)
C6	0.0365 (9)	0.0363 (9)	0.0325 (9)	-0.0010 (7)	0.0122 (7)	-0.0033 (7)
01	0.0362 (6)	0.0355 (7)	0.0398 (7)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0144 (5)	0.0009 (5)
C11	0.0380 (10)	0.0438 (11)	0.0480 (11)	0.0066 (8)	0.0157 (9)	-0.0003 (9)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	2.1376 (14)	C1—C2	1.384 (3)
Fe1—N3	2.1376 (14)	C1—H1	0.9500

Fe1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.1728 (14)	C2—C3	1.396 (3)
Fe1—N1	2.1729 (14)	C2—H2	0.9500
Fe1—O1	2.2183 (13)	С3—Н3	0.9500
Fe1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.2183 (13)	C4—C5	1.375 (3)
N1—C3	1.337 (2)	C4—H4	0.9500
N1—N2	1.368 (2)	С5—С6	1.392 (3)
N2—C1	1.340 (2)	С5—Н5	0.9500
N2—B1	1.551 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9500
N3—C6	1.335 (2)	O1—C11	1.437 (2)
N3—N4	1.367 (2)	O1—H1O1	0.8400
N4—C4	1.340 (2)	C11—H11A	0.9800
N4—B1	1.552 (2)	C11—H11B	0.9800
B1—H1A	0.9900	C11—H11C	0.9800
B1—H1B	0.9900		
	0.000		
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N3	180.0	N2—B1—H1B	110.0
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	89.49 (5)	N4—B1—H1B	110.0
N3—Fe1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	90.51 (5)	H1A - B1 - H1B	108.4
$N3^{i}$ —Fe1—N1	90.51 (5)	N2-C1-C2	108.88 (16)
N3—Fe1—N1	89 49 (5)	N2—C1—H1	125.6
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N1	180.0	C2-C1-H1	125.6
$N3^{i}$ —Fe1—O1	92.71 (5)	C1 - C2 - C3	104 27 (16)
N3—Fe1—O1	87 29 (5)	C1 - C2 - H2	127.9
$N1^{i}$ Fe1 $-01$	94 73 (5)	C3 - C2 - H2	127.9
N1—Fe1—O1	85 27 (5)	N1 - C2 - C2	110.88 (16)
$N3^{i}$ Fe1 $01^{i}$	87 29 (5)	N1-C3-H3	124.6
N3—Fe1— $01^i$	92.71(5)	$C_{2}$ $C_{3}$ $H_{3}$	124.6
$N1^{i}$ Fe1 $01^{i}$	85 27 (5)	N4 - C4 - C5	109 31 (16)
N1—Fe1— $01^i$	94.73(5)	N4-C4-H4	105.51 (10)
$01$ —Fe1— $01^{i}$	180.0	C5-C4-H4	125.5
$C_3 N_1 N_2$	106.0	$C_{4}$	125.5 104.27(17)
$C_3 = N_1 = N_2$	100.20(14) 128 24 (12)	C4 - C5 - H5	104.27 (17)
N2_N1_Fe1	120.24(12) 122.32(11)	C6-C5-H5	127.9
12 - 11 - 101 12 - 101	122.32(11) 109.77(14)	N3-C6-C5	127.9 110.81 (17)
C1 = N2 = R1	109.77 (14)	$N_{3} = C_{6} = C_{5}$	124.6
N1 N2 P1	120.09(13) 121.54(13)	$C_{5} = C_{6} = H_{6}$	124.0
$\Gamma = \Gamma 2 - D \Gamma$	121.34(13) 106.37(14)	$C_{3} = C_{0} = 110$	124.0 124.41(12)
C6 N2 Eq1	100.37(14) 128.01(12)	$C_{11} = O_1 = P_{01}$	124.41(12) 102.7
$N_{1}$ N2 Eq1	120.01(13) 122.80(11)	$E_{1} = 01 = H101$	105.7
$\Gamma 4 = \Gamma 5 = \Gamma C 1$	122.09 (11)		115.1
C4— $N4$ — $N3$	109.23 (13)		109.5
U4— $IN4$ — $BIN2$ $N4$ $D1$	129.11(10) 121(1(10))		109.5
INJ - IN4 - DI	121.01(13)		109.5
$N2 D1 U1^{A}$	108.45 (15)		109.5
$N_2 \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow H_1 A$	110.0		109.5
N4—BI—HIA	110.0	HIIB-CII-HIIC	109.5

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y+1, -z+1.