



ISSN 2414-3146

Received 21 September 2016 Accepted 25 November 2016

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

Keywords: crystal structure; organosilicon; C—  $H \cdots O$  interactions.

CCDC reference: 1519189

Structural data: full structural data are available from iucrdata.iucr.org

## Dimethyl 4,4'-(dimethylsilanediyl)dibenzoate

Lingling Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Yan Xia,<sup>a</sup> Dongfeng Li<sup>a</sup> and Ruibin Hou<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Life Science, Changchun University of Technology, Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Advanced Institute of Materials Science, Changchun University of Technology, Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China. \*Correspondence e-mail: hrb1018@163.com

The complete molecule of the title compound,  $C_{18}H_{20}O_4Si$ , is generated by crystallographic twofold symmetry, with the Si atom lying on the rotation axis. The molecule adopts a V-shape: the dihedral angle between the benzene ring and it attached methyl formate unit is 9.3 (2)°, and the dihedral angle between the benzene rings is 68.8 (1)°. In the crystal, weak  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds link the molecules into [101] chains.



#### Structure description

Recently, several reports have indicated that Si-based tetrahedral organic molecules have excellent emission properties (Li *et al.*, 2015; Zhao *et al.*, 2015; Shimada *et al.*, 2015). As a typical example, Liu and co-workers recently reported a series of tetrahedral luminescent materials comprising SiAr4 cores (Tang *et al.*, 2014). They found that their fluorene derivatives were efficient blue-light-emitting materials and that Si-centered materials were superior with regard to film formation ability and quantum efficiency. A noteworthy feature of Si-centered tetrahedral materials is their high photoluminescence efficiency (nearly 100%) in the condensed state. In this paper, we report the crystal structure of the title compound, which is a precursor of these organosilicon compounds.

The complete molecule (Fig. 1) is generated by crystallographic twofold symmetry with the silicon atom lying on the rotation axis. The C–Si–C angles vary from 106.0 (1)° to 112.3 (1)°. The Si–C bond lengths of 1.866 (2) and 1.887 (2) Å are comparable with those in related structures (Ziller *et al.*, 1993; Yoshida *et al.*, 2005; Tsutsui & Sakamoto, 2003). The molecule adopts a V-shape and the dihedral angle between the benzene rings is 68.78 (7)°.

In the crystal, there are weak  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Atom O2 accepts two such bonds, resulting in an aggregation of three molecules. The trimers





Figure 1 The molecular structure of the title compound.

are further linked to each other to form a double zigzag chain propagating along the [101] direction.

### Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared according to a literature method (Tang *et al.*, 2007). Colourless blocks were prepared by recrystallization from a solvent mixture of dichloromethane and petroleum.

### Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (grant No. 21442004) and the Education Office of Jilin Province (grant No. 2016320).

### References

- Li, D. F., Huang, Z., Shang, X. H., Xia, Y., Zhang, Y. D., Li, M., Li, B. & Hou, R. B. (2015). *Tetrahedron*, **71**, 2680–2685.
- Rigaku (1998). RAPID-AUTO. Rigaku Inc., Toyko, Japan.
- Rigaku (2002). CrystalStructure. Rigaku Inc., Toyko, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Shimada, M., Yamanoi, Y., Matsushita, T., Kondo, T., Nishibori, E., Hatakeyama, A., Sugimoto, K. & Nishihara, H. (2015). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 1024–1027.
- Tang, H. Y., Song, N. H., Gao, Z. H., Chen, X. F., Fan, X. H., Xiang, Q. & Zhou, Q. F. (2007). *Polymer*, 48, 129–138.
- Tang, X., Yao, L., Liu, H., Shen, F., Zhang, S., Zhang, H., Lu, P. & Ma, Y. (2014). Chem. Eur. J. 20, 7589–7592.

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$ ).	

$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C1-H1A\cdotsO2^{i}$ $C9-H9C\cdotsO2^{ii}$	0.96	2.62	3.511 (2)	154
	0.96	2.53	3.465 (3)	164

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z + 2$ ; (ii)  $-x, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

 Table 2

 Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{18}H_{20}O_4Si$
M <sub>r</sub>	328.43
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c
Temperature (K)	296
a, b, c (Å)	15.869 (3), 9.991 (2), 12.328 (3)
$\beta$ (°)	117.79 (3)
$V(A^3)$	1729.1 (6)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \ (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.15
Crystal size (mm)	$0.36 \times 0.35 \times 0.18$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>RAPID-AUTO</i> ; Rigaku, 1998)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.948, 0.974
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	8251, 1974, 1647
R <sub>int</sub>	0.027
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \mathring{A}^{-1} )$	0.649
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.044, 0.130, 1.06
No. of reflections	1974
No. of parameters	107
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e}  {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.34, -0.15

Computer programs: RAPID-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998), CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC and Rigaku, 2002), SHELXS97, SHELXL97 and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

Tsutsui, S. & Sakamoto, K. (2003). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 2322–2323.
 Yoshida, H., Ikadai, J., Shudo, M., Ohshita, J. & Kunai, A. (2005).
 *Organometallics*, 24, 156–162.

Zhao, Z. J., He, B. R. & Tang, B. Z. (2015). *Chem. Sci.* **6**, 5347–5365. Ziller, J. W., Schaber, P. M. & Dinan, F. J. (1993). *Acta Cryst.* **C49**, 1430–1432.



# full crystallographic data

*IUCrData* (2016). 1, x161887 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2414314616018873]

## Dimethyl 4,4'-(dimethylsilanediyl)dibenzoate

Lingling Zhang, Yan Xia, Dongfeng Li and Ruibin Hou

Dimethyl 4,4'-(dimethylsilanediyl)dibenzoate

Crystal data

C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si  $M_r = 328.43$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 15.869 (3) Å b = 9.991 (2) Å c = 12.328 (3) Å  $\beta = 117.79$  (3)° V = 1729.1 (6) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

### Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (RAPID-AUTO; Rigaku, 1998)  $T_{\min} = 0.948, T_{\max} = 0.974$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.130$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.06	H-atom parameters constrained
1974 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0867P)^2 + 0.2156P]$
107 parameters	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.010$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.34 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

F(000) = 696

 $\theta = 3.5 - 27.5^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 0.15 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Block, colorless

 $0.36 \times 0.35 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ 

8251 measured reflections

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.5^{\circ}$ 

1974 independent reflections

1647 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

T = 296 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$ 

 $h = -20 \rightarrow 17$ 

 $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ 

 $l = -15 \rightarrow 16$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.262 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 7030 reflections

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2$ sigma( $F^2$ ) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	<i>x</i>	у	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
C1	0.54323 (13)	0.86561 (16)	1.16029 (15)	0.0529 (4)
H1A	0.4953	0.9300	1.1125	0.079*
H1B	0.5560	0.8090	1.1068	0.079*
H1C	0.6005	0.9113	1.2155	0.079*
C2	0.40084 (10)	0.64788 (13)	1.14363 (12)	0.0378 (3)
C3	0.41296 (11)	0.56740 (17)	1.05964 (16)	0.0522 (4)
Н3	0.4702	0.5715	1.0562	0.063*
C4	0.34246 (11)	0.48152 (17)	0.98120 (15)	0.0513 (4)
H4	0.3521	0.4303	0.9249	0.062*
C5	0.25723 (9)	0.47202 (14)	0.98686 (13)	0.0400 (3)
C6	0.24381 (11)	0.54968 (16)	1.07046 (15)	0.0487 (4)
H6	0.1871	0.5434	1.0752	0.058*
C7	0.31455 (11)	0.63684 (16)	1.14723 (14)	0.0470 (4)
H7	0.3042	0.6891	1.2023	0.056*
C8	0.17949 (10)	0.37917 (15)	0.90651 (13)	0.0437 (4)
С9	0.12150 (13)	0.23692 (19)	0.73424 (17)	0.0623 (5)
H9A	0.1119	0.1643	0.7783	0.094*
H9B	0.1401	0.2020	0.6761	0.094*
H9C	0.0633	0.2866	0.6918	0.094*
O1	0.19538 (8)	0.32396 (12)	0.81941 (11)	0.0559 (3)
O2	0.10978 (9)	0.35693 (14)	0.91819 (12)	0.0667 (4)
Si1	0.5000	0.76151 (5)	1.2500	0.0378 (2)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	1711	I /22	I /33	1/12	1/13	1/23
	0	0	0	0	0	0
C1	0.0619 (10)	0.0484 (8)	0.0529 (9)	-0.0023 (7)	0.0306 (8)	0.0075 (7)
C2	0.0385 (7)	0.0404 (7)	0.0336 (6)	0.0032 (5)	0.0160 (5)	0.0029 (5)
C3	0.0419 (8)	0.0650 (10)	0.0570 (9)	-0.0078 (7)	0.0292 (7)	-0.0168 (7)
C4	0.0490 (8)	0.0608 (9)	0.0518 (9)	-0.0065 (7)	0.0301 (7)	-0.0167 (7)
C5	0.0361 (7)	0.0427 (7)	0.0376 (7)	0.0020 (5)	0.0140 (5)	0.0029 (5)
C6	0.0376 (7)	0.0605 (9)	0.0518 (9)	-0.0015 (6)	0.0241 (7)	-0.0045 (7)
C7	0.0466 (8)	0.0536 (8)	0.0454 (8)	0.0013 (6)	0.0253 (7)	-0.0074 (6)
C8	0.0385 (7)	0.0453 (8)	0.0424 (8)	0.0021 (5)	0.0148 (6)	0.0022 (6)
C9	0.0545 (10)	0.0659 (11)	0.0546 (10)	-0.0100 (8)	0.0153 (8)	-0.0173 (8)
01	0.0473 (6)	0.0658 (7)	0.0525 (7)	-0.0100 (5)	0.0215 (5)	-0.0177 (5)
O2	0.0516 (7)	0.0819 (9)	0.0720 (9)	-0.0195 (6)	0.0333 (6)	-0.0199 (7)
Si1	0.0413 (3)	0.0377 (3)	0.0356 (3)	0.000	0.0188 (2)	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—Si1	1.8662 (16)	C5—C8	1.491 (2)
C1—H1A	0.9600	C6—C7	1.387 (2)
C1—H1B	0.9600	С6—Н6	0.9300
C1—H1C	0.9600	С7—Н7	0.9300
C2—C3	1.393 (2)	C8—O2	1.2009 (19)
C2—C7	1.395 (2)	C8—O1	1.3318 (19)
C2—Si1	1.8869 (15)	C9—O1	1.4440 (19)
C3—C4	1.383 (2)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
С3—Н3	0.9300	С9—Н9В	0.9600
C4—C5	1.390 (2)	С9—Н9С	0.9600
C4—H4	0.9300	Si1—C1 <sup>i</sup>	1.8662 (16)
C5—C6	1.383 (2)	Si1—C2 <sup>i</sup>	1.8869 (15)
Si1—C1—H1A	109.5	С7—С6—Н6	119.9
Si1—C1—H1B	109.5	C6—C7—C2	121.47 (14)
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	С6—С7—Н7	119.3
Si1—C1—H1C	109.5	С2—С7—Н7	119.3
H1A—C1—H1C	109.5	O2—C8—O1	123.46 (14)
H1B—C1—H1C	109.5	O2—C8—C5	123.95 (14)
C3—C2—C7	117.11 (13)	O1—C8—C5	112.59 (13)
C3—C2—Si1	120.29 (11)	O1—C9—H9A	109.5
C7—C2—Si1	122.57 (11)	O1—C9—H9B	109.5
C4—C3—C2	122.03 (14)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	119.0	O1—C9—H9C	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	119.0	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5
C3—C4—C5	119.74 (14)	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
C3—C4—H4	120.1	C8—O1—C9	116.16 (13)
С5—С4—Н4	120.1	C1 <sup>i</sup> —Si1—C1	112.26 (11)
C6—C5—C4	119.38 (14)	C1 <sup>i</sup> —Si1—C2	109.23 (7)
C6—C5—C8	118.47 (13)	C1—Si1—C2	109.96 (7)
C4—C5—C8	122.15 (14)	C1 <sup>i</sup> —Si1—C2 <sup>i</sup>	109.96 (7)
C5—C6—C7	120.25 (14)	C1—Si1—C2 <sup>i</sup>	109.23 (7)
С5—С6—Н6	119.9	C2—Si1—C2 <sup>i</sup>	106.02 (9)
C7—C2—C3—C4	1.1 (2)	C4—C5—C8—O2	171.12 (16)
Si1—C2—C3—C4	179.15 (13)	C6—C5—C8—O1	171.45 (13)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-1.3 (3)	C4—C5—C8—O1	-9.3 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.5 (2)	O2—C8—O1—C9	2.1 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C8	-178.70 (15)	C5-C8-O1-C9	-177.48 (13)
C4—C5—C6—C7	0.5 (2)	$C3$ — $C2$ — $Si1$ — $C1^i$	174.94 (12)
C8—C5—C6—C7	179.71 (14)	C7—C2—Si1—C1 <sup>i</sup>	-7.11 (14)
C5—C6—C7—C2	-0.7(2)	C3—C2—Si1—C1	51.33 (14)
C3—C2—C7—C6	-0.1 (2)	C7—C2—Si1—C1	-130.71 (13)

Sil—C2—C7—C6	-178.09 (12)	C3—C2—Si1—C2 <sup>i</sup>	-66.63 (12)
C6—C5—C8—O2	-8.1 (2)	$C7$ — $C2$ — $Si1$ — $C2^i$	111.33 (13)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+5/2.

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	Н…А	D····A	<i>D</i> —H… <i>A</i>
C1—H1A····O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.96	2.62	3.511 (2)	154
С9—Н9С…О2 <sup>ііі</sup>	0.96	2.53	3.465 (3)	164

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+2; (iii) -x, y, -z+3/2.